June 27, 2022

Morgan Hecht Regional Civil Rights Officer Federal Transit Administration 1961 Stout Street Suite 13-301 Denver, CO 80202

Dear Ms. Hecht:

The following information is being submitted as required by Title VI Program Guidelines for Federal Transit Administration Recipients, Circular 4702.1B as amended.

- 1. **Attachment A:** Title VI Notice to the Public (Vital Document) including pictures of locations where the notice is posted: See enclosed "Public Notice" information outlining notification and postings. Information is also posted on the website www.matbus.com.
- 2. **Attachment B:** Title VI Complaint Form (Vital Document) which includes the Title VI complaint procedures, and Title VI employee training documents: *enclosed*.
- 3. **Attachment B:** List of transit related Title VI investigations, complaints, and lawsuits.
- 4. **Attachment C:** Public Participation Plan, including information about outreach methods to engage minority and LEP, as well as a summary of outreach efforts made since the last Title VI program submission:

The City of Fargo makes every effort to ensure public participation of all populations, including minority, low-income, and LEP populations, in the course of conducting public outreach and involvement activities – notices are posted in various publications (the local newspaper, with the local MPO), on all vehicles and in all transit facilities where locations are conspicuous and accessible to all individuals, on bus schedules, letters are mailed to agencies providing services to minority, LEP, and low-income populations; and public meetings are held to encourage participation by all populations. We utilize social media, such as email rider alerts, Facebook, and Twitter to communicate with our passengers on detours, promotions, service and fare changes, planning studies, storm detours, and any other information necessary to utilize the services effectively. Efforts since the last Title VI program have included all of the above activities. Efforts since the last Title VI program have included all of the above activities, as well as updating of our web page www.matbus.com.

Public Outreach Activities:

In the last three years, outreach has included publishing public notices in the City's

official newspaper, the website and on social media. In addition, Rider Alerts are sent out regarding public notices, detours, change in service and anything else affecting the public. Notices are also posted on monitor displays at the Ground Transportation Center.

Rider Alerts reach a wide range of MATBUS riders. An example of some of the outreach: Rider Alerts have been sent out regarding College Ridership Surveys (1/31/20), Transit Development Plan Open House (11/9/20), Fare Free Service extension due to Covid, TDP Community Survey (9/11/20), GTC Re-opening (10/1/20), On-board mask giveway (8/18/20), Paratransit Grocery Delivery (4/8/20), Fare Free due to Covid (3/20/20), PH Notice: 2020 Capital Projects (1/13/20), West Fargo Study – Metro COG (6/12/19), RTCC Transportation Barriers Discussion (3/11/19)

Each year we notify DBE and SBE organizations in the community with the type of potential contract work available.

The Transit Development Plan was completed in July 21, 2021 and was started in 2019, the makeup of the committee consisted of members of the community including persons with disabilities.

Each month the Mobility Manager puts on a Travel Trainer Workshop for agencies that work with people from the minority population, have disabilities, or other low-income persons. This workshop trains employees of the agencies to help others at their organizations learn how to utilize the Fixed-Route Bus service.

- 5. **Attachment D:** Language Assistance Plan for providing language assistance to persons with limited English proficiency (LEP): *enclosed*.
- 6. Attachment E: Language Access Plan (LAP): enclosed.
- 7. A table depicting the membership of non-elected committees and councils, the membership of which is selected by the recipient, broken down by race, and a description of the process the agency uses to encourage the participation of minorities on such committees: There are currently no committees and/or councils where the membership is selected by us. (The decision making body governing the City of Fargo Transit Department is the Fargo City Commission which is made up entirely of elected officials).
- 8. Primary recipients shall include a description of how the agency monitors its subrecipients for compliance with Title VI, and a schedule of subrecipient Title VI program submissions: We do not have subrecipients.
- 9. A Title VI equity analysis if the recipient has constructed a facility, such as a vehicle storage facility, maintenance facility, operation center, etc.: The City of Fargo Transit has not constructed any transit facilities.
- 10. Attachment F: A copy of board meeting minutes, resolution, or other appropriate governing entity or official(s) responsible for policy decisions reviewed and approved the Title VI program: enclosed.

- **11.** Additional information as specified in chapters IV, V, and VI, depending on whether the recipient is a transit provider, State, or planning entity. Note: **The recipient is a Transit Provider.**
- 12. Attachment G: Requirements of Transit Providers (Chapter IV):
 All fixed route transit providers must submit all requirements set out in Chapter III:
 Service standards, vehicle load for each mode, vehicle headway for each mode, on-time performance for each mode, Service availability for each mode:
 Fixed route service provided in the Fargo area is limited to local bus service; we do not incorporate peak or off-peak service. Service hours are 6:15am-11:15pm M-F system-wide and 7:15am-11:15pm Saturdays (we do not have Sunday fixed route service). I have enclosed headways on all routes, and performance measures tracked for every route on the system for 2019-2021.
- 13. **Attachment H:** Service policies: (1) for transit amenities for each mode and (2) vehicle assignment for each mode.

Transit operates only one mode (local bus service). Amenities include one main transfer facility in downtown Fargo where most of the system routes pulse with other routes to allow travel throughout the community – this facility is the location for fixed route dispatch and has restrooms, seating, and vending; one transfer hub located at the main local mall (West Acres) – this facility has a phone allowing access to dispatchers, benches, route information, and is an attached enclosed facility with access to restrooms; and 68 additional bus shelters located throughout the system (see enclosed).

Note: The City of Fargo operates under 50 fixed route vehicles in peak service and is located in a UZA of under 200,000.

- 14. Attachment I: Submission of annual certifications and assurances: enclosed.
- 15. **Attachment J:** Enclosed for your reference are a current bus route schedules, maps and demographic information for Fargo.
- 16. Attachment K: City of Fargo EEO, First Transit EEO and Affirmative Action Plan

Should you have any questions concerning this information, please contact me at 701-476-6737 or e-mail JBommelman@cityoffargo.com.

Sincerely,

Julie Bommelman City of Fargo Transit Administrator

Attachment A

POST PERMANENTLY ON ALL BULLETIN BOARDS

PUBLIC NOTICE CITY OF FARGO AND CITY OF MOORHEAD POLICY OF TITLE VI NONDISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF RACE, COLOR OR NATIONAL ORIGIN ON FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION PROJECTS

The City of Fargo and the City of Moorhead are recipients of Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Transportation through the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) for transit operating, planning and/or capital projects and is therefore subject to Title VI Civil Rights Requirements.

The following is a summary of said Title VI requirements for non-discrimination on FTA projects receiving this federal assistance.

- 1. Any person who is, or seeks to be, a patron of any vehicle which is operated as a part of, or in conjunction with, a project shall be given the same access, seating, and other treatment with regard to the use of such vehicle as other persons without regard to their race, color or national origin.
- 2. No person who is or seeks to be an employee of the project sponsor or lessees, concessionaires, contractors, licensees, or any organization furnishing public transportation service as a part of, or in conjunction with, the project shall be treated less favorably than any other employee or applicant with regard to hiring, dismissal, advancement, wages, or any other conditions and benefits of employment, on the basis of race, color, or national origin.
- 3. No person or group of persons shall be discriminated against with regard to the routing, scheduling, or quality of transportation service furnished as a part of the project on the basis of race, color, or national origin. Frequency of service, age and quality of vehicles assigned to routes, quality of stations serving different routes, and location of routes may not be determined on the basis of race, color or national origin.
- 4. The location of projects requiring land acquisition and the displacement of person from their residences and businesses may not be determined on the basis of race, color, or national origin.

The City of Fargo and the City of Moorhead maintains records and other information and submit compliance reports and assessments regarding Title VI as directed by FTA. This information may be made available to the public upon request. Requests for information regarding City of Fargo and/or City of Moorhead may be requested from Shaun Crowell the MATBUS Mobility Manager, located at 650 23rd St N Fargo, ND 58102 or by phone at (701) 476-5967.

Discrimination complaints may be filed with the City of Fargo or the City of Moorhead for resolution by contacting the appropriate contact person at the telephone numbers and addresses shown above. If the complainant is dissatisfied with the resolution by the City of Fargo or the City of Moorhead, the same complaint may be submitted to FTA or the Secretary of Transportation within 180 calendar days after the date of the alleged discrimination. A person may also file a complaint directly with the Federal Transit Administration, at FTA Office of Civil Rights, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

Title VI nondiscrimination posters and all Title VI public notices are posted in accessible and conspicuous locations for everyone to see and read.

NON-DISCRIMINATION POLICY

The Cities of Fargo, North Dakota, and Moorhead, Minnesota, operate MATBUS and provide access to all its programs, services, and benefits in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 without regard to race, color, national origin, sex (23 U.S.C. 324), age (42 U.S.C. 6101), disability/handicap (29 U.S.C. 794), or socioeconomic status (E.O. 12898).

For more information or to file a discrimination complaint, please contact MATBUS at 701.241.8140. This statement, as well as the MATBUS schedules and maps, is available in alternative format upon request. MATBUS will provide reasonable accommodations for people with disabilities when possible.



Contact MATBUS by calling 701.241.8140, by mail at 650 23rd Street North, Fargo, ND, or obtain a complaint form online at www.matbus.com.







Passenger Shelter







Statement of Non-Discrimination

The Cities of Fargo, ND and Moorhead, MN operate MATBUS and provide access to all its programs, services and benefits in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 without regard to race, color national origin, sex (23 U.S.C. 324), age (42 U.S.C. 6101), disability/handicap (29 U.S.C 794), or socioeconomic status (E.O. 12898).

For more information or to file a discrimination complaint, please contact MATBUS at 701.241.8140. This statement, as well as the MATBUS schedules and maps, is available in alternative format upon request. MATBUS will provide reasonable accommodations for people with disabilities when possible.

PUBLIC NOTICE CITY OF FARGO AND CITY OF MOORHEAD POLICY OF TITLE VI NONDISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF RACE, COLOR OR NATIONAL ORIGIN ON FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION PROJECTS

The City of Fargo and the City of Moorhead are recipients of Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Transportation through the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) for transit operating, planning and/or capital projects and is therefore subject to Title VI Civil Rights Requirements.

The following is a summary of said Title VI requirements for non-discrimination on FTA projects receiving this federal assistance.

- 1. Any person who is, or seeks to be, a patron of any vehicle which is operated as a part of, or in conjunction with, a project shall be given the same access, seating, and other treatment with regard to the use of such vehicle as other persons without regard to their race, color or national origin.
- 2. No person who is or seeks to be an employee of the project sponsor or lessees, concessionaires, contractors, licensees, or any organization furnishing public transportation service as a part of, or in conjunction with, the project shall be treated less favorably than any other employee or applicant with regard to hiring, dismissal, advancement, wages, or any other conditions and benefits of employment, on the basis of race, color, or national origin.
- 3. No person or group of persons shall be discriminated against with regard to the routing, scheduling, or quality of transportation service furnished as a part of the project on the basis of race, color, or national origin. Frequency of service, age and quality of vehicles assigned to routes, quality of stations serving different routes, and location of routes may not be determined on the basis of race, color or national origin.

4. The location of projects requiring land acquisition and the displacement of person from their residences and businesses may not be determined on the basis of race, color, or national origin.

The City of Fargo and the City of Moorhead maintains records and other information and submit compliance reports and assessments regarding Title VI as directed by FTA. This information may be made available to the public upon request. Requests for information regarding City of Fargo and/or City of Moorhead may be requested from the MATBUS Mobility Manager, located at 650 23rd St N Fargo, ND 58102 or by phone at (701) 476-5967.

Discrimination complaints may be filed with the City of Fargo or the City of Moorhead for resolution by contacting the appropriate contact person at the telephone numbers and addresses shown above. If the complainant is dissatisfied with the resolution by the City of Fargo or the City of Moorhead, the same complaint may be submitted to FTA or the Secretary of Transportation within 180 calendar days after the date of the alleged discrimination. The Civil Rights Office for FTA is:

Federal Transit Administration Office of Civil Rights Attention: Complaint Team East Building, 5th Floor – TCR 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE Washington, DC 20590

Title VI nondiscrimination posters and all Title VI public notices are posted in accessible and conspicuous locations for everyone to see and read.

Title VI, ADA & Discrimination

Title VI, ADA & Discrimination

File Size Type

<u>Fargo Discrimination Policy Statement</u> 339.86 KB PDF

<u>Title VI, ADA & Discrimination Complaint Form</u> 247.84 KB PDF

Contact Information

MATBUS Admin Office 650 23rd St N Fargo, ND 58102

<u>Get Directions ></u>

<u>701.241.8140</u>

Office Hours

Monday - Friday 7:45 am - 4:30 pm Except for Legal Holidays

Contact Information

First Transit - Driver Services Contractor 650 23rd St N Fargo, ND 58102

<u>Get Directions ></u>

701.241.8171

Office Hours

Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 5:00 pm

Attachment B

MATBUS
Title VI, ADA or
Discrimination Complaint

650 23rd St. N. Fargo, ND 58102

Phone: (701) 241-8140 | Fax: (701) 241-8558

TRANSIT TITLE VI, ADA OR DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINT FORM

<u>Instructions</u>: Please fill out this form completely, in black ink or type. If you need any accommodation or assistance in completing this form, please contact the MATBUS Mobility Manager at (701) 241-8140. Sign and return to: MATBUS, 650 23rd St. N., Fargo, ND 58102.

Statement of Non-Discrimination:

The Cities of Fargo, ND and Moorhead, MN operate MATBUS and provide access to all its programs, services and activities in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 without regard to race, color national origin, the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA) (Disability), sex (23 U.S.C. 324), age (42 U.S.C. 6101), or income status (E.O. 12898).

Statement of confidentiality, privacy and protection:

No one may intimidate, threaten, coerce, or engage in other discriminatory conduct against a person because he or she has filed a complaint to secure rights protected by the nondiscrimination provisions of federal law. The identity of complainants must be kept confidential except to the extent necessary to carry out the investigation, hearing or judicial proceeding arising out of the complaint.

Section I – Agency, Department or Facility					
Name of agency complaint is against:	☐ City of Fargo	☐ City of Moorhead	☐ First Transit		
Section II – Type of Discrimination &	Description				
I believe the discrimination I experienced was based on (check all that apply):					
Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964	Other Non-Discrimination Statutes				
Race	Sex	☐ Disability			
☐ Color	☐ Age	☐ Income St	☐ Income Status		
☐ National Origin					
Date of Alleged Discrimination (Month, Day, Year):					
Explain as clearly as possible what happened and why you believe you were discriminated against. Describe all persons who were involved. Include the name and contact information of the person(s) who discriminated against you (if known) as well as names and contact information of any witnesses. If more space is needed, please use the back of this form or attach a separate sheet of paper.					

MATBUS Title VI, ADA or Discrimination Complaint 650 23rd St. N.

Fargo, ND 58102 Phone: (701) 241-8140 | Fax: (701) 241-8558

Section III – Complainant Information						
Complainant Name:						
Street Address:						
City:	St	tate:	Zip:			
Home Phone:						
Mobile Phone:	Email:					
Preferred method of communication:	☐ Email	Phone				
Section IV – Completed by						
Are you filling this complaint out on your own behalf?		☐ No				
If Yes, go to Section III						
If No, please supply the name and relationship of the p	erson for who	m you are comp	laining:			
First and last name of person for whom you are filing:						
Relationship of the person for whom you are filing:						
Please explain why you have filed for a third party:						
Please confirm that you have obtained the permission of						
the aggrieved party if you are filing on behalf of a third						
Section V - Previous						
Have you previously filed a Title VI complaint with this	agency?	☐ Yes	S No			
Have you previously filed an ADA complaint with this a	gency?	☐ Yes	S □ No			
Have you previously filed any other discrimination com agency?	plaint with this	Yes	S □ No			

MATBUS Title VI, ADA or Discrimination Complaint

650 23rd St. N. Fargo, ND 58102

Phone: (701) 241-8140 | Fax: (701) 241-8558

Section VI – Other Agency Fil			
Have you filed this complaint with a agency, or with any Federal of Sta		Yes] No
If yes, check all that apply:			
Federal Agency:	Federal Court:		
	State Court:		
Local Agency:			
Please provide information about a	a contact person at the agency/court v	where the complaint was filed	
Contact Name:	Contact Ti	tle:	
Agency Name:	ne:		
Agency Address:			
Agency City:	Agency State:	Agency Zip:	
Section VII - Remedy Sought			
State the specific remedy sought to			
, , , ,			
You may attach any written or other	information that you think is relevant	to your complaint.	
,	,	, ,	
Signature and date required below.			
Signature:		Date:	

I sincerely and truly declare and affirm that the facts contained herein are complete, accurate, and true to the best of my knowledge and belief. Further, I declare and affirm that my statement has been made by me voluntarily without persuasion, coercion, or promise of any kind.

650 23rd St. N. Fargo, ND 58102

Phone: (701) 241-8140 | Fax: (701) 241-8558

HOW TO MAKE A TITLE VI, ADA OR DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINT

 If you wish to make a complaint of discrimination regarding any transit programs, services, or activities on the grounds of race, color, national origin; or sex, age, disability or income status by (1) City of Fargo (MATBUS), (2) City of Moorhead (MATBUS), or (3) First Transit (driver contractor):

To obtain a copy of the complaint form:

- a. Call the MATBUS Mobility Manager, Shaun Crowell at (701) 241-8140 to request the form be mailed to you.
 - i. You may request the complaint form in an alternative format such as large print, audio file, alternate language or interpreter service.
 - ii. Upon request, a team member will assist you in filling out the complaint form. The form will require you to identify yourself and give specific details about your complaint.
- b. Download the form at matbus.com/TitleVI
- c. Obtain a copy of the form at the Ground Transportation Center (GTC), 502 NP Ave, Fargo ND, 58102.
- 2. Return the completed complaint form to:

MATBUS
Shaun Crowell
Title VI & ADA Coordinator /
Mobility Manager
650 23rd St. N.
Fargo, ND 58102

- 3. Complaints must be filed within 180 calendar days of the date of the alleged discrimination. The filing date of the complaint is the earlier of:
 - a. The postmark of the complaint, or
 - b. The date the complaint is received by any agency authorized to receive complaints.
 - c. Failure to return the complaint form within 180 days of complaint will result in the complaint being administratively closed.
- 4. We will notify you by your preferred method of communication we have received your completed complaint form and will begin our investigation process within 10 business days. Our investigation may take up to 90 days to be completed for Title VI complaints. Investigations may take up to 30 days for ADA complaints. We may contact you throughout the investigation if we need additional information or have follow up questions. Once the investigation is completed, we will notify you in writing of our determination.
 - a. If it is determined the investigation may take longer than 90 days for Title VI complaints, or 30 days for ADA complaints, you will be notified in writing.

MATBUS Title VI, ADA or Discrimination Complaint

650 23rd St. N. Fargo, ND 58102

Phone: (701) 241-8140 | Fax: (701) 241-8558

Discrimination Complaint Procedure

Any person who believes she or he has been discriminated against on the basis of race, color, or national origin by the City of Fargo MATBUS, City of Moorhead MATBUS, or First Transit may file a discrimination complaint by completing and submitting the agency's Transit Title VI, ADA or Discrimination Complaint Form. The City of Fargo MATBUS, City of Moorhead MATBUS, and First Transit investigates complaints received no more than 180 days after the alleged incident. The City of Fargo MATBUS, City of Moorhead MATBUS, or First Transit will process complaints that are complete.

Once the complaint is received, the City of Fargo MATBUS, City of Moorhead MATBUS, or First Transit will review it to determine if our office has jurisdiction. The complainant will receive an acknowledgement letter informing her/him whether the complaint will be investigated by our office within 10 business days.

The City of Fargo MATBUS, City of Moorhead MATBUS, or First Transit has 90 days to investigate Title VI complaints or 30 days for ADA complaints. If more information is needed to resolve the case, the Authority may contact the complainant. The complainant has 10 business days from the date of the letter to send requested information to the investigator assigned to the case. If the investigator is not contacted by the complainant or does not receive the additional information within 10 business days, the Authority can administratively close the case. A case can be administratively closed also if the complainant no longer wishes to pursue their case.

After the investigator reviews the complaint, she/he will issue one of two letters to the complainant: a closure letter or a letter of finding (LOF). A closure letter summarizes the allegations and states that there was not a discrimination violation and that the case will be closed. An LOF summarizes the allegations and the interviews regarding the alleged incident, and explains whether any disciplinary action, additional training of the staff member, or other action will occur. If the complainant wishes to appeal the decision, she/he has 30 days after the date of the letter or the LOF to do so.

A person may also file a complaint directly with the Federal Transit Administration, at FTA Office of Civil Rights, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

MATBUS Title VI, ADA or **Discrimination Complaint**

650 23rd St. N. Fargo, ND 58102

Phone: (701) 241-8140 | Fax: (701) 241-8558

Any person who believes they have been subjected to discrimination as prohibited by Title VI, ADA, or other forms of discrimination may file a written complaint with any of the following other agencies authorized to receive and process complaints listed below. The complaint must be filed in writing no later than 180 days after the date of the alleged discrimination, unless the time for filing is extended by the Secretary, U.S. Department of Transportation.

Other Agencies Authorized to Receive and Process Complaints

North Dakota Department of Transportation

Civil Rights Division 608 E. Boulevard Ave. Bismarck, ND 58507-0700 Phone: (701) 328-2576 Fax: (701) 328-0343

TTY: 711 or (800) 366-6888 E-mail: civilrights@nd.gov

City of Fargo

ADA Coordinator Buildings and Grounds 200 3rd St N

Fargo, ND 58102

E-Mail: <u>B&Gdept@cit</u>yoffargo.com

Phone: (701) 241-1480

City of Moorhead

Human Resources Director 500 Center Ave Moorhead, MN 56560

E-Mail: humanresources@ci.moorhead.mn.us

Phone: (218) 299-5179

United States Department of Transportation (USDOT)

Office of Civil Rights 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE Washington, DC 20590 Phone: (202) 366-4649 Fax: (202) 366-5575

TTY: (202) 366-9696

USDOJ - Race, Color, National Origin Complaints

Federal Coordination and Compliance Section **NWB** Civil Rights Division U.S. Department of Justice (USDOJ)

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20530

Phone: (888) 848-5306 (English and Spanish)

(202) 307-2222 (voice) (202) 307-2678 (TDD) E-mail: None published

USDOJ - ADA Complaints

US Department of Justice (USDOJ) 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Civil Rights Division Disability Rights Section 1425 NYAV Washington, DC 20530 Fax: (202) 307-1197

E-mail: ADA.complaint@usdoj.gov

ADA Information Line: (800) 514-0301(voice) or

(800) 514-0383 (TTY)

Main Section Telephone Number: (202) 307-0663 (voice and TTY)

Minnesota DOT


Title VI Coordinator Office of Civil Rights 395 John Ireland Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55155 Phone: (651) 366-3322

E-Mail: Jonica.Carr@state.mn.us

Title VI / ADA Training

Presented by Shaun Crowell, Mobility Manager

One Simple Concept...



Whenever Federal funds are involved, there are civil rights requirements that follow

Title VI, Civil Rights Act of 1964

- No Person in the United States shall, on the ground of <u>race, color, or national</u> <u>origin</u>, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any *program or activity* receiving Federal financial assistance."
- In 1963, President John F. Kennedy stated his case for making the Civil Rights Act, including Title VI, a reality.
- "Simple justice requires that public funds, to which all taxpayers of all races, (colors, and national origins) contribute, not be spent in any fashion which encourages, entrenches, subsidizes or results in racial (color or national origin) discrimination."

Key Aspects of Title VI

- To Whom does this apply?
- Title VI is a Federal law that applies to recipients and subrecipients of Federal financial assistance (e.g., States, local governments, transit providers), and not to the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) itself.
- Under Title VI, DOT has the responsibility to provide oversight of recipients and to enforce their compliance with Title VI, to ensure that recipients do not use DOT funds to subsidize discrimination based on race, color, or national origin.
- All recipients of FTA funds are required to have a Title VI program on file with the FTA
- Title VI prohibits retaliation if someone files a complaint.
- Disparate Impact is discrimination that is not a result of direct or intentional action.

Limited English Proficiency (LEP)

- A <u>Limited English</u> Proficient (LEP) person has a first language other than English and a limited ability to read, speak, or understand English.
- Recipients of Federal financial assistance have an obligation to reduce language barriers that can preclude meaningful access by LEP persons to important benefits, rights, programs, information and services.
- The matbus.com website can be translated by using google translate.



Transit Dispatchers have access to iSpeakCards to reduce language barriers for LEP persons.

2004 Census Test Language Identification Flashcard	
ضع علامة في هذا العربع إذا كنت تقرأ أو تتحدث العربية.	1. Arabic
Իրորասն հերջ հրամ՝ կատարել այս ցառակաւատն, ենքն խոսան կան կարգում՝ նջ Հուլեզմա:	2. Armenian
যদি আপেদি বাংকা পঢ়েব বা বংলন ভা হলে এই বংকল দাগ দিব।	3. Bengali
🗖 ឈ្មមបញ្ជាក់ក្នុងប្រអប់នេះ យីអ្នកអាន ឬនិយាយភាសា ខ្មែរ ។	4. Cambodian
Моtka i kahbon ya yangin ûntûngnu' manaitai pat ûntûngnu' kumentos Chamorro.	5. Chamorro
如果你能读中文或讲中文,请选择此框。	6. Simplified Chinese
□ 如果你能擁中文或條中文。 曾獨得此框。	7. Traditional Chinese
Označite ovaj kvadratić ako čitate ili govorite hrvatski jezik.	8.Croatian
Zaškrtněte tuto kolonku, pokud čtete a hovoříte česky.	9. Czech
Kruis dit vakje aan als u Nederlands kunt lezen of spreken.	10. Dutch
Mark this box if you read or speak English.	11. English
اگر خواندن و نوشتن فارمج بلدهستید، این مربع را هلامت پزنید.	12. Farsi
DB-3309 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC Economics and Statistics Administration U.S. CENSUS BUREA	

Cocher ici si vous lisez ou parlez le français.	13. French
Kreuzen Sie dieses Kästchen an, wenn Sie Deutsch lesen oder sprechen.	14. German
Σημειώστε αυτό το πλαίσιο αν διαβάζετε ή μιλάτε Ελληνικά.	15. Greek
Make kazye sa a si ou li oswa ou pale kreyòl ayisyen.	16. Haitian Creole
जार आम हिन्दी बोलते मा पढ़ सकते हों तो इस बक्स पर क्यिह लगाएँ।	17. Hindi
Kos lub voj no yog koj paub twm thiab hais lus Hmoob.	18. Hmong
Jelölje meg ezt a kockát, ha megérti vagy beszéli a magyar nyelvet.	19. Hungarian
Markaam daytoy nga kahon no makabasa wenno makasaoka iti Ilocano.	20. Ilocano
Marchi questa casella se legge o parla italiano.	21. Italian
日本語を読んだり、話せる場合はここに印を付けてください。	22. Japanese
한국어를 읽거나 말할 수 있으면 이 칸에 표시하십시오.	23. Korean
☐ ได้ขบายใช่ต่อๆปี ก็ๆต่ายเข้าปฏิปากมาตากาว.	24. Laotian
Prosimy o zaznaczenie tego kwadratu, jeżeli posługuje się Pan/Pani językiem polskim.	25. Polish
DE-3309 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI Ecrosopica and Statulita-distribution U.S. CHNSUS BUREAL U.S. CHNSUS BUREAL	,

Assinale este quadrado se você lê ou fala português.	26. Portuguese
Insemnsti accanii căruji dacă citiți sur worbiți cominește.	27. Romanian
Пометьте этот квадратик, если вы читаете или говорите по-русски.	28. Russian
Обележите овај квадразић уколико чителе мин говорите сршски језик.	29. Serbian
Označte tento štvorček, ak viete čítať alebo hovoriť po slovensky.	30. Slovak
Marque esta casilla si lee o habla español.	31. Spanish
Markahan itong kuwadrado kung kayo ay marunong magbasa o magsalita ng Tagalog.	32. Tagalog
ให้กาเครื่องหมายคงในร่องอ้าท่านข่ามเรือสูดภาษาใหม,	33. Thai
Maaka 'i he puha ni kapau 'oku ke lau pe lea fakatonga.	34. Tongan
	34. Tongan 35. Ukranian
Maaka 'i he puha ni kapau 'oku ke lau pe lea fakatonga.]
Маака 'ї he puha ni kapau 'oku ke lau pe lea fakatonga. Відмітьте що клітинку, якщо ви читасте або говорите українською мовою.	35. Ukranian
Маака 'i he puha ni kapau 'oku ke lau pe lea fakatonga. Відмітьте про клітинку, якщо ви читасте або говорите українською мовою.	35. Ukranian 36. Urdu 37. Vietnamese 38. Yiddish

Metro Interpreters Resource Center

- The City of Fargo currently utilizes the services of the Metro Interpreters Resource Center for Interpreter needs.
- Employees may call 701-526-3000 or email <u>mirc@culturaldiversityresources.org</u> for interpreter services.

Metro Interpreters Resource Center

Our area's business leader for language solutions since 1996.



Contact us TODAY for all your interpreting needs.

call: 701-526-3000 mail: mirc@culturaldiversityresources.org

Our mission is to serve as an Interpreters Resource in North Dakota and Northwestern Minnesota.

What we do & the many ways MIRC can help you:

- Organize and coordinate annual interpreters' training workshops to certify bilingual individuals as professional community interpreters, to serve residents and community agencies in ND and Northwestern MN.
- Create, maintain and update a list of certified professional interpreters for MIRC members.
- Organize and conduct basic interpreters training (e.g. Code of Ethics) for new beginners, and refresher classes for professional Interpreters for MIRC members.
- Supply area schools, businesses, clinics & courts with high quality interpreters to assist in areas where communication must be had.

The Americans with Disabilites Act (ADA)

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) became law in 1990. The ADA is a civil rights law that prohibits discrimination against individuals with disabilities in all areas of public life, including jobs, schools, transportation, and all public and private places that are open to the general public.



The ADA and Public Transportation

- The ADA guarantees people with disabilities equal access to public transportation. This means that public transportation providers cannot refuse to provide you with transportation because you have a disability.
- The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requires public transit agencies that provide fixed-route service to provide "complementary paratransit" service to people with disabilities who cannot use the fixed-route bus or rail service because of a disability.

How to make a Title VI, ADA or Discrimination Complaint


1. If someone wants to make a complaint of discrimination regarding any transit programs, services, or activities on the grounds of race, color, national origin; or sex, age, disability, or income status by MATBUS:

There are many ways to file a complaint:

- a. Call the MATBUS Mobility Manager at (701) 241-8140 to request the complaint form be mailed.
- b. Download the form at matbus.com/TitleVI
- c. Obtain a copy of the form at the Ground Transportation Center (GTC), 502 NP Ave, Fargo ND, 58102.
- 2. They may request the complaint form in an alternative format such as large print, audio file, alternate language or interpreter service.
 - Upon request, a team member will assist in filling out the complaint form. The form will require the complainant to identify themselves and give specific details about the complaint.


Discrimination Complaint Procedure

Complaints are entered into the MATBUS Feedback system; under Feedback Type drop down, select Discrimination/ADA Complaint.



Discrimination Complaint Procedure

► The Title VI complaint form and other documents can be attached to the feedback form.



Discrimination Complaint Procedure

- MATBUS will process complaints that are complete.
- MATBUS investigates complaints received no more than <u>180 days</u> after the alleged incident.
- ► The complainant will receive an acknowledgement letter informing her/him whether the complaint will be investigated by our office within 10 business days.
- MATBUS has **90 days** to investigate Title VI complaints and **30 days** to investigate ADA complaints.
- ▶ If more information is needed to resolve the case, MATBUS may contact the complainant.
- ► The complainant has 10 business days from the date of the letter to send requested information to the investigator assigned to the case.
- If the investigator is not contacted by the complainant or does not receive the additional information within 10 business days, MATBUS can administratively close the case.
- A case can be administratively closed also if the complainant no longer wishes to pursue their case.

- After the investigator reviews the complaint, they will issue one of two letters to the complainant a closure letter or a letter of finding (LOF):
 - A <u>closure letter</u> summarizes the allegations and states that there was not a discrimination violation and that the case will be closed.
 - An <u>letter of finding (LOF)</u> summarizes the allegations and the interviews regarding the alleged incident, and explains whether any disciplinary action, additional training of the staff member, or other action will occur.
- ▶ If the complainant wishes to appeal the decision, she/he has 30 days after the date of the letter or the LOF to do so.
- A person may also file a complaint directly with the Federal Transit Administration, at FTA Office of Civil Rights, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

Summary

- ► The ADA was passed in 1990
- ► Title VI of the Civil Rights Act was passed in **1964**
- ► Title VI covers Race, Color, National Origin
- ▶ Whenever Federal funds are involved, there are civil rights requirements that follow
- A <u>Limited English Proficiency</u> person (LEP) is a person with a first language other than English.
- Transit Dispatchers have access to iSpeakCards to reduce language barriers for LEP persons.
- ► The ADA guarantees people with disabilities equal access to public transportation.
- MATBUS investigates complaints received no more than 180 days after the alleged incident.
- MATBUS has 90 days to investigate Title VI complaints.
- The matbus.com website can be translated by using google translate.
- ► Title VI prohibits retaliation.
- Disparate Impact is discrimination that is <u>not</u> a result of direct or intentional action.

Thank You!

Name:					Date				
				Title VI a	nd ADA Trai	ning Quiz			
1. Wh	at ve	ar was	the Americans			-			
	•		b. 1991 c. 199		·				
2. Wh	ıat ye	ar was	Title VI of the	Civil Rights Act	: passed?				
	a.	1964	b. 1970 c. 199	90 d. 2001					
3. Wh	at do	es Title	e VI cover? (circ	cle all that app	ıly)				
	a.	Race	b. Color c. Na	itional Origin	d. Age				
4. Do	es Titl	le VI pr	ohibit Retaliati	on?					
	a.	True	b. False						
5. Wh	at is	Dispara	ate Impact?						
	a.		imination is a ro		t or intention	onal action b. I	Discrimination	is not a re	esult of
			ntial for discrim town with a la			y purchases ne	w buses and p	laces all th	ne old
	a.	Yes	b. No						
7. Wh	at do	es LEP	stand for? (fill	in the blanks)					
	L_		1	E		_ Proficiency			
8. MA	TBUS	6 has 90	0 days to invest	tigate the com	plaint:				
	a.	True	b. False						
9. Dui	ring a	n inves	stigation, docur	mentation can	be retained	l (uploaded) to	the feedback	system:	
	a.	True	b. False						
10. A	n LEP	perso	n has a first lan	guage other th	nan English:				
	a.	True	b. False						
							Score		_/10 correct

Title VI/Civil Rights Training Completion and Understanding

I, understand how	to address Title VI and Civil Rights issues and will
handle future issues according to the training I recei	ved. If I don't understand something related to Title
VI, ADA, or Civil Rights or if I have any questions, I w	ill reach out to the Mobility Manager for further
clarification.	
Sign:	Date:

MATBUS LOG OF TITLE VI & DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS, LAWSUITS OR INVESTIGATIONS

	Date (Month, Day, Year)	State where complaint occurred - ND or MN	Summary (include basis of complaint: race, color, or national origin for Title VI; sex, age, disability or socioeconomic status for other descrimination)	Status	Action(s) Taken
CY2019	1/11/2019		Driver would not make two strollers move for complaintant. Was offered other priority seating and refusted.		NDDOT determined MATBUS was not in violation of ADA or Title VI. Trained current and new staff on the complaint process and provided documentation to NDDOT
CY2019	3/30/2019		Standing on corner at bus stop, bus stopped in front of two other passengers instead of complainent.		NDDOT determined MATBUS was not in violation of ADA or Title VI. Trained current and new staff on the complaint process and provided documentation to NDDOT
CY2020	None				
CY2021	None				

Attachment C

MATBUS Title VI Public Participation Plan

Title VI Coordinator Shaun Crowell – Mobility Manager

MATBUS 650 23rd St. N. Fargo, ND 58102

Ph: 701-476-5967

Introduction

The purpose of this document is to establish public participation policies and procedures for information dissemination and public comment solicitation for development and review of programs and projects carried out by MATBUS.

Public Participation Law

The Federal regulations bearing on this Public Participation Plan are as follows:

Federal Transit Administration

Federal Transit Administration Program-of-Projects Requirements and Section 5307 Grant Program 49 U.S.C. Section 5307(c)(1) through (c)(7) is the enabling legislation empowering Federal grant programs for public transit throughout the United States. The legislation also instructs the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to implement public participation requirements as a condition of Federal funding. FTA grant applicants must integrate compliance with public participation requirements into Section 5307 grant program applications. The grant applicant must explicitly state that public notice of public involvement activities and time established for public review and comment on the TIP will satisfy the program-of-projects requirements of the Urbanized Area Formula Program. A project that requires an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement will involve additional public involvement, as presented in joint FHWA/FTA environmental regulations, "Environmental Impact and Related Procedures," 23 C.F.R. Part 771.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)

The American with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990 encourages the involvement of people with disabilities in the development and improvement of transportation and paratransit plans and services. In accordance with ADA guidelines, all meetings conducted by MATBUS will take place in locations that are accessible to persons with mobility limitations. Also, auxiliary aids and services are provided when necessary to ensure effective communications, unless an undue burden or fundamental alteration would result, to allow a person with a disability to participate. "Auxiliary aids" may include such services or devices as qualified interpreters, assistive listening headsets, television captioning and decoders, telecommunication devices for deaf persons (TDD's), videotext displays, readers taped text, brail materials, and larger print materials. MATBUS meeting notices specify that special accommodations will be provided upon request.

Title VI

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination by recipients of Federal financial assistance on the basis of race, color, and national origin, including matters related to language access for Limited English Proficient (LEP) persons. The objectives of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 are:

- 1. To ensure FTA-assisted benefits and related services are equitably distributed without regard to race, color or national origin.
- 2. To ensure that both the level and quality of transit services provide equal access and mobility for any person without regard to race, color or national origin.
- 3. To ensure that access to the planning and decision-making process is open and without regard to race, color or national origin.
- 4. To ensure that decisions on the location of transit facilities and services are made without regard to race, color or national origin.

To comply with these objectives, MATBUS has adopted the suggested methodology and framework set forth in the Title VI reporting guidelines (FTA Circular 4702.1, Chapter IV). By using this methodology, MATBUS monitors and compares the performance of its service delivery in line with the stated objectives of its Title VI program.

Limited English Proficiency (LEP)

Title VI directs each Federal agency to develop and implement a system by which limited English proficiency persons can meaningfully access the services it funds. Federal agencies published guidance for their respective grant recipients in order to assist them with their obligations to LEP persons under Title VI. As a result, the FTA published guidance and implemented regulations requiring that grant recipients take responsible steps to ensure meaningful access to the benefits, services, information, and other important portions of their programs and activities for LEP individuals. MATBUS is required to ensure meaningful access to benefits, services and information for LEP persons.

MATBUS's Public Participation Program Elements

Citizens Input

MATBUS will solicit public input on an ongoing basis thru the MATBUS website, social media, attending events and other tools. This approach will provide opportunities for proactive, early, and continuing public participation for MATBUS projects, programs and decision-making. This strategy will allow staff wide latitude to adapt to take advantage of changing communications technologies and to interact with individuals, group, businesses and strategic partners to ensure citizen access and participation. Mailings and other outreach efforts may be made to solicit input from a variety of organizations serving minorities, low- and moderate-income persons, as well as persons with disabilities and limited English proficient populations. More structured meetings may be held on specific proposals and projects when desirable to expand support and encourage broad based public participation in the development and review of programs and projects. MATBUS will promote opportunities for the inclusion of minority, low- and moderate-income and limited English populations in this forum. Public input may be drawn from, but not necessarily limited to, entities such as:

- 1. Transit users
- 2. The general public
- 3. Non-profit organizations that provide services to the homeless, LEP populations
- 4. School Districts
- 5. Neighborhood Organizations
- 6. Municipal Partners

Monitoring and Evaluation

MATBUS will continuously monitor, evaluate and improve its public participation process. Regular review will be accomplished by tracking website usage and activity including the number of individuals on MATBUS's contact list that receive Rider Alerts, meeting notices and other related materials. Furthermore, the public will be encouraged to provide comments and suggestions through various channels and open dialogue will be maintained with advisory groups throughout the community on transportation and planning issues. A record of public comments and those of institutional representatives will be kept, as well as responses to such comments, where pertinent.

The desired outcomes include greater awareness and public participation of MATBUS programs and services.

The Solicitation of Public Comment

It is MATBUS's policy is to disseminate information and to solicit and respond to public comment regarding transportation projects in a manner which is reasonable and practical. Specific elements of this policy are as follows:

Public Comment Process on Fare/Service Changes

The Cities of Fargo and Moorhead must solicit and consider public comment before implementation of all changes in fares or significant changes in service.

Fargo

Public hearings are held for all fare changes. Public hearing dates are set and hearing notices appear in the Fargo newspaper approximately two weeks prior to the actual hearing. Hearings are held during the City Commission's regularly scheduled meetings in the Fargo City Hall. Hearings are open to the public; anyone wishing to speak at the hearing is afforded the opportunity; and, if someone is unable to attend the hearing written comments are accepted prior to the hearing.

Moorhead

Public hearings are held for all fare changes. Public hearing dates are set at City Council meetings and hearing notices appear in the Moorhead newspaper approximately two weeks prior to the actual hearing. Hearings are held at 7:15pm during the City Council's regularly scheduled meetings in the Moorhead City Hall. Hearings are open to the public; anyone wishing to speak at the hearing is

afforded the opportunity; and, if someone is unable to attend the hearing, written comments are accepted prior to the hearing and read at the hearing itself.

<u>Definition of Temporary Service Changes</u>

Temporary service changes are those that are in effect for a limited period due to road construction, special events, etc., and are not intended to be permanent.

Temporary service changes shall be made administratively by the Transit Director. If a temporary service change is to become permanent, it should be evaluated by the Transit Director to determine if it is a minor or significant based on the following criteria:

<u>Definition of Significant Service Changes</u>

Route or service changes are considered significant if any of the following apply:

- 7. Elimination of a route.
- 8. Addition of a route.
- 9. Any change in routes in excess of 25% of the existing route mileage.
- 10. Route changes that would reduce or eliminate service to schools, elderly/public housing, hospitals or social service agencies.

All significant route changes would be subject to the public hearing process and implemented after approval by the City Commission.

<u>Definition of Minor Service Changes</u>

Route or service changes are considered minor if they are less than 25% of existing route mileage. Minor service changes shall be made upon approval of the City Commission.

Complaints

Any and all Title VI complaints may be directed to MATBUS's Title VI Coordinator:

MATBUS

Attn: Title VI Coordinator

650 23rd St. N.

Fargo, ND 58102 scrowell@matbus.com

Phone: 701-476-5967 Fax: 701-241-8558

Requests for Public Input

December 2019 - March 2021

12/9/19 – Moorhead Public Hearing: 2020-2021 Federal Transit Operating Projects.

Matbus.com

1/20/20 – West Fargo and Fargo, short and long term planning open house.

Constant contact, matbus.com.

1/10/20 – Moorhead public hearing: Federal transit capital projects.

Matbus.com

1/27/20 – City of Moorhead, Minnesota. Proposed program of Projects and Budget for Transit Capital Assistance from the FTA.

Constant contact, matbus.com.

2/10/20 – U-Pass student rider survey.

Facebook, Twitter.

8/12/20 – Public input meeting for 32nd Ave S reconstruction in Fargo.

Constant contact, Facebook, Web post.

9/11/20 – MATBUS transit development plan survey.

Constant contact.

9/18/20 – MATBUS 5 year survey.

Facebook, Twitter.

11/11/20 – NDSU Center on Mobility survey.

Facebook, Twitter.

11/19/20 – Virtual open house, transit development plan.

Constant Contact, matbus.com.

11/28/20 – NDSU Small Urban and Rural Center on Mobility Survey.

Facebook, Twitter.

1/19/21 – Onward Moorhead virtual community workshop.

Facebook, Twitter.

2/8/20 – City of Moorhead, Minnesota. Proposed program of Projects and Budget for Transit Capital Assistance from the FTA.

Constant contact, matbus.com.

3/8/21 & 3/22/21 – Public hearings for proposed route changes, route 6 & route 9.

Constant contact, matbus.com.

6/29/21 – Virtual open house for transit development plan July 7th, 2021.

Facebook, Twitter, matbus.com

11/6/21 – Public hearing for new fare structure.

Facebook, Twitter, matbus.com.

11/29/21 – Final hearing for new fare structure, Fargo City Commission meeting.

Facebook, Twitter, matbus.com.

12/2/21 – Public hearing for review of FTA grant budget. Moorhead city council meeting.

Facebook, Twitter, matbus.com.

12/13/21 – City of Moorhead, Minnesota. Proposed program of Projects and Budget for Transit Capital Assistance from the FTA.

Constant contact, matbus.com.



Public Input Meeting for 32nd Avenue South Reconstruction in Fargo

The purpose of this public input meeting is to discuss proposed improvements to 32nd Avenue South in Fargo during the planning phase. The project will consist of reconstructing 32nd Avenue South between 32nd Street South and University Drive including new concrete pavement, new pedestrian facilities, utility and drainage improvements, and intersection improvements at 25th Street South. Approximately 40,000 vehicles use the 32nd Avenue and 25th Street South intersection per day. MATBUS serves a portion of this section of the corridor with Route 14.

At the first public input meeting of the project, representatives from the City of Fargo and Apex Engineering Group will be available to take comments, answer questions and discuss ideas. The meeting will exercise all appropriate CDC and Department of Health social distancing guidelines. The City of Fargo encourages face mask use while attending this meeting.

COME SHARE YOUR IDEAS!

Event Details: Open house format with representatives available to answer

questions

Time: 4-6 p.m., Wednesday, August 12

Location: Rheault Farm, Picnic Shelter(2902 25th St S, Fargo, ND 58103)

Virtual Option: A video option to learn about the project will be posted online at 4 p.m. on the day of the meeting on <u>FargoStreets</u> website and <u>Facebook</u> page for community members that are more comfortable learning about the project without attending the in-person open house.

For more information, visit FargoStreets Facebook Event Page or website.











Are you a student at one of our U-Pass schools? Take our survey to tell us about your experiences with MATBUS! You could win a coffee giftcard for participating! (2) @NDSU @MSUMoorhead @Concordia_MN @MStateCollege @ndscswildcats ow.ly/VnrQ50ygqZr










We will be having public hearings for a new proposed fare structure at the end of the month, and welcome your input! Check out our resource video online, or visit the Nov. 18 informational meeting. We're excited to share about these coming improvements! ow.ly/PqTj50GHsFG













NDSU COVID-19 Impact Survey

North Dakota State University's Small Urban and Rural Center on Mobility is looking for participants for their

COVID-19 Impact Survey

This survey will be used to determine the post-pandemic consumer behavior with regard to use of public transit and to identify strategies that could be employed by transit agencies to increase post-pandemic ridership.

It should take less than 5 minutes to complete the questions about your travel patterns using public transportation. After completing the survey, you may include an e-mail or phone number to be eligible for a drawing to win one \$50 gift card. You are not required to answer any question that makes you feel uncomfortable. All answers are confidential.









Tonight is the final public hearing for our new proposed fare structure. This will take place at the Fargo City Commission meeting at 5:15pm, and public comment is welcome. For the full details about these proposed changes, visit our website. ow.ly/pSzl50GQssp













We will be holding a public hearing for the review of an FTA grant budget. This will be on Monday, December 13, at 5:45 p.m. at the Moorhead City Council meeting in the Hjemkomst Center. We would love to hear your input! Visit our website for details. ow.ly/MQqY50GYKq3











MATBUS is embarking on a process to create a 5-year Transit Development Plan, and your input is needed!

Help us shape the future of transit in the Fargo-Moorhead area! This 10-minute survey is one part of the MATBUS 2021-2025 Transit Development Plan (TDP), which will provide a vision for transit in the region over the next five years. The survey will help the planning team understand existing travel behavior, help create service recommendations and prioritization, and provide MATBUS with customer service feedback. Please provide your input through a community survey, which will take 10 minutes to complete: surveymonkey.com/r/SurveyMATBUS

Share this survey with others in the Fargo-Moorhead region! Please help us spread the word by sharing this survey with your networks. Responses will remain confidential and will not be shared or used for any other purposes.

You may also leave specific comments on the map of this <u>interactive</u> <u>website</u>. Add your ideas to the map by clicking on "Add Comment" or "Add Bus Route." You can zoom in or out on the map to place points or draw routes in specific locations in the community.

The project is a collaboration of the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments (Metro COG), the Cities of Fargo and Moorhead, their partners, and the public.

Visit fmmetrocog.org/2021TDP for more information.

Thank you for your participation!









MATBUS Virtual Open House November 19, 2020

MATBUS is embarking on a process to create a 5-year Transit Development Plan, and your input is needed!

A virtual open house will take place through Zoom on Thursday, November 19, 2020 at 5pm. We want to hear from the community - transit users and non-users alike - about how bus service could be improved, and what it should look like in the future. Please click the link below to join the open house meeting.

Join the open house on Thursday, November 19, 2020 at 5pm here

https://srfconsulting.zoom.us/j/95531183554? pwd=bGl3Ym44ckpvV0JDbmZoNTV2cWJMQT09

Passcode: MATBUS

The MATBUS 2021-2025 Transit Development Plan (TDP) will provide a vision for transit in the region over the next five years. We want to hear about your experiences with MATBUS and gather feedback on how we should prioritize service improvements.

Share this open house invite with others in the Fargo-Moorhead region! Please help us spread the word by sharing this open house invite with your family, friends, colleagues and networks.

You may also leave specific comments on the map of this <u>interactive website</u>. Add your ideas to the map by clicking on "Add Comment" or "Add Bus Route." You can zoom in or out on the map to place points or draw routes in specific locations in the community

The project is a collaboration of the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments (Metro COG), the Cities of Fargo and Moorhead, their partners, and the public. Visit fmmetrocog.org/2021TDP for more information.









Join us in helping the @cityofmoorhead create its goals for the future! You can leave your ideas on an interactive online map, and you're invited to attend a live virtual community workshop next week. Visit the website for more information. cityofmoorhead.com/onwardmoorhead



Onward Moorhead!

Get involved with the city's 10-year comprehensive planning process.

Lend your vocal to the future of housing, mobility, parks and open space, jobs and businesses, and frow the city will grow to benefit everyone.

Participate in an online interactive Story Map on your own time or a live virtual community workshop. Check out the webpage: <u>obsolince(seed, compressor)</u> and on Monday, January 25 for information and meeting links.



DOM:

Disconnection





Live virtual community workshop:

Wednesday, January 27 5:00-6:30pm

Zoom link on website (starting 105)

















NDSU @ugpti Center on Mobility is conducting a 5minute survey on changes to rider behavior during the pandemic. i This will help us identify rider needs moving forward. AND you could win a gift card for participating! ow.ly/eGMm50CfUAf













Our partner, the NDSU Small Urban and Rural Center on Mobility @ugpti, has created a survey to study how rider behavior has changed during the pandemic. We would appreciate your insights in this 5-minute survey. Participants could also win a gift card!

ow.ly/kHqE5(Reply













MATBUS Virtual Open House November 19

11/09/2020

MATBUS is embarking on a process to create a 5-year Transit Development Plan, and your input is needed!

A virtual open house will take place through Zoom on Thursday, November 19, 2020 at 5pm. We want to hear from the community – transit users and non-users alike – about how bus service could be improved, and what it should look like in the future. Please click the link below to join the open house meeting.

Join the open house on Thursday, November 19, 2020 at 5pm here: Zoom Open House Link

Passcode: MATBUS

The MATBUS 2021-2025 Transit Development Plan (TDP) will provide a vision for transit in the region over the next five years. We want to hear about your experiences with MATBUS and gather feedback on how we should prioritize service improvements.

Share this open house invite with others in the Fargo-Moorhead region! Please help us spread the word by sharing this open house invite with your family, friends, colleagues and networks.

You may also leave specific comments on the map of this interactive website. Add your ideas to the map by clicking on "Add Comment" or "Add Bus Route." You can zoom in or out on the map to place points or draw routes in specific locations in the community

The project is a collaboration of the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments (Metro COG), the Cities of Fargo and Moorhead, their partners, and the public. Visit the Metro COG website for more information.



We'll be having a virtual open house on Wednesday, July 7 at 5pm for the MATBUS Transit Development Plan. We want to hear from the community – from both transit users AND non-users – on proposed service and policy changes. Register for the open house: ow.ly/KNYr50FljZj











Help us shape the future of transit in the FM area by joining our virtual open house! This open house (Thursday, Nov. 19 at 5pm) is one part of the upcoming 2021-2025 Transit Development Plan (TDP), which will provide a vision for transit in the region.

ow.lv/jfhY50CfLnb







Moorhead Public Hearing: 2020 Federal Transit Capital Projects

01/10/2020

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

City of Moorhead, Minnesota Proposed Program of Projects and Budget for 2020 Transit Capital Assistance from the Federal Transit Administration

Notice is hereby given that a public hearing will be held by the City of Moorhead on Monday, January 27, 2020 at 5:45 p.m. in the First Floor Council Chambers, 500 Center Avenue, Moorhead, MN for the purpose of considering projects for which Federal Section 5339 transit capital assistance funds are being sought. A Proposed Program of Projects and Budget is detailed below.

For a full list of projects and related budgets click HERE

At the hearing, the City of Moorhead will afford an opportunity for affected citizens, private transportation providers and local elected officials to be heard with respect to the projects proposed. Written comments on the Proposed Program of Projects may be submitted in advance of the public hearing to: Lori Van Beek, Moorhead Transit Manager, Metro Transit Garage, 650 23rd St. N., Fargo, ND 58102. Upon request, accommodations for individuals with disabilities, language barriers, or other needs to allow participation in meetings will be provided. To arrange assistance, call the City Clerk's Office at 218-299-5166 (voice) or 711 (TDD/TTY).

A copy of the application for a Federal grant for the proposed projects and the current Transportation Improvement Program for the area are currently available for public inspection at the City Clerk's Office, 500 Center Avenue, Moorhead, Minnesota. Unless advertised again, the proposed projects contained in this advertisement are expected to become the final projects. A Final Program of Projects will be available to the public in the

City Clerk's Office on January 31, 2020.



NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

City of Moorhead, Minnesota
Proposed Program of Projects and Budget
for Transit Capital Assistance from the
Federal Transit Administration

Notice is hereby given that a public hearing will be held by the City of Moorhead on Monday, January 27, 2020 at 5:45 p.m. in the First Floor Council Chambers, 500 Center Avenue, Moorhead, MN for the purpose of considering projects for which Federal Section 5339 transit capital assistance funds are being sought. A Proposed Program of Projects and Budget is detailed below.

For a full list of projects and related budgets clickHERE.

At the hearing, the City of Moorhead will afford an opportunity for affected citizens, private transportation providers and local elected officials to be heard with respect to the projects proposed. Written comments on the Proposed Program of Projects may be submitted in advance of the public hearing to: Lori Van Beek, Moorhead Transit Manager, Metro Transit Garage, 650 23 rd St. N., Fargo, ND 58102. Upon request, accommodations for individuals with disabilities, language barriers, or other needs to allow participation in meetings will be provided. To arrange assistance, call the City Clerk's Office at 218-299-5166 (voice) or 711 (TDD/TTY).

A copy of the application for a Federal grant for the proposed projects and the current Transportation Improvement Program for the area are currently available for public inspection at the City Clerk's Office, 500 Center Avenue, Moorhead, Minnesota. Unless advertised again, the proposed projects contained in this advertisement are expected to become the final projects. A Final Program of Projects will be available to the public in the City Clerk's Office on January 31, 2020.









NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

City of Moorhead, Minnesota
Proposed Program of Projects and Budget
for Transit Capital Assistance from the
Federal Transit Administration

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of the City of Moorhead will hold a public hearing at 5:45 pm on Monday, February 8, 2021 to consider projects for which Federal Section 5307 and Surface Transportation Block Group Program (STBGP) transit capital assistance funds are being sought. A Proposed Program of Project and Budget is detailed below.

The Moorhead City Council is currently operating under an emergency ordinance due to COVID-19. Until further notice, Moorhead City Hall is closed to the public and City Council meetings are being held virtually to allow the public to hear and see the City Council meetings.

Public participation is and continues to remain important to the City during this time of emergency conditions. The City's <u>Public Participation Guidance</u> <u>page</u> gives information on how to participate before and during the public hearing portion of the City Council meeting while City Hall is closed to the public due to COVID-19.

If you wish to participate in the public hearing, please contact us in advance at assessments@cityofmoorhead.com or 218-299-5166.

To see full list of projects and budget, click <u>HERE</u>.







Moorhead Public Hearing: 2020-2021 Federal Transit Operating Projects

11/21/2019

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING


City of Moorhead, Minnesota Proposed Program of Projects and Budget for Transit Operating Assistance from the Federal Transit Administration

Notice is hereby given that a public hearing will be held by the City of Moorhead on Monday, December 9, 2019 at 5:45 p.m. in the First Floor Council Chambers, 500 Center Avenue, Moorhead, MN for the purpose of considering projects for which Federal Section 5307 transit operating assistance funds are being sought. A Proposed Program of Projects and Budget is detailed below.

At the hearing, the City of Moorhead will afford an opportunity for affected citizens, private transportation providers and local elected officials to be heard with respect to the projects proposed. Written comments on the Proposed Program of Projects may be submitted in advance of the public hearing to: Lori Van Beek, Moorhead Transit Manager, Metro Transit Garage, 650 23rd St. N., Fargo, ND 58102. Upon request, accommodations for individuals with disabilities, language barriers, or other needs to allow participation in meetings will be provided. To arrange assistance, call the City Clerk's Office at 218-299-5166 (voice) or 711 (TDD/TTY).

A copy of the application for a Federal grant for the proposed projects and the current Transportation Improvement Program for the area are currently available for public inspection at the City Clerk's Office, 500 Center Avenue, Moorhead, Minnesota. Unless advertised again, the proposed projects contained in this advertisement are expected to become the final projects. A final Program of Projects will be available to the public in the City Clerk's Office on December 13, 2019.

For a full list of projects and related budgets click HERE.





NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

City of Moorhead, Minnesota

Proposed Program of Projects and Budget
for Transit Operating Assistance from the
Federal Transit Administration

Notice is hereby given that a public hearing will be held by the City of Moorhead on Monday, December 13, 2021 at 5:45 pm in the Auditorium at the Hjemkomst Center, 202 1 Ave North, Moorhead, MN, for the purpose of considering projects for which Federal Section 5307 transit operating assistance funds are being sought. A Proposed Program of Projects and Budget is detailed below.

At the hearing, the City of Moorhead will afford an opportunity for affected citizens, private transportation providers and local elected officials to be heard with respect to the projects proposed. Written comments on the Proposed Program of Projects may be submitted in advance of the public hearing to: Lori Van Beek, Moorhead Transit Manager, Metro Transit Garage, 650 23 St. N., Fargo, ND 58102, LVanbeek@matbus.com, or by calling 701.476.6686. Upon request, accommodations for individuals with disabilities, language barriers, or other needs to allow participation in meetings will be provided. To arrange assistance, call the City Clerk's Office at 218.299.5304 (voice) or 711 (TDD/TTY) no later than noon the day of the meeting.

A copy of the application for a Federal grant for the proposed projects and the current Transportation Improvement Program for the area are currently available for public inspection at the City Clerk's Office, 500 Center Avenue, Moorhead, Minnesota. Unless advertised again, the proposed projects contained in this advertisement are expected to become the final projects. A Final Program of Projects will be available to the public in the City Clerk's Office on December 17, 2021.

For a full list of projects and budget, visit MATBUS.

PH Notice: Projects & Budget 2022 Sent November 24th 2021 at 8:00 am CST Subject MATBUS Rider Alert: Public Hearing Notic... From name MATBUS transit@matbus.com From Reply transit@matbus.com 0. Contractor Employees (First Transit), 0. Lists MATRUS Show more Email link https://conta.cc/3DHybEn Send a test email Enter up to 5 email addresses transit@matbus.com X Personal Note 0/400 This note will appear at the top of the email. Send Now Print



Public Hearings for Proposed Route Changes Route 6 and Route 9

Changes Effective May 1, 2021

Route 6

The proposed changes would extend Route 6 farther east in Dilworth to reach recent commercial and residential development along 14th Street NE. Route 6 would also be reversed, which will allow for more efficient access to CVS Pharmacy after the recent traffic flow changes made to the intersection of Highway 10 frontage road and 34th Street.

Route 9

The proposed changes would remove a portion of the loop of Route 9 that currently travels past Menards, west of Main Avenue SE. A small portion of the southern end of Route 9 will also be reversed in order to serve Vista Center for Education on the west side of 34th Street S.

Both Route 6 and Route 9 will also no longer travel through the Cashwise parking lot, due to a notice received from the property owner, and will serve the grocery store at a new bus stop on 34th Street.

Public Hearings



Dilworth City Hall Monday, March 8 6:00 p.m



Moorhead City Hall Monday, March 22 5:45 p.m.

For full details of the proposed changes, including information on how to provide

Routes 6 and 9 Public Hearings

Sent March 8th 2021 at 4:35 pm CST

Subject Public Hearings Start Tonight! Proposed ...

From name MATBUS

From transit@matbus.com
Reply transit@matbus.com

Lists 0. Contractor Employees (First Transit), 0.

MATRUS Show more

Email link https://conta.cc/38iDP20

Send a test email

Enter up to 5 email addresses

transit@matbus.com x			

Personal Note

0/400

This note will appear at the top of the email.

Send Now

Print



MATBUS Virtual Open House Tonight at 5:00pm! November 19, 2020

MATBUS is embarking on a process to create a 5-year Transit Development Plan, and your input is needed!

A virtual open house will take place through Zoom on Thursday, November 19, 2020 at 5pm. We want to hear from the community - transit users and non-users alike - about how bus service could be improved, and what it should look like in the future. Please click the link below to join the open house meeting.

Join the open house on Thursday, November 19, 2020 at 5pm here

https://srfconsulting.zoom.us/j/95531183554? pwd=bGl3Ym44ckpvV0JDbmZoNTV2cWJMQT09

Passcode: MATBUS

The MATBUS 2021-2025 Transit Development Plan (TDP) will provide a vision for transit in the region over the next five years. We want to hear about your experiences with MATBUS and gather feedback on how we should prioritize service improvements.

Share this open house invite with others in the Fargo-Moorhead region! Please help us spread the word by sharing this open house invite with your family, friends, colleagues and networks.

You may also leave specific comments on the map of this <u>interactive website</u>. Add your ideas to the map by clicking on "Add Comment" or "Add Bus Route." You can zoom in or out on the map to place points or draw routes in specific locations in the community

The project is a collaboration of the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments (Metro COG), the Cities of Fargo and Moorhead, their partners, and the public. Visit fmmetrocog.org/2021TDP for more information.










Help us shape the future of transit in the Fargo-Moorhead area by taking our survey! This 10minute survey is one part of creating our next 5year plan. surveymonkey.com/r/SurveyMATBUS The website also has a link to an interactive map for your specific notes. Thanks for participating!













MATBUS Virtual Open House - July 7

MATBUS is in the process to create a 5-year Transit Development Plan, and your input is needed!

A virtual open house will take place through Zoom on Wednesday, July 7, 2021 at 5pm. The MATBUS 2021-2025 Transit Development Plan (TDP) provides a vision for transit in the region over the next five years. We want to share proposed service and policy changes with the community and hear your thoughts! Please click the link below to register for the open house meeting.

Register for the open house on Wednesday, July 7, 2021 at 5pm here:

https://srfconsulting.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_nl0sf pFS02ExhJ7RYBqOA

Share this open house invite with others in the Fargo-Moorhead region! Please help us spread the word by sharing this open house invite with your family, friends, clients, colleagues and networks.

The project is a collaboration of the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments (Metro COG), the Cities of Fargo and Moorhead, their partners, and the public. Visit fmmetrocog.org/2021TDP for more information.

TDP Virtual House - 07/07/21

Sent June 30th 2021 at 9:30 am CDT

Subject Rider Alert: MATBUS Virtual Open House ...

From name MATBUS

From transit@matbus.com

Reply transit@matbus.com

Lists 0. Contractor Employees (First Transit), 0.

MATBUS...Show more

Email link https://conta.cc/3xviUll

Send a test email

Enter up to 5 email addresses

transit@matbus.com X		

0/400

Personal Note

This note will appear at the top of the email.

Send Now

Print



The City of West Fargo and the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments (Metro COG) have partnered to identify and prioritize short and long-term planning based on public input for the Ninth Street E. corridor from 13th Avenue E. to 12th Avenue NE in West Fargo.

Design concepts and improvement options for motorist and pedestrian travel through the corridor are ready for public review. Input from this open house meeting and the survey will be used to help guide the final recommendations submitted to City of West Fargo staff for future implementation. This is the public's final opportunity to share their thoughts about how these options could aide future use of this corridor.

MATBUS serves a portion of this section of the corridor with Route 20 for the neighborhoods of Main Avenue, East Main, Brookwood, Berger, Sommerset, Meyer, and North Industrial Park adjacent to or near the corridor.

GIFT CARD DRAWING! By attending and completing the corridor survey at the open house Monday evening, you can be entered into winning one of four \$25 gift cards from Family Fare Supermarket, Casey's General Store or Red-Carpet Car Wash. Winners will be selected in February after the survey has closed for comment.

COME SHARE YOUR IDEAS!

Event Details: Open house format with representatives available to answer questions

Time: 4-6 p.m., Monday, Jan. 20

Location: Grand Foyer, West Fargo City Hall (800 Fourth Ave. E., West Fargo, ND)

Parking: Please park and enter on the north side of the building.

For more information, visit Metro COG Facebook Event Page or FMmetrocog.org.

SHARE YOUR VOICE Ninth Street E. West Fargo Corridor Transportation Study



























Help shape the Ninth Street E. corridor! The City of West Fargo and the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments (Metro COG) have partnered to identify and prioritize short-term and long-term construction project plans based on public input for the Ninth Street E. corridor from 13th Avenue E. to 12th Avenue NE in West Fargo.

If you bike, walk, drive, use MATBUS Route 20, or are a freight carrier that uses the truck route, your input is very important in helping guide the final recommendations submitted to City staff for future implementation. Within the survey you will review roadway and pedestrian design options to improve points of access, intersections, pedestrian paths and crossings as well as accommodations for freight and transit. This is your final opportunity to share your thoughts about how these options could help shape a safer and more efficient corridor for you in the future.

By completing the survey, you will be entered to win one of four \$25 gift cards from Family Fare Supermarket, Casey's General Store or Red-Carpet Car Wash. Winners will be selected in February after the survey has closed for comment.

Click the link to begin: bit.ly/WestFargoNinthStreet

SHARE YOUR VOICE Ninth Street E. West Fargo Corridor Transportation Study



















MATBUS | matbus.com | E-Mail







NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING - CITIES OF FARGO AND MOORHEAD PROPOSED CHANGES IN FARE STRUCTURE - MAT PARATRANSIT

The Cities of Fargo and Moorhead are offering new payment options, **including mobile ticketing and Smartcards with Pay As You.** The new options require restructuring of fares charged on public transit, including MATBUS Fixed Routes and MAT Paratransit. If approved, the changes would become effective when the software update is complete, estimated to be sometime in January 2022. A two-week advance notice through Rider Alert emails, social media and posters will be provided prior to implementation. **More detailed information and a video are available on the www.matbus.com** website and information is on display at the Ground Transportation Center.

<u>Informational Meeting:</u> An informational meeting open to the general public will be held on **Thursday, November 18, 2021, 4:00 p.m. to 5:30 p.m.** at the Ground Transportation Center, 502 N.P. Avenue, Fargo, ND, to review and discuss the proposed changes. Written and oral comments will be received at that meeting and considered by staff prior to the final recommendation to the Fargo City Commission and Moorhead City Council.

Public Hearing Dates: Notice is hereby given that public hearings will be held for the purpose of considering changes in the passenger fare structure for all public transit services as follows:

Fargo City Commission Moorhead City Council

Monday, November 29, 2021 Monday, November 22, 2021

5:15 p.m. 5:45 p.m.

Fargo City Hall Hjemkomst Center 225 4th Street North 202 1st Avenue North Fargo, ND 58102 Moorhead, MN 56560

At the hearings, interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to be heard with respect to the proposed changes in fare structure. In addition, written comments may be submitted in advance of the public hearing to:

Julie Bommelman Lori Van Beek

Fargo Transit Director
Moorhead Transit Manager
650 23rd Street North
650 23rd Street North
Fargo, ND 58102
Fargo, ND 58102
(701) 476-6737
(701) 476-6686

For TDD Relay Service call 7-1-1 For TDD Relay Service Call 7-1-1

JBommelman@matbus.com LVanbeek@matbus.com

Upon request, accommodations for individuals with disabilities, language barriers, or other needs to allow participation in meetings will be provided. To arrange assistance, call the Fargo City Commission at 701-241-1310 (voice) or 701-241-8258 (TDD) or Moorhead City Clerk's Office at 218-299-5304 (voice) or 7-1-1 (TDD/TTY) no later than noon the day of the meeting.

Post: 11/9/2021 Remove: 12/15/2021

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING CITIES OF FARGO AND MOORHEAD PROPOSED CHANGES IN PASSENGER FARE STRUCTURE MATBUS FIXED ROUTES & MAT PARATRANSIT

The Cities of Fargo and Moorhead are offering new payment options, including mobile ticketing and Smartcards with Pay As You Go and Fare Capping. The new options require restructuring of fares charged on public transit, including MATBUS Fixed Routes and MAT Paratransit. If approved, the changes would become effective when the software update is complete, estimated to be sometime in January 2022. A two-week advance notice through Rider Alert emails, social media and posters will be provided prior to implementation. The changes are generally described below. More detailed information and video is available on the www.matbus.com website.

MATBUS - Fixed Routes

<u>Base fare</u>: The base fare is to remain at the current rate of \$1.50 for adults and \$0.75 for elderly (age 60 and older), youth (K-12th grade) and persons with disabilities (including Medicare card holders). For cash payment, a paper transfer ticket will be issued by the driver on request at the time of payment. Transfers will be valid for 90 minutes rather than the current 60 minutes.

<u>Period Passes</u>: Passes will no longer be sold for 14-day, 30-day and 90-day periods. The 1-day pass will continue to be sold on-board the bus for \$5 cash.

<u>Ride Passes</u>: 10-ride passes will no longer be sold. 1-ride paper tickets in packets of 20 will continue to be sold but only to approved agencies and may be discontinued in the future.

Introducing "Connect": MATBUS will introduce "Connect", a new account-based system. Passengers set up an account and load funds in advance onto either their Smartcard or mobile phone app. With the Connect Program, the passenger uses "Pay as You Go," where the cost for one ride is deducted each time you ride. Pay as You Go removes the barrier of high upfront costs for period passes. With Connect, passengers who ride frequently will earn free rides when their spending reaches a certain threshold, this is called "fare capping." Passengers will never pay more than \$3 a day. After spending \$3 in one day, all future rides for the day are free. Adult passengers will never pay more than \$42 in 31 days with fare capping. Discount passenger (elderly and persons with disabilities) will be capped at \$27 in 31 days. Youth rides will be capped at \$27 in a 90-day period. Paper transfer tickets will not be needed with Connect. The ride payment is valid for 90-minutes to make transfers between routes easier. A minimum amount of \$5 is required to be loaded on your account. The initial Smartcard is free, but the replacement card fee will be \$5.

<u>Downtown and Sanford Passes</u>: These two passes are currently part of a promotion to T:\MATBUS Admin\Route & Fare Changes\2021\Fares\Fares-Public Hrg Notice

encourage transit use in the downtown area. The pass would be renamed "Business Pass" and be available for purchase by employers for their employees at the rate of \$27 for 31-days. This is a change from the current fare of \$22.50 for 30-days.

<u>Semester Pass</u>: This pass is currently offered as a promotional fare to faculty and staff at U-Pass participating colleges, and to students of colleges not participating in the U-Pass program. Currently, the pass is valid for specific dates based on semester class schedules. The fare price would change from \$45 to \$60 and be valid for a period of 120 consecutive calendar days from initial use.

<u>Free Rides</u>: There will be no change to free rides currently offered to children (age birth to preschool) with an adult, a Personal Care Attendant to a person with disabilities, and veterans with disabilities.

MAT Paratransit

<u>Base fare</u>: The base fare is to remain at the current rate of \$3 for persons with disabilities certified as ADA Paratransit Eligible. Exact change is no longer required; a paper "change card" will be issued for use on future rides. Coupon books will continue to be sold in advance for those passengers without access to computers or credit cards, but may be discontinued in the future for other fare options.

Introducing Paratransit Connect: The new Paratransit Connect system will be available for loading funds onto a Smartcard or the mobile phone app. A minimum amount of \$5 is required to be loaded on your account. Under "Pay as you Go", \$3 will be deducted from your account each time you ride. Pay as You Go removes the barrier of high upfront costs. Fare capping does not apply to MAT Paratransit. The initial Smartcard is free, but the replacement card fee will be \$5.

<u>Sunday Fare</u>: For passengers certified as ADA Paratransit eligible, the Sunday fare will remain at \$3. A new rate is being established for the general public who wish to ride on MAT Paratransit on Sundays at the rate of \$25 per ride.

<u>Informational Meeting:</u> An informational meeting open to the general public will be held on Thursday, November 18, 2021, 4:00 p.m. to 5:30 p.m. at the Ground Transportation Center, 502 N.P. Avenue, Fargo, ND, to review and discuss the proposed changes. Written and oral comments will be received at that meeting and considered by staff prior to the final recommendation to the Fargo City Commission and Moorhead City Council.

<u>Public Hearing Dates:</u> Notice is hereby given that public hearings will be held for the purpose of considering changes in the passenger fare structure for all public transit services as follows:

Fargo City Commission

Moorhead City Council

Monday, November 29, 2021 5:15 p.m. Fargo City Hall 225 4th Street North Fargo, ND 58102 Monday, November 22, 2021 5:45 p.m.
Hjemkomst Center 202 1st Avenue North Moorhead. MN 56560

At the hearings, interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to be heard with respect to the proposed increase in fares. In addition, written comments may be submitted in advance of the public hearing to:

Julie Bommelman
Fargo Transit Director
650 23rd Street North
Fargo, ND 58102
(701) 476-6737
For TDD Polary Service of

For TDD Relay Service call 7-1-1
JBommelman@matbus.com

Lori Van Beek Moorhead Transit Manager 650 23rd Street North Fargo, ND 58102 (701) 476-6686

For TDD Relay Service Call 7-1-1 LVanbeek@matbus.com

Upon request, accommodations for individuals with disabilities, language barriers, or other needs to allow participation in meetings will be provided. To arrange assistance, call the Fargo City Commission at 701-241-1310 (voice) or 701-241-8258 (TDD) or Moorhead City Clerk's Office at 218-299-5304 (voice) or 7-1-1 (TDD/TTY) no later than noon the day of the meeting.

Publish in *The Forum*North Dakota Legal Ads (Send 4 Affidavits)
Wednesday, November 10 and 17, 2021

Publish in *The Extra*Minnesota Legal Ads (Send 4 Affidavits)
Thursday, November 4, 2021

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING - CITIES OF FARGO AND MOORHEAD PROPOSED CHANGES IN FARE STRUCTURE - MATBUS FIXED ROUTE

The Cities of Fargo and Moorhead are offering new payment options, **including mobile ticketing and Smartcards with Pay As You Go and Fare Capping.** The new options require restructuring of fares charged on public transit, including MATBUS Fixed Routes and MAT Paratransit. If approved, the changes would become effective when the software update is complete, estimated to be sometime in January 2022. A two-week advance notice through Rider Alert emails, social media and posters will be provided prior to implementation. **More detailed information and a video are available on the <u>www.matbus.com</u> website and information is on display at the Ground Transportation Center.**

Informational Meeting: An informational meeting open to the general public will be held on **Thursday, November 18, 2021, 4:00 p.m. to 5:30 p.m.** at the Ground Transportation Center, 502 N.P. Avenue, Fargo, ND, to review and discuss the proposed changes. Written and oral comments will be received at that meeting and considered by staff prior to the final recommendation to the Fargo City Commission and Moorhead City Council.

Public Hearing Dates: Notice is hereby given that public hearings will be held for the purpose of considering changes in the passenger fare structure for all public transit services as follows:

Fargo City Commission Moorhead City Council

Monday, November 29, 2021 Monday, November 22, 2021

5:15 p.m. 5:45 p.m.

Fargo City Hall Hjemkomst Center 225 4th Street North 202 1st Avenue North Fargo, ND 58102 Moorhead, MN 56560

At the hearings, interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to be heard with respect to the proposed changes in fare structure. In addition, written comments may be submitted in advance of the public hearing to:

Julie Bommelman Lori Van Beek

Fargo Transit Director
Moorhead Transit Manager
650 23rd Street North
650 23rd Street North
Fargo, ND 58102
Fargo, ND 58102
(701) 476-6737
(701) 476-6686

For TDD Relay Service call 7-1-1 For TDD Relay Service Call 7-1-1

JBommelman@matbus.com LVanbeek@matbus.com

Upon request, accommodations for individuals with disabilities, language barriers, or other needs to allow participation in meetings will be provided. To arrange assistance, call the Fargo City Commission at 701-241-1310 (voice) or 701-241-8258 (TDD) or Moorhead City Clerk's Office at 218-299-5304 (voice) or 7-1-1 (TDD/TTY) no later than noon the day of the meeting.

Post: 11/9/2021 Remove: 12/15/2021

ATTENTION

Temporary Service Suspension on MATBUS Effective November 8, 2021

Due to driver shortages, there will be temporary service suspensions on MATBUS effective Monday, November 8, 2021:

Route 2: The additional bus that runs between 2:30 pm and 6:30 pm, Monday-Friday, will be suspended. Route 2 will be a 30-minute route all day.

Route 15: One of the four Route 15 buses will be suspended on weekdays. Route 15 will be 20-minute service Monday to Friday and 30-minute service on Saturdays.

TapRide (Industrial Park): TapRide service to the Industrial Park will not be available Saturdays. Industrial Park service will continue as usual on weekdays.


These temporary service changes will be in place until further notice.

Post: 11/6/2021





Public Hearing Notice - Proposed Fare Structure 11/04/2021



0:00 / 11:25

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING CITIES OF FARGO AND MOORHEAD PROPOSED CHANGES IN PASSENGER FARE STRUCTURE MATBUS FIXED ROUTES & MAT PARATRANSIT

The Cities of Fargo and Moorhead are offering new payment options, including mobile ticketing and smartcards with Pay As You Go and Fare Capping. The new options require restructuring of fares charged on public transit, including MATBUS Fixed Routes and MAT Paratransit. If approved, the changes would become effective when the software update is complete, estimated to be occur in January or February 2022. A two-week advance notice through Rider Alert emails, social media and posters will be provided prior to implementation. The changes are generally described below. More detailed information is available in the video above. To view a PDF of the the current and proposed fare structure that highlights the changes, click the link below.

Current and Proposed Fare Structure Table

MATBUS - Fixed Routes

Base fare.

The base fare is to remain at the current rate of \$1.50 for adults and \$0.75 for elderly (age 60 and older), youth (K-12th grade) and persons with disabilities (including Medicare card holders). For cash payment, a paper transfer ticket will be issued by the driver on request at the time of payment. Transfers will be valid for 90 minutes rather than the current 60 minutes.

Multi-Day Passes:

Passes will no longer be sold for 14-day, 30-day and 90-day periods. The 1-day pass will continue to be sold on-board the bus for \$5 cash.

Ride Passes

10-ride passes will no longer be sold. 1-ride paper tickets in packets of 20 will continue to be sold, but only to approved agencies and may be discontinued in the future.

Introducing "Connect":

MATBUS will introduce "Connect", a new account-based system. Passengers set up an account and load funds in advance onto either their smartcard or mobile phone app. With the Connect program, the passenger uses "Pay as You Go," where the cost for one ride is deducted each time you ride. Pay as You Go removes the barrier of high upfront costs for multi-day passes. With Connect, passengers who ride frequently will earn free rides when their spending reaches a certain threshold -- this is called "fare capping." Passengers will never pay more than \$3 a day. After spending \$3 in one day, all future rides for the day are free. Adult passengers will never pay more than \$42 in 31 days with fare capping. Discount passenger (elderly and persons with disabilities) will be capped at \$27 in 31 days. Youth rides will be capped at \$27 in a 90-day period. Paper transfer tickets will not be needed with Connect. The ride payment is valid for 90-minutes to make transfers between routes easier. A minimum amount of \$5 is required to be loaded on your account. The initial smartcard is free, but the replacement card fee will be \$5.

Downtown and Sanford Passes:

These two passes are currently part of a promotion to encourage transit use in the downtown area. The pass would be renamed "Business Pass" and be available for purchase by employers for their employees at the rate of \$27 for 31-days. This is a change from the current fare of \$22.50 for 30-days.

Semester Pass:

This pass is currently offered as a promotional fare to faculty and staff at U-Pass participating colleges, and to students of colleges not participating in the U-Pass program. Currently, the pass is valid for specific dates based on semester class schedules. The fare price would change from \$45 to \$60 and be valid for a period of 120 consecutive calendar days from initial use.

Free Rides:

There will be no change to free rides currently offered to children (age birth to preschool) with an adult, a Personal Care Attendant to a person with disabilities, and veterans with disabilities.

MAT Paratransit

Base fare:

The base fare is to remain at the current rate of \$3 for persons with disabilities certified as ADA Paratransit Eligible. Exact change is no longer required; a paper "change card" will be issued for use on future rides. Coupon books will continue to be sold in advance for those passengers without access to computers or credit cards, but may be discontinued in the future for other fare options.

Introducing Paratransit Connect:

The new Paratransit Connect system will be available for loading funds onto a Smartcard or the mobile phone app. A minimum amount of \$5 is required to be loaded on your account. Under "Pay as You Go", \$3 will be deducted from your account each time you ride. Pay as You Go removes the barrier of high upfront costs. Fare capping does not apply to MAT Paratransit. The initial smartcard is free, but the replacement card fee will be \$5.

Sunday Fare:

For passengers certified as ADA Paratransit eligible, the Sunday fare will remain at \$3. A new rate is being established for the general public who wish to ride on MAT Paratransit on Sundays at the rate of \$25 per ride.

Informational Meeting

An informational meeting open to the general public will be held on Thursday, November 18, 2021, 4:00 p.m. to 5:30 p.m. at the Ground Transportation Center, 502 N.P. Avenue, Fargo, ND, to review and discuss the proposed changes. Written and oral comments will be received at that meeting and considered by staff prior to the final recommendation to the Fargo City Commission and Moorhead City Council.

Public Hearing Dates:

Notice is hereby given that public hearings will be held for the purpose of considering changes in the passenger fare structure for all public transit services as follows:

Fargo City Commission Monday, November 29, 2021 5:15 p.m. Fargo City Hall 225 4th Street North Fargo, ND 58102

Moorhead City Council Monday, November 22, 202 5:45 p.m. Hjemkomst Center 202 1st Avenue North Moorhead, MN 56560

At the hearings, interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to be heard with respect to the proposed increase in fares. In addition, written comments may be submitted in advance of the public hearing to:

Julie Bommelman
Fargo Transit Director
650 23rd Street North
Fargo, ND 58102
(701) 476-6737
For TDD Relay Service call 7-1-1
Julie Bommelman email

Lori Van Beek Moorhead Transit Manager 650 23rd Street North Fargo, ND 58102

(<u>701) 476-6686</u>
For TDD Relay Service Call 7-1-1
Lori Van Beek email

Upon request, accommodations for individuals with disabilities, language barriers, or other needs to allow participation in meetings will be provided. To arrange assistance, call the Fargo City Commission at (701) 241-1310 (voice) or (701) 241-8258 (TDD) or Moorhead City Clerk's Office at (218) 299-5304 (voice) or 7-1-1 (TDD/TTY) no later than noon the day of the meeting.

Calendar

View	Full	Ca	lend	ar >

Nov

11

Veterans Day Holiday

Nov

24

NDSU Holiday Break - Thanksgiving

Nov

25

Thanksgiving Day Holiday

Nov

26

Quarter Days

Nov

27

Quarter Days

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA **COUNTY OF CASS**

Taylor Herhold, The Forum, being duly sworn, states as follows:

- 1. I am the designated agent of The Forum, under the provisions and for the purposes of, Section 31-04-06, NDCC, for the newspaper listed on the attached exhibit.
- 2. The newspaper listed on the exhibit published the advertisement of: Legal Notice; (2) time: Nov. 10, and Nov. 17, 2021 as required by law or ordinance.
- 3. All of the listed newspapers are legal newspapers in the State of North Dakota and, under the provisions of Section 46-05-01, NDCC, are qualified to publish any public notice or any matter required by law or ordinance to be printed or published in a newspaper in North Dakota.

Dated this 17th day of November, 2021.

MOLLY P JASPERSE Notary Public State of North Dakota My Commission Expires Nov 1, 2025

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING CITIES OF FARGO AND MOORHEAD PROPOSED CHANGES IN PAS-SENGER FARE STRUCTURE MATBUS FIXED ROUTES & MAT PARATRANSIT

The Cities of Fargo and Moorhead are offering new payment options, including mobile ticketing and Smartcards with Pay As You Go and Fare Capping. The new options require restructuring of fares charged on public transit including charged on public transit, including MATBUS Fixed Routes and MAT Paratransit. If approved, the changes would become effective when the software update is complete, estimated to be sometime in January 2022. A two-week advance notice through Rider Alert emails, social media and posters will be provided prior to implementation. The changes are generally described below. More detailed information and video is available on the www.matbus.com website.

MATBUS - Fixed Routes

Race Tage: The base fare is to re-

Base fare: The base fare is to remain at the current rate of \$1.50 for adults and \$0.75 for elderly (age 60 and older), youth (K-12th grade) and persons with disabilities (including Medicare card holders). For cash payment, a paper transfer tick-et will be issued by the driver on request at the time of payment. Transfers will be valid for 90 minutes rather than the current 60 min-

Period Passes: Passes will no longer be sold for 14-day, 30-day and 90-day periods. The 1-day pass will continue to be sold onboard the bus for \$5 cash.

Ride Passes: 10-ride passes will no longer be sold. 1-ride paper tickets in packets of 20 will continue to be sold but only to approved agencies and may be discontinued in the

Introducing "Connect": MATBUS will introduce "Connect", a new account-based system. Passengers count-based system. Passengers set up an account and load funds in advance onto either their Smartcard or mobile phone app. With the Connect Program, the passenger uses "Pay as You Go," where the cost for one ride is deducted each time you ride. Pay as You Go removes the barrier of high upfront costs for periparrier of nigh upfront costs for perford passes. With Connect, passengers who ride frequently will earn free rides when their spending reaches a certain threshold, this is called "fare capping." Passengers will never pay more than \$3 a day.

After spending \$3 in one day all first. After spending \$3 in one day, all fu-ture rides for the day are free. Adult ture rides for the day are free. Adult passengers will never pay more than \$42 in 31 days with fare capping. Discount passenger (elderly and persons with disabilities) will be capped at \$27 in 31 days. Youth rides will be capped at \$27 in a 90-day period. Paper transfer tickets will not be needed with Connect. The ride payment is valid for 90-minutes to make transfers between routes easier. A minimum tween routes easier. A minimum amount of \$5 is required to be loaded on your account. The initial Smartcard is free, but the replacement card fee will be \$5.

Downtown and Sanford Passes: These two passes are currently part of a promotion to encourage transit use in the downtown area. The pass would be renamed "Business Pass" and be available for purchase by employers for their employees at the rate of \$27 for 31-days. This is a change from the current fare of

\$22.50 for 30-days. Semester Pass: This pass is cursemester Pass: This pass is cur-rently offered as a promotional fare to faculty and staff at U-Pass partici-pating colleges, and to students of colleges not participating in the U-Pass program. Currently, the pass is valid for specific dates based on semester class schedules. The fare price would change from \$45 to \$60 and be valid for a period of 120 con-secutive calendar days from initial

use. Free Rides: There will be no change to free rides currently of-fered to children (age birth to pre-school) with an adult, a Personal Care Attendant to a person with disabilities, and veterans with

disabilities.

MAT Paratransit

Base fare: The base fare is to re-main at the current rate of \$3 for persons with disabilities certified as ADA Paratransit Eligible. Exact change is no longer required; a paper "change card" will be issued for use on future rides. Coupon books will continue to be sold in advance for those passengers without access to computers or credit cards, but may be discontinued in the fu-

ture for other fare options.

Introducing Paratransit Connect:
The new Paratransit Connect system will be available for loading funds onto a Smartcard or the mobile phone app. A minimum amount of \$5 is required to be loaded on your account. Under "Pay as you Go", \$3 will be deducted from your account each time you ride. Pay as You Go removes the barrier of high upfront costs. Fare capping does not apply to MAT Paratransit. The initial Smartcard is free, but the replacement card fee will be \$5.

Sunday Fare: For passengers certified as ADA Paratransit eligible, the Sunday fare will remain at \$3. A new rate is being established for the general public who wish to ride on

MAT Paratransit on Sundays at the rate of \$25 per ride.

Informational Meeting: An informational meeting open to the general public will be held on Thursday, November 18, 2021, 4:00 p.m. to 5:30 p.m. at the Ground Transportation Center, 502 N.P. Avenue, Fargo, ND, to review and discuss the proposed changes. Written and oral comments will be received at that comments will be received at that meeting and considered by staff prior to the final recommendation to the Fargo City Commission and Moorhead City Council.

Public Hearing Dates: Notice is hereby given that public hearings will be held for the purpose of considering changes in the passenger fare structure for all public transit

Fargo City Commission
Monday, November 29, 2021
5:15 p.m
Fargo City Hall
225 4th Street North Fargo, ND 58102

Moorhead City Council Monday, November 22, 2021 5:45 p.m. Hjemkomst Center 202 1st Avenue North Moorhead, MN 56560

At the hearings, interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to be heard with respect to the proposed increase in fares. In addition, written comments may be submitted

in advance of the public hearing to: Julie Bommelman Fargo Transit Director 650 23rd Street North Fargo, ND 58102 (701) 476-6737 For TDD Relay Service call 7-1-1 JBommelman@matbus.com

Lori Van Beek Moorhead Transit Manager 650 23rd Street North Fargo, ND 58102 (701) 476-6686 For TDD Relay Service Call 7-1-1 LVanbeek@matbus.com

Upon request, accommodations for individuals with disabilities, language barriers, or other needs to allow participation in meetings will be provided. To arrange assistance, call the Fargo City Commission at 701-241-1310 (voice) or 701-241-8258 (TDD) or Moorhead City Clerk's Office at 218-299-5304 (voice) or 7-1-1 (TDD/TTY) no later than noon the day of the meeting. (Nov. 10 & 17, 2021) 2909965

Attachment D

TITLE: Limited English Proficiency (Plan for the provision

Of Services to Persons with Limited English

Proficiency) LEP PAGE 1 of 4

DATE: January 4, 2022

I. POLICY

The City of Fargo recognizes it legal obligation to be in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 that prohibits discrimination for any of its services on the basis of National Origin as evidenced by limited English proficiency. This policy is to ensure that language will not prevent staff from communicating effectively with LEP persons and that limited English proficiency will not prevent persons from accessing important programs and information; understanding rules, participation in proceedings or programs, and meetings. Any individual requiring a special accommodation to allow access or participation at meetings or events is asked to notify the LEP/ADA Coordinator of their needs at least five working days prior to the event. The City of Fargo ADA Coordinator, Bekki Majerus is located in the Buildings and Ground Department, City Hall, 225 4th St. N. Fargo ND 58102 and can be reached by phone at (701) 476-4064. The City also recognizes the responsibility to serve fairly and equally all eligible residents of the City of Fargo.

II. WHO IS LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT (LEP)?

LEP individuals do not speak English as their primary language and have a limited ability to read, write, speak, or understand English.

- Many LEP persons are in the process of learning English and may read, write, speak, and/or understand some English, but not proficiently.
- LEP status may be context-specific an individual may have sufficient English language skills to communicate basic information (name, address etc.) but may not have sufficient skills to communicate detailed information (e.g., medical information, eyewitness accounts, information elicited in an interrogation, etc.) in English.

III. DETERMINATION OF CITY OF FARGO'S OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE LEP SERVICES

The City of Fargo will consider four factors in determining its obligation to provide LEP services:

- 1. the number or proportion of LEP persons eligible to be served or likely to be encountered by a program, activity or service of the recipient or grantee;
- 2. the frequency with which LEP individuals come in contact with the program;
- 3. the nature and importance of the program, activity, or service provided by the recipient to people's lives; and
- 4. the resources available to the recipient and costs.

TITLE: Limited English Proficiency (Plan for the provision

Of Services to Persons with Limited English

Proficiency) LEP PAGE 2 of 4

DATE: January 4, 2022

IV. BACKGROUND

 Federal law prohibits national origin discrimination and requires meaningful access to LEP persons, in federal and federally assisted programs and activities.

- Currently the City of Fargo provides transit brochures and route information in Spanish when requested and will continue to strive forward to provide needed information for all English as a Second Language individuals as needed.
- The Metro Interpreter Resource Center (MIRC) will assist the City of Fargo in securing translators in other languages for individuals to access important programs and information, understand rules, and participate in proceedings or programs, and meetings. If the City of Fargo determines that LEP services are to be provided to enable access to City services and/or programs, it will be the responsibility of the City of Fargo to pay for translation services and not the person requesting such service. It is impossible to provide brochures or interpreters for the City in every language needed on a regular basis, but every effort will be made to meet language translation needs.
- A MIRC Coordinator was hired in January 2005, and this person is located within Cultural Diversity Resources. General oversight and direction is provided by an Advisory Committee that consists of representatives from all funding agencies. Day-to-day supervision is handled by an Executive Committee of the larger Advisory Committee. The coordinator has a strong working relationship with local providers of interpreter services.
- Staff reviewed the most recent data from United States Census Bureau and determined 5.1% of the population speak a language other than English. 5,365 have limited English proficiency; that is, they speak English "less than very well." Since this data is based on limited surveys, there exists a significant margin of error. It is believed that, given Fargo's role as a refugee settlement community, the numbers of persons speaking English "less than very well" are often higher than reported by the Census.

CITY OF FARGO TRANSIT

POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

TITLE: Limited English Proficiency (Plan for the provision Of Services to Persons with Limited English

Proficiency) LEP PAGE 3 of 4

DATE: January 4, 2022

A person who does not speak English as their primary language and who has
a limited ability to read, write, speak or understand English may be a Limited
English Proficient person and may be entitled to language assistance with
respect to City services. Language assistance can include interpretation,
which means oral or spoken transfer of a message from one language into
another language and/or translation, which means the written transfer of a
message from one language into another language.

- Although there is a low percentage of LEP individuals in the City service area, that is, persons who speak English "less than very well", it will strive to offer the following measures: The City staff will take reasonable steps to provide the opportunity for meaningful access to LEP clients who have difficulty communicating English. The following resources will be made available to accommodate LEP persons:
 - Paid interpreters for many world languages are available through the Metro Interpreter Resource Center and will be provided within a reasonable time period.
 - Language interpretation can also be accessed through a telephone interpretation service when necessary.
- How the City staff may identify an LEP person who needs language assistance:
 - Post notice of LEP Plan and the availability of interpretation or translation services free of charge in languages LEP persons would understand.
 - All City staff will be provided with "I Speak" cards to assist in identifying the language interpretation needed if the occasion arises.
 - All City staff will be informally surveyed periodically on their experience concerning any contacts with LEP persons during the previous year. This is typically done when doing the annual Title VI/ADA training.
 - When the City sponsors an informational meeting or event, a staff person
 may greet participants as they arrive. By informally engaging participants
 in conversation it is possible to gauge each attendee's ability to speak
 and understand English. Although translation may not be able to be
 provided at the event it will help identify the need for future events.

Responsibilities of the Metro Interpreter Resource Center include the following:

- Identify training and curriculum needs, as related to general interpretation work. Curriculums unique to various disciplines will be developed over time (i.e., law enforcement, education, social services, legal).
- Test for English fluency and certify interpreter qualifications.
- Develop a suggested guideline for interpreter compensation, based on varying experience, skill levels and training completed.
- Develop and maintain an on-call roster of interpreters, specifically to address after-hours service needs.

CITY OF FARGO

TITLE: Limited English Proficiency (Plan for the provision Of Services to Persons with Limited English

Proficiency) LEP PAGE 4 of 4

DATE: January 4, 2022

 Recruit and train new interpreters in conjunction with all participating agencies.

- Provide educational sessions designed to help organizations learn how to use interpreters.
- Collect data to inform the issue and evaluate program effectiveness.

This system is supported by the public sector because:

- Consistency, over time, is important.
- Interpreters are needed to ensure that public services are delivered effectively - communication is fundamental to any successful interaction between people.
- Having the ability to provide adequate and reasonable interpreter services is essential to the public sector's ability to provide all citizens with equal access to services.

Partners supporting this project include:

- City governments Fargo, Moorhead, West Fargo
- County governments (including Sheriff, Social Services and Public Health Departments) Cass, Clay
- School Districts Fargo, Moorhead, West Fargo

This includes departments of law enforcement, housing, education, transit, and general administration.

Revised: December 17, 2009 Revised: March 30, 2010 Revised: January 21, 2011 Revised: November 1, 2016 Revised: January 4, 2022





Descripción general

MAT Paratransit es transporte público para personas con discapacidades que no pueden usar el servicio de autobús de ruta fija MATBUS de Fargo-Moorhead sin ayuda. Los vehículos tienen elevadores para ayudar a subir a las personas que no pueden subir escaleras. El límite de peso máximo para un elevador de vehículos MAT Paratransit es de 800 libras, incluido el peso combinado del pasajero y el dispositivo de movilidad. Se requieren reservaciones anticipadas. Este servicio es hasta la puerta, con conductores disponibles para ayudar al conductor entre el vehículo y el edificio. MAT Paratransit no brinda servicio médico de emergencia.

MAT Paratransit

MAT Paratransit ofrece servicio de transporte puerta a puerta con reserva para personas con discapacidades que son elegibles para ADA Paratransit y que no pueden utilizar el servicio de ruta fija MATBUS de forma independiente (consulte la Sección 3.1-Criterios de elegibilidad). MAT Paratransit cuenta con camionetas y / o autobuses accesibles con elevadores para sillas de ruedas.

Somos un servicio de viaje compartido

MAT Paratransit es un servicio de viaje compartido, lo que significa que el pasajero no puede ir directamente a su destino sin que el conductor se detenga para recoger o dejar a otros pasajeros en el camino. Paratransit ofrece más de 200 viajes por día. Los horarios de los conductores están diseñados con anticipación para acomodar estos viajes de la manera más eficiente posible dentro de los límites de la ciudad de Moorhead, Dilworth, Fargo y West Fargo.

Área de servicios

MAT Paratransit opera dentro de los límites de la ciudad de Moorhead y Dilworth, Minnesota, y Fargo y West Fargo, Dakota del Norte.

Regulaciones federales

El Servicio de Paratránsito de Metro Area Transit (MAT) se financia en parte a través de los gobiernos federal, estatal y local. Las pautas operativas deben cumplir con las regulaciones federales, estatales y locales.

Servicio de autobús de ruta fija MATBUS

En el área metropolitana de Fargo-Moorhead, hay una serie de autobuses que viajan en rutas predeterminadas o fijas en horarios regulares. Este servicio se conoce como MATBUS y está abierto al público en general. Para usar MATBUS, es posible que deba viajar a la parada del autobús, esperar afuera hasta que llegue el autobús, hacer transbordo entre los autobuses, reconocer su destino, etc. Todos los autobuses tienen rampas para sillas de ruedas.

Información MATBUS

Para obtener información sobre los horarios de MATBUS, llame al Centro de Transporte Terrestre al 701.232.7500 de 6 am a 11:15 pm de lunes a viernes y de 7 am a 11:15 pm los sábados. Visite el sitio web de MATBUS en www.matbus.com.

Calendario

<u>Ver calendario completo ></u>





Cómo viajar en paratránsito

Puede viajar en cualquier lugar dentro de los límites de la ciudad de Fargo y West Fargo, Dakota del Norte, y Moorhead y Dilworth, Minnesota. Puede viajar en MAT Paratransit por cualquier motivo: ir de compras, salir a cenar, citas médicas, socializar y otros.

En caso de nieve

El servicio se verá afectado durante un evento de nieve. Los conductores solo viajarán por carreteras que estén razonablemente libres de nieve. El servicio puede cancelarse durante un evento importante de nieve. Escuche KFGO 790AM para conocer los anuncios relacionados con el clima.

Procedimientos de recogida

Cuando llame para reservar un viaje, el despachador de tránsito le dará 15 MIN. hora programada de recogida. El vehículo puede llegar en cualquier momento 15 minutos antes o después de la hora de recogida programada. Debe estar listo en la entrada con el abrigo y los paquetes juntos. Por lo general, el conductor se acerca a la acera y no puede ingresar al camino de entrada de una casa residencial.

Esperamos cinco minutos

A su llegada, el conductor hará sonar la bocina. El conductor esperará cinco minutos para que aparezca. Si no se presenta, el conductor puede irse y se considerará que no se presentó. No se requiere que el conductor ingrese al edificio para buscarlo, ni se requiere que el Despachador de Tránsito lo llame y le notifique que Paratransit ha llegado.

Si llegamos temprano

Si el vehículo llega antes de los 15 minutos antes de la hora de recogida programada, el conductor se estacionará fuera de la vista del pasajero. Luego, el conductor esperará hasta 15 minutos antes de la hora de recogida programada antes de ir al lugar de recogida y hacer sonar la bocina y comenzar a contar los cinco minutos permitidos para que aparezca el pasajero.

Si llegamos tarde

Si el vehículo de Paratransit llega más de 15 minutos más tarde de la hora programada y el pasajero rechaza el servicio o no se presenta, esto se considerará un viaje perdido en lugar de no presentarse. Los pasajeros no son penalizados por viajes perdidos.

Asistencia al conductor

A petición, el conductor ayudará a los pasajeros entre el vehículo y la entrada de una casa, edificio de apartamentos u otro edificio. Dicha asistencia debe solicitarse con anticipación notificando al Despachador de Tránsito cuando realice su reservación. Si el pasajero ha indicado en su solicitud que necesita asistencia al conductor con regularidad, el Despachador de Tránsito lo indicará en el horario del conductor para todos los viajes futuros.

La asistencia al conductor finaliza cuando el conductor ha ayudado al pasajero a atravesar la puerta principal del edificio. Esto incluye asistencia a través de una entrada corta a la parte del edificio con clima controlado. Si el pasajero necesita asistencia adicional más allá de la puerta principal, debe pedirle a alguien que lo reciba en la puerta o que lo acompañe un asistente de cuidado personal. El conductor no ayudará a las personas en sillas de ruedas a entrar en edificios que no sean accesibles (aparte de abrir puertas), ni los conductores empujarán las sillas de ruedas a través de áreas que no hayan sido limpiadas de nieve.

Los pasajeros que no puedan comportarse de forma independiente dentro del vestíbulo de un edificio de origen / destino deben tener a alguien que los reciba en la puerta o que los acompañe un asistente de cuidado personal. Si el edificio de destino está cerrado con llave, un asistente de cuidado personal no está presente y no hay nadie disponible para recibir a la persona, el conductor, después de recibir la aprobación del despachador de tránsito, puede devolver a la persona al lugar de origen en el siguiente día disponible. viaje. Para la seguridad del pasajero, ocurrencias constantes pueden resultar en la necesidad de que un PCA acompañe al pasajero.

Si se solicita, el conductor lo ayudará con dos bolsas de comestibles o un carrito pequeño plegable. El conductor no pasará paquetes por la primera puerta. El espacio para paquetes es limitado. El pasajero debe poder llevar sus paquetes en un solo viaje y los paquetes deben estar bien asegurados mientras se encuentran en el vehículo. Se espera que los invitados y asistentes de cuidado personal ayuden al pasajero.

MAT Paratransit se reserva el derecho de negarse a realizar el servicio o cargar en un lugar que se considere inseguro para el pasajero, el conductor o el vehículo / equipo, incluidas las áreas sin nieve. El conductor intentará encontrar un punto de carga alternativo que el conductor considere seguro y aceptable para el pasajero. El conductor debe poder mantener el vehículo a la vista en todo momento y no puede ayudar a los pasajeros a una entrada que lo obstaculice.

El servicio se verá afectado durante un evento de nieve. Los conductores solo viajarán por carreteras que estén razonablemente libres de nieve. Si un pasajero sale de su residencia durante un evento de nieve y planea regresar a esa residencia ese día, es responsabilidad del pasajero organizar la remoción de nieve en su propiedad para que haya un camino despejado para que el conductor ayude al pasajero a la puerta. El conductor no debe abrir un camino hacia la puerta para el pasajero.

Si es probable que se produzca un evento importante de nieve, las ciudades de Fargo y Moorhead pueden cancelar el servicio. Los pasajeros deben escuchar los medios locales para saber si el servicio ha sido cancelado. Si durante la tarde parece que el servicio puede cancelarse al día siguiente, los Despachadores de Tránsito pueden comunicarse con los pasajeros para determinar un curso de acción apropiado.

Las rampas a la puerta del pasajero deben ser seguras tanto para el pasajero como para el conductor. El pasajero es responsable de hacer arreglos para que la rampa esté libre de nieve y hielo. Si hay una pregunta de seguridad como el grado de la rampa, MATBUS puede comunicarse con Freedom Resource Center for Independent Living para evaluar la rampa y hacer recomendaciones.

Bolsas y paquetes

Si se solicita, el conductor lo ayudará con dos bolsas de comestibles o un carrito pequeño plegable. El conductor no pasará paquetes por la primera puerta del edificio. El espacio para paquetes es limitado. Los paquetes deben transportarse en un solo viaje y los paquetes deben asegurarse de manera segura mientras se encuentran en el vehículo. Se espera que los invitados y asistentes de cuidado personal ayuden al pasajero.

Elevador de silla de ruedas

Los pasajeros que utilicen el elevador de sillas de ruedas serán asistidos por el conductor para subir y bajar del elevador. Es más seguro para el pasajero colocar su dispositivo de movilidad en el elevador en el vehículo de Paratransit. El pasajero puede esperar que su dispositivo de movilidad esté debidamente asegurado en cuatro lugares. Las sillas de ruedas y los scooters deben asegurarse durante el transporte. Los pasajeros deben usar un cinturón de seguridad y una correa para el hombro cuando estén disponibles.

Ayudas de movilidad

Los pasajeros con ayudas para la movilidad son responsables de mantener su equipo en condiciones de funcionamiento seguras. Esto incluye mantener las baterías cargadas en sillas de ruedas eléctricas y scooters y mantener los frenos manuales de las sillas de ruedas en buen estado de funcionamiento.

¡Mantenga un camino despejado!

Es responsabilidad del pasajero mantener un camino despejado hacia su puerta para que el conductor pueda brindar servicio puerta a puerta. Por favor, mantenga las aceras y rampas libres de nieve y no espere que el conductor abra un camino hacia la puerta.

El conductor puede negarse a llevarlo en lugares que no sean seguros para el pasajero, el conductor o el vehículo, incluidas las áreas sin nieve.

Denegación de servicio

MAI Paratransit puede negarse a brindar servicio a un individuo porque ese individuo se involucra en una conducta violenta, seriamente perturbadora o ilegal. Sin embargo, la Ciudad no se negará a brindar el servicio únicamente

porque la discapacidad del individuo dé como resultado una apariencia o comportamiento involuntario que pueda ofender, molestar o incomodar a los empleados de la Ciudad, contratistas u otras personas.

Asistente de cuidado personal (PCA)

Un asistente de cuidado personal (PCA) se considera una ayuda para la movilidad y se le permite viajar gratis. Si necesita un asistente de cuidado personal, debe indicarlo en el formulario de solicitud de elegibilidad o volver a enviar una solicitud en el momento en que se determine la necesidad. Los asistentes de cuidado personal deben tener el mismo destino inicial y final que la persona elegible. Ejemplos de circunstancias en las que puede necesitar un PCA durante el transporte o en su destino podrían incluir a alguien que lo ayude a ver, caminar, hablar, comer, ir al baño, etc.

Otra persona "elegible para el servicio de paratránsito de la ADA" no calificará como asistente de atención personal a menos que actúe en calidad de asistente de atención personal.

Los familiares o amigos se consideran invitados y no asistentes de cuidado personal, a menos que actúen en calidad de asistente de cuidado personal.

Los asistentes de cuidado personal permanecerán con el pasajero durante todo el viaje y hacia / desde el edificio. Si se proporciona un asistente de cuidado personal y es capaz, asistirá al pasajero entre el vehículo y el edificio en lugar de que el conductor brinde esta asistencia.

MAT Paratransit se reserva el derecho de requerir un asistente de cuidado personal cuando se transporta a un pasajero que tiene una condición médica o de comportamiento documentada que podría representar una situación insegura para el conductor o cualquiera de los pasajeros.

El pasajero de Paratransit es responsable de traer su propio asistente de cuidado personal (PCA). MATBUS no proporciona un PCA ni un asistente en el vehículo con el conductor. Las principales responsabilidades del conductor son asegurar de forma segura al pasajero y conducir el vehículo. Si una persona no puede viajar sin supervisión con extraños por hasta una hora, es responsabilidad del pasajero traer un PCA.

Llevando a un invitado

Cada pasajero puede tener una persona que lo acompañe como invitado. Más invitados pueden viajar siempre que haya espacio disponible y no se niegue el viaje a ningún cliente elegible.

El destino inicial y final del huésped debe ser el mismo que el suyo. El huésped debe pagar una tarifa para viajar.

Las personas elegibles pueden llevar a otra persona como invitado. El destino inicial y final de este invitado debe ser el mismo que el de la persona elegible. Los huéspedes deben pagar su viaje. Un asistente de cuidado personal no cuenta como invitado. Puede tener un asistente de cuidado personal y un invitado que lo acompañe. Más invitados pueden acompañarlo si el espacio lo permite; sin embargo, no pueden desplazar a otras personas elegibles de ADA Paratransit.

Responsabilidades del ciclista

Todos nuestros pasajeros de Paratransit son importantes para nosotros y nos esforzamos por brindar un servicio constante y confiable. Como servicio de transporte compartido, es importante que los pasajeros de Paratransit comprendan el impacto que su comportamiento tiene en otros pasajeros de Paratransit y en la prestación de servicios.

Los ejemplos de comportamiento que interrumpen el servicio de Paratransit incluyen, entre otros, que un pasajero no esté listo cuando llegue el conductor y espere que el conductor espere más de 5 minutos o regrese en otro momento, haga varias reservas y luego las cancele / reprograme dentro de varios días, sin tener un boleto y esperando un viaje a crédito, o esperando que el conductor cambie el destino del viaje programado. Un pasajero de Paratransit será notificado por carta sobre el comportamiento perturbador. Un patrón de comportamiento perturbador resultará en una suspensión.

Cinturones de seguridad

Los pasajeros deben usar un cinturón de seguridad y una correa para el hombro cuando estén disponibles. Los niños menores de ocho años o 4 pies 9 pulgadas deben usar un sistema de retención infantil mientras viajan en el vehículo de Paratransit. El pasajero adulto es responsable de proporcionar un asiento para niños que se ajuste adecuadamente a su hijo y de ajustar correctamente el asiento para asegurar a su hijo (por ejemplo, orientado hacia adelante o hacia atrás, altura y tensión de la correa para el hombro). El conductor se asegurará de que el asiento para niños esté correctamente sujeto por el cinturón de seguridad al vehículo. El no usar el cinturón de seguridad resultará en la denegación de los servicios de transporte al cliente para ese viaje.

Procedimientos de recogida

A la persona que llama se le proporcionará una hora programada para recogerlo. Todos los pasajeros deben estar listos 15 minutos antes de la hora de recogida programada y permitir que pasen 15 minutos más allá de la hora de recogida antes de llamar a los despachadores de tránsito al 701-235-4464 para preguntar sobre su viaje. Los pasajeros deben estar listos para partir con abrigo, paquetes juntos, en el vestíbulo, etc.

A su llegada, el conductor hará sonar la bocina. El conductor esperará cinco minutos a que aparezca el pasajero. Si el pasajero no se presenta dentro de los cinco minutos, se considerará que "no se presentó" (consulte la Sección 6.2 - Sanciones impuestas por "No presentación"). El despachador de tránsito no está obligado a llamar al pasajero si el pasajero no está listo cuando llega el vehículo. No se requiere que el conductor entre al edificio para buscar al pasajero. El conductor debe mantener el vehículo a la vista en todo momento.

Si el vehículo llega antes de los 15 minutos antes de la hora de recogida programada, el conductor se estacionará fuera de la vista del pasajero. Luego, el conductor esperará hasta 15 minutos antes de la hora de recogida programada antes de ir al lugar de recogida y hacer sonar la bocina y comenzar a contar los cinco minutos permitidos para que aparezca el pasajero.

Si el vehículo de Paratransit llega más de 15 minutos después de la hora programada y el pasajero rechaza el servicio o no se presenta, esto se considerará un "viaje perdido" en lugar de un "no presentarse" y el pasajero no está obligado a pagar la tarifa. .

Si el vehículo se retrasa más de media hora más allá de la hora de recogida programada, el despachador de tránsito intentará ponerse en contacto con el pasajero para ofrecerle una hora de recogida revisada. El pasajero podrá en ese momento optar por cancelar el viaje sin obligación de pagar la tarifa.

La entrada al edificio donde lo recogen será la misma entrada a la que lo traen de regreso a menos que notifique al Despachador de Tránsito de manera diferente al momento de reservar su viaje.

Uso de rampa / elevador y cierres / cinturones de seguridad dentro del vehículo

Kampa / elevador

El conductor siempre operará la rampa o elevador y mantendrá al pasajero bajo vigilancia en todo momento durante

dicha operación. Los pasajeros que utilicen una rampa / ascensor serán asistidos por el conductor para subir y bajar de la rampa / ascensor. Es más seguro para el pasajero colocar su dispositivo de movilidad en el elevador en el vehículo de Paratransit. Un ciclista puede negarse a retroceder en el ascensor y entrar en él hacia adelante. El conductor debe recomendar al ciclista que entre en el ascensor al revés. No se negará el servicio si el pasajero se niega a colocar su dispositivo de movilidad en el ascensor.

Aseguramiento del dispositivo de movilidad

Para aquellos que usan un dispositivo de movilidad, el pasajero puede esperar que su dispositivo de movilidad esté debidamente asegurado en cuatro lugares además de que el pasajero use un cinturón de seguridad con correa para el hombro. Una vez que el dispositivo de movilidad esté debidamente asegurado, el conductor informará al despacho por radio "10-99, todos los puntos asegurados" antes de que el vehículo se ponga en movimiento. Las sillas de ruedas deben asegurarse durante el transporte. Los pasajeros en sillas de ruedas eléctricas tendrán el interruptor principal en la posición de "apagado" en todo momento mientras el vehículo esté en movimiento.

La negativa del pasajero a permitir dispositivos de seguridad resultará en la denegación del servicio. Se harán excepciones a los requisitos obligatorios del cinturón de seguridad por razones médicas al recibir una declaración escrita de un médico que indique que la persona no puede ser transportada de manera segura usando cinturones de seguridad debido a una condición médica, tamaño corporal o discapacidad física.

De acuerdo con la ADA, si el operador de tránsito tiene una política que requiere sujeción, o si un pasajero solicita que se asegure la silla de ruedas, la Sección 37.165 (f) de las regulaciones de la ADA del DOT requiere que el personal de tránsito haga todo lo posible para asegurar el dispositivo de movilidad. La sección 37.165 (d) establece que los operadores de tránsito no pueden negarse a acomodar una silla de ruedas común, incluido un scooter u otro dispositivo de movilidad especializado que cumpla con las especificaciones de la regulación ADA, porque la silla de ruedas no se puede asegurar a satisfacción del conductor.

Capacidad de elevación

De acuerdo con la ADA, los proveedores de transporte deben llevar una silla de ruedas y un ocupante si la elevación y el vehículo pueden acomodarlos físicamente, a menos que hacerlo sea incompatible con los requisitos de seguridad legítimos. Los "requisitos de seguridad legítimos" incluyen circunstancias tales como una silla de ruedas de tal tamaño que bloquearía un pasillo, o sería demasiado grande para ingresar al vehículo, o interferiría con la evacuación segura de los pasajeros en una emergencia. Las rampas y elevadores MATBUS no deben usarse para dispositivos de movilidad de más de 800 libras cuando están ocupados.

Cinturones de seguridad

Los pasajeros deben usar un cinturón de seguridad y una correa para el hombro cuando estén disponibles.

Los niños menores de ocho años o 4 pies y 9 pulgadas deben usar sistemas de retención infantil mientras viajan en el vehículo de Paratransit. El pasajero adulto es responsable de proporcionar un asiento para niños que se ajuste adecuadamente a su hijo y de ajustar correctamente el asiento para asegurar a su hijo (por ejemplo, orientado hacia adelante o hacia atrás, altura y tensión de la correa para el hombro). El conductor se asegurará de que el asiento para niños esté correctamente sujeto por el cinturón de seguridad al vehículo. El no usar el cinturón de seguridad resultará en la denegación del servicio de transporte al cliente para ese viaje.

Restricciones de capacidad

Las ciudades de Fargo y Moorhead no establecerán patrones o prácticas operacionales que limiten significativamente la disponibilidad del servicio. Por lo tanto, se mantendrán y revisarán trimestralmente registros de recogidas inoportunas, rechazos de viajes, viajes perdidos y viajes excesivamente largos (ver definiciones a continuación) para considerar la necesidad de vehículos adicionales para cumplir con la capacidad. Debe haber un patrón consistente y los problemas deben considerarse sustanciales para que se consideren limitaciones de capacidad. Si se identifica una restricción de capacidad significativa, se pueden poner en servicio vehículos adicionales para satisfacer la demanda.

Recolecciones inoportunas: las recolecciones que se realicen 15 minutos más tarde de la hora programada se considerarán recolecciones inoportunas.

Denegaciones de viajes: las regulaciones permiten que las recolecciones se programen hasta una hora antes o después de la hora solicitada. Si el despachador de tránsito ofrece a la persona un tiempo superior a una hora antes o después de la hora solicitada y la hora ofrecida es inaceptable para la persona, el viaje se considerará "denegado". No se aplican solicitudes de servicio el mismo día. Las solicitudes de servicio hacia / desde áreas a más de 3/4 de milla de las rutas fijas de MATBUS no se cuentan como denegaciones de viaje. Las solicitudes de servicio los domingos no se cuentan como denegaciones de viaje ya que las rutas fijas de MATBUS no operan los domingos.

Si el despachador de tránsito ofrece un viaje dentro de una hora antes o después de la hora de recogida solicitada y el pasajero rechaza el viaje, regístrelo como rechazo. El viaje de regreso también se registrará como un rechazo si el pasajero decide no tomarlo.

Viaje perdido: Los viajes que no se completan porque el vehículo no llegó en absoluto o el vehículo llegó en cualquier punto fuera de la ventana de recogida y el pasajero no desea realizar el viaje se cuentan como viajes perdidos. Los viajes perdidos no se pueden contabilizar en contra del pasajero y el pasajero puede negarse a realizar el viaje sin penalización si el vehículo llega fuera de la ventana de recogida.

Recogida tardía: el vehículo llega a cualquier punto fuera de la ventana de recogida y el cliente elige realizar el viaje incluso si es tarde.

Viajes excesivamente largos: MAT Paratransit asegurará que los tiempos de viaje en paratránsito sean comparables a los tiempos de viaje en el servicio de ruta fija local. Se harán muestras aleatorias todos los días, de cinco (5) viajes de menos de 30 minutos, cinco (5) viajes de entre 30 y 60 minutos y todos los viajes de más de 60 minutos. Nuestro objetivo será que el 90% de la duración de los viajes de paratránsito muestreados sea igual o no mayor de 15 minutos más que la duración del viaje de ruta fija comparable.

MAT Paratransit no se hace responsable de los problemas operativos causados por circunstancias fuera de nuestro control, como problemas climáticos o de tráfico imprevistos (trenes, accidentes, etc.). Tales problemas no se considerarán al establecer si existen o no patrones que limitan la disponibilidad del servicio.

Ayudas para la movilidad y equipos de soporte vital

Los pasajeros elegibles para el paratránsito de la ADA pueden viajar con animales de servicio entrenados para ayudarlos. Los animales de servicio incluyen perros guía utilizados por personas con impedimentos visuales o auditivos, y perros y otros animales que brindan ayuda a personas con impedimentos de movilidad.

Animales de servicio: La ADA define un animal de servicio como cualquier perro guía, perro de señales u otro animal entrenado individualmente para brindar asistencia a una persona con una discapacidad. Si cumplen con esta definición, los animales se consideran animales de servicio según la ADA, independientemente de si han sido autorizados o certificados por un gobierno estatal o local. Los animales de apoyo emocional no se consideran animales de servicio según la ADA.

Los animales de servicio realizan algunas de las funciones y tareas que la persona con una discapacidad no puede realizar por sí misma. Algunos ejemplos incluyen:

• Perros

lazarillos para ver. • Alerta de sonidos a personas con impedimentos auditivos.

- Tirar de sillas de ruedas o cargar y recoger cosas para personas con problemas de movilidad.
- Ayudar a las personas con problemas de movilidad a mantener el equilibrio.
- Animales de respuesta / alerta a las convulsiones que alertan a las personas con trastornos convulsivos sobre convulsiones inminentes y / o ayudan a la persona durante y después de la convulsión.

Algunos animales de servicio, pero no todos, usan collares / arneses especiales, tienen licencia o están certificados y tienen documentos de identificación. Si el conductor no está seguro de que un animal sea un animal de servicio, el conductor puede preguntarle a la persona que tiene el animal si es un animal de servicio requerido debido a una

discapacidad. El conductor tambien puede preguntar que tipo de servicio brinda el animal.

El Departamento de Justicia establece:

Puede excluir a cualquier animal, incluido un animal de servicio, de su instalación o vehículo cuando el comportamiento de ese animal represente una amenaza directa para la salud o la seguridad de los demás. Por ejemplo, cualquier animal de servicio que muestre un comportamiento despiadado hacia otros pasajeros o el conductor puede ser excluido. Sin embargo, no puede hacer suposiciones sobre cómo es probable que se comporte un animal en particular en función de su experiencia pasada con otros animales. Cada situación debe considerarse individualmente.

Los pasajeros elegibles pueden viajar con equipo de soporte vital portátil, como respiradores y oxígeno portátil.

Los pasajeros con ayudas para la movilidad son responsables de mantener su equipo en condiciones de funcionamiento seguras. Esto incluye mantener las baterías cargadas en sillas de ruedas eléctricas y scooters y mantener los frenos manuales de las sillas de ruedas en buen estado de funcionamiento. Si se requiere que un pasajero use cierta ayuda para la movilidad, como un andador o un bastón, es responsabilidad del pasajero saber cuándo se debe usar ese equipo para viajar de manera segura o tener un asistente de cuidado personal que lo ayude.

Cambios de ruta

El conductor de MAT Paratransit no puede cambiar una ruta (los puntos de recogida o de destino) o hacer desvíos a solicitud del pasajero sin antes solicitar y recibir autorización del Despachador de Tránsito. El Despachador de Tránsito tiene el horario maestro para todas las rutas y es consciente del impacto de cambiar el punto de recogida o destino de un vehículo en relación con todo el sistema.

Actividades prohibidas

No se permite fumar, comer ni beber mientras se encuentre en el vehículo.

Los conductores no pueden usar ningún tipo de auriculares, teléfono celular o accesorio de teléfono celular mientras conducen / operan un vehículo de tránsito o autobús. El término "teléfono celular" incluye, pero no se limita a: teléfonos celulares y móviles, dispositivos móviles u otros dispositivos electrónicos para comunicaciones personales, dispositivos electrónicos de mano o manos libres, auriculares, bluetooth, reconocimiento de voz, etc. La naturaleza de emergencia para un conductor en servicio puede hacerse a través de la oficina de Paratransit, y el Despachador de Tránsito deberá comunicar la emergencia al conductor por medio de la radio o tableta de dos vías proporcionada por la Ciudad. Los teléfonos celulares se "apagarán" mientras un conductor tenga el control del vehículo de tránsito o autobús; no será aceptable tener el teléfono celular en modo vibrador o silencioso.

Comportamiento perturbador

Como servicio de transporte compartido, es importante que los pasajeros de Paratransit comprendan el impacto que su comportamiento tiene en otros pasajeros de Paratransit y en la prestación de servicios. Los ejemplos de comportamiento que interrumpen el servicio de Paratransit incluyen, entre otros, que un pasajero no esté listo cuando llegue el conductor y espere que el conductor espere más de 5 minutos o regrese en otro momento, haga varias reservas y luego las cancele / reprograme dentro de varios días, sin tener un boleto y esperando viajar a crédito, o esperando que el conductor cambie el destino del viaje programado. Se enviará una carta identificando su comportamiento perturbador al pasajero de Paratransit. Un patrón de comportamiento perturbador resultará en el envío de una carta describiendo la sanción y cómo apelar la sanción.

MAT Paratransit puede negarse a brindar servicio a un individuo porque ese individuo se involucra en una conducta violenta, seriamente perturbadora o ilegal. Sin embargo, la Ciudad no se negará a brindar el servicio únicamente porque la discapacidad del individuo dé como resultado una apariencia o comportamiento involuntario que pueda ofender, molestar o incomodar a los empleados de la Ciudad, contratistas u otras personas.

MAT Paratransit se reserva el derecho de requerir un asistente de cuidado personal cuando se transporta a un pasajero que tiene una condición médica o de comportamiento documentada que podría representar una situación insegura para el individuo, el conductor o cualquiera de los pasajeros. MAT Paratransit puede suspender la elegibilidad o revocar permanentemente los privilegios de viajar si nuestros registros indican que el pasajero ha amenazado o abusado de un conductor u otro pasajero.

MAT Paratransit se reserva el derecho de negar la entrada a un vehículo si el pasajero parece desordenado o lleva al conductor a concluir que el pasajero exhibirá un comportamiento perturbador que representaría una amenaza para la seguridad no solo para el conductor sino también para los demás pasajeros, incluidos, entre otros, limitado a, intoxicación y uso de drogas ilegales.

No se permite el uso de lenguaje ofensivo al dirigirse al Despachador de Tránsito, el conductor u otros pasajeros. Negarse a descontinuar el uso a pedido puede resultar en la denegación del viaje. Se registrarán las denegaciones de servicio. Si la denegación se realiza por motivos distintos a los que el tiempo solicitado no estaba disponible, se documentará el motivo y se notificará al pasajero o su padre / tutor por correo o llamada telefónica.

Archivo Tamaño Tipo

Política de No Show de Paratránsito de MAT 363,47 KB PDF

Cómo montar para

1,76 MB PDF





Horas de servicio

Lunes - Viernes 6:15 am a 11:15 pm Sábado 7:15 am a 11:15 pm Domingo 7 am a 5 pm

Todos los viajes deben completarse y los pasajeros deben ser entregados a su destino antes de las 11:15 p. M. Las horas de inicio enumeradas anteriormente son las horas más tempranas que un pasajero puede programar una recogida. El operador del autobús puede llegar hasta 15 minutos antes de la hora programada.

Vacaciones

MAT Paratransit no funciona en los siguientes días festivos: Día de Año Nuevo, Pascua, Día de los Caídos, Día de la Independencia, Día del Trabajo, Día de Acción de Gracias, Nochebuena después de las 5 pm y el día de Navidad.

Calendario

Ver calendario completo >





Solicitud de paratránsito

Solicitud de paratránsito

Archivo Tamaño Tipo

Aplicación MAT Paratransit 1,83 MB PDF

Cómo aplicar

Las solicitudes están disponibles en www.matbus.com, Ground Transportation Center (GTC), 502 NP Avenue, Fargo y Metro Transit Garage, 650 23rd St. N., Fargo. Si desea una solicitud por correo, llame al 701.235.4464.

Después de recibir una solicitud completa y una verificación profesional, el procesamiento de la solicitud puede demorar hasta tres semanas. Una vez aprobado, recibirá una tarjeta de identificación de pasajero que indica que es elegible para MAT Paratransit.

Proceso de solicitud

Para reservar un viaje en MAT Paratransit, necesita una tarjeta de identificación de pasajero. Puede solicitar una solicitud gratuita para esta tarjeta en Metro Area Transit o descargarla como PDF. Se le pedirá que proporcione el nombre de un médico o un profesional de servicios sociales calificado que pueda verificar su discapacidad.

Se determinará la elegibilidad dentro de los 21 días posteriores a la recepción del formulario de solicitud, el formulario de autorización y el formulario de verificación profesional. Su tarjeta le será enviada por correo cuando se apruebe su solicitud o después de que se obtenga una foto para la tarjeta de identificación del pasajero; La tarjeta anotará cualquier restricción impuesta sobre su uso del servicio y un número de teléfono al que puede llamar para reservar un

Llame al 701.235.4464

También puede descargar una aplicación desde esta página.

Tarjetas de identificación de ciclistas

Todos los pasajeros de MAT Paratransit reciben una tarjeta de identificación de pasajero una vez aprobado para el servicio. Se requiere una foto del pasajero para la tarjeta. Hay una serie de opciones disponibles para que los nuevos pasajeros proporcionen una foto, incluida la entrega de una copia impresa o el envío de una foto por correo electrónico al momento de la solicitud, o una vez aprobado, el conductor puede tomar una foto y una tarjeta de identificación de pasajero. Se incluye información adicional en la solicitud.

Las fotos enviadas a MAT Paratransit, deben ser claras (no borrosas ni pixeladas), en color y solo del solicitante, ninguna otra persona puede estar en la imagen. Por favor, indique al enviar una foto, el nombre y apellido del solicitante.

Proceso de apelaciones de elegibilidad

Un subcomité del Comité de Alcaldes del Área Metropolitana para Personas con Discapacidades (Subcomité de Apelaciones) servirá como la Junta de Apelaciones Administrativas para las ciudades de Fargo y Moorhead. El Comité consta de cuatro miembros del comité completo y un pasajero de Paratransit. Si el solicitante desea apelar la determinación de elegibilidad, se seguirá el siguiente proceso:

una. Se debe presentar una apelación por escrito dentro de los 60 días posteriores a la fecha de la carta en la que se notifica a la persona que se ha determinado que no es elegible para el servicio ADA Paratransit.

- B. Las apelaciones por escrito se enviarán a MAT Paratransit, Transit Administration Office, 650 23rd Street North, Fargo, ND 58102 para su consideración.
- C. El solicitante, o un representante de su elección, tendrá la oportunidad de ser escuchado y presentar información y argumentos en apoyo de su posición al Subcomité de Apelaciones del Comité de Alcaldes del Área Metropolitana para Personas con Discapacidades. El Oficial de Salud de la Ciudad de Fargo, un médico, asesorará al Comité según sea necesario.
- D. Se enviará al solicitante una determinación por escrito de la decisión sobre la apelación, incluidas las razones de dicha decisión, dentro de los 30 días posteriores a la finalización del proceso de apelación. Si no se toma una decisión dentro de los 30 días, se determinará que el solicitante es elegible temporalmente hasta que el Comité tome la decisión con respecto a la apelación.

Elegibilidad para MAT Paratransit

Las personas con discapacidades que están certificadas como "elegibles para el paratránsito de la ADA" pueden viajar en MAT Paratransit.

ADA se refiere a la Ley de Estadounidenses con Discapacidades de 1990. La Ley ADA requiere que se brinden servicios de transporte como MAT Paratransit a personas con discapacidades físicas y mentales que no pueden, debido a su discapacidad, utilizar el servicio de autobús de ruta fija sin asistencia.

Calificación

La calificación se basa en las capacidades funcionales del individuo más que en un diagnóstico médico específico. La elegibilidad puede ser permanente, temporal o condicional.

Elegibilidad condicional

Si el solicitante se considera elegible pero solo bajo ciertas condiciones, el encargado de la reserva revisará cada viaje solicitado para ver si ese viaje cumple con las condiciones que se establecieron cuando se determinó la elegibilidad. Por ejemplo, un pasajero solo puede ser elegible para Paratransit durante el invierno cuando hay nieve y hielo. En este ejemplo, durante el verano, el pasajero no sería elegible para Paratransit. Las condiciones se identifican en la carta de elegibilidad y se anotan en la tarjeta de identificación del pasajero.

Visitantes

Si las ciudades de Fargo o Moorhead determinan que usted es "elegible para el paratránsito de la ADA", esa elegibilidad le da derecho a utilizar los servicios de paratránsito en otras comunidades que podría visitar en todo el país por hasta 21 días en un período de 365 días.

Los visitantes de Fargo-Moorhead que hayan sido certificados como "elegibles para el servicio de paratránsito ADA" por otra entidad pública recibirán el servicio hasta 21 días durante cualquier período de 365 días, comenzando con el primer uso del servicio por parte del visitante durante dicho período de 365 días. En la medida de lo posible, MAT Paratransit observará cualquier limitación / condición impuesta a una certificación de otra comunidad. Los visitantes que no hayan sido certificados como elegibles por otra entidad pública pero que afirmen que son "elegibles para el servicio de paratránsito de la ADA" se considerarán elegibles hasta por 21 días durante cualquier período de 365 días. Si el servicio se necesita más allá de los 21 días, la persona debe solicitar la elegibilidad de las ciudades de Fargo o Moorhead. Se puede solicitar a los visitantes que muestren prueba de residencia fuera de los límites de la ciudad de Moorhead o Dilworth, Minnesota,

Se alienta a las personas que viven fuera del área metropolitana pero viajan a la comunidad y utilizan MAT Paratransit de manera regular a solicitar la elegibilidad. Cualquier persona que utilice MAT Paratransit durante más de 21 días en cualquier período de 365 días puede tener que solicitar la elegibilidad.

Criterio de elegibilidad

MAT Paratransit está destinado a proporcionar transporte a personas con discapacidades que no pueden utilizar de forma independiente el sistema de autobús de ruta fija (también conocido como MATBUS).

Para ser elegible para usar MAT Paratransit, debe ser considerado "elegible para ADA Paratransit" según lo define el gobierno federal. Las tres categorías de elegibilidad de paratránsito de la ADA establecidas por el gobierno federal se enumeran a continuación.

La elegibilidad de ADA Paratransit se basa en un modelo funcional, más que médico. Las personas no están calificadas o descalificadas sobre la base de un diagnóstico o discapacidad específicos. Una persona será certificada como elegible para paratránsito de la ADA si hay alguna parte del sistema de ruta fija MATBUS en el área de servicio designada que no pueda ser utilizada o navegada por esa persona debido a una discapacidad (consulte la Sección 3.2 - Definición de persona discapacitada). En funcionamiento, la elegibilidad se basará en si el sistema de ruta fija MATBUS puede brindar su servicio a la persona en particular para un viaje en particular. La elegibilidad puede ser permanente, temporal, estacional, condicional o transitoria.

Si el solicitante se considera elegible pero solo bajo ciertas condiciones, el encargado de la reserva revisará cada viaje solicitado para ver si ese viaje cumple con las condiciones que se establecieron cuando se determinó la elegibilidad. Una persona puede apelar un viaje denegado de la misma manera que apela una denegación de elegibilidad (consulte la Sección 3.6 - Proceso de apelaciones de elegibilidad).

Para los niños dependientes menores de 7 años con discapacidades, el padre y el niño juntos como un equipo serán evaluados para determinar su elegibilidad según sus habilidades funcionales. Si juntos pueden viajar en el sistema de ruta fija MATBUS incondicionalmente, el niño no será elegible.

EXISTEN TRES CATEGORÍAS DE ELEGIBILIDAD:

CATEGORÍA 1: NO SE PUEDE ABORDAR, MONTAR O DESEMBARCAR.

"Cualquier persona con una discapacidad que no pueda, como resultado de una discapacidad física o mental (incluida una discapacidad visual), y sin la ayuda de otra persona (excepto el operador de un elevador de sillas de ruedas u otro dispositivo de asistencia para abordar), abordar , viajar o desembarcar de cualquier vehículo en el sistema de autobús de ruta fija que sea fácilmente accesible y utilizable por personas con discapacidades ".

En esta categoría se incluyen las personas con impedimentos mentales o visuales que no pueden usar o "navegar por el sistema". Reconocer destinos, comprender las transferencias y ser capaz de distinguir entre vehículos en estaciones de transferencia con mucho tráfico son ejemplos de cómo navegar por el sistema de ruta fija. Con la excepción de la asistencia brindada por el conductor u otros empleados del servicio, la elegibilidad bajo esta categoría se basa en la capacidad de una persona para usar el servicio de manera independiente. Una persona que viaja con un amigo o asistente aún es elegible para el servicio de paratránsito incluso si pudiera usar el sistema de ruta fija con la ayuda de esta otra persona.

Una persona que ha sido capacitada para usar el sistema para viajar hacia y desde un destino en particular de forma independiente y, por lo tanto, puede utilizar el Sistema de ruta fija para ese propósito (hacia / desde un lugar de trabajo, por ejemplo) no puede aplicar la elegibilidad para ese viaje. Sin embargo, un viaje excepcional (a un restaurante, por ejemplo) sería elegible para la ADA. Si las interrupciones del servicio, como el cambio de ruta debido a la construcción, hacen que la capacitación sea temporalmente inapropiada, la persona será elegible para esos viajes.

CATEGORÍA 2: CAPAZ DE SUBAR, MONTAR Y DESEMBARCAR SÓLO SI EL VEHÍCULO ES ACCESIBLE (EQUIPADO CON UN DISPOSITIVO DE EMBARQUE, COMO UN ELEVADOR).

"Cualquier persona con una discapacidad que necesite la ayuda de un elevador de sillas de ruedas u otro dispositivo de asistencia para abordar y que pueda, con dicha asistencia, abordar, viajar y desembarcar de cualquier vehículo que sea fácilmente accesible y utilizable por personas con discapacidad si el el individuo quiere viajar en una ruta del sistema durante las horas de operación del sistema a la vez, o dentro de un período razonable de tiempo, cuando dicho vehículo no se esté utilizando para proporcionar transporte público designado en la ruta".

La elegibilidad en esta categoría depende de la accesibilidad de los vehículos y las rutas. Una persona es elegible para el servicio de paratránsito si la ruta fija por la que desea viajar aún no es accesible.

- Una persona es elegible para el paratránsito si el elevador o dispositivo de abordaje de un vehículo no se pudo desplegar en la parada que desea usar.
- Una persona es elegible si usa una "silla de ruedas común" pero no puede ser atendida por el sistema de ruta fija porque el elevador en el vehículo que necesita usar no cumple con los estándares federales de equipos.

• Una persona es elegible si la ruta de autobus en la que desea viajar no es 100% accesible.

CATEGORÍA 3: NO SE PUEDE VIAJAR AL LUGAR DE EMBARQUE O DESDE EL LUGAR DE DESEMBARQUE.

"Cualquier individuo con una discapacidad que tenga una condición específica relacionada con una discapacidad que le impida viajar a un lugar de embarque o de un lugar de desembarque en dicho sistema".

En esta categoría, la "condición específica relacionada con la discapacidad" debe evitar que la persona utilice el sistema de ruta fija. Una persona sería elegible si la combinación de la "condición específica relacionada con la discapacidad" de una persona y las barreras arquitectónicas (como cortes de acera) y barreras ambientales (como la distancia, el terreno y el clima) impiden que una persona viaje hacia o desde las paradas de autobús. / estaciones.

3.2 Definición de individuo discapacitado [Reglamento federal 49 CFR '37 .3]

El individuo discapacitado se define como un individuo con un impedimento físico o mental que limita sustancialmente una o más de las principales actividades de la vida de dicho individuo; un registro de tal impedimento; o que se considere que tiene tal impedimento. La frase actividades principales de la vida significa funciones como cuidarse a sí mismo, realizar tareas manuales, caminar, ver, oír, hablar, respirar, aprender y trabajar.

Los impedimentos físicos o mentales incluyen: (1) Cualquier trastorno o condición fisiológica, desfiguración cosmética o pérdida anatómica que afecte uno o más de los siguientes sistemas corporales: neurológico, musculoesquelético, órganos de los sentidos especiales, respiratorio, incluidos los órganos del habla, cardiovascular, reproductivo, digestivo, genitourinario, hemico y linfático, cutáneo y endocrino; (2) Cualquier trastorno mental o psicológico, como retraso mental, síndrome cerebral orgánico, enfermedad emocional o mental y discapacidades específicas de aprendizaje; (3) enfermedades y afecciones contagiosas o no contagiosas, que incluyen, pero se limitan a: impedimentos ortopédicos, visuales, del habla y auditivos; parálisis cerebral, epilepsia, distrofia muscular, esclerosis múltiple, cáncer, enfermedad cardíaca, diabetes, retraso mental, enfermedad emocional, discapacidades específicas de aprendizaje, enfermedad del VIH,

La discapacidad no incluye (1) homosexualidad o bisexualidad; (2) Travestismo, transexualismo, pedofilia, exhibicionismo, voyearismo, desórdenes de identidad de género que no sean resultado de impedimentos físicos u otros desórdenes de conducta sexual; (3) juego compulsivo, cleptomanía o piromanía; (4) trastornos por abuso de sustancias psicoactivas resultantes del uso ilegal actual de drogas.

3.3 Elegibilidad para tarifa con descuento en ruta fija

Una persona con discapacidad puede utilizar el sistema de ruta fija MATBUS con ayuda o en determinadas condiciones. La Tarjeta de Usuario Especial emitida por MATBUS le da derecho a una persona a viajar en el Sistema de Bus de Ruta Fija (MATBUS) por una tarifa con descuento, que es \$ 0.75 en efectivo (la mitad de la tarifa en efectivo pagada por un adulto de \$ 1.50). Los pases y boletos de descuento para el sistema de ruta fija MATBUS están disponibles para la compra para personas con discapacidades en el Centro de transporte terrestre (GTC). El pasajero debe mostrar la Tarjeta de Usuario Especial al conductor al abordar el autobús o al Despachador de GTC al comprar pases o boletos para recibir la tarifa con descuento. Consulte la Sección 3.5 - Proceso de elegibilidad para solicitar una Tarjeta de usuario especial.

3.4 Elegibilidad de los visitantes [Regulación federal 49 CFR '37 .127]

Si las ciudades de Fargo o Moorhead determinan que usted es "elegible para el paratránsito de la ADA", esa elegibilidad le da derecho a utilizar los servicios de paratránsito en otras comunidades que podría visitar en todo el país por hasta 21 días en un período de 365 días.

Los visitantes de Fargo-Moorhead que hayan sido certificados como "elegibles para el servicio de paratránsito ADA" por otra entidad pública recibirán el servicio hasta 21 días durante cualquier período de 365 días, comenzando con el primer uso del servicio por parte del visitante durante dicho período de 365 días. En la medida de lo posible, MAT Paratransit observará cualquier limitación / condición impuesta a una certificación de otra comunidad. Los visitantes que no hayan sido certificados como elegibles por otra entidad pública pero que afirmen que son "elegibles para el servicio de paratránsito de la ADA" se considerarán elegibles hasta por 21 días durante cualquier período de 365 días.

Si el servicio se necesita más alla de los 21 días, la persona debe solicitar la elegibilidad de las ciudades de Fargo o

Moorhead. Se puede solicitar a los visitantes que muestren prueba de residencia fuera de los límites de la ciudad de Moorhead o Dilworth, Minnesota,

Se alienta a las personas que viven fuera del área metropolitana pero viajan a la comunidad y utilizan MAT Paratransit de manera regular a solicitar la elegibilidad. Cualquier persona que utilice MAT Paratransit durante más de 21 días en cualquier período de 365 días puede tener que solicitar la elegibilidad.

3.5 Proceso de elegibilidad (Regulación federal 49 CFR '37 .125)

una. Las personas deben enviar una solicitud por escrito completa a MATBUS.

MATBUS 650 23rd Street North Fargo, ND 58102 (701) 241-8140 TDD: Servicio de retransmisión 7-1-1

B. El gerente de movilidad de MATBUS es responsable de revisar las solicitudes y determinar la elegibilidad. Una solicitud de Paratransit completa incluye el formulario de solicitud, el formulario de autorización completado por el solicitante y un formulario de verificación profesional completado por el profesional autorizado para verificar la información del solicitante. MATBUS envía el formulario de verificación al profesional identificado por el solicitante en el formulario de autorización. La determinación final de elegibilidad se realizará dentro de los 21 días posteriores a la recepción del formulario de solicitud, el formulario de autorización y el formulario de verificación profesional. La determinación de inelegibilidad incluirá las razones del hallazgo e informará al solicitante sobre el proceso de apelación.

C. Si no se toma una determinación dentro de los 21 días, se determinará que el solicitante es elegible temporalmente hasta que el Gerente de Movilidad tome la determinación final de elegibilidad.

D. Las personas elegibles recibirán una tarjeta de usuario especial y / o una carta que indique que son elegibles para MAT Paratransit y si también son "elegibles para ADA Paratransit". Esta documentación incluirá lo siguiente:

- Nombre de la persona elegible
- Nombre del proveedor de transporte, MAT Paratransit
- Número de teléfono para llamar para reservar un viaje
- Fecha inicial de elegibilidad
- Fecha de vencimiento de elegibilidad
- Cualquier condición o limitación que se aplique a la elegibilidad de la persona
- Si la persona elegible utiliza un asistente de cuidado personal
- Número de identificación asignado a la persona elegible

mi. Las personas elegibles deben renovar o recertificar la elegibilidad cada tres años, excepto en casos de elegibilidad temporal.

3.6 Proceso de apelaciones de elegibilidad [Regulación federal 49 CFR 37.125 (g)]

Un subcomité del Comité de Alcaldes del Área Metropolitana para Personas con Discapacidades (Subcomité de Apelaciones) servirá como la Junta de Apelaciones Administrativas para las ciudades de Fargo y Moorhead. El Comité consta de cuatro miembros del comité completo y un pasajero de Paratransit. Si el solicitante desea apelar la determinación de elegibilidad, se seguirá el siguiente proceso:

una. Se debe presentar una apelación por escrito dentro de los 60 días posteriores a la fecha de la carta en la que se notifica a la persona que se ha determinado que no es elegible para el servicio ADA Paratransit.

B. Las apelaciones por escrito se enviarán a MAT Paratransit, Transit Administration Office, 650 23rd Street North, Fargo, ND 58102 para su consideración.

C. El solicitante, o un representante de su elección, tendrá la oportunidad de ser escuchado y presentar información y argumentos en apoyo de su posición al Subcomité de Apelaciones del Comité de Alcaldes del Área Metropolitana para Personas con Discapacidades. El Oficial de Salud de la Ciudad de Fargo informará al Comité según sea necesario.

D. Se enviará al solicitante una determinación por escrito de la decisión sobre la apelación, incluidas las razones de dicha decisión, dentro de los 30 días posteriores a la finalización del proceso de apelación. Si no se toma una decisión dentro de los 30 días, se determinará que el solicitante es elegible temporalmente hasta que el Comité tome la decisión con respecto a la apelación.

Definición de individuo discapacitado

El individuo discapacitado se define como un individuo con un impedimento físico o mental que limita sustancialmente una o más de las principales actividades de la vida de dicho individuo; un registro de tal impedimento; o que se considere que tiene tal impedimento. La frase actividades principales de la vida significa funciones como cuidarse a sí mismo, realizar tareas manuales, caminar, ver, oír, hablar, respirar, aprender y trabajar.

Los impedimentos físicos o mentales incluyen: (1) Cualquier trastorno o condición físiológica, desfiguración cosmética o pérdida anatómica que afecte uno o más de los siguientes sistemas corporales: neurológico, musculoesquelético, órganos de los sentidos especiales, respiratorio, incluidos los órganos del habla, cardiovascular, reproductivo, digestivo, genitourinario, hemico y linfático, cutáneo y endocrino; (2) Cualquier trastorno mental o psicológico, como retraso mental, síndrome cerebral orgánico, enfermedad emocional o mental y discapacidades específicas de aprendizaje; (3) enfermedades y afecciones contagiosas o no contagiosas, que incluyen, pero se limitan a: impedimentos ortopédicos, visuales, del habla y auditivos; parálisis cerebral, epilepsia, distrofia muscular, esclerosis múltiple, cáncer, enfermedad cardíaca, diabetes, retraso mental, enfermedad emocional, discapacidades específicas de aprendizaje, enfermedad del VIH,

La discapacidad no incluye (1) homosexualidad o bisexualidad; (2) Travestismo, transexualismo, pedofilia, exhibicionismo, voyearismo, desórdenes de identidad de género que no sean resultado de impedimentos físicos u otros desórdenes de conducta sexual; (3) juego compulsivo, cleptomanía o piromanía; (4) trastornos por abuso de sustancias psicoactivas resultantes del uso ilegal actual de drogas.

Elegibilidad para tarifa con descuento en ruta fija

Una persona con discapacidad puede utilizar el sistema de ruta fija MATBUS con ayuda o en determinadas condiciones. La tarjeta de identificación del pasajero emitida por MATBUS le da derecho a una persona a viajar en el sistema de autobús de ruta fija (MATBUS) por una tarifa con descuento, que es \$ 0.75 en efectivo (la mitad de la tarifa en efectivo pagada por un adulto de \$ 1.50). Los pases y boletos de descuento para el sistema de ruta fija MATBUS están disponibles para la compra para personas con discapacidades en el Centro de transporte terrestre (GTC). El pasajero debe mostrar la tarjeta de identificación del pasajero al conductor al abordar el autobús o al despachador de GTC al comprar pases o boletos para recibir la tarifa con descuento. Consulte la Sección 3.5 - Proceso de elegibilidad para solicitar una Tarjeta de usuario especial.

Proceso de elegibilidad

Las personas deben enviar una solicitud por escrito completa a MATBUS.

Fargo, ND 58102

(701) 241-8140

TDD: Servicio de retransmisión 7-1-1

El gerente de movilidad de MATBUS es responsable de revisar las solicitudes y determinar la elegibilidad. Una solicitud de Paratransit completa incluye el formulario de solicitud, el formulario de autorización completado por el solicitante y un formulario de verificación profesional completado por el profesional autorizado para verificar la información del solicitante. MATBUS envía el formulario de verificación al profesional identificado por el solicitante en el formulario de autorización. La determinación final de elegibilidad se realizará dentro de los 21 días posteriores a la recepción del formulario de solicitud, el formulario de autorización y el formulario de verificación profesional. La determinación de inelegibilidad incluirá las razones del hallazgo e informará al solicitante sobre el proceso de apelación.

Si no se toma una determinación dentro de los 21 días, se determinará que el solicitante es elegible temporalmente hasta que el Gerente de Movilidad tome la determinación final de elegibilidad.

Las personas elegibles recibirán una tarjeta de identificación de pasajero y / o una carta que indique que son elegibles para MAT Paratransit y si también son "elegibles para ADA Paratransit". Esta documentación incluirá lo siguiente:

- Nombre de la persona elegible
- Nombre del proveedor de transporte, MAT Paratransit
- Número de teléfono para llamar para reservar un viaje
- Fecha inicial de elegibilidad
- Fecha de vencimiento, si corresponde, para la elegibilidad
- Cualquier condición o limitación que se aplique a la elegibilidad de la persona
- Si la persona elegible utiliza un asistente de cuidado personal
- Número de identificación asignado a la persona elegible

Proceso de apelaciones de elegibilidad

Un subcomité del Comité de Alcaldes del Área Metropolitana para Personas con Discapacidades (Subcomité de Apelaciones) servirá como la Junta de Apelaciones Administrativas para las ciudades de Fargo y Moorhead. El Comité consta de cuatro miembros del comité completo y un pasajero de Paratransit. Si el solicitante desea apelar la determinación de elegibilidad, se seguirá el siguiente proceso:

Se debe presentar una apelación por escrito dentro de los 60 días posteriores a la fecha de la carta en la que se notifica a la persona que se ha determinado que no es elegible para el servicio ADA Paratransit.

Las apelaciones por escrito se enviarán a MAT Paratransit, Transit Administration Office, 650 23rd Street North, Fargo, ND 58102 para su consideración.

El solicitante, o un representante de su elección, tendrá la oportunidad de ser escuchado y presentar información y argumentos en apoyo de su posición al Subcomité de Apelaciones del Comité de Alcaldes del Área Metropolitana para Personas con Discapacidades. El Oficial de Salud de la Ciudad de Fargo informará al Comité según sea necesario.

Se enviará al solicitante una determinación por escrito de la decisión sobre la apelación, incluidas las razones de dicha decisión, dentro de los 30 días posteriores a la finalización del proceso de apelación. Si no se toma una decisión dentro de los 30 días, se determinará que el solicitante es elegible temporalmente hasta que el Comité tome la decisión con respecto a la apelación.

Privacidad con respecto a la información médica

La información médica que pueda recopilarse como parte del proceso de determinación de elegibilidad no se compartirá con ninguna otra parte. Sin embargo, las ciudades de Fargo y Moorhead pueden compartir información sobre la capacidad funcional de una persona para utilizar los servicios de tránsito con otro sistema de tránsito si esto es necesario para determinar la elegibilidad en ese sistema.





Tarifa de descuento

Se alienta a las personas con discapacidades que pueden viajar en MATBUS a hacerlo y pueden viajar con una tarifa de descuento, que es de 75 ¢. Para recibir una tarifa con descuento, debe mostrarle al conductor una tarjeta de Medicare o una tarjeta de usuario especial al abordar el autobús. Un pase de 30 días cuesta \$ 26 para una persona con una tarjeta de usuario especial.

Tarjeta de identificación de ciclista

Una tarjeta de identificación de pasajero se entrega a una persona como certificación de que tiene derecho a ciertos servicios de transporte especiales de MAT. La elegibilidad condicional se indica en la tarjeta. Las tarjetas son emitidas por las Oficinas de Tránsito de las ciudades de Fargo y Moorhead.

Programación de un viaje

Llame al 701.235.4464 Opción 2 Relé 7-1-1 (solo TDD)

Para reservar un viaje. El horario de atención es de 8 am a 4:30 pm, de lunes a viernes. Todas las llamadas deben recibirse antes de las 4:30 pm Las reservaciones deben hacerse al menos un día antes de la fecha en que se necesita el viaje y pueden hacerse con hasta 8 días de anticipación. Las reservas para el mismo día se realizarán según lo permita el espacio.

Negociar su tiempo de viaje

El despachador de tránsito lo ayudará a reservar una hora de recogida. Dado que este es un servicio de viaje compartido que involucra a varios pasajeros a la vez, es posible que el despachador de tránsito no pueda programar su viaje a la hora solicitada.

El Despachador de Tránsito puede negociar con usted un horario alternativo dentro de una hora antes o después del horario solicitado inicialmente para acomodar las reservas ya hechas por otros pasajeros. Un pasajero puede pedirle al Despachador de Tránsito un número de confirmación una vez que se haya programado el viaje.

Se debe proporcionar la siguiente información al despachador de tránsito: Nombre de cada pasajero elegible

Si está acompañado por un asistente de cuidado personal e invitados

Direcciones de recogida y destino

Hora a la que desea llegar al destino

Hora de regreso

Si se requiere asistencia al conductor

Número de teléfono

Domingos y festivos

La ADA no requiere el servicio de Paratransit los domingos porque no hay servicio de ruta fija MATBUS los domingos. Las ciudades de Fargo, West Fargo, Moorhead y Dilworth optan por ofrecer un servicio limitado durante las horas de 7:00 a. M. Y 5:00 p. M. Para las personas que son elegibles para el servicio de paratránsito.

Las reservas se realizarán a través de un contestador automático los domingos y festivos solo para el servicio al día siguiente.

Para comunicarse con los conductores de Paratransit los domingos, comuníquese con: 701.730.8777 No llame a este número para hacer reservaciones.

Programación de viajes por suscripción

Si necesita viajar regularmente a la misma hora y al mismo destino, puede solicitar una reserva de suscripción. Las suscripciones tienen disponibilidad limitada y están determinadas por MAT Paratransit. Si tiene una suscripción, no necesitará llamar todas las semanas para reservar sus viajes. Tendrá que cancelar su viaje si cambian sus planes.

Los viajes con suscripción durante las horas de mayor demanda pueden estar restringidos a viajes por trabajo, escuela, comidas y servicios médicos. Se pueden establecer listas de espera. La cancelación constante de su viaje de suscripción puede resultar en la pérdida de la reserva.

Programación de viajes de regreso

Debe hacer una reservación de regreso al mismo tiempo que hace su reservación para que lo recojan para un viaje no médica. Las solicitudes de los reseignes para cambian los horarios de recogido de develución parque están listes.

medico. Las soficitudes de los pasajeros para cambiar los norarios de recogida de devolución porque estan fistas temprano pueden aceptarse si hay espacio disponible. El conductor no debe retrasar a otros pasajeros ni prolongar

indebidamente su viaje para acomodar una recogida anticipada. El pasajero no debe esperar que se le proporcione un viaje más temprano si pide que lo recojan antes.

Viajes de regreso médico

Si lo dejan en una cita médica y espera terminar antes de las 2:00 pm de lunes a viernes, llame al despachador de tránsito cuando esté listo para que lo recojan. Si su cita médica no termina hasta después de las 2:00 pm, es necesaria una reserva de regreso. Los retrasos médicos se solucionan de la mejor manera posible cuando las citas se prolongan más de lo previsto.

Cancelaciones, no presentaciones y sanciones

Si sus planes cambian, debe llamar para cancelar su reserva. Los viajes deben cancelarse al menos dos horas antes de la hora de recogida programada. De lo contrario, serán considerados "No Show" y estarán sujetos a penalización. Si recibe 3 o más no presentaciones en un período de 30 días y equivalen al 10% o más de sus viajes totales en ese período, enfrentará una suspensión del servicio de 1 semana. Las violaciones continuas de la política de no presentación resultarán en suspensiones más largas. Se tendrán en cuenta los problemas relacionados con la discapacidad o si el conductor llega más de 15 minutos tarde a su recogida.

Si no está presente y listo para partir cuando llega el vehículo y el conductor esperó 5 minutos, su viaje se considerará no presentado. Su viaje de regreso preprogramado se mantendrá en el horario a menos que llame y lo cancele. Si no está presente para el viaje de regreso preprogramado, ese viaje se considerará una ausencia adicional.

Costo de viaje

Cada pasajero e invitado debe pagar una tarifa.

Los

conductores con tarifa exacta requerida no dan cambio.

\$ 3.00 en efectivo

\$ 3.00 cupón prepago (se vende en libros de 20 por \$ 60)

PCA

Los asistentes de cuidado personal y los niños menores de 7 años viajan gratis con un pasajero elegible.

Los talonarios de cupones se pueden comprar al conductor en su próximo viaje. Los libros de cupones NO son reembolsables.

¿Puedo pagar por adelantado?

Las tarifas no se pueden pagar por adelantado (excepto mediante la compra de cupones) ni facturar en una fecha posterior. El pasajero debe pagar su viaje en el momento en que lo hace. Es aceptable pagar el viaje y el viaje de regreso al mismo tiempo.

Cada tarifa es aplicable a un viaje completo. Una vez que el pasajero desembarca del vehículo o llega a su destino, se completa un viaje. Al ingresar nuevamente al mismo u otro vehículo, comienza un nuevo viaje y el pasajero deberá pagar una nueva tarifa.

La tarifa para cada viaje de ida es de \$ 3.00 en efectivo. Se requiere tarifa exacta; los conductores no tienen cambio. Los libros de cupones se pueden comprar por \$ 60.00 que contienen 20 cupones de viaje. Los libros de cupones están

disponibles en MAT Paratransit, Metro Transit Garage, 650 23rd Street North, Fargo, ND 58102, o con el conductor de MAT Paratransit. El conductor cobrará el pago del pasajero en el momento en que reciba el talonario de cupones. Las siguientes condiciones se aplican a las ventas de libros de cupones: (1) Los cupones no se pueden canjear por efectivo bajo ninguna circunstancia. (2) Los libros perdidos o robados no serán reemplazados. (3) Los cupones son válidos solo en MAT Paratransit y en Metro Senior Ride.

Esta estructura de tarifas se aplica tanto a la persona elegible como a sus invitados. Los asistentes de cuidado personal viajan gratis. Los niños en edad preescolar (menores de 7 años) viajan gratis cuando están acompañados por un pasajero adulto elegible.

Las tarifas no se pueden pagar por adelantado (excepto mediante la compra de cupones) ni facturar en una fecha posterior. MAT Paratransit no ofrece a los pasajeros la opción de viajar con crédito. El pasajero debe pagar su viaje en el momento en que lo hace. Si un pasajero no tiene un boleto y se encuentra en un lugar donde sería peligroso dejarlo allí, el conductor debe proporcionar el viaje y MAT Paratransit cobrará por ese viaje antes de que el pasajero viaje nuevamente. Si el pasajero no paga el viaje otorgado a crédito la próxima vez que viaje, se le enviará una factura y el pasajero no podrá reservar otro viaje hasta que se pague la deuda a MAT Paratransit. Un pasajero tiene la opción de pagar su viaje y su viaje de regreso al mismo tiempo. En este caso, el conductor le dará al pasajero un boleto laminado para su viaje de regreso.

Previa solicitud, los conductores ayudarán a recuperar el pago de la tarifa para los pasajeros que no puedan hacerlo de forma independiente debido a su discapacidad. Sin embargo, dicha asistencia no incluirá la eliminación de efectivo / cupones de una cartera. Los pasajeros pueden pedir a los conductores que retiren la tarifa de un contenedor especial que esté disponible y fácilmente identificable como que contiene su tarifa (como una bolsa con cierre hermético).

Propósito del viaje

No se imponen restricciones o prioridades basadas en el propósito del viaje para los viajes ofrecidos por MAT Paratransit, con la excepción de los viajes por suscripción.

Requisito de notificación anticipada

Las reservas se pueden hacer no más de una semana antes de que la persona desee viajar. Las reservas deben hacerse al menos un día antes de la fecha en que la persona desea viajar. Las reservas se realizan por orden de llegada.

Las reservas de devolución no médica deben realizarse al mismo tiempo que realiza la reserva para que lo recojan.

Devoluciones médicas: A menos que lo solicite el pasajero, los viajes de regreso médico no se reservan cuando se realiza la reserva. El viaje de regreso se enumera como una reserva de "visita médica" y se coloca en una lista de espera. El pasajero debe comunicarse con el despachador de tránsito cuando quiera que lo recojan de su cita. Las devoluciones médicas después de las 2:00 p.m. deberán reservarse con anticipación debido a la proximidad del final del día hábil a las 4:30 p.m.

Reservas el mismo día

Para satisfacer las necesidades de viaje de último momento, se pueden hacer reservaciones para el servicio el mismo día, según lo permita el espacio. Sin embargo, MAT Paratransit no brinda servicios médicos de emergencia ni operamos como un servicio de taxi. No se harán reservas para el mismo día después de las 3:00 pm, de lunes a viernes o en cualquier momento los sábados y domingos.

Horas de oficina

Las reservas se realizarán durante el horario habitual de oficina, de 8:00 am a 4:30 pm, de lunes a viernes. Las reservaciones se toman los domingos para el servicio de los lunes a través del correo de voz. Las reservaciones se tomarán los días festivos para el servicio al día siguiente si ese día es un día de servicio regular a través del correo de voz. Las llamadas para programar reservaciones deben recibirse antes de las 4:30 pm, de lunes a viernes.

El encargado de reservas de guardia revisará los mensajes de correo de voz los domingos y feriados después de las 4:30 p. M. Para reservar viajes para el servicio del día siguiente. Confirmarán con el pasajero si el viaje estaba disponible o no. Los Despachadores de Tránsito también revisarán el correo de voz diariamente cuando lleguen para registrar las cancelaciones.

Recogidas anticipadas para viajes programados

Las solicitudes de los pasajeros para cambiar los horarios de recogida de devolución porque están listas temprano pueden aceptarse si hay espacio disponible. El conductor no debe retrasar a otros pasajeros ni prolongar indebidamente su viaje para acomodar una recogida anticipada. El pasajero no debe esperar que se le proporcione un viaje más temprano si pide que lo recojan antes. Llamar repetidamente al despachador o al encargado de reservas durante un período corto de tiempo para preguntar sobre su viaje es una interrupción del servicio y no se recomienda.

Procedimientos de cancelación

Los viajes deben cancelarse al menos dos horas antes de la hora de recogida programada; de lo contrario, el viaje se considerará no presentado y estará sujeto a sanciones. Sea cortés con los demás que puedan necesitar transporte y llame para solicitar la cancelación lo antes posible.

Si el pasajero no está presente y listo para partir cuando llega el vehículo y el conductor esperó 5 minutos, el viaje se considera que no se presentó. El viaje de regreso preprogramado permanecerá en el horario a menos que el pasajero llame para cancelarlo. Si el pasajero no está presente para el viaje de regreso programado previamente, ese viaje se considerará una ausencia adicional.

El reloj del encargado de la reserva es la hora correcta para determinar el retraso de las cancelaciones. Para tener en cuenta las diferencias en los relojes, una persona puede cancelar hasta cinco minutos tarde (una hora y 55 minutos antes de su viaje programado) y aún así ser considerado a tiempo.

Sanciones impuestas por "no presentarse"

Si un pasajero no se presenta a un viaje programado o no canceló el viaje al menos dos horas antes del viaje programado, ese pasajero se considera que no se presentó.

Las ausencias toman un viaje que podría haber sido llenado por otro pasajero y puede reducir la cantidad de pasajeros a los que se les puede brindar el servicio. Por lo tanto, las ausencias se consideran perjudiciales para el servicio de tránsito y se imponen las siguientes sanciones:

Una no presentación ocurre cuando un cliente no aparece dentro de los cinco minutos posteriores a la llegada del vehículo dentro de la ventana de 15 minutos antes o después de la hora programada de recogida. Por ejemplo, si un cliente programa un viaje a las 2 p. M., Puede ser recogido en cualquier momento entre las 1:45 p. M. Y las 2:15 p. M.

Si el vehículo llega a la 1:50 p. M., El cliente tiene hasta la 1:55 p. M. Para presentarse sin siendo documentado como No-Show. Cada No-Show se documenta y cuenta como un (1) punto de penalización.

Una "cancelación tardía" ocurre cuando un cliente cancela un viaje menos de dos horas antes de la hora programada de recogida. Por ejemplo, si un cliente programa un viaje a las 10 a. M., Puede cancelar su viaje hasta las 8 a. M. Sin que se documente como cancelación tardía. Cada cancelación tardía se documenta, se trata como una ausencia y se cuenta como un (1) punto de penalización.

Cualquier período de 30 días en el que un cliente "no se presentó" o "canceló tarde" al menos el 10% de sus viajes programados, será elegible para la suspensión. Para asegurar la suspensión de solo aquellos clientes que tienen un patrón establecido o la práctica de programar viajes y luego no tomarlos, un cliente tendrá que acumular tres o más puntos de penalización para recibir una suspensión. Un cliente estará sujeto a suspensión solo si se alcanza tanto el mínimo del 10% como el número mínimo de puntos de penalización durante el período de 30 días.

La duración de la suspensión de un cliente se ajustará al siguiente programa:

- Primera infracción: suspensión de 7 días (1 semana)
- Segunda infracción: suspensión de 14 días (2 semanas)
- Tercera infracción: 21 días (3 semanas)) suspensión (pérdida de suscripción)
- Cuarta y todas las infracciones posteriores: suspensión de 28 días (4 semanas) (pérdida de suscripción)

Las ausencias y cancelaciones tardías debido a un error de MAT Paratransit no se contarán ni el cliente recibirá puntos de penalización. Tras la primera cancelación tardía o no presentación de un cliente en el año calendario, se enviará una carta de advertencia. No se emitirán más advertencias.

Las violaciones de esta política resultarán en la suspensión según el programa anterior. Si un cliente no se presenta o cancela tardíamente debido a circunstancias fuera de su control, puede llamar al gerente de movilidad de MAT Paratransit al 701-476-5967 para explicar la circunstancia y solicitar la revisión y / o eliminación de la cancelación tardía o no presentación. Tales circunstancias incluyen enfermedades repentinas, emergencias familiares y citas médicas que duran más de lo esperado, así como circunstancias debidas a la discapacidad del individuo y fuera de su control.

Un viaje cancelado de acuerdo con nuestra política (es decir, más de dos horas antes del inicio de la ventana de recogida) no se contará en el número total de viajes reservados, ni recibirá puntos de penalización. Los clientes pueden cancelar su viaje con anticipación comunicándose con los encargados de reservas de MAT Paratransit al 701-235-4464.

Los viajes posteriores después de una ausencia programada para el mismo día NO se cancelarán automáticamente y el cliente deberá cancelarlos si no tiene la intención de realizarlos. Si no son cancelados por el cliente y las ausencias del cliente, estos viajes se contarán en el número total de ausencias y cancelaciones tardías para el período de 30 días y se evaluarán los puntos de penalización correspondientes.

MAT Paratransit notificará a los pasajeros de todas las suspensiones enviando una carta por escrito con al menos dos semanas de anticipación. La carta identificará los puntos evaluados en su historial que conducen a la suspensión. Las ausencias o cancelaciones tardías deben disputarse dentro de los 30 días a partir de la fecha en que ocurrieron. Antes de enviar una carta de suspensión, MAT Paratransit revisará todas las ausencias y cancelaciones tardías para garantizar que el proceso se haya seguido correctamente y que se represente un recuento preciso. Cualquier No-Show o Cancelación Tardía que se encuentre por error será eliminado de la cuenta del cliente.

Proceso de apelaciones para la suspensión del servicio

Sanciones impuestas por "No Presentarse", se debe presentar una apelación por escrito antes de la fecha en que debe comenzar la suspensión. Durante el proceso de apelaciones, se restablecerá la elegibilidad para el servicio pendiente de resolución.

Sanciones impuestas por "No Show", se debe presentar una apelación por escrito 14 días antes de la fecha en que debe comenzar la suspensión. Durante el proceso de apelaciones, se restablecerá la elegibilidad para el servicio pendiente

de resolucion.

Un subcomité del Comité de Alcaldes del Área Metropolitana para Personas con Discapacidades (Subcomité de

Apelaciones) servirá como la Junta de Apelaciones Administrativas para las ciudades de Fargo y Moorhead. El Comité consta de cuatro miembros del comité completo y un pasajero de Paratransit. Si el solicitante desea apelar la suspensión, se seguirá el siguiente proceso:

- a. Se debe presentar una apelación por escrito dentro de los 14 días posteriores a la fecha de la carta en la que se notifica al individuo que se ha determinado que no es elegible para el servicio ADA Paratransit.
- B. Las apelaciones por escrito se enviarán a MAT Paratransit, Transit Administration Office, 650 23rd Street North, Fargo, ND 58102 para su consideración.
- C. El solicitante, o un representante de su elección, tendrá la oportunidad de ser escuchado y presentar información y argumentos en apoyo de su posición al Subcomité de Apelaciones del Comité de Alcaldes del Área Metropolitana para Personas con Discapacidades. El Oficial de Salud de la Ciudad de Fargo, un médico, asesorará al Comité según sea necesario.
- D. Se enviará al solicitante una determinación por escrito de la decisión sobre la apelación, incluidas las razones de dicha decisión, dentro de los 30 días posteriores a la finalización del proceso de apelación. Si no se toma una decisión dentro de los 30 días, se determinará que el solicitante es elegible temporalmente hasta que el Comité tome la decisión con respecto a la apelación.

Calendario

<u>Ver calendario completo ></u>

Attachment E

Language Access Plan (LAP) for MATBUS

Introduction and Purpose

MATBUS is the public transportation system serving the communities of Fargo and West Fargo, ND, and Moorhead and Dilworth, MN. MATBUS provides convenient, cost-effective city transportation throughout the metro area with 24 fixed routes, linking riders to employment, education, healthcare, entertainment, and more.

MATBUS is committed to complying with all civil rights laws, including Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VI), which requires us to ensure individuals with limited English proficiency (LEP) have meaningful access to our services. The term LEP refers to individuals who have a limited ability to read, write, or understand English.

The purpose of this plan is to make reasonable efforts to eliminate or reduce barriers to MATBUS services. This plan sets forth standards, operating principles, and guidelines that govern the delivery of language appropriate services.

This plan is a management document that outlines how MATBUS defines tasks, sets deadlines and priorities, assigns responsibility and allocates the resources necessary to maintain compliance with language access requirements.

In order to prepare this plan, MATBUS used the four-factor LEP analysis which considers the following factors:

- 1. The number or proportion of LEP persons in the service area who may be served by MATBUS
- 2. The frequency with which LEP persons come in contact with MATBUS services.
- 3. The nature and importance of services provided by MATBUS to the LEP population.
- 4. The interpretation services available to MATBUS and overall cost to provide LEP assistance.

A summary of the results of the four-factor analysis is in the following section.


1. The number or proportion of LEP persons in the service area who may be served by MATBUS

MATBUS provides safe reliable transportation to the cities of Fargo, West Fargo, Moorhead, and Dilworth. We did a self-assessment to determine what type of contact MATBUS has with the LEP population; we then developed a language access policy to implement the plan and procedures.

The most recent Population Composition and Percentage Change by Race and Ethnicity, by the United States Census Bureau estimates as of 2019:

English only 90.8% Spanish 1.4% Other Indo-European Languages 3.7% Asian and Pacific Islander languages 1.4% Other languages 2.7%

Data provided by the United States Census Bureau.



Notes	O 1 Geo	1 Years	Topics	Surveys	<u>123</u> Codes	Hide	Transpose	+/_ Margin of Error	Restore	Excel	<u>↓</u> Download	Print	Map	
				Fargo	city, North	n Dakota								
Label					Estimate			Margin of Error			Percent	Percent Margin of Error		
> SEX A	AND AGE													
▼ RACE														
∨ To	tal popul	ation					123,7	36	±2,892			123,736	(X)	
(One race						118,7	96	±3,768			96.0%	±2.0	
1	Two or m	ore races				4,940		40	±2,527			4.0%	±2.0	
~	One race	9				118,796		96	±3,768			96.0%	±2.0	
	White					101,605		05	±3,308			82.1%	±1.5	
	Black	or Africar	America	n		11,044		44	±916			8.9%	±0.8	
	> Amer	ican Indi	an and Al	aska Na		1,626		26	±846			1.3%	±0.7	
	> Asian	ı				3,690		90	±1,702			3.0%	±1.4	
	> Nativ	e Hawaii	an and Ot	her Paci	0		0	±165			0.0%	±0.1		
	Some	other rac	е			831		31	±621				0.7%	±0.5
➤ Two or more races				4,940		40	±2,527			4.0%		±2.0		
White and Black or African Amer				1,198		98	±910				1.0%	±0.7		
White and American Indian and				1,572		72		±855			1.3%	±0.7		
White and Asian				1,908		08	±2,024			1.5%	±1.6			
	Black	or Africar	America	n and A				24		±50			0.0%	±0.1

2. The frequency with which LEP persons come in contact with MATBUS services.

To date MATBUS employees have had no requests for interpreters and very few requests for documents translated into a language other than English.

3. The nature and importance of services provided by MATBUS to the LEP population.

There is no large geographic concentration of any type of LEP individuals in the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Statistical Area. The overwhelming majority of the population in the MSA, 90.8%, speak only English. As a result, there are few social, service, or professional and leadership organizations within the MSA that focus on outreach to LEP individuals. MATBUS staff are most likely to contact LEP individuals through the following:

The LEP community may interact with MATBUS staff while at the Ground Transportation Center (GTC), while riding the Fixed Route buses, Paratransit, or at the Metro Transit Garage (MTG).

GTC: This is the main hub for passengers to make transfers, purchase fare media, or ask MATBUS personnel with assistance with their trips.

<u>Fixed Route:</u> MATBUS has routes throughout the metro area including many institutions that serve the LEP community.

<u>Paratransit:</u> Is a demand response system, providing door through door service for people with disabilities and the elderly that cannot navigate the Fixed Route systems.

<u>MTG:</u> The administrative offices for MATBUS and houses the Fixed Route and Paratransit vehicles. Members of the LEP community may interact with MATBUS staff to do a variety of business.

4. The resources available to MATBUS and overall costs to provide LEP assistance.

MATBUS reviewed its available resources that could be used for providing LEP assistance.

Website

A main resource for members of the community is the MATBUS website. The MATBUS website utilizes Google Translate and can convert the text on the website to the language desired by the user.

I Speak Cards

In order to be able to provide language assistance we need to identify who needs the assistance. MATBUS will use the language identification cards to invite LEP persons to identify their own language needs.

Metro Interpreters Resource Center

The City of Fargo utilizes the services of the Metro Interpreters Resource Center for Interpreter needs. Employees may call 701-526-3000 or email mirc@culturaldiversityresources.org.

Language Assistance Measures

The type of language assistance necessary to provide meaningful access will vary depending on the type of communication staff is having with the LEP person (e.g. phone, in person or written communication) and in some circumstances more than one method will work. We recognize the importance of providing language assistance services in a timely manner and in an appropriate place. Failure to do so may effectively delay or deny LEP passengers to our services.

We have chosen to translate vital documents only into Spanish upon request. We will consider other requests on a case-by-case basis.

"Vital" depends upon the importance of the program, information, encounter, or service involved, and/or the consequence to the LEP community and if the information in question is provided in an accurate or timely manner. The determination of what documents are considered "vital" is left to the discretion of the individuals involved, which are in the best position to evaluate their circumstances, service, and resources.

Staff Responsibilities Regarding LEP Policies and Procedures

MATBUS staff will take reasonable steps to provide language assistance services to LEP individuals when they encounter or have reason to believe that they may encounter LEP individuals.

Point of First Contact / Language Assistance Services by language

At the point of first contact with an LEP individual, staff should make reasonable efforts to conduct or arrange for an initial assessment of the need for language assistance services. If needed, staff should make reasonable efforts to obtain such services to effectively communicate with the individual. Staff can determine whether a person needs language assistance in several ways:

- 1. Self-identification by the non-English speaker, LEP individual or companion;
- 2. Inquiring as to the primary language of the individual if they have self-identified as needing language assistance services.
- 3. Using "I Speak" language identification card or poster.
- 4. Contact Metro Interpreters Resource Center 701-526-3000

Staff Training

Departmental staff must know how and when to use language assistance services. For policies and procedures to be effective, MATBUS will make reasonable efforts to ensure new and existing staff members periodically receive training on the content of the LAP.

Oversight of the LAP – Monitoring and Updates

- The designated staff at MATBUS will review this document periodically when updating the Title VI plan.
- As it is released, MATBUS will review new demographic data and will update the plan as needed.
- As new technology becomes available, it will be reviewed for possible inclusion into the plan as needed.
- As new services become available, MATBUS will determine if the plan needs to be updated.
- Determine whether MATBUS's financial resources are sufficient to fund language assistance resources needed.
- Determine where MATBUS fully complies with the goals of this LAP Plan.
- Determine whether complaints have been received concerning MATBUS's failure to meet the needs of LEP individuals.
- Maintain a Title VI complaint log, including LEP to determine issues and basis of complaints.
- Other situations that may arise which may affect the LEP community and their access to MATBUS services.

Attachment F

Memorandum

To: MAT Coordinating Board

From: Shaun Crowell – Mobility Manager

Date: March 16th, 2022

Re: 2022 Fargo Title VI Program



RECOMMENDATION: The MAT Coordinating Board are asked to consider the following actions:

1. Approval in substantial form the Fargo Transit Title VI Civil Rights Program; and

2. Authorization to submit same to the Fargo City Commission and then to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

BACKGROUND / KEY POINTS: All programs receiving financial assistance from the FTA are subject to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d) and the Department of Transportation's implementing regulations, Circular 4702.1B.

As a recipient of federal funding, MATBUS for the City of Fargo Transit must:

- Ensure that the level and quality of public transportation service is provided in a nondiscriminatory manner;
- Promote full and fair participation in public transportation decision-making without regard to race, color or national origin;
- Ensure meaningful access to transit-related programs and activities by persons with limited English proficiency.

Every three years, City of Fargo Transit must report to FTA by submitting materials that show their programs, policies and activities comply with DOT's Title VI regulations. All recipients must also have their Title VI program approved by the appropriate governing official, i.e. the City Commission for City-operated entities.

Fargo Transit's Title VI program includes the following:

- Any notices to the public of protections against discrimination afforded by Title VI, as well as a list of their locations;
- A Title VI discrimination complaint form and instructions to the public on how to complete it;

- A list of any public transportation-related Title VI investigations, complaints or lawsuits filed with the recipient since the time of the last submission;
- A public participation plan including outreach to minority and limited English proficient populations within the service area (may also include other traditionally underserved constituencies, such as people with disabilities and low-income populations);
- The recipient's plan for assisting persons with limited English proficiency;
- The recipient's service standards and service policies;
- Any additional relevant information that demonstrates adherence to Title VI regulations.

The previous program submission received approval from the regional FTA Civil Rights Officer in 2019 and will expire November 30, 2022. The 2022 submission must be received and approved prior to October 1, 2022; therefore, the draft program must be approved by the City and a certified resolution forwarded to FTA upon approval. FTA may require additional documentation during their review, which will be provided by Transit staff upon request.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS: There are no costs involved in the approval of this policy.

89th Meeting of the Metro Area Transit Coordinating Board March 16, 2022 Virtual Meeting

Members Present:

Jim Aasness, Dilworth City Council
Paul Grindeland, Valley Senior Services
Kevin Hanson, Chair
Steve Lindaas, Moorhead City Council
Brad Olson, West Fargo City Commission
Larry Seljevold, Moorhead City Council
Brit Stevens, NDSU
Teresa Stolfus, M|State
John Strand, Fargo City Commission

Members Absent:

Brian Arett, Valley Senior Services Jackie Maahs, Concordia College Arlette Preston, Fargo City Commission Annie Wood, MSUM

Others Present:

Julie Bommelman, City of Fargo Shaun Crowell, City of Fargo Taaren Haak, City of Moorhead Luke Grittner, City of Fargo Michael Maddox, FM Metro COG Jordan Smith, City of Moorhead Cole Swingen, City of Fargo Lori Van Beek, City of Moorhead Megan Zahradka, City of Moorhead

1. Call to Order and Introductions

Chair Hanson called the meeting to order. A quorum was present.

2. Action Items

a. October 20, 2021 Meeting Minutes

The October meeting minutes were unavailable at the time of the March meeting. It was decided that this would be reviewed and approved at the next MAT Coordinating Board meeting. No action was required.

b. Fargo Title VI Plan - Shaun Crowell

Mr. Crowell explained that they are required to update their Title IV program every three years. Moorhead's Title VI Plan was updated last year and this year they have worked on an update to Fargo's which is due this year.

Mr. Hanson asked to clarify that this is a mandatory plan that is reviewed on a regular basis and if there were no major changes to the plan for this update. Mr. Crowell confirmed that there were no real changes other than updated information gathered from the past three years.

A motion to recommend approval to the Fargo City Commission was made by Mr. Olson and seconded by Mr. Grindeland. The motion was voted on an unanimously approved.

c. 2023 Preliminary Budgets/Capital/FTA Section 5307 Highlights – Julie Bommelman and Lori Van Beek

Ms. Bommelman and Ms. Van Beek presented highlights of the proposed 2023 budget. This included an excerpt from the 10-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). Ms. Bommelman spoke first regarding the City of Fargo's capital projects for 2023. She did point out an error in the numbers presented for the replacement of 2010 para scheduling software. The federal share for the project should have been marked as \$80,000 and the local share as \$20,000. Other proposed capital projects included purchasing of support equipment, Metro Transit Garage upgrades and bus replacements.

Mr. Hanson asked how this proposal compared to previous years. Ms. Bommelman confirmed that this is a typical budget similar to other years.

A motion to provide the CIP to the Fargo budget team and recommend the 2023 projects for consideration in the 2023 budget was made by Mr. Olson and seconded by Mr. Seljevold. The motion was voted on an unanimously approved.

Ms. Bommelman then continued to summarize the City of Fargo's proposed operating budget for 2023. The 2023 operating budget estimates higher increased costs for fuel, technical support, First Transit services, custodial, snow removal and hauling, paratransit and farebox cards. The overall increase from FY22 to FY 23 is approximately 7% on the high end. A table comparing the operating costs between financial years was presented. Ms. Van Beek added that the City of Moorhead also shares some of these costs.

A motion to provide the 2023 operating budget to the Fargo budget team and recommend the projections for consideration in the 2023 budget was made by Mr. Lindaas and seconded by Mr. Strand. The motion was voted on an unanimously approved.

Ms. Van Beek presented the City of Moorhead's proposed 2023 capital projects. This included a paratransit vehicle replacement, facility improvements, replacing facility equipment and updating technology.

Mr. Hanson asked to confirm whether federal and state funding for capital projects was typical for this budget. Ms. Van Beek explained that Moorhead has not been spending their full yearly apportionment and is allowed to bank that for future larger purchases. Therefore, there is an increase using past funds from 2022.

A motion to provide the 2023-2027 CIP to the Moorhead City Manager and recommend the 2023 projects for consideration in the 2023 budget was made by Mr. Lindaas and seconded by Mr. Grindeland. The motion was voted on an unanimously approved.

Ms. Van Beek then presented the City of Moorhead's proposed 2023 operating budget. Preliminary indications are that the State increase may be between 7-10% to address staff shortages and wage increases implemented by transit agencies across Minnesota. No new service changes are proposed at this time.

Mr. Grindeland asked if there had been any discussions about increasing fares for paratransit. Ms. Van Beek replied that no such discussions have been had.

Mr. Lindaas brought up the need to address fuel price increases. Ms. Van Beek mentioned that the State of Minnesota has an economic forecaster who provides an average cost of fuel for their grant application and alluded to information that Jordan Smith will present later in the meeting regarding the fuel bid process. Mr. Smith added that the City of Fargo bids out fuel 6-months in advance.

A motion to direct staff to move forward with operating budgets and grant applications for 2023 in coordination with the Moorhead City Manager was made by Mr. Lindaas and seconded by Mr. Aasness. The motion was voted on an unanimously approved.

3. Informational Items

a. Address Staff Shortages - Lori Van Beek & Julie Bommelman

i. Amendment to First Transit Contract

Ms. Bommelman explained that a contract amendment with First Transit was approved by the Fargo Commission and Moorhead Council, due to driver shortages and the need to attract new and retain existing staff. This amendment included increasing pay for drivers, reducing the number of steps on the pay scale and increasing the First Transit management fee to cover wage increases.

ii. Other GTC Staff

Ms. Bommelman and Ms. Van Beek also presented other strategies that MATBUS have implemented to attract drivers as well as operations supervisors and dispatchers. This has included wage increases and advertising on buses.

iii. Temporary Service Reductions due To Driver Shortage – Cole Swingen & Taaren Haak

Ms. Haak explained that there have been temporary service reductions since January 10, due to continued driver shortages. All services have been ending at 9:45pm, plus there have been additional timetable reductions to specific routes. This is in effect until at least May 2022, with a two-week notice given before reinstating service. Riders have been alerted of these changes through news releases, website posts, social media and posters at the GTC. Mr. Swingen then presented a summary breakdown of reduced services by route.

Mr. Hanson asked if MATBUS had received any complaints or comments about the reduced service. Ms. Van Beek noted that cutting service at 9:45pm was not a big issue, however riders have been anxious about reducing frequency on Fargo routes due to longer wait times if riders miss their bus. Increasing frequency of service on popular routes is a priority once MATBUS is able.

Mr. Lindaas spoke about the usefulness of the MATBUS app to see where buses are. He wondered about the data they can collect from this. Ms. Van Beek confirmed that this information is useful when analyzing frequency by route, bus stop and time of day to determine where service can be reduced.

Mr. Lindaas also asked about other incentives to attract drivers. Ms. Van Beek mentioned incentives for referrals or sign-on and safety bonuses.

b. Federal Triennial Review Update - Julie Bommelman & Lori Van Beek

Ms. Van Beek explained that this Federal Transit Administration triennial review was a review of a four-year period due to covid. MATBUS requested for an extension and were able to submit by the February deadline. Staff will be conducting a review of the document items before a final virtual meeting with the results held in June. A summary list of the required items for review were presented.

c. TMA/Large UZA Letter to FTA (Splitting Funds Formula) – Julie Bommelman & Lori Van Beek

Ms. Bommelman went through some context regarding Fargo-Moorhead urbanized area (UZA) becoming a Transportation Management Area (TMA). This occurs once a UZA exceeds 200,000 in population, which Fargo-Moorhead is expected to have reached upon completion of the 2020 census. This could potentially be in place by the start of the federal fiscal year, October 1, 2022. A designated recipient will receive apportioned funds from the FTA and be responsible for managing those funds. The designated recipient of the funds must provide a "split letter" if they wish to authorize another public entity to be a direct recipient for Section 5307 funds. The amount of funds available to direct recipients is determined cooperatively by the public transit provider, the MPO, and the designated recipient for the UZA. The FTA encourages the designation of a single designated recipient for each UZA, including UZAs that span more than one state. Ms. Bommelman summarized that a discussion will need to be had to determine who the designated recipient will be before passing this information on to the respective governors in each state. Ms. Van Beek added that this summer the FTA will notify the UZA of how much funding they will receive.

d. 2021 Annual Achievements Report – Julie Bommelman & Lori Van Beek

Ms. Van Beek presented a summarized list of achievements from this past year. This included implementing bus green light priority, farebox upgrades, awarded bids for replacement of buses, approving a new account-based fare structure with mobile ticketing, route changes and shelter upgrades. Mr. Smith spoke about facility improvements that were completed in 2021, including most of Phase 2 of the GTC construction project. Ms. Van Beek mentioned completing the five-year Transit Development Plan, having begun implementation of the Safety Plan and beginning a new two-year contract with First Transit.

e. Request for Proposals - Cole Swingen

i. Shelter Window Cleaning

Mr. Swingen spoke about the bids MATBUS will be requesting in 2022. This includes a 5-year contract to clean all MATBUS bus shelters and empty trash receptacles on a weekly/biweekly basis.

ii. Shelter Snow Removal

MATBUS will bid for a 5-year contract to clear and remove snow from all MATBUS shelters, as well as the Metro Transit Garage and GTC.

iii. Custodial Services at GTC & MTG

MATBUS will also bid for a 5-year contract to provide daily custodial services for the Metro Transit Garage and GTC facilities. Mr. Swingen also mentioned purchasing replacements for day-to-say scheduling software for both paratransit and fixed route operations.

f. Genfare System Implementation Update - Lori Van Beek & Jordan Smith

Ms. Van Beek presented an example screenshot of the Genfare system. This will go live no later than April 30th and MATBUS has been successful in testing out the mobile app thus far. There will also be an education campaign with videos of how to use it.

g. Mobility Management Updates - Shaun Crowell

Mr. Crowell provided a quick run-down of what they have been working on. He explained that they will be resuming in-person Travel Trainer Training at the GTC in March. They are in the process of updating the 2022 FM Ride Source Directory and have updated the MAT Paratransit Brochure. They have also submitted the Fargo Title VI Program for approval and are working on the DBE goals for Fargo and Moorhead for the next three years. In 2021, they processed 288 MAT Paratransit applications (up from 203 in 2020) and continue to be active members of the Civil and Human Rights Task Force through Freedom Resource Center.

h. 2021 Year-end Operations Reports – Cole Swingen & Lori Van Beek

Mr. Swingen gave an overview of some of the trends in transit ridership in 2021. Despite slight decreases in ridership on most routes between 2020 and 2021, there was a significant increase in paratransit riders over the same period, having recovered to 2019 levels. Ms. Van Beek added that the same decrease in ridership in 2021 was observed in Moorhead. Some of this decrease in ridership can be explained by the resuming of fares after having been fare-free for much of 2020. There was a general increase in college ridership in 2021 as students returned to in-person classes. Metro Senior Ride ridership is on its way to recovery. On-time performance across Fargo routes was a mixed-bag in 2021 whereas Moorhead on-time performance improved slightly across most routes. Mr. Swingen also when through a summary of reported incidents and collisions, which increased from 2020.

i. 2022 Jan-Feb Operations Report – Cole Swingen & Lori Van Beek

Ms. Van Beek pointed out that ridership for Senior Ride is up so far this year. Mr. Swingen showed that ridership across many fixed routes has continued to decrease compared to this time last year. Route 33 however has seen an increase of 271% due to college student ridership, making up for much of the decrease in ridership elsewhere in Fargo. Ms. Van Beek showed that Moorhead has continued to see a decrease in ridership in the first two months of this year. On-time performance so far this year has been decent despite the winter weather. Mr. Swingen also when through a summary of reported incidents and collisions from the start of this year.

j. Marketing Activities and Annual Marketing Plan for 2022 – Taaren Haak & Luke Grittner

Mr. Grittner spoke about MATBUS Connect, the new transit passes and mobile ticketing app set to launch in May. They have been testing, preparing educational materials and working with outside vendors on promotional marketing. Ms. Haak also provided an overview of other marketing activities coming up including LinkFM and community events, creating more short educational videos and creating a year-round campaign to update riders about system improvements. Moorhead is also looking forward to having their marketing/communications intern more involved this summer.

k. 2022 Fuel Bids - Jordan Smith

Mr. Smith went through some numbers regarding fuel price bids. Bids so far have been within the budgeted amount and the most recent bid was accepted in January, before fuel prices went up. MATBUS will be bidding out in July for fuel in 2023. They hope that fuel prices remain stable until then.

I. Interesting Transit Articles

Ms. Van Beek directed the board's attention to some interesting articles she included in the packet, mostly about driver shortages across the country and wage increases to attract more drivers.

4. Other Business

Hearing no other business, the meeting was adjourned at 9:15 AM.



Metropolitan Area Transit

650 23rd Street N Fargo, ND 58102 Phone: 701-241-8140

Fax: 701-241-8558



June 27, 2022

Board of City Commissioners City Hall - 200 N 3rd Street Fargo, ND 58102

Dear Commissioners:

The Federal Transit Administration made available to the City of Fargo \$3,490,398 to be used for the transit system. Grant allocations were published in the federal register and a 25% increase over last year, or \$2,602,232. Please note, \$537,526 of the 2022 apportionment is included for meeting Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data indicators. The City of Fargo is required to hold a public hearing prior to submitting and executing an application. The attached Program of Projects was developed by the Transit Division to be submitted and executed with the application for the funds.

The requested motion is to approve the 2022 Program of Projects and submit/execute the grant.

Sincerely,

Julie Bommelman Transit Director City of Fargo

Attachment

For Schedule Information: 701-232-7500

PAGEIGE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Notice is hereby given that the Fargo City Commission will hold a public hearing on June 27, 2022 at 5:15 pm in the City Commission chambers for the purpose of considering the City's Section 5307 Federal Transportation Administration grant preliminary program of projects for 2022. Unless amended, the proposed POP and projects contained in this advertisement will become the final POP. People with disabilities who need special accommodations (alternative format, interpreter/signer) should contact Julie Harris in the Transit Department at 701-241-8140 or by email: jharris@matbus.com.

A Final Program of Projects will be available to the public at the Transit Department, 650 23rd Street N, Fargo, ND 58102.

A. The program is below:

CITY OF FARGO, NORTH DAKOTA METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT 2022 PROGRAM OF PROJECTS AND BUDGETS

URBANIZED AREA: Fargo, ND - Moorhead, MN
DESIGNATED RECIPIENT: City of Fargo, North Dakota
APPLICANT: City of Fargo, North Dakota

FEDERAL APPORTIONMENT FOR FY2022 CARRYOVER FUNDS FROM PREVIOUS YEARS FY2021 FUNDS AVAILABLE - NOT APPLIED FOR	\$ 3,490,398 \$0 \$0
TOTAL CARRYOVER FUNDS	\$0
TOTAL FEDERAL FUNDS AVAILABLE	\$3,490,398
TOTAL FEDERAL FUNDS REQUESTED FOR 2022 PROGRAM OF PROJECTS	\$3,490,398

PROGRAM OF PROJECTS DESCRIPTIONS	LOCAL SHARE	FEDERAL SHARE	PROJECT TOTALS
I. CAPITAL PROJECTS A. 20%/80% Funding			
 Maintenance Cost Funded as Capital 10% of ADA Costs Funded as Capital 	209,002 52,045	836,010 260,223	1,045,012 312,268
II. PLANNING ASSISTANCE A. 20%/80% Funding 1. Transit Planning	6,000	24,000	30,000
 III. OPERATING ASSISTANCE A. Maximum Federal Funding 1. Eligible net operating expenses of the Fargo Transit System for the period of January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022 			
(50% Federal Funding Level)2. 1% Security	2,352,713 17,452	2,352,713.2 17,452	4,705,426 34,904
Section 5307 Program of Project Totals	\$2,637,212	\$3,490,398	\$6,127,610

B. RELOCATION

No persons, families, or businesses will be displaced by this project.

Page & VIRONMENT

This project will not have a significant environmental impact upon the transit services in the area identified above.

D. COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING

This preliminary program of projects is in conformance with comprehensive land use and transportation planning for the area and is consistent with the locally adopted programming of projects in the annual element of the Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP).

E. ELDERLY AND PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

This project takes into consideration the special transportation needs of the elderly by providing elderly and paratransit services.

F. CHARTER BUS

The City of Fargo will not provide any charter bus operations without first notifying all private operators in the area who claim to be willing and able to provide such service. If a private operator claims to be willing and able to provide charter services, then the City must review the evidence to determine whether or not the private operator will physically be able to provide the charter service, and if the provider has the required legal authority and necessary safety certifications that are required. If it is found that there is at least one willing and able provider which meets all of the aforementioned legal requirements by law, the City is prohibited from using FTA funded vehicles and equipment for charter service.

G. SCHOOL BUS OPERATIONS

The City of Fargo will not be engaged in school bus operations exclusively for the transportation of students or school personnel.

H. PRIVATE ENTERPRISE

Private enterprise is considered in all potential procurement contracts and subcontracts necessary in the operation of the transit system.

Interested persons or agencies desiring an opportunity to be heard with respect to social, economical, and environmental aspects of the program of projects may be heard at a this public hearing.

A copy of the preliminary program of projects, the current Transportation Development Plan, the current Transportation Improvement Plan, and a draft copy of the proposed grant application is available for public inspection at the City Transit Office, 650 23rd Street N, Fargo, North Dakota.

Julie Bommelman Transit Director

(Publish Date June 15,2022)



Metropolitan Area Transit

650 23rd Street N Fargo, ND 58102 Phone: 701-241-8140

Fax: 701-241-8558

June 27, 2022

Board of City Commissioners City Hall - 200 N 3rd Street Fargo, ND 58102

Dear Commissioners:

The Federal Transit Administration requires the Transit Department to have a Title VI Program specific to Transit. The program is attached and specifies applicability to the Transit Department and additionally identifies the ADA Coordinator in Buildings and Grounds as the City of Fargo Title VI Coordinator.

The requested motion is to approve the attached Transit Title VI Program.

Sincerely,

Julie Bommelman Transit Director City of Fargo

Attachment

For Schedule Information: 701-232-7500

Attachment G

Service Standards for Transit Providers

Vehicle Load

The vehicle load for each vehicle shall not exceed its respective capacity.

Fixed Route Fleet

1173, 1174, 1175, 1176: New Flyer Low Floor 29 seated+36 standing - 2 wheelchair with loss of 9 seats

1184, 1185, 1186, 1187, 1188: New Flyer Low Floor 29 seated+36 standing - 2 wheelchair with loss of 9 seats

1195, 1196, 1197, 1198, 1199: New Flyer Low Floor 29 seated+36 standing - 2 wheelchair with loss of 9 seats

1200, 1201: New Flyer Low Floor 29 seated+36 standing - 2 wheelchair with loss of 9 seats

1220, 1221, 1222, 1223: New Flyer Xcelsior 39 seated+41 standing - 2 Wheelchair with loss of 8 seats

4151, 4152: New Flyer Xcelsior 38 seated+41 standing - 2 Wheelchair with loss of 6 seats

4172: New Flyer Xcelsior 31 seated+33 standing - 2 wheelchair with loss of 7 seats

4181, 4182, 4183, 4184, 4185, 4186: Xcelsior 31 seated+33 standing - 2 wheelchair with loss of 7 seats

Paratransit Fleet

1228, 1229, 1230, 1236, 1237, 1238: Ford Goshen CGII 14 Seats - 4 Wheelchair

8161, 8162, 8163: Ford E-450 14 Seats + Driver 4 Wheelchair

8171, 8172: Ford E-450 14 Seats + Driver 4 Wheelchair

Vehicle Headway

Vehicle Headway for each route is as follows:

Route 11 Monday - Friday: 30 minutes

Saturday: 30 minutes

Route 13 Monday - Friday: 30 minutes

Saturday: 30 minutes

Route 13U Monday - Friday: 30 minutes

Route 14 Monday - Friday: 30 minutes

Saturday: 30 minutes

Route 15 Monday - Friday: 15 minutes (Evening service runs every 30 minutes)

Saturday: 30 minutes

Route 16 Monday - Friday: 60 minutes

Saturday: 60 minutes

Route 17 Monday - Friday: 60 minutes

Saturday: 60 minutes

Route 18 Monday - Friday: 60 minutes

Saturday: 60 minutes

Route 20 Monday - Friday: 60 minutes

Saturday: 60 minutes

Route 24 Monday - Friday: 60 minutes

Saturday: 60 minutes

Route 31 Monday - Friday: 15 minutes

Route 32E Monday - Friday: 30 minutes

Route 32W Monday - Friday: 30 minutes

Route 33 Monday - Friday: 6:55am to 10:25am 10 minutes, 10:32 to 2:58pm 7minutes, 3:08pm to

6:38pm 12 minutes

Route 34 Monday - Friday: 20 minutes

On-Time Performance

The transit schedules are planned so that no vehicle should arrive at its timepoint any sooner than the posted time, and no later than five minutes past its posted time.

Performance measures are tracked for every route in the system through Operations Reports

Service Availability

Passengers may board or deboard the vehicle at any corner or T intersection along a route where it is safe to do so. The vehicle will not stop in a turning lane if it is not turning, and will instead stop just before the lane or on the other side of the intersection. Stop locations are specifically signed.

Paratransit Ridership

2018	<u>Fargo</u>	Moorhead	West Fargo	<u>Dilworth</u>	TOTAL
January	3,264	822	488	78	4,652
February	3,017	639	440	78	4,174
March	3,243	773	469	93	4,578
April	3,327	794	508	72	4,701
May	3,250	734	456	67	4,507
June	2,973	652	365	38	4,028
July	2,901	658	379	56	3,994
August	3,196	764	455	62	4,477
September	2,953	689	439	54	4,135
October	3,480	837	553	40	4,910
November	3,160	785	497	48	4,490
December	2,821	725	438	35	4,019
TOTAL	37,585	8,872	5,487	721	52,665

2020	<u>Fargo</u>	Moorhead	West Fargo	<u>Dilworth</u>	TOTAL
January	3,679	637	622	151	5,089
February	3,444	703	648	111	4,906
March	2,431	478	473	86	3,468
April	897	235	217	8	1,357
May	1,004	257	200	4	1,465
June	1,413	273	269	26	1,981
July	1,886	375	294	20	2,575
August	2,127	366	329	45	2,867
September	2,191	440	542	33	3,206
October	2,485	534	605	43	3,667
November	2,030	531	434	31	3,026
December	2,615	542	490	38	3,685
TOTAL	26,202	5,371	5,123	596	37,292
<u>2021</u>	Fargo	Moorhead	West Fargo	Dilworth	TOTAL

2019	<u>Fargo</u>	Moorhead	West Fargo	Dilworth	TOTAL
January	3,078	762	495	58	4,393
February	3,081	692	458	35	4,266
March	3,411	764	532	51	4,758
April	3,446	802	540	40	4,828
May	3,405	755	525	58	4,743
June	2,901	482	407	28	3,818
July	2,998	596	455	34	4,083
August	3,230	592	390	47	4,259
September	3,082	619	479	80	4,260
October	3,477	698	599	137	4,911
November	3,117	698	522	97	4,434
December	3,160	744	552	141	4,597
TOTAL	38,386	8,204	5,954	806	53,350

2021	Fargo	Moorhead	West Fargo	Dilworth	TOTAL
January	2,636	563	458	59	3,716
February	2,769	622	478	50	3,919
March	3,109	840	552	45	4,546
April	2,787	811	604	63	4,265
May	2,670	672	534	78	3,954
June	2,945	727	499	77	4,248
July	3,039	671	490	60	4,260
August	3,308	681	542	59	4,590
September	3,317	883	744	61	5,005
October	3,152	884	764	113	4,913
November	3,013	834	708	120	4,675
December	3,119	846	730	133	4,828
TOTAL	35,864	9,034	7,103	918	52,919

PARATRA	NSIT RE	VENUE	HOURS
2018	2019	2020	2021
2,089.10	1,992.00	2,187.72	1,685.47
1,812.95	2,002.93	2,077.40	1,769.43
1,964.00	2,105.03	1,615.05	2,089.15
1,998.82	2,059.88	737.68	1,992.82
1,914.90	1,989.75	748.37	1,859.27
1,742.83	1,612.45	987.32	2,002.52
1,738.88	1,713.03	1,190.88	2,025.45
1,976.23	1,801.85	1,244.80	2,139.18
1,783.98	1,738.65	1,461.10	2,294.33
2,059.95	2,051.38	1,687.73	2,215.67
1,980.33	1,838.57	1,383.05	2,159.02
1803.67	1,984.92	1,638.82	2,225.02
22,865.64	22,890.44	16,959.92	24457.33

aratransit Revei	nue Milles for	NTD Report	
2018	2019	2020	2021
28,605	26,908	30,218	23,780
25,480	25,666	29,674	26,442
27,588	28,543	22,376	30,979
28,486	29,137	9,384	27,958
28,050	28,774	9,781	25,164
25,043	23,927	13,778	27,609
25,301	24,902	17,260	27,343
28,639	26,136	18,446	28,868
26,120	25,761	22,519	31,755
29,930	29,716	23,753	32,176
27,698	27,352	19,754	30,110
24,296	27,792	22,863	31,473
325,236	324,614	239,806	343657

by Customer Type													
by Gustonici Type													
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Jul</u>	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	TOTAL
Wheelchair	879	891	1,070	923	849	953	913	958	971	915	905	838	11,065
Ambulatory	2,627	2827	3,208	3,115	2,927	3,082	3,087	3,393	3,773	3,743	3,520	3,702	39,004
Guest	102	97	94	53	46	59	62	51	53	55	79	68	819
Personal Care Attendant	108	104	174	174	132	154	198	188	208	200	171	220	2,031
TOTAL RIDES	3,716	3,919	4,546	4,265	3,954	4,248	4,260	4,590	5,005	4,913	4,675	4,828	52,919
2020 MAT Paratransit	Ridersh	ip											
by Customer Type		_											
	Jan	<u>Feb</u>	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	<u>Jul</u>	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	TOTAL
Wheelchair	1,278	1160	852	279	261	484	602	743	743	856	705	858	8,821
Ambulatory	3,467	3435	2,434	1,037	1,142	1,382	1,779	2,318	2,318	2,605	2,180	2,637	26,734
Guest	34	38	14	9	15	47	62	41	41	50	39	47	437
Personal Care Attendant	310	273	168	32	47	68	132	104	104	156	102	143	1,639
TOTAL RIDES	5,089	4,906	3,468	1,357	1,465	1,981	2,575	3,206	3,206	3,667	3,026	3,685	37,631
2019 MAT Paratransit	Ridersh	ip											
by Customer Type													
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Jul</u>	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	TOTAL
Wheelchair	1,626	1493	1,827	1,884	1,228	1,058	1,121	1,152	1,028	1,216	1,107	1,204	15,944
Ambulatory	2,560	2518	2,640	2,612	3,192	2,503	2,677	2,829	2,961	3,377	3,022	2,970	33,861
Guest	47	66	44	60	54	32	68	42	37	43	28	33	554
Personal Care Attendant	160	189	247	272	269	225	217	236	234	275	277	390	2,991
TOTAL RIDES	4,393	4,266	4,758	4,828	4,743	3,818	4,083	4,259	4,260	4,911	4,434	4,597	53,350
2018 MAT Paratransit	Ridersh	ip											
by Customer Type													
	<u>Jan</u>	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Jul</u>	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	TOTAL
Wheelchair	1,746	1664	1,761	1,763	1,615	1,541	1,611	1,763	1,555	1,769	1,737	1,538	20,063
Ambulatory	2,668	2301	2,560	2,613	2,590	2,222	2,126	2,469	2,327	2,799	2,465	2,231	29,371
Guest	41	31	42	54	80	63	52	52	46	86	42	43	632
Personal Care Attendant	197	178	215	271	222	202	205	192	207	256	246	207	2,598
TOTAL RIDES	4,652	4,174	4,578	4,701	4,507	4,028	3.994	4.476	4,135	4,910	4,490	4,019	52,664

MATBUS Transit Operations Report - 2018

		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage		Pas	sengers / Ho	our		n-Time Perf.	
Moorhead	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change
Route 1	75,603	87,439	15.66%	4,358.25	5,267.00	20.85%	55,674.61	66,366.47	19,20%	17.35	16.60	-4.30%	90.58%	89.78%	-0.87%
Route 2	111,324	136,264	22.40%	5,104.55	6,015.25	17.84%	72,706.62	84,855.36	16.71%	21.81	22.65	3.87%	86.85%	86.37%	-0.55%
Route 3	47,996	60,438	25.92%	4,361.00	5,264.75	20.72%	68,680.13	84,037.76	22.36%	11.01	11.48	4.31%	82.20%	77.55%	-5.65%
Route 4	132,060	155,848	18.01%	9,966.50	10,303.50	3.38%	113,650.83	118,897.70	4.62%	13.25	15.13	14.15%	81.06%	79.15%	-2.36%
Route 5	48,594	60,316	24.12%	4,356.00	5,269.35	20.97%	63,443.42	76,138.81	20.01%	11.16	11.45	2.61%	92.24%	89.01%	-3.50%
Route 6	12,769	15,346	20.18%	1,826.50	1,812.50	-0.77%	23,693.36	23,850.63	0.66%	6.99	8.47	21.11%	96.54%	94.76%	-1.84%
Route 7	1,937			223.00			3,352.93			8.69			76.35%		
Route 8	8,322	5 704	5.000/	689.50	4 000 50	7.070/	11,317.92	00.747.00	0.440/	12.07	0.40	44.000/	82.43%	04.400/	F 400/
Route 9	5,411	5,701	5.36%	1,982.50	1,826.50	-7.87%	33,579.59	33,717.39	0.41%	2.73	3.12	14.36%	96.40%	91.40%	-5.18%
Total	444,016	521,352	17.42%	32,867.80	35,758.85	8.80%	446,099.41	487,864.12	9.36%	13.51	14.58	7.92%	87.18%	86.86%	-0.37%
		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage			sengers / Ho			n-Time Perf.	
Fargo	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change
Route 11	72,072	75,584	4.87%	4,538.00	4,543.00	0.11%	52089.63	52250.27	0.31%	15.88	16.64	4.76%	83.71%	87.33%	4.33%
Route 13	127,257	137,295	7.89%	8,803.00	8,779.50	-0.27%	95557.62	93930.09	-1.70%	14.46	15.64	8.18%	87.49%	90.13%	3.01%
Route 13U	46,794	38,658	-17.39%	2,445.25	2,072.15	-15.26%	26630.66	23932.26	-10.13%	19.14	18.66	-2.51%	77.91%	69.20%	-11.19%
Route 14	154,341 312,275	165,418 335,392	7.18% 7.40%	13,514.96 16,282.00	13,585.45 16,391.50	0.52% 0.67%	187716.86 198665.52	171532.23 192285.00	-8.62% -3.21%	11.42 19.18	12.18 20.46	6.62% 6.69%	81.80% 80.30%	82.60% 78.57%	0.97% -2.16%
Route 15 Route 16	50,799	36,610	-27.93%	5,116.75	4,091.10	-20.04%	75168.85	48892.90	-34.96%	9.93	8.95	-9.86%	91.44%	89.77%	-1.82%
Route 17	35,961	39,029	8.53%	2,422.00	2.628.50	8.53%	31075.14	30914.15	-0.52%	14.85	14.85	0.01%	84.27%	86.27%	2.37%
Route 18	50,329	55.336	9.95%	5,710.50	7,135.80	24.96%	92700.27	126326.04	36.27%	8.81	7.75	-12.01%	76.87%	77.88%	1.32%
Route 20		15,420			1.898.00			29551.44			8.12			66.15%	
Route 21	4,254	5,240	23.18%	1,134.00	1,323.50	16.71%	13613.83	15937.11	17.07%	3.75	3.96	5.54%	60.35%	64.77%	7.33%
Route 22	10,133	14,731	45.38%	1,134.00	1,324.50	16.80%	21588.42	25273.55	17.07%	8.94	11.12	24.47%	65.27%	70.05%	7.33%
Route 23	12,062			2,377.10			48743.86			5.07			83.31%		
Route 24	7,840	23,126	194.97%	2,542.00	5,079.50	99.82%	31835.51	64232.91	101.76%	3.08	4.55	47.62%	75.41%	83.18%	10.30%
Total	884,117	941,839	6.53%	66,019.56	68,852.50	4.29%	773218.38	875057.95	13.17%	13.39	13.68	2.15%	79.01%	78.82%	-0.24%
		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage		Pas	sengers / Ho	our		n-Time Perf.	
NDSU	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change
Route 31	33,636	32,409	-3.65%	2,132.50	1,842.50	-13.60%	18590.88	17884.38	-3.80%	15.77	17.59	11.52%	92.27%	91.85%	-0.45%
Route 32E	157,113	131,807	-16.11%	1,757.30	1,728.51	-1.64%	13254.60	13393.84	1.05%	89.41	76.25	-14.71%	73.38%	94.07%	28.19%
Route 32W	37,321	37,257	-0.17%	960.00	729.00	-24.06%	6249.84	6073.83	-2.82%	38.88	51.11	31.46%	83.08%	86.16%	3.71%
Route 33	196,743	209,852	6.66%	4,980.10	4,652.47	-6.58%	51469.93	51526.40	0.11%	39.51	45.11	14.17%	90.42%	88.15%	-2.51%
Route 34	54,451	50,035	-8.11%	1,476.45	1,443.23	-2.25%	12842.45	12714.91	-0.99%	36.88	34.67	-5.99%	82.54%	89.46%	8.37%
Route 35 TapRide - NDSU	6,330	32 5,350	-99.49%	334.11	10.40 546.50	-96.89%	3146.20	98.10 7177.53	-96.88%	18.95	3.08 9.79	-83.76%	91.27%	75.00%	-17.83%
TapRide - NDSU	485,594	466,742	-3.88%	11,640	10,953	-5.91%	105553.89	101691.46	-3.66%	239	238	-0.75%	85.49%	87.45%	2.29%
TOLAI	460,094		-3.00%	11,040		-5.9170			-3.00%						2.2970
		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage			sengers / Ho			n-Time Perf.	
Other	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change
LinkFM	31,075	30,791	-0.91%	3,424.00	3,437.75	0.40%	31,964.02	34,148.89	6.84%	9.08	8.96	-1.31%	77.53%	77.60%	0.10%
Total	31,075	30,791	-0.91%	3,424.00	3,437.75	0.40%	31,964.02	34,148.89	6.84%	9.08	8.96	-1.31%	77.53%	77.60%	0.10%
		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage		Pas	sengers / Ho	our	C	n-Time Perf.	
Total	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change
MHD	444,016	521,352	17.42%	32,867.80	35,758.85	8.80%	446,099.41	487,864.12	9.36%	13.51	14.58	7.92%	87.18%	86.86%	-0.37%
FGO	1,402,746	1,440,766	2.71%	81,084.02	83,242.86	2.66%	986,279.04	928,912.42	-5.82%	17.30	17.31	0.05%	80.98%	81.48%	0.62%
MATBUS	1,846,762	1,962,118	6.25%	113,951.82	119,001.71	4.43%	1,432,378.45	1,498,762.42	4.63%	16.21	16.49	1.74%	82.97%	82.93%	-0.05%
		Adult			Disabled			Elderly			Youth			Child	
Customer Type	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change
MILE	266,479	306,131	14.88%	112,471	123,414	9.73%	31,785	49,756	56.54%	14,650	17,145	17.03%	23,510	24,486	4.15%
MHD		1,083,331	-2.82%	183,242	193,336	5.51%	67,606	88,793	31.34%	19,921	26,405	32.55%	27,041	28,886	6.82%
FGO	1,114,814			295,713	316,750	7.11%	99,391	138,549	39.40%	34,571	43,550	25.97%	50,551	53,372	5.58%
	1,381,293	1,389,462	0.59%	200,110	,										
FGO			0.59%	255,715				0			MOG	_			
FGO MATBUS	1,381,293	NDSU			MSUM			Concordia	a:	0045	M State			NDSCS	
FGO MATBUS	1,381,293 2017	NDSU 2018	Change	2017	MSUM 2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	2018	Change	2017	NDSCS 2018	Change
FGO MATBUS College MHD	1,381,293 2017 1,986	NDSU 2018 4,227	Change 112.84%	2017	MSUM 2018 18,339	103.22%	1,586	2018 3,480	119.42%	3,128	2018 7,357	135.20%	117	NDSCS 2018 294	151.28%
FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO	2017 1,986 280,190	NDSU 2018 4,227 536,275	Change 112.84% 91.40%	2017 9,024 6,074	MSUM 2018 18,339 12,643	103.22% 108.15%	1,586 1,072	2018 3,480 1,643	119.42% 53.26%	3,128 3,260	2018 7,357 8,656	135.20% 165.52%	117 937	NDSCS 2018 294 1,322	151.28% 41.09%
FGO MATBUS College MHD	1,381,293 2017 1,986	NDSU 2018 4,227	Change 112.84%	2017	MSUM 2018 18,339	103.22%	1,586	2018 3,480	119.42%	3,128	2018 7,357	135.20%	117	NDSCS 2018 294	151.28%
FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO	2017 1,986 280,190	NDSU 2018 4,227 536,275	Change 112.84% 91.40%	2017 9,024 6,074 15,098	MSUM 2018 18,339 12,643	103.22% 108.15% 105.21%	1,586 1,072 2,658	2018 3,480 1,643	119.42% 53.26%	3,128 3,260 6,388	2018 7,357 8,656	135.20% 165.52% 150.67%	117 937 1,054	NDSCS 2018 294 1,322	151.28% 41.09%

3.59% 226,306.35 234,809.01

15,814.87 16,383.03

448895

1,941,683

Moorhead	9,842	8,872	-9.86%	4,191.12	3,867.24	-7.73%	59,973.80	55,427.05	-7.58%	2.35	2.29	-2.31%	83.93%	86.10%	2.59%
West Fargo	4,698	5,487	16.79%	2,000.60	2,391.74	19.55%	28,628.02	34,279.55	19.74%	2.35	2.29	-2.31%	86.08%	87.14%	1.23%
Dilworth	831	721	-13.24%	353.87	314.28	-11.19%	5,063.83	4,504.38	-11.05%	2.35	2.29	-2.31%	80.39%	84.68%	5.33%
Total	52,509	52,665	0.30%	22,360.46	22,956.29	2.66%	319972.00	329020.00	1.22%	2.35	2.29	-2.31%	83.45%	85.98%	3.06%
							_			_			_		
		Ridership		Rev. Hou	rs (Based on I	Ridership)	F	Rev. Mileage		Pas	sengers / Ho	our	О	n-Time Perf.	
Senior Ride	2017	Ridership 2018	Change	2017	rs (Based on I 2018	Ridership) Change	2017	Rev. Mileage 2018	Change	Pas 2017	sengers / Ho 2018	our Change	2017	n-Time Perf. 2018	Change
Senior Ride Dilworth	2017 659		Change 63.28%	2017			2017		Change 66.43%	2017			2017		
		2018		2017 395.21	2018	Change	2017 4,555	2018	•	2017 1.67	2018	Change	2017		

					MATB	US Trans	it Operati	ions Rep	ort - 20′	19					
		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage		Pag	sengers / He	our	0	n-Time Perf	
Moorhead	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change
Route 1	87,439	80,046	-8.46%	5,267.00	5,123.00	-2.73%	66,366.47	64,919.00	-2.18%	16.60	15.62	-5.88%	89.78%	85.35%	-4.94%
Route 2	136,264	117,197	-13.99%	6,015.25	6,143.00	2.12%	84,855.36	87,452.00	3.06%	22.65	19.08	-15.78%	86.37%	82.97%	-3.94%
Route 3	60,438	57,732	-4.48%	5,264.75	5,123.00	-2.69%	84,037.76	87,808.00	4.49%	11.48	11.27	-1.83%	77.55%	67.52%	-12.94%
Route 4	155,848	151,207	-2.98%	10,303.50	10,246.00	-0.56%	118,897.70	116,753.00	-1.80%	15.13	14.76	-2.43%	79.15%	82.64%	4.42%
Route 5	60,316	52,511	-12.94%	5,269.35	5,123.00	-2.78%	76,138.81	74,847.00	-1.70%	11.45	10.25	-10.45%	89.01%	87.39%	-1.82%
Route 6	15,346	16,710	8.89%	1,812.50	1,802.00	-0.58%	23,850.63	23,369.00	-2.02%	8.47	9.27	9.52%	94.76%	91.66%	-3.27%
Route 9	5,701	5,646	-0.96%	1,826.50	1,954.00	6.98%	33,717.39	33,088.00	-1.87%	3.12	2.89	-7.43%	91.40%	92.94%	1.68%
Total	521,352	481,049	-7.73%	35,758.85	35,514.00	-0.68%	487,864.12	488,236.00	0.08%	14.58	13.55	-7.09%	86.86%	84.35%	-2.89%
		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage		Pag	ssengers / Ho	our	0	n-Time Perf	
Fargo	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change
Route 11	75,584	74,592	-1.31%	4,543.00	4,483.00	-1.32%	52250.27	51446.91	-1.54%	16.64	16.64	0.01%	87.33%	87.44%	0.13%
Route 13	137.295	120,856	-11.97%	8,779.50	8,662.50	-1.33%	93930.09	90757.01	-3.38%	15.64	13.95	-10.78%	90.13%	86.49%	-4.03%
Route 13U	38,658	39,970	3.39%	2,072.15	1,897.30	-8.44%	23932.26	21306.68	-10.97%	18.66	21.07	12.92%	69.20%	87.87%	26.98%
Route 14	165,418	148,189	-10.42%	13,585.45	13,400,38	-1.36%	171532.23	167933.56	-2.10%	12.18	11.06	-9.18%	82.60%	86.34%	4.53%
Route 15	335,392	321,702	-4.08%	16,391.50	16,168.00	-1.36%	192285.00	189230.27	-1.59%	20.46	19.90	-2.76%	78.57%	78.08%	-0.62%
Route 16	36,610	37,213	1.65%	4,091.10	4,038.04	-1.30%	48892.90	48218.24	-1.38%	8.95	9.22	2.98%	89.77%	91.45%	1.87%
Route 17	39,029	40,524	3.83%	2,628.50	2,541.50	-3.31%	30914.15	30411.59	-1.63%	14.85	15.94	7.38%	86.27%	82.46%	-4.42%
Route 18	55,336	49,730	-10.13%	7,135.80	7,038.60	-1.36%	126326.04	125667.16	-0.52%	7.75	7.07	-8.89%	77.88%	78.08%	0.25%
Route 20	15,420	38,047	146.74%	1,898.00	4,483.00	136.20%	29551.44	42678.16	44.42%	8.12	8.49	4.46%	66.15%	65.91%	-0.36%
Route 21	5,240			1,323.50			15937.11			3.96			64.77%		
Route 22	14,731			1,324.50			25273.55			11.12			70.05%		
Route 24	23,126	26,369	14.02%	5,079.50	5,007.25	-1.42%	64232.91	62555.57	-2.61%	4.55	5.27	15.67%	83.18%	87.07%	4.68%
TapRide - Ind. Park		1,975			2,092.00			6993.72			0.94				
Total	941,839	899,167	-4.53%	68,852.50	69,811.57	1.39%	875057.95	837198.87	-4.33%	13.68	12.88	-5.84%	78.82%	83.12%	0.0544936
		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage		Pas	ssengers / Ho	our	0	n-Time Perf	
NDSU	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change
Route 31	32,409	28,679	-11.51%	1,842.50	1,652.50	-10.31%	17884.38	14865.89	-16.88%	17.59	17.35	-1.33%	91.85%	96.79%	5.37%
Route 32E	131,807	118,256	-10.28%	1,728.51	1,679.02	-2.86%	13393.84	12720.26	-5.03%	76.25	70.43	-7.64%	94.07%	95.44%	1.46%
Route 32W	37,257	24,435	-34.42%	729.00	469.00	-35.67%	6073.83	3053.19	-49.73%	51.11	52.10	1.94%	86.16%	93.03%	7.97%
Route 33	209,852	186,414	-11.17%	4,652.47	4,479.24	-3.72%	51526.40	53492.98	3.82%	45.11	41.62	-7.73%	88.15%	96.05%	8.96%
Route 34	50,035	51,452	2.83%	1,443.23	1,403.01	-2.79%	12714.91	12133.91	-4.57%	34.67	36.67	5.78%	89.46%	95.37%	6.61%
Route 35	32			10.40			98.10			3.08			75.00%		
TapRide - NDSU	5,350	5,167	-3.42%	546.50	549.50	0.55%	7177.53	5907.37	-17.70%	9.79	9.40	-3.95%			
Total	466,742	414,403	-11.21%	10,953	10,232	-6.58%	108868.99	96266.23	-11.58%	238	228	-4.21%	87.45%	95.34%	9.02%
		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage		Pas	ssengers / Ho	our	O	n-Time Perf	
Other	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change
LinkFM	30,791	29,959	-2.70%	3,437.75	3,476.14	1.12%	34148.89	34858.73	2.08%	8.96	8.62	-3.78%	77.60%	63.84%	-17.74%
Total	30,791	29,959	-2.70%	3,437.75	3,476.14	1.12%	34148.89	34858.73	2.08%	8.96	8.62	-3.78%	77.60%	63.84%	-17.74%
		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage		Pas	sengers / Ho	our	О	n-Time Perf	:
Total	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change
MHD	521,352	481,049	-7.73%	35,759	35,514	-0.68%	487,864	488,236	0.08%	14.58	13.55	-7.09%	86.86%	84.35%	-2.89%
FGO	1,439,372	1,343,529	-6.66%	83,243	83,520	0.33%	928,912	968,324	4.24%	17.29	16.09	-6.97%	81.48%	85.73%	5.21%
MATBUS	1,960,724	1,824,578	-6.94%	119,001.71	119,033.98	0.03%	1,416,776.54	1,456,559.83	2.81%	16.48	15.33	-6.97%	82.93%	85.31%	2.87%
MAIDOO	1,300,724	1,024,070	-0.3470	113,001.71	113,000.00	0.0070	1,410,770.34	1,430,339.03	2.0170	10.40	10.00	-0.31 /0	02.3370	00.0170	2.01 /0
		Adult			Disabled			Elderly			Youth			Child	
Customer Type	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change
MHD	306131	2013	-100.00%	123414	2013	-100.00%	49756	2013	-100.00%	17145	2013	-100.00%	24486	2013	-100.00%
FGO	1083331		-100.00%	193336		-100.00%	88793		-100.00%	26405		-100.00%	28886		-100.00%
MATBUS	1389462	0	-100.00%	316750	0	-100.00%	138549	0	-100.00%	43550	0	-100.00%	53372	0	-100.00%
III/(IDOO	1000102	· ·	100.0070	010700		100.0070	100010	Ū	100.0070	10000	Ū	100.0070	00072		100.0070
		NDSU			MSUM			Concordia			M State			NDSCS	
College	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change
MHD	4.227	4.523	7.00%	18.339	12,133	-33.84%	3,480	3,244	-6.78%	7,357	4,499	-38.85%	294	298	1.36%
FGO	536.275	461.624	-13.92%	12,643	7.885	-37.63%	1.643	1.858	13.09%	8,656	5,440	-37.15%	1.322	1.311	-0.83%
MATBUS	540,502	466,147	-13.76%	30,982	20,018	-35.39%	5,123	5,102	-0.41%	16.013	9,939	-37.13%	1,616	1,609	-0.43%
MIATEGO	340,302	400,147	-10.7070	30,302	20,010	-55.5570	5,125	3,102	-0.4170	10,013	3,333	-51.5570	1,010	1,003	-0.4370
I		Ridership		Rev. Hou	ırs (Based on	Ridership)		Rev. Mileage		Pag	ssengers / He	our	0	n-Time Perf	
Paratransit	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change
Fargo	37,585	38,386	2.13%	16,383.03	17,441.20	6.46%	234,809.01	233,563.88	-0.53%	2.29	2.20	-4.07%	86.00%	87.62%	1.88%
Moorhead	8,872	8,204	-7.53%	3,867.24	3,727.60	-3.61%	55,427.05	49,918.15	-9.94%	2.29	2.20	-4.07%	86.10%	86.64%	0.63%
West Fargo	5,487	5,954	8.51%	2,391.74	2,705.28	13.11%	34,279.55	36,227.77	5.68%	2.29	2.20	-4.07%	87.14%	88.72%	1.82%
Dilworth	721	806	11.79%	314.28	366.22	16.53%	4,504.38	4.904.20	8.88%	2.29	2.20	-4.07%	84.68%	87.54%	3.38%
Total	52,665	53,350	1.30%	22,956.29	24,240.30	5.59%	329020.00	324614.00	1.02%	2.29	2.20	-4.07%	85.98%	87.63%	1.93%
	52,500												'		
		Ridership			rs (Based on			Rev. Mileage			ssengers / Ho			n-Time Perf	
Senior Ride	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change	2018	2019	Change
Dilworth	1,076	1,326	23.23%	673.25	846.58	25.75%	7,760	9,602	23.74%	1.60	1.57	-2.00%			

2,148.02 15,773

					MATBU	S Transit	Operation	ons Repo	ort - 2020	U					
i		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage		Pass	sengers / Ho	ur	O	n-Time Perf.	
Moorhead	2019	2020	Change	2019	2020	Change	2019	2020	Change	2019	2020	Change	2019	2020	Change
Route 1	80,046	61,976	-22.57%	5,123.00	5,164.00	0.80%	64,919.00	62,706.00	-3.41%	15.62	12.00	-23.19%	85.35%	92.72%	8.64%
Route 2	117,197	91,236	-22.15%	6,143.00	5,788.00	-5.78%	87,452.00	76,645.00	-12.36%	19.08	15.76	-17.38%	82.97%	89.69%	8.10%
Route 3	57,732	60,435	4.68%	5,123.00	5,167.00	0.86%	87,808.00	88,820.00	1.15%	11.27	11.70	3.79%	67.52%	71.68%	6.16%
Route 4	151,207	138,038	-8.71%	10,246.00	10,665.00	4.09%	116,753.00	112,243.00	-3.86%	14.76	12.94	-12.30%	82.64%	86.95%	5.22%
Route 5	52,511 16,710	54,076 15,244	2.98% -8.77%	5,123.00	5,165.00	0.82% 15.21%	74,847.00	75,408.00 26,712.00	0.75% 14.31%	10.25 9.27	10.47 7.34	2.14%	87.39% 91.66%	84.55% 84.60%	-3.25% -7.70%
Route 6 Route 9	5,646	4,742	-16.01%	1,802.00 1,954.00	2,076.00 1,715.00	-12.23%	23,369.00 33,088.00	29,644.00	-10.41%	2.89	2.77	-20.81% -4.31%	92.94%	85.43%	-8.08%
Total	481,049	425,747	-11.50%	35,514.00	35,740.00	0.64%	488,236.00	472,178.00	-3.29%	13.55	11.91	-12.06%	84.35%	85.09%	0.87%
Total	401,049		-11.50%	33,314.00		0.0470			=3.2970						0.07 /6
_	2010	Ridership	01	2010	Rev. Hours	<u> </u>		Rev. Mileage	01		sengers / Ho			n-Time Perf.	01
Fargo Route 11	2019 74,592	2020 55,227	-25.96%	2019 4,483.00	2020 4,564.00	Change	2019 51446.91	2020 52376.46	Change 4 040/	2019	2020	-27.28%	2019 87.44%	2020 87.17%	Change
Route 13	120,856	64,803	-46.38%	4,483.00 8,662.50	4,564.00 8,820.00	1.81% 1.82%	90757.01	92407.14	1.81% 1.82%	16.64 13.95	12.10 7.35	-27.28% -47.34%	86.49%	89.39%	-0.31% 3.35%
Route 13U	39,970	17,626	-55.90%	1,897.30	1,482.15	-21.88%	21306.68	16644.54	-21.88%	21.07	11.89	-43.55%	87.87%	92.66%	5.45%
Route 14	148,189	115,371	-22.15%	13,400.38	13,648.52	1.85%	167933.56	171043.25	1.85%	11.06	8.45	-23.56%	86.34%	94.86%	9.87%
Route 15	321,702	300,090	-6.72%	16,168.00	16,391.25	1.38%	189230.27	191843.19	1.38%	19.90	18.31	-7.99%	78.08%	89.00%	13.99%
Route 16	37,213	23,913	-35.74%	4,038.04	4,110.66	1.80%	48218.24	49085.39	1.80%	9.22	5.82	-36.88%	91.45%	97.58%	6.70%
Route 17	40,524	34,209	-15.58%	2,541.50	2,588.50	1.85%	30411.59	30973.99	1.85%	15.94	13.22	-17.12%	82.46%	86.70%	5.14%
Route 18	49,730	31,035	-37.59%	7,038.60	7,168.90	1.85%	125667.16	127993.54	1.85%	7.07	4.33	-38.73%	78.08%	88.72%	13.63%
Route 20 Route 24	38,047 26,369	31,040 18,396	-18.42% -30.24%	4,483.00	4,565.00 5,100.00	1.83% 1.85%	42678.16	43458.80 63714.30	1.83% 1.85%	8.49 5.27	6.80 3.61	-19.88% -31.51%	65.91% 87.07%	80.70% 91.44%	22.44% 5.02%
TapRide - Ind. Park	1.975	6.705	239.49%	5,007.25 2,092.00	5,100.00	1.85%	62555.57 6993.72	23624.89	1.85%	0.94	1.30	-31.51% 37.35%	87.07%	91.44%	5.02%
Total	897,192	691,710	-22.90%	67,719.57	68.438.98	1.06%	767649.58	839540.60	9.37%	13.25	10.11	-23.71%	83.12%	89.82%	8.06%
· o.ui	037,192		-LL.50 /0	07,710.07	,	1.0070			3.51 /0						0.0070
		Ridership			Rev. Hours	-		Rev. Mileage			sengers / Ho			n-Time Perf.	-
NDSU	2019	2020	Change	2019	2020	Change	2019	2020	Change	2019	2020	Change	2019	2020	Change
Route 31 Route 32E & 32W	28,679 142,691	10,465 53,917	-63.51% -62.21%	1,652.50 2,148.02	1,273.75	-22.92%	14865.89	11458.66	-22.92%	17.35	8.22 32.47	-52.66% -51.12%	96.79%	91.89%	-5.06%
Route 33	186,414	69.518	-62.71%	4,479.24	1,660.57 3,448.63	-22.69% -23.01%	15773.45 53492.98	12190.32 41185.00	-22.72% -23.01%	66.43 41.62	20.16	-51.12% -51.56%	94.24% 96.05%	94.39% 92.62%	0.16% -3.57%
Route 34	51.452	21,298	-58.61%	1,403.01	1,085.65	-22.62%	12133.91	9389.23	-22.62%	36.67	19.62	-46.51%	95.37%	92.66%	-2.84%
TapRide - NDSU	5,167	2,122	-58.93%	549.50	423.50	-22.93%	5907.37	2046.28	-65.36%	9.40	5.01	-46.71%			
Total	414,403	157,320	-62.04%	10,232	7,892	-22.87%	102173.60	74223.21	-27.36%	171	85	-50.16%	95.61%	92.89%	-2.85%
		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage		Pass	sengers / Ho	ur	O	n-Time Perf.	
Other	2019	2020	Change	2019	2020	Change	2019	2020	Change	2019	2020	Change	2019	2020	Change
LinkFM	29,959	93	-99.69%	3,476.14	8.25	-99.76%	34858.73	82.73	-99.76%	8.62	11.27	30.80%	63.84%	85.71%	34.26%
Total	29,959	93	-99.69%	3,476,14	8.25	-99.76%	34,859	83	-99.76%	8.62	11.27	30.80%	63.84%	85.71%	34.26%
		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage		Pass	sengers / Ho	ur			
Total	2019	2020	Change	2019	2020								O	n-Time Perf	
MHD						Change	2019		Change	2019				n-Time Perf. 2020	Change
FGO		425.747				Change 0.64%	2019 116.753.00	2020	Change 304.42%	2019 13.55	2020	Change	2019	2020	Change 0.87%
	1.341.554	425,747 849,123	-11.50% -36.71%	35,514.00 81,427,98	35,740.00 76,339,33	Change 0.64% -6.25%	116,753.00		304.42%	2019 13.55 16.48			2019 84.35%	2020 85.09%	0.87%
MATBUS	, , , , , , ,	849,123	-11.50% -36.71%	35,514.00 81,427.98	35,740.00 76,339.33	0.64%	116,753.00 199,587.90	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54	304.42% 357.87%	13.55	2020 11.91 11.12	-12.06% -32.49%	2019 84.35% 85.17%	2020 85.09% 89.47%	0.87% 5.06%
MATBUS	1,341,554 1,822,603	849,123 1,274,870	-11.50%	35,514.00	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33	0.64% -6.25%	116,753.00	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54 1,386,024.54	304.42%	13.55 16.48	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37	Change -12.06%	2019 84.35%	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69%	0.87%
	1,822,603	849,123 1,274,870 Adult	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05%	35,514.00 81,427.98 116,941.98	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled	0.64% -6.25% -4.16%	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54 1,386,024.54 Elderly	304.42% 357.87% 338.14%	13.55 16.48 15.59	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth	Change -12.06% -32.49% -27.02%	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91%	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69%	0.87% 5.06% 4.45%
Customer Type	1,822,603 2019	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change	35,514.00 81,427.98	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% Change	116,753.00 199,587.90	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54 1,386,024.54 Elderly 2020	304.42% 357.87% 338.14% Change	13.55 16.48	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020	Change -12.06% -32.49% -27.02%	2019 84.35% 85.17%	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change
Customer Type MHD	1,822,603 2019	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/0!	35,514.00 81,427.98 116,941.98	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% Change #DIV/0!	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54 1,386,024.54 Elderly 2020 32,440	304.42% 357.87% 338.14% Change #DIV/0!	13.55 16.48 15.59	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8,470	Change -12.06% -32.49% -27.02% Change #DIV/0!	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91%	85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/0!
Customer Type MHD FGO	1,822,603 2019	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	35,514.00 81,427.98 116,941.98	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54 1,386,024.54 Elderly 2020 32,440 63,623	304.42% 357.87% 338.14% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	13.55 16.48 15.59	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8,470 10,716	Change -12.06% -32.49% -27.02% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91%	85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9,459	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0!
Customer Type MHD	1,822,603 2019	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/0!	35,514.00 81,427.98 116,941.98	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% Change #DIV/0!	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54 1,386.024.54 Elderly 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063	304.42% 357.87% 338.14% Change #DIV/0!	13.55 16.48 15.59	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186	Change -12.06% -32.49% -27.02% Change #DIV/0!	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91%	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/0!
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS	2019	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 NDSU	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	35,514.00 81,427.98 116,941.98 2019	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90 2019	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54 1,386,024.54 Elderly 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 Concordia	304.42% 357.87% 338.14% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	13.55 16.48 15.59 2019	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 M State	Change -12.06% -32.49% -27.02% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614 NDSCS	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0!
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS	2019	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 NDSU 2020	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	35,514.00 81,427.98 116,941.98 2019	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 MSUM 2020	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90 2019	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54 1,386,024.54 Elderly 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 Concordia 2020	304.42% 357.87% 338.14% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01	13.55 16.48 15.59 2019	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 M State 2020	Change -12.06% -32.49% -27.02% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614 NDSCS 2020	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD	2019 	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 NDSU 2020 2,103	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -53.50%	35,514.00 81,427.98 116,941.98 2019 	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 MSUM 2020 3,276	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -73.00%	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90 2019 	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54 1,386.024.54 Elderly 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 Concordia 2020 816	304.42% 357.87% 338.14% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -74.85%	13.55 16.48 15.59 2019 	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 M State 2020 971	Change -12.06% -32.49% -27.02% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019 2019 2019 298	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614 NDSCS 2020 65	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -78.19%
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO	2019 2019 2019 2019 4,523 461,624	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 NDSU 2020 2,103 166,235	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -53.50% -63.99%	35,514.00 81,427.98 116,941.98 2019 	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 MSUM 2020 3,276 1,939	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/09 Change -73.00% -75.41%	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90 2019 	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54 1,386.024.54 Elderly 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 Concordia 2020 816 504	304.42% 357.87% 338.14% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 -74.85% -72.87%	13.55 16.48 15.59 2019 2019 2019 4.499 5,440	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 M State 2020 971 1,093	Change -12.06% -32.49% -27.02% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -78.42% -79.91%	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019 	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614 NDSCS 2020 65 615	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -78.19% -53.09%
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD	2019 2019 2019 2019 4,523 461,624	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 NDSU 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -53.50%	35,514.00 81,427.98 116,941.98 2019 2019 2019 12,133 7,885 20,018	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 MSUM 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -73.00% -75.41% -73.95%	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90 2019 	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54 1,386.024.54 Elderly 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 Concordia 2020 816 504	304.42% 357.87% 338.14% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -74.85%	13.55 16.48 15.59 2019 2019 2019 4,499 5,440 9,939	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 M State 2020 971 1,093 2,064	Change -12.06% -32.49% -27.02% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -78.42% -79.91% -79.23%	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019 2019 2019 298 1,311 1,609	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614 NDSCS 2020 65 615 680	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -78.19%
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS	2019 2019 2019 2019 4,523 461,624	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 NDSU 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338 Ridership	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -53.50% -63.99%	35,514.00 81,427.98 116,941.98 2019 2019 2019 12,133 7,885 20,018 Rev. Hou	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 MSUM 2020 3,276 1,939	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -73.00% -75.41% -73.95%	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90 2019 	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54 1,386,024.54 Elderly 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 Concordia 2020 816 504 1,320 Rev. Mileage	304.42% 357.87% 338.14% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 -74.85% -72.87%	13.55 16.48 15.59 2019 2019 2019 4,499 5,440 9,939	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 M State 2020 971 1,093	Change -12.06% -32.49% -27.02% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -78.42% -79.91% -79.23%	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019 2019 2019 298 1,311 1,609	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614 NDSCS 2020 65 615 680 n-Time Perf.	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -78.19% -53.09%
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS	2019 2019 2019 4,523 461,624 466,147	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 NDSU 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338 Ridership 2020	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -53.50% -63.89% Change	35,514.00 81,427.98 116,941.98 2019 2019 12,133 7,885 20,018 Rev. Hou	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 MSUM 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 rs (Based on R	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -73.00% -75.41% -73.95% idership) Change	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90 2019 2019 2019 3,244 1,858 5,102	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54 1,386.024.54 Elderly 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 Concordia 2020 816 504 1,320 Rev. Mileage	304.42% 357.87% 338.14% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -74.85% -72.87% -74.13%	13.55 16.48 15.59 2019 2019 4,499 5,440 9,939 Pass 2019	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 M State 2020 971 1,093 2,064 2020 4	Change -12.06% -32.49% -27.02% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -78.42% -79.91% -79.23%	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019 2019 2019 298 1,311 1,609 2019	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9.459 20.614 NDSCS 2020 65. 615 680	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -78.19% -53.09% -57.74% Change
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS	2019 2019 2019 4,523 461,624 466,147 2019 38,386	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 NDSU 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338 Ridership 2020 26,202	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -53.50% -63.89% Change -31.74%	35,514,00 81,427,98 116,941,98 2019 2019 12,133 7,885 20,018 Rev. Hou 2019 17,441,20	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 MSUM 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 rs (Based on R	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -73.00% -75.41% -73.95% idership) Change	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90 2019 2019 2019 3,244 1,858 5,102 2019 233,563.88	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54 1,386.024.54 Elderly 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 Concordia 2020 816 504 1,320 Rev. Mileage 2020 167,082.37	304.42% 357.87% 338.14% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -74.85% -72.87% -74.13%	13.55 16.48 15.59 2019 2019 4,499 5,440 9,939 Pas: 2019 2.20	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 M State 2020 971 1,093 2,064	Change -12.08% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.702% Change #DIV/OI #DIV/OI Change -78.42% -79.23% ur Change 1.25%	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019 2019 298 1,311 1,609 0 2019 87.62%	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9.459 20,614 NDSCS 2020 65 66 615 680 1-Time Perf. 2020 2020 92.10%	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -78.19% -53.09% -57.74%
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS Paratransit Fargo Moorhead	2019 2019 2019 4,523 461,624 466,147 2019 38,386 8,204	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 NDSU 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338 Ridership 2020 26,202 5,371	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/0I #DIV/0I **Change -53.50% -63.89% Change -31.74% -34.53%	35,514,00 81,427,98 116,941,98 2019 2019 12,133 7,885 20,018 Rev. Hou 2019 17,441,20 3,727,60	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 MSUM 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 rs (Based on R 2020 11,758.02 2,410.21	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -73.00% -75.41% -73.95% Idership) Change -32.58% -35.34%	2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 3,244 1,858 5,102 2019 233,563.88 49,918.15	2020 472,178.00 913,846.54 1,386.024.54 Elderly 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 Concordia 2020 816 504 1,320 107,082.37 34,249.27	304.42% 357.87% 338.14% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -74.85% -74.13% Change -28.46% -31.39%	13.55 16.48 15.59 2019 2019 4,499 5,440 9,939 Pas: 2019 2.20	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 M State 2020 971 1,093 2,064 2020 2020 223 2.23	Change -12.0% -32.49% -32.49% -27.02% Change #DIV/0I #DIV/0I #DIV/0I #DIV/0I Change -78.42% -79.23% ur Change 1.25%	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019 2019 2019 298 1.311 1.609 2019 87.62% 86.64%	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9.459 20,614 NDSCS 2020 65 615 680 1-Time Perf. 2020 92.10% 91.69%	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -78.19% -53.09% -57.74% Change 5.12% 5.83%
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS Paratransit Fargo Moorhead West Fargo	2019 4,523 461,624 466,147 2019 38,386 8,204 5,954	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 NDSU 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338 Ridership 2020 26,202 5,371 5,123	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -53.50% -63.99% -63.89% Change -31.74% -34.53% -13.96%	35.514.00 81,427.98 116,941.98 2019 2019 12,133 7,885 20,018 Rev. Hou 2019 17,441.20 3,727.60 2,705.28	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079,33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 MSUM 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 rs (Based on R 2020 11,758.02 2,410.21	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% -Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -73.00% -75.41% -73.95% idership) Change -32.58% -35.34% -15.02%	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90 2019 2019 3,244 1,858 5,102 2019 233,563.88 49,918.15 36,227.77	2020 472,178.00 472,178.00 13,846.54 1,386.024 54 Elderly 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 Concordia 2020 816 504 1,320 167,082.37 34,249.27 32,667.85	304.42% 357.87% 338.14% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -74.85% -72.87% -74.13% Change -28.46% -31.39% -9.83%	13.55 16.48 15.59 2019 2019 4,499 5,440 9,939 Pas: 2019 2.20 2.20 2.20	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 M State 2020 971 1,093 2,064 2020 223 223 223	Change -12.08% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.702% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 -78.42% -79.91% -79.23% sur Change -1.25% -1.25% -1.25% -1.25%	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019 2019 2019 298 1,311 1,609 00 87.62% 86.64% 88.72%	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614 NDSCS 2020 65 615 1-2 Time Pert. 2020 92.10% 91.69% 92.62% 69.62%	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/02 Change -78.19% -53.09% -57.74% Change 5.12% 4.39%
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS Paratransit Fargo Moorhead West Fargo Dilworth	2019 2019 2019 4,523 461,624 466,147 2019 38,386 8,204 5,954 806	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 NDSU 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338 Ridership 2020 26,202 5,371 5,123 596	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -53.50% -63.99% -63.89% -31.74% -34.53% -13.96% -20.05%	35,514,00 81,427.98 116,941.98 2019 2019 12,133 7,885 20,018 Rev. Hot 2019 17,441.20 3,727.60 2,705.28 366.22	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 MSUM 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 rs (Based on R 2020 11,758.02 2,410.21 2,298.92 267.45	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% -Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -73.09% -75.41% -73.95% idership) Change -32.58% -35.34% -15.02% -26.97%	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90 2019 2019 3,244 1,858 5,102 2019 233,563.88 49,918.15 36,227.77 4,904.20	2020 472,178.00 472,178.00 473,846.54 1,386.024.54 Elderly 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 Concordia 2020 816 504 1,320 2020 167,082.37 34,249.27 32,667.85 3,800.51	304.42% 357.87% 333.14% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -74.85% -74.13% Change -28.46% -31.39% -9.83% -9.83%	13.55 16.48 15.59 2019 2019 4.499 5.440 9.939 Pas: 2019 2.20 2.20 2.20	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 M State 2020 971 1,093 2,064 sengers / Ho 2020 2,233 2,233 2,233	Change -12.08% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -27.02% Change #DIV/0I #DIV/0I Change -78.42% -79.23% ur Change 1.25% 1.25% 1.25%	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019 2019 298 1,311 1,609 00 2019 87.62% 86.64% 88.72% 87.54%	2020 85.09% 89.47% 38.69% Child 2020 11,155 9.459 20,614 NDSCS 2020 65. 680 1-Time Part. 2020 91.69% 91.69% 92.10% 91.69% 92.62% 89.55%	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -78.19% -57.74% 5.83% 4.33% 4.33%
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS Paratransit Fargo Moorhead West Fargo	2019 4,523 461,624 466,147 2019 38,386 8,204 5,954	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 4418,861 644,397 NDSU 2020 2,103 168,235 168,338 Ridership 2020 26,202 5,371 5,123 5,966 37,292	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -53.50% -63.99% -63.89% Change -31.74% -34.53% -13.96%	35.514.00 81,427.98 116,941.98 2019 2019 2019 12,133 7,885 20,018 Rev. Hou 2019 17,441.20 3,727.62 3,622,22 24,240.30	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 MSUM 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 rs (Based on R 2020 2,410,21 2,298.92 267,45 16,734.60	0.64% -0.25% -4.16% -4.16% -4.16% -4.16% -4.16% -4.16% -4.16% -4.16% -7.3.00% -7.5.41% -7.3.05% -7.5.41% -7.3.5% -7.3.	2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019	2020 472,178.00 472,178.00 472,178.00 473,184.54 41,386,024,54 Elderly 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 Concordia 2020 816 650 41,320 40,003 41,320 4	304.42% 357.87% 338.14% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -74.85% -72.87% -74.13% Change -28.46% -31.39% -9.83%	2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019	2020 11.91 11.12 11.12 2020 8.470 10.716 19.186 M State 2020 971 1.093 2.024 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23	Change -12.08% -32.49% -32.49% -27.02% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -78.42% -79.91% -79.23% UIF Change 1.25% 1.25% 1.25% 1.25%	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019 2019 2019 298 1.311 1.609 87.62% 86.64% 88.72% 87.54% 87.54% 87.63%	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9.459 20,614 NDSCS 2020 65 615 680 1-Time Perf. 2020 92,10% 91,69% 92,62% 89,55% 91,49% 91,49%	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/02 Change -78.19% -53.09% -57.74% Change 5.12% 4.39%
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS Paratransit Fargo Moorhead Wost Fargo Dilworth Total	2019 2019 2019 4,523 461,524 466,147 2019 38,386 8,204 5,954 906 53,350	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 NDSU 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338 Ridership 2020 26,202 26,202 5,123 596 37,292 Ridership	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -53.50% -63.89% Change -31.74% -34.53% -34.53% -34.53% -30.10%	35.514.00 81,427.98 116,941.98 2019 2019 12,133 7,885 20,018 Rev. Hou 2019 17,441.20 3,727.60 2,705.28 366.22 24,240.30 Rev. Hou	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079,33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 MSUM 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 rs (Based on R 2020 11,758.02 2,410.21 2,298.92 267.45 16,734.60 rs (Based on R	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% -Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -73.00% -75.41% -73.95% idership) -32.58% -35.34% -35.34% -30.96% -30.96%	2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019	2020 472,178.00 472,178.00 472,178.00 472,178.00 472,178.00 473,186,024 474,178.00 484,186,024 484,186	304.42% 357.87% 358.14% 359.14	13.55 16.48 15.59 2019 2019 4,499 5,440 9,939 2019 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 M State 2020 971 1,093 2,064 2020 223 223 223 223 223 223 223 3engers / Hc	Change -12.08% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.702% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #TOV/01 #TOV/0	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019 2019 2019 2019 298 1,311 1,609 01 2019 87.62% 86.64% 88.72% 87.54% 87.54%	2020 85.09% 89.47% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614 NDSCS 2020 65 615 680 1-Time Perf. 2020 92.10% 99.62% 69.55%	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -78.19% -55.09% 6.57.74% Change 5.12% 6.83% 4.39% 2.30% 4.41%
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS Paratransit Fargo Moorhead West Fargo Dilworth Total	2019 2019 4,523 461,624 466,147 2019 38,386 8,204 5,954 806 53,350	849,123 1.274.870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644.397 NDSU 2020 2,103 166,235 Ridership 2020 5,371 5,123 596 37,292 Ridership	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -53.50% -63.99% Change -31.74% -34.53% -3.05% -30.10% Change	35,514,00 81,427,98 116,941,98 2019 2019 12,133 12,133 7,885 20,018 Rev. Hou 2019 17,441,20 3,727,60 2,705,28 366,22 24,240,30 Rev. Hou 2019	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 MSUM 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 rs (Based on R 2020 11,758.02 2,410.21 2,298.92 267.45 16,734.60) rs (Based on R 2020	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% -Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! -73.09% -75.41% -73.95% idership) Change -32.58% -35.34% -15.02% -26.97% -30.96% idenship) Change	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90 2019 2019 3,244 1,858 5,102 2019 233,563.88 49,918.15 36,227,77 4,904.20 324614.00	2020 472,178.00 472,178.00 472,178.00 472,178.00 472,178.00 473,18	304.42% 357.87% 363.14% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -74.85% -72.87% -74.13% Change -28.46% -31.39% -23.05% Change	13.55 16.48 15.59 2019 2019 4,499 4,499 5,440 9,939 Pass 2019 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.2	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8.470 10.716 19.186 M State 2020 971 1.093 2.064 2.020 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23 3.223 3.223 3.223 3.23 3.233 3.203	Change -12.08% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.60% -30.40%	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019 2019 2019 298 1.311 1.609 87.62% 86.64% 88.72% 87.54% 87.54% 87.63%	2020 85.09% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9.459 20,614 NDSCS 2020 65 615 680 1-Time Perf. 2020 92,10% 91,69% 92,62% 89,55% 91,49% 91,49%	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -78.19% -57.74% 5.83% 4.33% 4.33%
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS Paratransit Fargo Moorhead West Fargo Dibtorth Total Senior Ride Dilworth	2019 2019 2019 2019 4,523 461,624 466,147 2019 38,336 8,204 5,954 906 53,350 2019 1,326	849,123 1,274,870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 NDSU 2020 26,202 26,202 26,202 5,371 5,123 5,123 5,123 5,123 6,123 5,123 6,123 5,123 6,123	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! Change -33.50% -63.99% -63.89% Change -31.74% -20.05% -30.10% -30.10%	35.514.00 81,427.98 116,941.98 2019 2019 12,133 7,885 20,018 Rev. Hou 2019 17,441.20 3,727.60 2,705.28 366.22 24,240.30 Rev. Hou 2019 847.95	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 15,2416 15,734,602 16,734,607 16,734,	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% -4.16% -4.16% -6.25% -4.16% -6.25% -6.25% -6.25% -7.10% -7.3.0% -7.3.0% -7.3.0% -7.3.95% -7.3.95% -7.3.95% -7.3.95% -7.3.95% -7.3.95% -1.5.02% -2.5.97% -3.0.96% -3.0.96% -3.0.96% -3.0.96% -3.0.96% -4.0.96% -4.0.96%	2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019	2020 472,178.00 472,178.00 472,178.00 472,178.00 472,178.00 473,186,024,54 Elderly 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 Concordia 2020 816 504 1,320 Rev. Mileage 2020 167,082,37 32,667,85 3,800,51 2378800,00 Rev. Mileage 2020 4,451	304.42% 357.87% 358.14% 309.14	13.55 16.48 15.59 2019 2019 4,499 5,440 9,939 Pas: 2019 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20 1.56	2020 11.91 11.12 11.17 Youth 2020 8.470 10,716 19,186 M State 2020 2,064 2,064 2,064 2,233 2,233 2,233 2,233 2,233 2,233 2,233 2,233 2,231	Change -12.08% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.09% -4DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 -79.23% -79.91% -79.23% -12.5% -12.5% -12.5% -12.5% -12.5% -12.5% -13.5%	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019 2019 2019 2019 298 1,311 1,609 01 2019 87.62% 86.64% 88.72% 87.54% 87.54%	2020 85.09% 89.47% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614 NDSCS 2020 65 615 680 1-Time Perf. 2020 92.10% 99.62% 69.55%	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -78.19% -55.09% 6.57.74% Change 5.12% 6.83% 4.39% 2.30% 4.41%
Customer Type MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS Paratransit Fargo Moorhead West Fargo Dilworth Total	2019 2019 4,523 461,624 466,147 2019 38,386 8,204 5,954 806 53,350	849,123 1.274.870 Adult 2020 225,536 418,861 644.397 NDSU 2020 2,103 166,235 Ridership 2020 5,371 5,123 596 37,292 Ridership	-11.50% -36.71% -30.05% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -53.50% -63.99% Change -31.74% -34.53% -3.05% -30.10% Change	35,514,00 81,427,98 116,941,98 2019 2019 12,133 12,133 7,885 20,018 Rev. Hou 2019 17,441,20 3,727,60 2,705,28 366,22 24,240,30 Rev. Hou 2019	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 Disabled 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 MSUM 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 rs (Based on R 2020 11,758.02 2,410.21 2,298.92 267.45 16,734.60) rs (Based on R 2020	0.64% -6.25% -4.16% -Change #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! -73.09% -75.41% -73.95% idership) Change -32.58% -35.34% -15.02% -26.97% -30.96% idenship) Change	116,753.00 199,587.90 316,340.90 2019 2019 3,244 1,858 5,102 2019 233,563.88 49,918.15 36,227,77 4,904.20 324614.00	2020 472,178.00 472,178.00 472,178.00 472,178.00 472,178.00 473,18	304.42% 357.87% 363.14% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -74.85% -72.87% -74.13% Change -28.46% -31.39% -23.05% Change	13.55 16.48 15.59 2019 2019 4,499 4,499 5,440 9,939 Pass 2019 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.20 2.2	2020 11.91 11.12 11.37 Youth 2020 8.470 10.716 19.186 M State 2020 971 1.093 2.064 2.020 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23 3.223 3.223 3.223 3.23 3.233 3.203	Change -12.08% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.49% -32.60% -30.40%	2019 84.35% 85.17% 84.91% 2019 2019 2019 2019 298 1,311 1,609 01 2019 87.62% 86.64% 88.72% 87.54% 87.54%	2020 85.09% 89.47% 89.47% 88.69% Child 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614 NDSCS 2020 65 615 680 1-Time Perf. 2020 92.10% 99.62% 69.55%	0.87% 5.06% 4.45% Change #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 Change -78.19% -55.09% 6.57.74% Change 5.12% 6.83% 4.39% 2.30% 4.41%

					MATBU	S Transit	Operation	ons Repo	ort - 202	1					
ı		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage		Doc	acongera / He			n-Time Perf.	
	2020	2021		2020	2021		2020	2021			sengers / Ho 2021		2020	n-Time Perf. 2021	
Moorhead Route 1	61,976	55,768	Change -10.02%	5,164.00	5,167.00	Change 0.06%	62,706.00	62,751.00	Change 0.07%	2020 12.00	10.79	-10.07%	92.72%	92.42%	Change 0.220/
Route 2	91,236	76,480	-16.17%	5,788.00	5,783.00	-0.09%	76,645.00	76,575.00	-0.09%	15.76	13.22	-16.10%	89.69%	91.54%	-0.32% 2.06%
Route 3	60,435	54,114	-10.46%	5,167.00	5,175.00	0.15%	88,820.00	87,834.00	-1.11%	11.70	10.46	-10.60%	71.68%	79.67%	11.15%
Route 4	138,038	117,623	-14.79%	10,665.00	10,350.00	-2.95%	112,243.00	119,788.00	6.72%	12.94	11.36	-12.20%	86.95%	90.39%	3.96%
Route 5	54,076	45,721	-15.45%	5,165.00	5,173.00	0.15%	75,408.00	75,522.00	0.15%	10.47	8.84	-15.58%	84.55%	90.39%	6.91%
Route 6	15,244	10,315	-32.33%	2,076.00	2,069.00	-0.34%	26,712.00	26,045.00	-2.50%	7.34	4.99	-32.11%	84.60%	86.53%	2.28%
Route 9	4,742	3,705	-21.87%	1,715.00	1,711.00	-0.23%	29,644.00	29,458.00	-0.63%	2.77	2.17	-21.69%	85.43%	87.36%	2.26%
Total	425,747	363,726	-14.57%	35,740.00	35,428.00	-0.87%	472,178.00	477,973.00	1.23%	11.91	10.27	-13.82%	85.09%	88.33%	3.81%
Ī		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage		Pas	sengers / Ho	ur	0	n-Time Perf.	
Fargo	2020	2021	Change	2020	2021	Change	2020	2021	Change	2020	2021	Change	2020	2021	Change
Route 11	55,227	50,021	-9.43%	4,564.00	4,555.00	-0.20%	52376.46	52273.18	-0.20%	12.10	10.98	-9.25%	87.17%	91.39%	4.84%
Route 13	64,803	69,846	7.78%	8,820.00	8,802.28	-0.20%	92407.14	92221.49	-0.20%	7.35	7.93	8.00%	89.39%	92.47%	3.45%
Route 13U	17,626	15,461	-12.28%	1,482.15	1,975.20	33.27%	16644.54	22181.50	33.27%	11.89	7.83	-34.18%	92.66%	86.00%	-7.19%
Route 14	115,371	110,333	-4.37%	13,648.52	13,636.75	-0.09%	171043.25	170895.75	-0.09%	8.45	8.09	-4.28%	94.86%	92.23%	-2.77%
Route 15	300,090	251,303	-16.26%	16,391.25	15,921.15	-2.87%	191843.19	186341.14	-2.87%	18.31	15.78	-13.78%	89.00%	82.50%	-7.30%
Route 16	23,913	21,926	-8.31%	4,110.66	4,107.14	-0.09%	49085.39	49043.36	-0.09%	5.82	5.34	-8.23%	97.58%	93.91%	-3.76%
Route 17	34,209	27,842	-18.61%	2,588.50	2,577.00	-0.44%	30973.99	30836.38	-0.44%	13.22	10.80	-18.25%	86.70%	90.94%	4.89%
Route 18	31,035 31,040	30,353 26,455	-2.20% -14.77%	7,168.90	7,160.48	-0.12%	127993.54	127843.21	-0.12%	4.33	4.24	-2.08%	88.72%	87.22%	-1.69%
Route 20 Route 24	31,040 18,396	26,455 17,834	-14.77%	4,565.00	4,558.00	-0.15%	43458.80	43392.16	-0.15% -0.05%	6.80	5.80	-14.64% -3.01%	80.70% 91.44%	64.72% 90.84%	-19.80%
TapRide - Ind. Park	6,705	4,232	-36.88%	5,100.00	5,097.38	-0.05%	63714.30	63681.57		3.61	3.50		91.44%	90.84%	-0.66%
Total	6,705	621,374	-36.88% -10.17%	5,171.00 68,438.98	4,991.00 68,390.38	-3.48% -0.07%	23624.89 775826.30	19247.59 838709.73	-18.53% 8.11%	1.30 10.11	9.09	-34.61% -10.10%	89.82%	87.22%	-2.89%
lotai	691,710		-10.17%	68,438.98		-0.07%	775826.30		8.11%						-2.89%
		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage			sengers / Ho	ur		n-Time Perf.	
NDSU	2020	2021	Change	2020	2021	Change	2020	2021	Change	2020	2021	Change	2020	2021	Change
Route 31	10,465	6,908	-33.99%	1,273.75	1,698.00	33.31%	11458.66	15275.21	33.31%	8.22	4.07	-50.48%	91.89%	94.23%	2.55%
Route 32E & 32W	53,917	49,220	-8.71%	1,660.57	2,211.54	33.18%	12190.32	16239.75	33.22%	32.47	22.26	-31.45%	94.39%	92.78%	-1.71%
Route 33	69,518	83,220	19.71%	3,448.63	4,600.66	33.41%	41185.00	54943.03	33.41%	20.16	18.09	-10.27%	92.62%	96.11%	3.77%
Route 34	21,298	15,009	-29.53%	1,085.65	1,443.42	32.95%	9389.23	12483.40	32.95%	19.62	10.40	-47.00%	92.66%	88.95%	-4.00%
TapRide - NDSU	2,122	2,312	8.95%	423.50	567.00	33.88%	2046.28	3269.07	59.76%	5.01	4.08	-18.62%	00.000/	00.000/	0.440/
Total	157,320	156,669	-0.41%	7,892	10,521	33.31%	76269.49	98941.39	29.73%	85	59	-31.10%	92.89%	93.02%	0.14%
		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage		Pas	sengers / Ho	ur	0	n-Time Perf.	
Other	2020	2021	Change	2020	2021	Change	2020	2021	Change	2020	2021	Change	2020	2021	Change
LinkFM	93	3,867	4058.06%	8.25	96.00	1063.64%	82.73	1079.04	1204.28%	11.27	40.28	257.33%	85.71%	70.87%	-17.31%
Total	93	3,867	4058.06%	8.25	96.00	1063.64%	82.73	1079.04	1204.28%	11.27	40.28	257.33%	85.71%	70.87%	-17.31%
		Ridership			Rev. Hours			Rev. Mileage		Pas	sengers / Ho	ur	0	n-Time Perf.	
Total	2020	2021	Change	0000											
MHD	425,747				2021	Change	2020	2021	Change	2020	2021	Change		2021	
FGO				2020 35.740.00	2021 35.428.00	Change -0.87%	2020 472.178.00	2021 477.973.00	Change 1.23%	2020 11.91	2021 10.27	Change -13.82%	2020	2021 88 33%	Change
		363,726	-14.57%	35,740.00	35,428.00	-0.87%	472,178.00	477,973.00	1.23%	11.91	10.27	-13.82%	2020 85.09%	88.33%	Change 3.81%
	849,123	363,726 781,910	-14.57% -7.92%	35,740.00 76,339.33	35,428.00 79,007.00	-0.87% 3.49%		477,973.00 938,730.16	1.23% 10.16%	11.91 11.12	10.27 9.90	-13.82% -11.02%	2020 85.09% 84.72%	88.33% 87.68%	3.81% 3.49%
MATBUS		363,726	-14.57%	35,740.00	35,428.00	-0.87%	472,178.00 852,178.52	477,973.00	1.23%	11.91	10.27	-13.82%	2020 85.09%	88.33%	Change 3.81%
	849,123	363,726 781,910	-14.57% -7.92%	35,740.00 76,339.33	35,428.00 79,007.00	-0.87% 3.49%	472,178.00 852,178.52	477,973.00 938,730.16	1.23% 10.16%	11.91 11.12	10.27 9.90	-13.82% -11.02%	2020 85.09% 84.72%	88.33% 87.68%	3.81% 3.49%
	849,123	363,726 781,910 1,145,636	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14%	35,740.00 76,339.33	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,435.00	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10%	472,178.00 852,178.52	477,973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.16	1.23% 10.16% 6.97%	11.91 11.12	10.27 9.90 10.01	-13.82% -11.02% -11.99%	2020 85.09% 84.72%	88.33% 87.68% 87.88%	3.81% 3.49% -0.90%
MATBUS	849,123 1,274,870	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult	-14.57% -7.92%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,435.00 Disabled	-0.87% 3.49%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52	477,973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.16 Elderly	1.23% 10.16%	11.91 11.12 11.37	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth	-13.82% -11.02%	85.09% 84.72% 88.69%	88.33% 87.68% 87.88%	3.81% 3.49%
MATBUS Total	849,123 1,274,870 2020	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,435.00 Disabled 2021	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52	477,973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.16 Elderly 2021	1.23% 10.16% 6.97%	11.91 11.12 11.37	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021	-13.82% -11.02% -11.99% Change	2020 85.09% 84.72% 88.69%	88.33% 87.68% 87.88% Child 2021	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change
MATBUS Total MHD	849,123 1,274,870 2020 225,536	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021 331,490	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14% Change 46.98%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 2020 65,800	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,435.00 Disabled 2021 34,627	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440	477,973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.16 Elderly 2021 24,127	1.23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63%	11.91 11.12 11.37 2020 8,470	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250	-13.82% -11.02% -11.99% Change -2.60%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 88.69% 2020 11,155	88.33% 87.68% 87.88% Child 2021 12,285	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13%
Total MHD FGO	849,123 1,274,870 2020 225,536 418,861	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582 894,072	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14% Change 46.98% 34.31%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 2020 65,800 83,796	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,435.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623	477,973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.16 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572	1.23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% -48.80%	11.91 11.12 11.37 2020 8,470 10,716	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823	-13.82% -11.02% -11.99% Change -2.60% -10.67%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 88.69% 2020 11,155 9,459	88.33% 87.68% 87.88% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62%
Total MHD FGO	849,123 1,274,870 2020 225,536 418,861	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14% Change 46.98% 34.31%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 2020 65,800 83,796	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,435.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623	477,973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.16 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572	1.23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% -48.80%	11.91 11.12 11.37 2020 8,470 10,716	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573	-13.82% -11.02% -11.99% Change -2.60% -10.67%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 88.69% 2020 11,155 9,459	88.33% 87.68% 87.88% Child 2021 12,285 10,653	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62%
Total MHD FGO MATBUS College	849,123 1,274,870 2020 225,536 418,861	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582 894,072	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14% Change 46.98% 34.31%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 2020 65,800 83,796	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,435.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623	477,973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.16 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699	1.23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% -48.80%	11.91 11.12 11.37 2020 8,470 10,716	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823	-13.82% -11.02% -11.99% Change -2.60% -10.67%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 88.69% 2020 11,155 9,459	88.33% 87.68% 87.88% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62%
Total MHD FGO MATBUS	849,123 1,274,870 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582 894,072	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14% Change 46.98% 34.31% 38.75%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,435.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77% -45.36%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063	477,973.00 938,730.16 1.416,703.16 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699 Concordia	1.23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% -48.80% -40.98%	11.91 11.12 11.37 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823	-13.82% -11.02% -11.99% Change -2.60% -10.67% -7.10%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 88.69% 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614	88.33% 87.68% 87.88% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27%
Total MHD FGO MATBUS College	2020 225,536 418,861 644,397	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582 894,072 NDSU 2021	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14% Change 46.98% 34.31% 38.75% Change	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,435.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744 MSUM 2021	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77% -45.36%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1.324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063	477,973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.16 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699 Concordia 2021	1.23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% -48.80% -40.98%	11.91 11.12 11.37 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823 M State 2021	-13.82% -11.02% -11.99% Change -2.60% -10.67% -7.10%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 88.69% 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614	88.33% 87.68% 87.88% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938 NDSCS 2021	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27% Change
Total MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD	2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 2020 2,103	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582 894,072 NDSU 2021 1,542 154,131	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14% Change 46.98% 34.31% 38.75% Change -26.68%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,435.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744 MSUM 2021 3,256	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77% -45.36% Change -0.61%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063	477,973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.16 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699 Concordia 2021 1,815	1.23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% -48.80% -40.98%	11.91 11.12 11.37 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823 M State 2021 1,000	-13.82% -11.02% -11.99% Change -2.60% -10.67% -7.10% Change 2.99%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 88.69% 2020 111,155 9,459 20,614	88.33% 87.68% 87.88% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938 NDSCS 2021 84	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27% Change 29.23%
Total MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO	2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 2020 2,103 166,235	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582 894,072 NDSU 2021 1,542	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14% Change 46.98% 34.31% 38.75% Change -26.68% -7.28%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 2020 3,276 1,939	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,435.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744 MSUM 2021 3,256 2,208	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77% -45.36% Change -0.61% 13.87%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 2020 816 504	477,973.00 938,730.16 1.416,703.16 Elderty 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699 Concordia 2021 1,815 1,098	1.23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% -48.80% -40.98% Change 122.43% 117.86%	11.91 11.12 11.37 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823 M State 2021 1,000 1,508	-13.82% -11.02% -11.99% Change -2.60% -7.10% Change 2.99% 37.97%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 88.69% 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614 2020 65 615	88.33% 87.68% 87.88% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938 NDSCS 2021 84 295	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27% Change 29.23% -52.03%
Total MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO	2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 2020 2,103 166,235	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582 894,072 NDSU 2021 1,542 154,131	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14% Change 46.98% 34.31% 38.75% Change -26.68% -7.28%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 12,079.33 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,435.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744 MSUM 2021 3,256 2,208	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77% -45.36% Change -0.61% 13.87% 4.77%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 2020 816 504	477,973.00 938,730.16 1.416,703.16 Elderty 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699 Concordia 2021 1,815 1,098	1.23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% -48.80% -40.98% Change 122.43% 117.86%	11.91 11.12 11.37 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 2020 971 1,093 2,064	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823 M State 2021 1,000 1,508	-13.82% -11.02% -11.99% Change -2.60% -10.67% -7.10% Change 2.99% 37.97% 21.51%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 88.69% 2020 111,165 9,459 20,614 2020 65 615 680	88.33% 87.68% 87.88% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938 NDSCS 2021 84 295	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27% Change 29.23% -52.03%
Total MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO	2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 2020 2,103 166,235	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582 894,072 NDSU 2021 1,542 154,131 155,673	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14% Change 46.98% 34.31% 38.75% Change -26.68% -7.28%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 12,079.33 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,435.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744 MSUM 2021 3,256 2,208 5,464	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77% -45.36% Change -0.61% 13.87% 4.77%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 2020 816 504	477,973.00 938,730.16 1.416,703.16 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699 Concordia 2024 1,815 1,098 2,913	1.23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% -48.80% -40.98% Change 122.43% 117.86%	11.91 11.12 11.37 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 2020 971 1,093 2,064	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823 M State 2021 1,000 1,508 2,508	-13.82% -11.02% -11.99% Change -2.60% -10.67% -7.10% Change 2.99% 37.97% 21.51%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 88.69% 2020 111,165 9,459 20,614 2020 65 615 680	88.33% 87.68% 87.88% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938 NDSCS 2021 84 295 379	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27% Change 29.23% -52.03%
Total MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS	2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582 894,072 NDSU 2021 1,542 154,131 155,673 Ridership	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14% Change 46.98% 34.31% 38.75% Change -26.68% -7.28% -7.52%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,435.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744 MSUM 2021 3,256 2,208 5,464 s (Based on I	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77% -45.36% Change -0.61% 13.87% 4.77%	472,178,00 852,178,52 1,324,356,52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 2020 816 504 1,320	477,973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.16 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699 Concordia 2021 1,815 1,098 2,913 Rev. Mileage	1.23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% -48.80% -40.98% Change 122.43% 117.86%	11.91 11.12 11.37 2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 2020 971 1,093 2,064	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823 M State 2021 1,000 1,508 2,508	-13.82% -11.02% -11.99% Change -2.60% -10.67% -7.10% Change 2.99% 37.97% 21.51%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 88.69% 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614 2020 65 615 680	88.33% 87.68% 87.88% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938 NDSCS 2021 84 295 379	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27% Change 29.23% -52.03% -44.26% Change 2.81%
Total MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS	2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338	363,726 781,910 1,145,693 Adult 2021 331,490 562,802 NDSU 2021 1,542 154,131 155,673 Ridership 2021 35,865 9,034	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14% Change 49.98% 34.31% 38.75% Change -26.68% -7.52% Change -8.68% -7.52% Change -8.68% -7.52%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 122,079.33 122,079.33 122,079.33 65,800 83,796 149,596 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 Rev. Hour 2020 11,758.02 2,410.21	35,428,00 79,007,00 114,435,00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744 MSUM 2021 3,256 2,208 5,464 1,704 1,	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77% -45.36% Change -0.61% 13.87% 4.77% Ridership Change 50.54% 84.99%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 2020 816 504 1,320	477.973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.18 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699 Concordia 2021 1,815 1,098 2,913 Rev. Mileage 2021 232,764.32 58,630.78	1,23% 10,16% 6,97% Change -25,63% -48,80% Change 122,43% 117,86% 120,68%	11.91 11.12 11.37 2020 8.470 10,716 19,186 2020 971 1,093 2,064 Pas 2020 2.23 2.23	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823 M State 2021 1,000 1,508 2,508 2,508 2,508	-13.82% -11.02% -11.99% Change -2.60% -7.10% Change 2.99% 37.97% 21.51%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 83.69% 2020 11,155 9,459 20.614 2020 65 615 680	88.33% 87.68% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938 NDSCS 2021 84 295 379 10-Time Perf. 2024 89.52% 90.05%	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27% Change 29.23% -42.63% Change -2.81% -1.79%
Total MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS	849,123 1,274,870 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338 2020 26,202 5,371 5,123	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021 331,490 562,682 894,072 NDSU 2021 1,542 154,131 155,673 Ridership 2021 35,865 9,034 7,102	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 Rev. Hour 2020 11,758.02 2,410.21	35,428.00 79,007.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744 MSUM 2021 3,256 2,208 5,464 177,071.10 4,458.71 3,505.18	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% 43.77% -45.36% Change -0.61% 13.87% 4.77% Ridership) Change 50.54% 84.99% 52.47%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 2020 816 504 1,320 2020 167,082.37 34,249.27 32,667.85	477.973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.16 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699 Concordia 2021 1,815 1,098 2,913 Rev. Mileage 2021 232,764.32 56,630.78 46,092.07	1,23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% 48.80% 122.43% 117.86% 120.68% Change 124.33% 117.86% 141.09% 41.09%	11.91 11.12 2020 8.470 10.716 19.186 2020 971 1.093 2.064 Pas 2020 2.23 2.23 2.23	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823 M State 2021 1,000 1,508 2,	-13.82% -11.02% -11.02% -11.99% -2.60% -2.60% -7.10% -7.10% Change -2.99% -37.97% -21.51% ur Change -9.08% -9.08% -9.08%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 88.69% 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614 2020 65 615 680	88.33% 87.68% F7.68% Child 12,285 10,653 22,38 NDSCS 2021 84 295 379 n-Time Perf 2021 89.52% 90.05% 89.62%	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27% Change 29.23% -52.03% -44.26% Change -2.81% -1.79% -3.23%
Total MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS	849,123 1,274,870 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338 2020 26,202 5,371 5,123 596	363,726 781,910 1,145,693 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582 894,072 NDSU 2021 1,542 1,542 155,673 Ridership 2021 35,865 9,034 7,102 9188	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14% Change 49.98% 34.31% 38.75% Change -26.68% -7.52% Change -8.68% -7.52% Change -8.68% -7.52%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 122,079.33 122,079.33 122,079.33 65,800 83,796 149,596 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 Rev. Hour 2020 11,758.02 2,410.21	35,428,00 79,007,00 114,435,00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744 MSUM 2021 3,256 5,464 \$(Based on I) 2021 17,701,100 4,458,71 3,505,18 453,08	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77% -45.36% Change -0.61% 13.87% 4.77% Sidership Change 50.54% 84.99% 52.47% 69.40%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 2020 816 504 1,320	477.973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.18 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699 Concordia 2021 1,815 1,098 2,913 Rev. Mileage 2021 232,764.32 58,630.78	1,23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% -40.96% Change 122.43% 117.86% 120.68%	11.91 11.12 11.37 2020 8.470 10,716 19,186 2020 971 1,093 2,064 Pas 2020 2.23 2.23	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823 M State 2021 1,000 1,508 2,508 2,508 2,508	-13.82% -11.02% -11.99% Change -2.60% -7.10% Change 2.99% -7.10% Change 2.99% -9.08% -9.08% -9.08%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 83.69% 2020 11,155 9,459 20.614 2020 65 615 680	88.33% 87.68% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938 NDSCS 2021 84 295 379 10-Time Perf. 2024 89.52% 90.05%	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27% Change 29.23% -52.03% 44.26% Change -2.81% -1.78% -3.23% -3.23% -1.56%
Total MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS Paratransit Fargo Moorhead West Fargo	849,123 1,274,870 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338 2020 26,202 5,371 5,123	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021 331,490 562,682 894,072 NDSU 2021 1,542 154,131 155,673 Ridership 2021 35,865 9,034 7,102	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 Rev. Hour 2020 11,758.02 2,410.21	35,428.00 79,007.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744 MSUM 2021 3,256 2,208 5,464 177,071.10 4,458.71 3,505.18	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% 43.77% -45.36% Change -0.61% 13.87% 4.77% Ridership) Change 50.54% 84.99% 52.47%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 2020 816 504 1,320 2020 167,082.37 34,249.27 32,667.85	477.973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.16 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699 Concordia 2021 1,815 1,098 2,913 Rev. Mileage 2021 232,764.32 56,630.78 46,092.07	1,23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% 48.80% 122.43% 117.86% 120.68% Change 124.33% 117.86% 141.09% 41.09%	11.91 11.12 2020 8.470 10.716 19.186 2020 971 1.093 2.064 Pas 2020 2.23 2.23 2.23	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823 M State 2021 1,000 1,508 2,	-13.82% -11.02% -11.02% -11.99% -2.60% -2.60% -7.10% -7.10% Change -2.99% -37.97% -21.51% ur Change -9.08% -9.08% -9.08%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 83.69% 2020 111.155 9.459 20.614 2020 65 615 680 0 0 0 2020 92.10% 91.69%	88.33% 87.68% F7.68% Child 12,285 10,653 22,38 NDSCS 2021 84 295 379 n-Time Perf 2021 89.52% 90.05% 89.62%	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27% Change 29.23% -52.03% -44.26% Change -2.81% -1.79% -3.23%
Total MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS Paratransit Fargo West Fargo Dilworth	849,123 1,274,870 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338 2020 26,202 5,371 5,123 596	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582 894,072 NDSU 2021 1,542 154,131 155,673 Ridorship 2021 35,865 9,034 7,102 9188 52,919	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14% Change 49.98% 33.375% Change -26.68% -7.28% -7.52% Change 38.88% 68.20% 38.63% 54.03%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 122,079.33 122,079.33 122,079.33 149,596 149,59	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,455.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744 MSUM 2021 3,256 2,208 5,464 117,701.10 4,458.71 3,505.18 453.08 26,118.07	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47,38% -43,77% -45,36% Change -0.61% 13,87% 4,77% Ridership Change 50,54% 84,99% 52,47% 69,40% 56,07%	472,178,00 852,178,52 1,324,356,52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 2020 816 504 1,320 2020 167,082,37 34,249,27 32,667,85 3,800,51	477.973.00 938,730.16 938,730.16 1,416,703.18 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699 Concordia 2021 1,815 1,098 2,913 Rev. Mileage 2021 232,764.32 58,630.78 46,092.07 5,957.83 343,445.00	1,23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% -40.96% Change 122.43% 117.86% 120.68%	11.91 11.12 11.37 2020 8.470 10,716 19,186 2020 971 1,093 2,084 2020 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8.250 9.573 17,823 M State 2021 1,000 1,508 2,508 2,03	-13.82% -11.02% -11.02% -11.02% -11.02% -10.67% -7.10% Change -2.60% -10.67% -7.10% Change -9.98% -9.08% -9.08% -9.08% -9.08%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 88.69% 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614 2020 65 615 680 2020 92.10% 92.62% 92.62% 92.62% 93.55% 94.99%	88.33% 87.68% 87.68% 87.68% 87.68% 87.68% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938 NDSCS 2021 84 295 379 379 379 2021 89.52% 89.62% 89.62% 89.62% 89.34% 89.34% 89.34%	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27% Change 29.23% -52.03% 44.26% Change -2.81% -1.78% -3.23% -3.23% -1.56%
Total Total MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS Paratransit Fargo Moorhead West Fargo Dilworth Total	849,123 1,274,870 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338 2020 5,371 5,123 596 37,292	363,726 781,910 1,145,036 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582 894,072 NDSU 2021 1,542 154,131 155,673 Ridership 2024 35,865 9,034 7,102 918 52,919 Ridership	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 Rev. Hour 2020 2,298.92 2,410.21 2,298.92 2,67.45 16,734.00 Rev. Hour	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,455.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744 MSUM 2021 3,256 2,208 5,464 17,701.10 4,458.71 3,505.18 453.08 26,118.07 \$ (Based on I	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77% -45.36% Change -0.61% 13.87% 4.77% Ridership) Change 50.54% 84.99% 52.47% 69.40%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 2020 816 504 1,320 2020 167,082.37 34,249.27 32,667.85 3,800.51 237,800.00	477.973.00 938,730.16 938,730.16 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699 Concordia 2021 1,815 1,098 2,913 Rev.Mileage 46,092.07 5,957.83 43,445.00 Rev. Mileage	1,23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% -48.80% -49.98% Change 122.43% 117.86% 120.68% Change 39.31% 71.19% 41.09% 55.76% 52.09%	11.91 11.12 2020 5.470 10,716 19.186 2020 971 1.093 2.064 223 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823 M State 2021 1,000 1,508 2,508 2,508 2,03	-13.82% -11.02% -11.02% -11.09% -11.09% -2.60% -2.60% -7.10% Change -2.99% 37.97% 21.51% ur Change -9.08% -9.08% -9.08%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 85.69% 2020 111,155 9,459 20,614 2020 65 615 680 91.69% 92.62% 93.55% 91.49%	88.33% 87.68% 87.68% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938 NDSCS 2021 84 295 379 In-Time Perf. 2021 89.52% 88.15% 89.34% B-Time Perf.	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27% Change 29.23% -52.03% -44.26% Change -2.81% -1.75% -2.35%
Total MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS Paratransit Fargo Moorhead West Fargo Dilworth Total Senior Ride	849,123 1,274,870 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 2020 2,103 16,235 168,338 2020 26,202 5,371 5,123 596 37,292	363,726 781,910 1,145,636 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582 894,072 NDSU 2021 1,54,31 155,673 Ridership 2021 35,865 9,034 7,102 918 52,919 Ridership 2021	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14% Change 46.98% 33.31% 38.75% Change -26.68% -7.28% -7.52% Change -36.88% 68.20% 36.88% 68.20% 41.90% Change	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 112,079.33 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 Rev. Hour 2020 211,758.02 2,410.21 2,298.92 267.45 16,734.60 Rev. Hour 2020	35,428,00 79,007,00 114,435,00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744 MSUM 2021 3,256 5,464 2,208 5,464 17,701,10 17,701,10 4,458,71 3,505,16 4,53,08 26,118,07 5 (Based on 1) 2021 17,701,10 17,701,10 5,350,16 18,350,16 1	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77% -45.36% Change -0.61% 13.87% 4.77% 81dership) Change 50.54% 69.40% 69.40% 156.07%	472,178,00 852,178,52 1,324,356,52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 2020 816 504 1,320 2020 167,082,37 32,667,85 3,800,51 237,800,00	477,973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.16 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699 Concordia 2021 1,815 1,098 2,913 Rev. Mileage 2021 232,764,32 58,630,78 46,092.07 5,957,83 343,445.00 Rev. Mileage 2021	1,23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% -40.98% Change 122.43% 117.86% 120.68% Change 124.43% 171.96% 50.99% Change	2020 8,470 10,716 19,186 2020 971 1,093 2,064 223 2,23 2,23 2,23 2,23 2,23 2,23 2,23	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8.250 9.573 17.823 M State 2021 1.000 1.508 2.508 2.508 2.033 2.04 2.04 2.05 2.0	-13.82% -11.02% -11.93% -11.93% -11.93% -1.05% -2.60% -10.67% -7.10% -7.	2020 85.09% 84.72% 88.69% 2020 11,155 9,459 20,614 2020 65 615 680 2020 92.10% 92.62% 92.62% 92.62% 93.55% 94.99%	88.33% 87.68% 87.68% 87.68% 87.68% 87.68% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938 NDSCS 2021 84 295 379 379 379 2021 89.52% 89.62% 89.62% 89.62% 89.34% 89.34% 89.34%	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27% Change 29.23% -52.03% -44.26% Change -2.81% -1.78% -3.23% -3.23% -1.56%
Total Total MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS Paratransit Fargo Moorhead West Fargo Dilworth Total	849,123 1,274,870 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338 2020 5,371 5,123 596 37,292	363,726 781,910 1,145,036 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582 894,072 NDSU 2021 1,542 154,131 155,673 Ridership 2024 35,865 9,034 7,102 918 52,919 Ridership	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 2020 65,800 83,796 149,596 2020 3,276 1,939 5,215 Rev. Hour 2020 2,298.92 2,410.21 2,298.92 2,67.45 16,734.00 Rev. Hour	35,428.00 79,007.00 114,455.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744 MSUM 2021 3,256 2,208 5,464 17,701.10 4,458.71 3,505.18 453.08 26,118.07 \$ (Based on I	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77% -45.36% Change -0.61% 13.87% 4.77% Ridership) Change 50.54% 84.99% 52.47% 69.40%	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 2020 816 504 1,320 2020 167,082.37 34,249.27 32,667.85 3,800.51 237,800.00	477.973.00 938,730.16 938,730.16 Elderly 2021 24,127 32,572 56,699 Concordia 2021 1,815 1,098 2,913 Rev.Mileage 46,092.07 5,957.83 43,445.00 Rev. Mileage	1,23% 10.16% 6.97% Change -25.63% -48.80% -49.98% Change 122.43% 117.86% 120.68% Change 39.31% 71.19% 41.09% 55.76% 52.09%	11.91 11.12 2020 5.470 10,716 19.186 2020 971 1.093 2.064 223 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823 M State 2021 1,000 1,508 2,508 2,508 2,03	-13.82% -11.02% -11.02% -11.09% -11.09% -2.60% -2.60% -7.10% Change -2.99% 37.97% 21.51% ur Change -9.08% -9.08% -9.08%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 85.69% 2020 111,155 9,459 20,614 2020 65 615 680 91.69% 92.62% 93.55% 91.49%	88.33% 87.68% 87.68% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938 NDSCS 2021 84 295 379 In-Time Perf. 2021 89.52% 88.15% 89.34% B-Time Perf.	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27% Change 29.23% -52.03% -44.26% Change -2.81% -1.75% -2.35%
Total College MHD FGO MATBUS College MHD FGO MATBUS Paratransit Fargo Moorhead West Fargo Dilworth Total Senior Ride Dilworth	849,123 1,274,870 2020 225,536 418,861 644,397 2020 2,103 166,235 168,338 2020 26,202 26,202 5,371 5,123 596 37,292	363,726 781,910 781,910 Adult 2021 331,490 562,582 894,072 NDSU 2021 1,542 154,131 155,673 Ridership 2021 35,886 9,9344 7,102 918 52,919 Ridership 2021 639	-14.57% -7.92% -10.14%	35,740.00 76,339.33 112,079.33 112,079.33 112,079.33 2020 65,800 65,800 65,800 65,800 149,596	35,428.00 79,007.00 79,007.00 114,455.00 Disabled 2021 34,627 47,117 81,744 MSUM 2021 3,256 2,208 5,464 s (Based on 1 2021 17,701.10 44.58,71 3,505.18 453.08 26,118.07 s (Based on 2021	-0.87% 3.49% 2.10% Change -47.38% -43.77% -45.36% Change -0.61% 13.87% 4.77% Ridership) Change 50.54% 69.40% 69.40% S6.07% Ridership) Change	472,178.00 852,178.52 1,324,356.52 2020 32,440 63,623 96,063 2020 816 504 1,320 2020 167,082.37 34,249.27 32,667.85 3,800.51 237,800.00 2020 4,451	477.973.00 938,730.16 1,416,703.16 Elderly 2021 24,127 56,699 Concordia 2021 1,815 1,098 2,913 Rev. Mileage 2021 232,764.32 58,630,78 46,092.07 5,957.83 43,445.00 Rev. Mileage 2021 5,534	1,23% 10,16% 6,97% Change -25,63% -40,98% Change 122,43% 117,86% 120,68% Change 39,31% 71,19% 41,09% 55,76% 55,20% Change	11.91 11.12 2020 8.470 10.716 19.186 2020 971 1.093 2.064 Pac 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.2	10.27 9.90 10.01 Youth 2021 8,250 9,573 17,823 M State 2021 1,000 1,508 2,	-13.82% -11.02% -11.02% -11.09% -11.09% -12.60% -10.67% -7.10% Change 2.99% 37.97% 21.51% ur Change 9.08% -9.08% -9.08% -9.08% -9.08% -9.08% -9.08%	2020 85.09% 84.72% 85.69% 2020 111,155 9,459 20,614 2020 65 615 680 91.69% 92.62% 93.55% 91.49%	88.33% 87.68% 87.68% Child 2021 12,285 10,653 22,938 NDSCS 2021 84 295 379 In-Time Perf. 2021 89.52% 88.15% 89.34% B-Time Perf.	Change 3.81% 3.49% -0.90% Change 10.13% 12.62% 11.27% Change 29.23% -52.03% -44.26% Change -2.81% -1.75% -2.35%

Attachment H

Service Policies for Transit Providers

Transit Amenities

MATBUS operates only one mode of transit (local bus service). Amenities include access to the Ground Transportation Center (GTC) in downtown Fargo, ND (facility with restrooms, seating and vending machines), and benches and shelters along the routes. Current shelters are marked on the bus schedule with an icon.

Criteria for Site Selection

The following criteria established in 1994 were applied for selecting shelter locations along transit routes. The higher the number of criteria met, the higher priority the location received.

- 1. Open space where elements are extremely adverse and affect the use of system. In other words, the area would generate riders except that for poor bus stop conditions.
- Commercial areas such as shopping malls or business districts where frequent stops are not desired due to high vehicle traffic. The shelter "steers" passengers to designated stop location.
 Also where parking space is limited and there is a need to reduce automobile traffic.
- Elderly and disabled housing facilities where direct service is not warranted or location is not
 conducive for direct service. This clientele are more adversely affected by weather conditions.
 Providing a shelter can make the fixed route usable for some who would normally require doorto-door paratransit service.
- 4. Educational institutions where parking is limited and high transit usage is desired.
- 5. High density areas such as apartment complexes and dormitories.
- 6. Government or public buildings.
- 7. Medical facilities.
- 8. High ridership (more than 10 people per day).
- 9. Low income residential area.

Benches are placed at the discretion of the bench contractor, with advisement from MATBUS on the above criteria.

Vehicle Assignment

All vehicle in the fleet are low floor with wheelchair ramps, and operate with climate control and automated announcements.

Attachment I

TITLE VI AND NON-DISCRIMINATION POLICY STATEMENT

CITY OF FARGO TRANSIT DEPARTMENT

The City of Fargo Transit Department is committed to compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987, and all related regulations and statutes. The City assures that no person or groups(s) of persons shall, on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability/handicap, and income status¹ be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any and all programs, services, or activities administered by the City, regardless of whether those programs and activities are federally funded or not.

The City of Fargo Transit Department also assures that every effort will be made to prevent discrimination through the impacts of its programs, policies, and activities on the basis of religion, sexual orientation, minority status and low-income populations. In addition, the City will provide meaningful access to services for persons with Limited English Proficiency.

In the event the City distributes federal-aid funds to a subrecipient, the City will include Title VI language in all written agreements and will monitor for compliance.

The City's Transit Title VI Coordinator is responsible for initiating and monitoring Transit Title VI activities, preparing reports and other responsibilities as required by 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 200 and 49 CFR 21. The City of Fargo has a Title VI Coordinator (Mr. Brock Morrison) who oversees the City's overall Title VI program. The City's *Transit* Title VI Coordinator is:

Julia Bommelman
Transit Director, City of Fargo
650 23rd St N
Fargo, ND 58102
701-476-6737
jbommelman@cityoffargo.com

Timothy J. Mahoney, Mayor

City of Fargo

8/24/19

Date

Signature'

¹ Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 governs race, color, and national origin. Related Nondiscrimination Authorities govern sex, 23 U.S.C. 324; age, 42 U.S.C. 6101; disability/handicap, 29 U.S.C. 790; and low income, E.O. 12898.

TITLE VI ASSURANCES

The City of Fargo Transit Department in the State of North Dakota (hereinafter referred to as the Recipient) HEREBY AGREES THAT, as a condition to receiving any federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Transportation, it will comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. 2000d to 42 U.S.C. 2000d-4 (hereinafter referred to as the Act), and all requirements imposed by or pursuant to Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, U.S. Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally-assisted Programs of the U.S. Department of Transportation - Effectuation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (hereinafter referred to as the Regulations), and other pertinent directives to the end that, in accordance with the Act, regulations, and other pertinent directives, no person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability/handicap, and income status² be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity for which the Recipient receives Federal financial assistance through the North Dakota Department of Transportation, including the U.S. Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration; and HEREBY GIVES ASSURANCE THAT it will promptly take any measures necessary to effectuate this agreement. This assurance is required by Subsection 21.7(a)(1) of the Regulations.

More specifically and without limiting the above general assurance, the Recipient hereby gives the following specific assurances with respect to its Federal-aid Highway Program

- 1. That the Recipient agrees that each "program" and each "facility," as defined in Subsections 21.23(e) and 21.23(b) of the Regulations, will be (with regard to a "program") conducted, or will be (with regard to a "facility") operated in compliance with all requirements imposed by, or pursuant to, the Regulations.
- 2. That the Recipient shall insert the following notification in all solicitations for bids for work or materials subject to the regulations and made in connection with the Federal-aid Highway Program and, in adapted form, in all proposals for negotiated agreements:

The Recipient, in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. 2000d to 2000d-4, and Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, U.S. Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally-assisted Programs of the U.S. Department of Transportation, issued pursuant to such Act, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that in any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation

² Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 governs race, color, and national origin. Related Nondiscrimination Authorities govern sex, 23 U.S.C. 324; age, 42 U.S.C. 6101; disability/handicap, 29 U.S.C. 790; and low income, E.O. 12898.

- and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability/handicap and income status in consideration for an award.
- 3. That the Recipient shall insert the clauses of Appendix A of this assurance in every contract subject to the Act and the Regulations.
- 4. That the Recipient shall insert the clauses of Appendix B of this assurance, as a covenant running with the land, in any deed from the United States effecting a transfer of real property, structures, or improvements thereon, or interest therein.
- 5. That where the Recipient receives Federal financial assistance to construct a facility, or part of a facility, the assurance shall extend to the entire facility and facilities operated in connection therewith.
- 6. That where the Recipient receives Federal financial assistance in the form, or for the acquisition of real property or an interest in real property, the assurance shall extend to rights to space on, over, or under such property.
- 7. That the Recipient shall include the appropriate clauses set forth in Appendix C of this assurance, as a covenant running with the land, in any future deeds, leases, permits, licenses, and similar agreements entered into by the Recipient with other parties: (a) for the subsequent transfer of real property acquired or improved under the Federal-aid ighway Program; and (b) for the construction or use of, or access to space on, over, or under, real property acquired or improved under the Federal-aid ighway Program.
- 8. That this assurance obligates the Recipient for the period during which Federal financial assistance is extended to the program, except where the Federal financial assistance is to provide, or is in the form of, personal property, or real property or interest therein, or structures or improvements thereon, in which case the assurance obligates the Recipient or any transferee for the longer of the following periods: (a) the period during which the property is used for a purpose for which the Federal financial assistance is extended, or for another purpose involving the provision of similar services or benefits; or (b) the period during which the Recipient retains ownership or possession of the property.
- 9. The Recipient shall provide for such methods of administration for the program as are found by the Secretary of Transportation, or the official to whom he or she delegates specific authority, to give reasonable guarantee that it, other recipients, subgrantees, contractors, subcontractors, transferees, successors in interest, and other participants of Federal financial assistance under such program will comply with all requirements imposed by or pursuant to the Act, the Regulations, and this assurance.

10. The Recipient agrees that the United States has a right to seek judicial enforcement with regard to any matter arising under the Act, the Regulations, and this assurance.

T IS ASSURANCE is given in consideration of and for the purpose of obtaining any and all federal grants, loans, contracts, property, discounts, or other Federal financial assistance extended after the date hereof to the Recipient by the U.S. Department of Transportation under the Federal-aid ighway Program and is binding on it, other recipients, subgrantees, contractors, subcontractors, transferees, successors in interest, and other participants in the Federal-aid ighway Program. The person or persons whose signatures appear below are authori ed to sign this assurance on behalf of the Recipient.

Timothy J. Mahoney, Mayor	City of Fargo
Men	8/24/19
Signature	Date

APPENDIX A OF THE TITLE VI ASSURANCES

The City of Fargo Transit will insert or add the following clauses into every contract subject to the Act and Regulations associated with the receipt of Federal financial assistance:

During the performance of this contract, the contractor, for itself, its assignees, and successors in interest (hereinafter referred to as the Contractor), agrees as follows:

- Compliance with Regulations: The Contractor shall comply with the regulations relative to nondiscrimination in Federally-assisted programs of the U.S. Department of Transportation, Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 21, as they may be amended from time to time (hereinafter referred to as the Regulations), which are herein incorporated by reference and made a part of this contract.
- 2. Nondiscrimination: The Contractor, with regard to the work performed by it during the contract, shall not discriminate on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability/handicap, or income status**, in the selection and retention of subcontractors, including procurements of materials and leases of equipment. The Contractor shall not participate, either directly or indirectly, in the discrimination prohibited by Section 21.5 of the Regulations, including employment practices when the contract covers a program set forth in Appendix B of the Regulations.
- 3. Solicitations for Subcontracts, Including Procurements of Materials and Equipment: In all solicitations, either by competitive bidding or negotiation, made by the Contractor for work to be performed under a subcontract, including procurements of materials or leases of equipment, each potential subcontractor or supplier shall be notified by the Contractor of the Contractor's obligations under this contract and the Regulations relative to nondiscrimination on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability/handicap, or income status**.
- 4. Information and Reports: The contractor shall provide all information and reports required by the Regulations, or directives issued pursuant thereto, and shall permit access to its books, records, accounts, other sources of information and its facilities as may be determined by the City of Fargo or the North Dakota Department of Transportation to be pertinent to ascertain compliance with such Regulations, orders, and instructions. Where any information required of a Contractor is in the exclusive possession of another who fails or refuses to furnish this information, the Contractor shall so certify to the City of Fargo, or the North Dakota Department of Transportation, as appropriate, and shall set forth what efforts it has made to obtain the information.

- 5. Sanctions for Noncompliance: In the event of the Contractor's noncompliance with the nondiscrimination provisions of this contract, the City of Fargo and the North Dakota Department of Transportation shall impose such contract sanctions as it or the Federal Highway Administration may determine to be appropriate, including but not limited to:
 - a. withholding of payments to the Contractor under the contract until the Contractor complies, and/or
 - b. cancellation, termination, or suspension of the contract, in whole or in part.
- 6. Incorporation of Provisions: The Contractor shall include the provisions of paragraphs 1 through 6 in every subcontract, including procurements of materials and leases of equipment, unless exempt by the Regulations or directives issued pursuant thereto.

The Contractor shall take such action with respect to any subcontract or procurement as the City of Fargo or the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, may direct as a means of enforcing such provisions, including sanctions for noncompliance provided, however, that in the event a Contractor becomes involved in, or is threatened with, litigation by a subcontractor or supplier as a result of such direction, the Contractor may request the City of Fargo enter into such litigation to protect the interests of the City of Fargo; and, in addition, the Contractor may request the United States to enter into such litigation to protect the interests of the United States.

** The Act governs race, color, and national origin. Related Nondiscrimination Authorities govern sex, 23 U.S.C. 324; age, 42 U.S.C. 6101; disability/handicap, 29 U.S.C. 790; and low income, E.O. 12898.

APPENDIX B OF THE TITLE VI ASSURANCES

The following clauses shall be included in any and all deeds effecting or recording the transfer of real property, structures, or improvements thereon, or interest therein from the United States.

(GRANTING CLAUSE)

NOW, THEREFORE, the City of Fargo Transit, as authorized by law and upon the condition that the City of Fargo Transit will accept title to the lands and maintain the project constructed thereon, in accordance with Title 23, United States Code, the Regulations for the Administration of Federal Aid for Highways, and the policies and procedures prescribed by the Federal Highway Administration of the U.S. Department of Transportation, and also in accordance with and in compliance with all requirements imposed by or pursuant to Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, U.S. Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally-assisted Programs of the U.S. Department of Transportation (hereinafter referred to as the Regulations), pertaining to and effectuating the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252; 42 U.S.C. 2000d to 2000d-4), does hereby remise, release, quitclaim, and convey unto the City of Fargo all the right, title, and interest of the U.S. Department of Transportation in and to said lands described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof.

(HABENDUM CLAUSE)

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD said lands and interests therein unto the City of Fargo Transit and its successors forever, subject, however, to the covenant, conditions, restrictions, and reservations herein contained as follows, which will remain in effect for the period during which the real property or structures are used for a purpose for which Federal financial assistance is extended, or for another purpose involving the provision of similar services or benefits, and shall be binding on the City of Fargo Transit, its successors, and assigns.

The City of Fargo Transit, in consideration of the conveyance of said lands and interests in lands, does hereby covenant and agree, as a covenant running with the land, for itself, its successor, and assigns that (1) no person shall, on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability/handicap, or income status**, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination with regard to any facility located wholly or in part on, over, or under such lands hereby conveyed [,] [and]* (2) that the City of Fargo Transit shall use the lands and interests in lands so conveyed, in compliance with all requirements imposed by or pursuant to Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, U.S. Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally-assisted Programs of the U.S. Department of Transportation - Effectuation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and as said Regulations may be amended [,] and (3) that in the event of

breach of any of the above-mentioned nondiscrimination conditions, the Department shall have a right to re-enter said lands and facilities on said land; and the above described land and facilities shall thereon revert to and vest in and become the absolute property of the U.S. Department of Transportation and its assignees as such interest existed prior to this instruction.*

^{*} Reverter clause and related language to be used only when it is determined that such a clause is necessary in order to effectuate the purpose of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

^{**} The Act governs race, color, and national origin. Related Nondiscrimination Authorities govern sex, 23 U.S.C. 324; age, 42 U.S.C. 6101; disability/handicap, 29 U.S.C. 790; and low income, E.O. 12898.

APPENDIX C OF THE TITLE VI ASSURANCES

The following clauses shall be included in all deeds, licenses, leases, permits, or similar instruments entered into by the City of Fargo Transit, pursuant to the provisions of Assurance 7(a).

The (grantee, licensee, lessee, permittee, etc., as appropriate), for him or herself, his or her heirs, personal representatives, successors in interest, and assigns, as a part of the consideration hereof, does hereby covenant and agree [in the case of deeds and leases, add "as a covenant running with the land"] that in the event facilities are constructed, maintained, or otherwise operated on the said property described in this (deed, license, lease, permit, etc.) for a purpose for which a U.S. Department of Transportation program or activity is extended, or for another purpose involving the provision of similar services or benefits, the (grantee, licensee, lessee, permittee, etc.) shall maintain and operate such facilities and services in compliance with all other requirements imposed pursuant to Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, U.S. Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally-assisted Programs of the U.S. Department of Transportation - Effectuation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and as said Regulations may be amended.

[Include in licenses, leases, permits, etc.]*

That in the event of breach of any of the above nondiscrimination covenants, the City of Fargo Transit shall have the right to terminate the [license, lease, permit, etc.] and to re-enter and repossess said land and the facilities thereon and hold the same as if said [license, lease, permit, etc.] had never been made or issued.

[Include in deed.]*

That in the event of breach of any of the above nondiscrimination covenants, the City of Fargo Transit shall have the right to re-enter said lands and facilities thereon, and the above described lands and facilities shall thereupon revert to and vest in and become the absolute property of the City of Fargo and its assigns.

The following shall be included in all deeds, licenses, leases, permits, or similar agreements entered into by the City of Fargo Transit, pursuant to the provisions of Assurance 7(b).

The (grantee, licensee, lessee, permittee, etc., as appropriate), for him or herself, his or her personal representatives, successors in interest, and assigns, as a part of the consideration hereof, does hereby covenant and agree [in the case of deeds and leases, add "as a covenant running with the land"] that (1) no person, on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability/handicap, or income status**, shall be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination in the use of said facilities, (2) that in the construction of any improvements on, over, or under such land and the furnishing of

services thereon, no person, on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability/handicap, or income status**, shall be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise be subjected to discrimination, (3) that the (grantee, licensee, lessee, permittee, etc.) shall use the premises in compliance with all other requirements imposed by or pursuant to Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, U.S. Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally-assisted Programs of the U.S. Department of Transportation - Effectuation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and as said Regulations may be amended.

[Include in licenses, leases, permits, etc.]*

That in the event of breach of any of the above nondiscrimination covenants, the City of Fargo Transit shall have the right to terminate the [license, lease, permit, etc.] and to re-enter and repossess said land and the facilities thereon and hold the same as if said [license, lease, permit, etc.] had never been made or issued.

[Include in deeds.]*

That in the event of breach of any of the above nondiscrimination covenants, the City of Fargo Transit shall have the right to re-enter said land and facilities thereon, and the above described lands and facilities shall thereupon revert to and vest in and become the absolute property of the City of Fargo Transit and its assigns.

^{*} Reverter clause and related language to be used only when it is determined that such a clause is necessary in order to effectuate the purpose of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

^{**} The Act governs race, color, and national origin. Related Nondiscrimination Authorities govern sex, 23 U.S.C. 324; age, 42 U.S.C. 6101; disability/handicap, 29 U.S.C. 790; and low income, E.O. 12898.

Summary Applications/Awards TrAMS Users Locations Designated Recipient Suballocations News

Related Actions

Certifications & Assurances | FY 2021 C&A Affirmations

Recipient Details

Recipient ID

1156

Certification and Assurance Information

Assigned Date 1/15/2021 Fiscal Year 2021

Original Certification Date 2/18/2021 Latest Certification Date 2/18/2021

Recipient Name CITY OF FARGO

Due Date 4/15/2021

Published Certifications and Assurances

FTA CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES

Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP)

On or before December 31, 2020, applicants and recipients of Section 5307 grants and rail transit agencies that are subject to the State Safety Oversight Program must certify to Category 2: Public Transportation Agency Safety Plans and recipients and recipients are encouraged to certify by the original deadline of July 20, 2020, to the extent practical, those who do not certify compliance until December 31, 2020, remain eligible for Chapter 53 grant funds.

List of All Applicable Agencies

PTASP Technical Assistance Center

Certifications and Assurances

Certification History

Certification Date: 2/18/2021 | Official: Julia Bommelman | Attorney: Erik Johnson

3 I 2 v	0	0	•	•	•	()	•	•	()	0	0	0
Titte	Certifications and Assurances Required of Every Applicant	Public Transportation Agency Safety Plans	Tax Liability and Felony Convictions	Lobbyng	Private Sector Protections	Transit Asset Management Plan	Rolling Stock Buy America Reviews and Bus Testing	Urbanized Area Formula Grants Program	Formula Grants for Rural Areas	Fixed Guideway Capital Investment Grants and the Expedited Project Delivery for Capital Investment Grants Pilot Program	Grants for Buses and Bus Facilities and Low or No Emission Vehicle Deployment Grant Programs	Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Programs
Category	10	02	03	04	05	90	20	80	60	10	El .	12

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

Documents

Existing Documents

Document

Description

Uploaded By

Date

1-21 of 21

No items available

Affirmation of Applicant

Affirmation of Applicant BY SIGNNIG BELOW, on behalf of the Applicant, I declare that it has duly authorized me to make these Certifications and Assurances and bind its compliance. Thus, it agrees to comply with all federal laws, regulations, and requirements, follow applicable federal guidance, and comply with the Certifications and Assurances as indicated on the foregoing page applicable to each application its Authorized Representative makes to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) in federal fiscal year 2021, irrespective of whether the individual that acted on his or her Applicant's behalf continues to represent it.

FTA intends that the Certifications and Assurances the Applicant selects on the other side of this document should apply to each Award for which it now seeks, or may later seek federal assistance to be awarded during federal fiscal year 2021.

The Applicant affirms the truthfulness and accuracy of the Certifications and Assurances it has selected in the statements submitted with this document and any other submission made to FTA, and acknowledges that the Program Fraud Civil Remedies," 49 CFR part 31, apply to any certification, assurance or submission made to FTA. The criminal provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 1001 apply to any certification, assurance, or submission made in connection with a federal public transportation program authorized by 49 U.S.C. chapter 53 or any other statute.

In signing this document, I declare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing Certifications and Assurances, and any other statements made by me on behalf of the Applicant are true and accurate.

Official's Name Julia Bommelman

I accept the above

Certification Date Feb 11, 2021

Affirmation of Attorney

Affirmation of Applicant's As the undersigned Altorney for the above-named Applicant, I hereby affirm to the Applicant that it has authority under state, local, or tubal government law, as applicable, to make and comply with the Certifications and Assurances as indicated on the foregoing pages. I Attorney further affirm that in my opinion, the Certifications and Assurances have been legally made and constitute legal and binding obligations on it.

I further affirm that, to the best of my knowledge, there is no legislation or litigation pending or imminent that might adversely affect the validity of these Certifications and Assurances, or of the performance of its FTA assisted Award.

Attorney's Name Erik Johnson

I accept the above

Certification Date Feb 18, 2021

CANCEL

BEGIN RECERTIFICATION

Attachment J

GTC, Sanford, VA Hospital, Hornbacher's, Fargo North Farad Narth School Shelter West Ghalter Last dank Shelter VA Hospital Gate City Sanford Sanford Shelter gic F B 6:15 6:26 6:18 6:30 6:31 6:34 6:36 6:45 6:48 6:56 7:00 7:01 7:04 7:06 7:26 7:30 7:31 7:34 7:36 7:15 7:18 7:56 7:45 7:48 8:00 8:01 8:04 8:06 8:15 8:18 8:31 8:34 8:26 8:30 8:36 8:45 8:48 8:56 9:00 9:01 9:04 9:06 9:26 9:30 9:31 9:15 9:18 9:34 9:36 9:45 9:48 9:56 10:00 10:01 10:06 10:04 Shaded areas do not run on Saturdays. 10:15 10:26 10:18 10:30 10:31 10:34 10:36 10:45 10:48 10:56 11:00 11:01 11:04 11:06 11:15 11:18 11:26 11:30 11:31 11:34 11:36 11:45 11:48 11:56 12:00 12:01 12:04 12:06 12:15 12:18 12:26 12:31 12:34 12:36 12:30 12:45 12:48 12:56 1:00 1:01 1:04 1:06 1:26 1:30 1:36 1:15 1:18 1:31 1:34 1:45 1:48 1:56 2:00 2:01 2:04 2:06 2:15 2:18 2:26 2:30 2:31 2:34 2:36 2:45 2:48 2:56 3:01 3:00 3:04 3:06 3:26 3:30 3:31 3:15 3:18 3:34 3:36 3:48 4:00 3:45 3:56 4:01 4:04 4:06 4:15 4:26 4:31 4:18 4:34 4:30 4:36 4:45 4:48 4:56 5:00 5:01 5:04 5:06 5:15 5:18 5:26 5:30 5:31 5:34 5:36 5:45 5:48 5:56 6:00 6:01 6:04 6:06 6:26 6:30 6:31 6:15 6:18 6:34 6:36 6:45 6:56 6:48 7:00 7:01 7:04 7:06 7:45 7:48 7:56 8:00 8:01 8:04 8:06 8:45 8:48 8:56 9:00 9:01 9:04 9:06 9:56 9:45 9:48 10:00 10:01 10:04 10:06 11:04 10:45 10:48 10:56 11:00 11:01 11:06 Evening service is hourly.

TO 13

GTC, Klai Hall, Barry Hall, Roosevelt, Hornbacher's, U32 Apartments, NDSCS, SHAC, Memorial Union, Family Fare, Renaissance Hall

6:45 6:50 6:55 6:59 7:05 7:07 7:12 7:13 7:17 7:24 7:30 7:33 7:36 7:15 7:20 7:25 7:29 7:35 7:37 7:42 7:43 7:47 7:54 8:00 8:03 8:06 7:45 7:50 7:55 7:59 8:05 8:07 8:12 8:13 8:17 8:24 8:30 8:33 8:36 8:15 8:20 8:25 8:29 8:35 8:37 8:42 8:43 8:47 8:54 9:00 9:03 9:06 9:15 9:20 9:25 9:29 9:35 9:37 9:42 9:43 9:47 9:24 9:30 9:33 9:37 9:45 9:50 9:55 9:59 10:05 10:07 10:12 10:13 10:17 10:24 10:33 10:33 10:33 10:15 10:20 10:25 10:29 10:35 11:07 11:12 11:13	7		/x.						/x.	/ .				$\langle // \rangle$	
Section Sect	nce /	50 /	ansib	elte!	\ \tag{X5}	x . /	THE OUT	all thei	ansib	elte!) (8 th /	/		~	
Section Sect	³⁰ , [8]	(alter) is	Ship Int.	She	XILE!	Mer 13	0,00 /V	10 Che. 4	Chip/eg	Str. N	34/	Hai			
Section Sect	YN/GC	Shi Della	Lamilio	Most	Ser, CHU	Ne Di	di Mari d	14 (P. 10) 14	1000	MOSE	ilds, CHU	Mill	/ Barr	/ gic	
6:45 6:50 6:55 6:59 7:05 7:07 7:12 7:13 7:17 7:24 7:30 7:33 7:36 7:15 7:20 7:25 7:29 7:35 7:37 7:42 7:43 7:47 7:54 8:00 8:03 8:06 7:45 7:50 7:55 7:59 8:05 8:07 8:12 8:13 8:17 8:24 8:30 8:33 8:36 8:15 8:20 8:25 8:29 8:35 8:37 8:42 8:43 8:47 8:54 9:00 9:03 9:06 9:15 9:20 9:25 9:29 9:35 9:37 9:42 9:43 9:47 9:24 9:30 9:33 9:37 9:45 9:50 9:55 9:59 10:05 10:07 10:12 10:13 10:17 10:24 10:30 10:33 10:33 10:15 10:20 10:25 10:29 10:35 11:07 11:12 11:13	(T) (A)				T J		TH			TE		C			,
Fring 7:20 7:25 7:29 7:35 7:37 7:42 7:43 7:47 7:54 8:00 8:03 8:03 7:45 7:50 7:55 7:59 8:05 8:07 8:12 8:13 8:17 8:24 8:30 8:33 8:33 8:15 8:20 8:25 8:29 8:35 8:37 8:42 8:43 8:47 8:54 9:00 9:03 9:08 9:15 9:20 9:25 9:29 9:35 9:37 9:42 9:43 9:47 9:54 10:00 10:03 10:03 9:45 9:50 9:55 9:59 10:05 10:37 10:12 10:13 10:17 10:24 10:30 10:03 10:03 10:15 10:20 10:25 10:29 10:35 10:37 10:42 10:43 10:47 10:54 10:00 11:03 11:07 10:15 10:20 10:25 11:29 11:35 11:37 11:42 11:13<	7:07	7:06	7:03	7:00	6:54	6:47	6:43	6:42	6:37	6:35	6:29	6:25	6:20	6:15	
Free Page 1 7:45 7:50 7:55 7:59 8:05 8:07 8:12 8:13 8:17 8:24 8:30 8:33 8:33 8:36 8:15 8:20 8:25 8:29 8:35 8:37 8:42 8:43 8:47 8:54 9:00 9:03 9:06 8:45 8:50 8:55 8:59 9:05 9:07 9:12 9:13 9:17 9:24 9:30 9:33 9:38 9:15 9:20 9:25 9:29 9:35 9:37 9:42 9:43 9:47 9:54 10:00 10:03 10:03 10:03 10:03 10:03 10:03 10:03 10:03 10:03 10:03 10:03 10:01 10:11 10:10 10:00 10:05 10:05 10:05 10:07 10:12 10:13 10:17 10:24 10:30 10:33 10:33 10:15 10:20 10:25 10:29 11:35 11:07 11:42 11:43 11:47	7:37	7:36	7:33	7:30	7:24	7:17	7:13	7:12	7:07	7:05	6:59	6:55	6:50	6:45	
Secondary Seco	8:07	8:06	8:03	8:00	7:54	7:47	7:43	7:42	7:37	7:35	7:29	7:25	7:20	7:15	
88.45 8:50 8:55 8:59 9:05 9:07 9:12 9:13 9:17 9:24 9:30 9:33 9:33 915 9:20 9:25 9:29 9:35 9:37 9:42 9:43 9:47 9:54 10:00 10:03 10:03 9:45 9:50 9:55 9:59 10:05 10:07 10:12 10:13 10:17 10:24 10:30 10:33 10:33 10:15 10:20 10:25 10:29 10:35 10:37 10:42 10:43 10:47 10:54 11:00 11:03 11:03 10:45 10:50 10:55 10:59 11:05 11:07 11:12 11:13 11:17 11:24 11:30 11:33 11:33 11:45 11:20 11:25 11:29 11:35 11:37 11:42 11:43 11:47 11:54 12:00 12:03 12:03 12:45 12:20 12:25 12:29 12:35 12:37 12:4	8:37	8:36	8:33	8:30	8:24	8:17	8:13	8:12	8:07	8:05	7:59	7:55	7:50	7:45	
9:15 9:20 9:25 9:29 9:35 9:37 9:42 9:43 9:47 9:54 10:00 10:03 10:05 9:45 9:50 9:55 9:59 10:05 10:37 10:12 10:13 10:17 10:24 10:30 10:33 10:33 10:15 10:20 10:25 10:29 10:35 10:37 10:42 10:43 10:47 10:54 11:00 11:03 11:03 10:45 10:50 10:55 10:59 11:05 11:07 11:12 11:13 11:17 11:24 11:30 11:33 11:33 11:15 11:20 11:25 11:29 11:35 11:37 11:42 11:43 11:47 11:54 12:00 12:03 12:03 12:15 12:20 12:25 12:29 12:35 12:37 12:42 12:13 12:17 12:24 12:30 12:33 12:33 12:15 12:20 12:25 12:29 12:35 12:37 12:42 12:43 12:47 12:54 11:00 11:03 11:36 11:15 11:20 11:25 12:29 12:35 12:37 12:42 12:43 12:47 12:54 11:00 11:03 11:36 11:15 11:20 11:25 12:29 12:35 12:37 12:42 12:43 12:47 12:54 11:00 11:03 11:36 11:15 11:20 11:25 11:29 11:35 11:37 11:42 11:13 11:17 11:24 11:30 11:33 11:36 11:15 11:20 11:25 11:29 11:35 11:37 11:42 11:43 11:47 11:54 2:00 2:03 2:06 11:45 11:50 11:55 11:59 2:05 2:07 2:12 2:13 2:17 2:24 2:30 2:33 2:36 2:15 2:20 2:25 2:29 2:35 2:37 2:42 2:43 2:47 2:54 3:00 3:03 3:06 2:45 2:50 2:55 2:59 3:05 3:07 3:12 3:13 3:17 3:24 3:30 3:33 3:36 3:15 3:20 3:25 3:29 3:35 3:37 3:42 3:43 3:47 3:54 4:00 4:03 4:06 4:45 4:50 4:25 4:29 4:35 4:37 4:42 4:43 4:47 4:54 5:00 5:03 5:06 5:45 5:50 5:55 5:59 5:05 5:07 5:12 5:13 5:17 5:24 5:30 5:33 5:36 5:45 5:50 5:55 5:59 5:05 5:07 5:12 5:13 5:17 5:24 6:30 6:03 6:06 5:45 5:50 5:55 5:59 6:05 6:07 6:12 6:13 6:17 6:24 6:30 6:33 6:36 5:45 5:50 5:55 5:59 6:05 6:07 6:12 6:13 6:17 6:24 6:30 6:30 6:30 5:45 5:50 5:55 5:59 6:05 6:07 6:12 6:13	9:07	9:06	9:03	9:00	8:54	8:47	8:43	8:42	8:37	8:35	8:29	8:25	8:20	8:15	
9:45 9:50 9:55 9:59 10:05 10:07 10:12 10:13 10:17 10:24 10:30 10:33 10:33 10:35 10:15 10:20 10:25 10:29 10:35 10:37 10:42 10:43 10:47 10:54 11:00 11:03 11:05 10:45 10:50 10:55 10:59 11:05 11:07 11:12 11:13 11:17 11:24 11:30 11:33 11:33 11:35 11:35 11:35 11:45 11:50 11:55 11:59 12:05 12:07 12:12 12:13 12:17 12:24 12:30 12:33 12:33 12:33 12:35 12:45 12:20 12:25 12:29 12:35 12:37 12:42 12:43 12:47 12:54 1:00 1:03 1:06 12:45 12:50 12:55 12:59 1:05 1:07 1:12 1:13 1:17 1:24 1:30 1:33 1:36 1:37 1:42 1:43 1:47 1:54 2:00 2:03 2:06 1:45 1:50 1:55 1:29 1:35 1:37 1:42 1:43 1:47 1:54 2:00 2:03 2:06 1:45 1:50 1:55 1:29 1:35 1:37 1:42 1:43 1:47 1:54 2:00 2:03 2:06 2:15 2:20 2:25 2:29 2:35 2:37 2:42 2:13 2:17 2:24 2:30 2:33 2:36 2:45 2:50 2:55 2:59 3:05 3:07 3:12 3:13 3:17 3:24 3:30 3:33 3:36 3:45 3:50 3:55 3:59 4:05 4:07 4:12 4:13 4:17 4:24 4:30 4:33 4:36 4:45 4:50 4:55 4:59 5:05 5:07 5:12 5:13 5:17 5:24 5:30 5:33 5:36 5:36 5:45 5:55 5:59 5:55 5:59 5:07 5:12 5:13 5:17 5:24 5:30 6:03 6:06 5:45 5:45 5:50 5:55 5:59 6:05 6:07 6:12 6:13 6:17 6:24 6:30 6:33 6:36 6:	9:37	9:36	9:33	9:30	9:24	9:17	9:13	9:12	9:07	9:05	8:59	8:55	8:50	8:45	
10:15	10:07	10:06	10:03	10:00	9:54	9:47	9:43	9:42	9:37	9:35	9:29	9:25	9:20	9:15	
11:45 11:50 11:55 11:59 12:05 12:07 12:12 12:13 12:17 12:24 12:30 12:33 12:33 12:35 12:15 12:20 12:25 12:29 12:35 12:37 12:42 12:43 12:47 12:54 1:00 1:03 1:06 12:45 12:50 12:55 12:59 1:05 1:07 1:12 1:13 1:17 1:24 1:30 1:33 1:36 1:45 1:20 1:25 1:29 1:35 1:37 1:42 1:43 1:47 1:54 2:00 2:03 2:06 1:45 1:50 1:55 1:59 2:05 2:07 2:12 2:13 2:17 2:24 2:30 2:33 2:36 2:15 2:20 2:25 2:29 2:35 2:37 2:42 2:43 2:47 2:54 3:00 3:03 3:36 3:15 3:20 3:25 3:29 3:35 3:37 3:42	10:37	10:36	10:33	10:30	10:24	10:17	10:13	10:12	10:07	10:05	9:59	9:55	9:50	9:45	έ,
11:45 11:50 11:55 11:59 12:05 12:07 12:12 12:13 12:17 12:24 12:30 12:33 12:33 12:35 12:15 12:20 12:25 12:29 12:35 12:37 12:42 12:43 12:47 12:54 1:00 1:03 1:06 12:45 12:50 12:55 12:59 1:05 1:07 1:12 1:13 1:17 1:24 1:30 1:33 1:36 1:45 1:20 1:25 1:29 1:35 1:37 1:42 1:43 1:47 1:54 2:00 2:03 2:06 1:45 1:50 1:55 1:59 2:05 2:07 2:12 2:13 2:17 2:24 2:30 2:33 2:36 2:15 2:20 2:25 2:29 2:35 2:37 2:42 2:43 2:47 2:54 3:00 3:03 3:36 3:15 3:20 3:25 3:29 3:35 3:37 3:42	11:07	11:06	11:03	11:00	10:54	10:47	10:43	10:42	10:37	10:35	10:29	10:25	10:20	10:15	la)
11:45 11:50 11:55 11:59 12:05 12:07 12:12 12:13 12:17 12:24 12:30 12:33 12:33 12:35 12:15 12:20 12:25 12:29 12:35 12:37 12:42 12:43 12:47 12:54 1:00 1:03 1:06 12:45 12:50 12:55 12:59 1:05 1:07 1:12 1:13 1:17 1:24 1:30 1:33 1:36 1:45 1:20 1:25 1:29 1:35 1:37 1:42 1:43 1:47 1:54 2:00 2:03 2:06 1:45 1:50 1:55 1:59 2:05 2:07 2:12 2:13 2:17 2:24 2:30 2:33 2:36 2:15 2:20 2:25 2:29 2:35 2:37 2:42 2:43 2:47 2:54 3:00 3:03 3:36 3:15 3:20 3:25 3:29 3:35 3:37 3:42	11:37	11:36	11:33	11:30	11:24	11:17	11:13	11:12	11:07	11:05	10:59	10:55	10:50	10:45	מו
11:45 11:50 11:55 11:59 12:05 12:07 12:12 12:13 12:17 12:24 12:30 12:33 12:33 12:35 12:15 12:20 12:25 12:29 12:35 12:37 12:42 12:43 12:47 12:54 1:00 1:03 1:06 12:45 12:50 12:55 12:59 1:05 1:07 1:12 1:13 1:17 1:24 1:30 1:33 1:36 1:45 1:20 1:25 1:29 1:35 1:37 1:42 1:43 1:47 1:54 2:00 2:03 2:06 1:45 1:50 1:55 1:59 2:05 2:07 2:12 2:13 2:17 2:24 2:30 2:33 2:36 2:15 2:20 2:25 2:29 2:35 2:37 2:42 2:43 2:47 2:54 3:00 3:03 3:36 3:15 3:20 3:25 3:29 3:35 3:37 3:42	12:07	12:06	12:03	12:00	11:54	11:47	11:43	11:42	11:37	11:35	11:29	11:25	11:20	11:15	Sat
12.15 12.20 12.25 12.25 12.35 12.35 12.37 12.42 12.45 12.34 1.00 1.03 1.06 12:45 12:50 12:55 12:59 1:05 1:07 1:12 1:13 1:17 1:24 1:30 1:33 1:36 1:15 1:20 1:25 1:29 1:35 1:37 1:42 1:43 1:47 1:54 2:00 2:03 2:06 1:45 1:50 1:55 1:59 2:05 2:07 2:12 2:13 2:17 2:24 2:30 2:33 2:36 2:15 2:20 2:25 2:29 2:35 2:37 2:42 2:43 2:47 2:54 3:00 3:03 3:06 2:45 2:50 2:55 2:59 3:05 3:07 3:12 3:13 3:17 3:24 3:30 3:33 3:36 3:45 3:20 3:25 3:59 4:05 4:07 4:12 4:13 4:1	12:37	12:36	12:33	12:30	12:24	12:17	12:13	12:12	12:07	12:05	11:59	11:55	11:50	11:45	
1:15	1:07	1:06	1:03	1:00	12:54	12:47	12:43	12:42	12:37	12:35	12:29	12:25	12:20	12:15	
1:15	1:37	1:36	1:33	1:30		1:17	1:13		1:07				12:50	12:45	2
2 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 3 4 1 4	2:07	2:06	2:03	2:00	1:54	1:47	1:43		1:37	1:35	1:29		1:20	1:15	ot
2:45 2:50 2:55 2:59 3:05 3:07 3:12 3:13 3:17 3:24 3:30 3:33 3:36 3:15 3:20 3:25 3:29 3:35 3:37 3:42 3:43 3:47 3:54 4:00 4:03 4:06 3:45 3:50 3:55 3:59 4:05 4:07 4:12 4:13 4:17 4:24 4:30 4:33 4:36 4:15 4:20 4:25 4:29 4:35 4:37 4:42 4:43 4:47 4:54 5:00 5:03 5:08 4:45 4:50 4:55 4:59 5:05 5:07 5:12 5:13 5:17 5:24 5:30 5:33 5:36 5:15 5:20 5:25 5:29 5:35 5:37 5:42 5:43 5:47 5:54 6:00 6:03 6:06 5:45 5:50 5:55 5:59 6:05 6:07 6:12 6:13 6:17	2:37	2:36		2:30	2:24		2:13		2:07				1:50		0
3:45 3:50 3:55 3:59 4:05 4:07 4:12 4:13 4:17 4:24 4:30 4:33 4:36 4:15 4:20 4:25 4:29 4:35 4:37 4:42 4:43 4:47 4:54 5:00 5:03 5:06 4:45 4:50 4:55 4:59 5:05 5:07 5:12 5:13 5:17 5:24 5:30 5:33 5:36 5:15 5:20 5:25 5:29 5:35 5:37 5:42 5:43 5:47 5:54 6:00 6:03 6:06 5:45 5:50 5:55 5:59 6:05 6:07 6:12 6:13 6:17 6:24 6:30 6:33 6:36 6:36 6:36 6:36 6:36 6:36 6:37 6:38 6:36 6:36 6:36 7	3:07	3:06	3:03	3:00	2:54	2:47	2:43		2:37	2:35	2:29		2:20	2:15	0.00
3:45 3:50 3:55 3:59 4:05 4:07 4:12 4:13 4:17 4:24 4:30 4:33 4:36 4:15 4:20 4:25 4:29 4:35 4:37 4:42 4:43 4:47 4:54 5:00 5:03 5:06 4:45 4:50 4:55 4:59 5:05 5:07 5:12 5:13 5:17 5:24 5:30 5:33 5:36 5:15 5:20 5:25 5:29 5:35 5:37 5:42 5:43 5:47 5:54 6:00 6:03 6:06 5:45 5:50 5:55 5:59 6:05 6:07 6:12 6:13 6:17 6:24 6:30 6:33 6:36 6:36 6:36 6:36 6:36 6:36 6:37 6:38 6:36 6:36 6:36 7	3:37	3:36	3:33	3:30	3:24	3:17	3:13		3:07				2:50		386
3:45 3:50 3:55 3:59 4:05 4:07 4:12 4:13 4:17 4:24 4:30 4:33 4:36 4:15 4:20 4:25 4:29 4:35 4:37 4:42 4:43 4:47 4:54 5:00 5:03 5:06 4:45 4:50 4:55 4:59 5:05 5:07 5:12 5:13 5:17 5:24 5:30 5:33 5:36 5:15 5:20 5:25 5:29 5:35 5:37 5:42 5:43 5:47 5:54 6:00 6:03 6:06 5:45 5:50 5:55 5:59 6:05 6:07 6:12 6:13 6:17 6:24 6:30 6:33 6:36 6:36 6:36 6:36 6:36 6:36 6:37 6:38 6:36 6:36 6:36 7	4:07	4:06													are
5:15 5:20 5:25 5:29 5:35 5:37 5:42 5:43 5:47 5:54 6:00 6:03 6:06 5:45 5:50 5:55 5:59 6:05 6:07 6:12 6:13 6:17 6:24 6:30 6:33 6:36	4:37	4:36		4:30			4:13								
5:15 5:20 5:25 5:29 5:35 5:37 5:42 5:43 5:47 5:54 6:00 6:03 6:06 5:45 5:50 5:55 5:59 6:05 6:07 6:12 6:13 6:17 6:24 6:30 6:33 6:36	5:07	5:06		5:00			4:43		4:37				4:20		эре
5:15 5:20 5:25 5:29 5:35 5:37 5:42 5:43 5:47 5:54 6:00 6:03 6:06 5:45 5:50 5:55 5:59 6:05 6:07 6:12 6:13 6:17 6:24 6:30 6:33 6:36	5:37	5:36				.,									Sh
	6:07	6:06													
	6:37	6:36	0.000 0.00000					A-7-31 to 71-11	1.00 0 50000	100 100 100 100 100		10000 0000	1000000		
	7:07	7:06													
	8:07	8:06													
	9:07	9:06						100000000000000000000000000000000000000	1000000		110000000000000000000000000000000000000				
	10:07	10:06													
10:15 10:20 10:25 10:29 10:35 10:37 10:42 10:43 10:47 10:54 11:00 11:03 11:0 Evening service is hourly.	11:07	11:06	11:03	11:00	10:54	A C 100 IN 1 A C 1	000 DEC 1000 NO 1967	DEC PERSONS AT YORK	SAS MINISTER DR 100	10:35	10:29	10:25	10:20	10:15	

Prairie, Island Park, Sanford, Kmart, Family Fare, Essentia, Rasmussen College, West Acres, YMCA, Hornbacher's, Courthouse

			/											
			XX . 8 /	6 /	/ /		<i>/</i>	60	/ /	/ /	9	/ /		M
		/×	ill chell by	le wer sin	\$ 6 / S		S NEW A	ST MET STA	of Lo	22 \ \(\rangle \)	e wer / co) of /x6	ing theil	gree /
	GIC.	tolds	se Ville of	teselti	Butter Story	co grater of	Shelfel Nest P	Steller Lister Co	ietter voca	elter of the de	side for the state of the state	differ Control	ing of the contraction of the co	e Ready CTC
4									7 5			7 6		
(TA	B	C	D		F	T)G		U	U	K		M	TA
						_		6:15	6:21	6:28	6:31	6:36	6:37	6:39
ĺ				6:10	6:12	6:13	6:30	6:45	6:51	6:58	7:01	7:06	7:07	7:09
	6:15	6:17	6:28	6:40	6:42	6:43	7:00	7:15	7:21	7:28	7:31	7:36	7:37	7:39
	6:45	6:47	6:58	7:10	7:12	7:13	7:30	7:45	7:51	7:58	8:01	8:06	8:07	8:09
	7:15	7:17	7:28	7:40	7:42	7:43	8:00	8:15	8:21	8:28	8:31	8:36	8:37	8:39
iΓ	7:45	7:47	7:58	8:10	8:12	8:13	8:30	8:45	8:51	8:58	9:01	9:06	9:07	9:09
	8:15	8:17	8:28	8:40	8:42	8:43	9:00	9:15	9:21	9:28	9:31	9:36	9:37	9:39
	8:45	8:47	8:58	9:10	9:12	9:13	9:30	9:45	9:51	9:58	10:01	10:06	10:07	10:09
ŝ.	9:15	9:17	9:28	9:40	9:42	9:43	10:00	10:15	10:21	10:28	10:31	10:36	10:37	10:39
Saturdays	9:45	9:47	9:58	10:10	10:12	10:13	10:30	10:45	10:51	10:58	11:01	11:06	11:07	11:09
ไก	10:15	10:17	10:28	10:40	10:42	10:43	11:00	11:15	11:21	11:28	11:31	11:36	11:37	11:39
Sat	10:45	10:47	10:58	11:10	11:12	11:13	11:30	11:45	11:51	11:58	12:01	12:06	12:07	12:09
on S	11:15	11:17	11:28	11:40	11:42	11:43	12:00	12:15	12:21	12:28	12:31	12:36	12:37	12:39
	11:45	11:47	11:58	12:10	12:12	12:13	12:30	12:45	12:51	12:58	1:01	1:06	1:07	1:09
เขา	12:15	12:17	12:28	12:40	12:42	12:43	1:00	1:15	1:21	1:28	1:31	1:36	1:37	1:39
not	12:45	12:47	12:58	1:10	1:12	1:13	1:30	1:45	1:51	1:58	2:01	2:06	2:07	2:09
	1:15	1:17	1:28	1:40	1:42	1:43	2:00	2:15	2:21	2:28	2:31	2:36	2:37	2:39
op :	1:45	1:47	1:58	2:10	2:12	2:13	2:30	2:45	2:51	2:58	3:01	3:06	3:07	3:09
areas	2:15	2:17	2:28	2:40	2:42	2:43	3:00	3:15	3:21	3:28	3:31	3:36	3:37	3:39
are	2:45	2:47	2:58	3:10	3:12	3:13	3:30	3:45	3:51	3:58	4:01	4:06	4:07	4:09
	3:15	3:17	3:28	3:40	3:42	3:43	4:00	4:15	4:21	4:28	4:31	4:36	4:37	4:39
Shaded	3:45	3:47	3:58	4:10	4:12	4:13	4:30	4:45	4:51	4:58	5:01	5:06	5:07	5:09
Sh	4:15	4:17	4:28	4:40	4:42	4:43	5:00	5:15	5:21	5:28	5:31	5:36	5:37	5:39
	4:45	4:47	4:58	5:10	5:12	5:13	5:30	5:45	5:51	5:58	6:01	6:06	6:07	6:09
	5:15	5:17	5:28	5:40	5:42	5:43	6:00	6:15	6:21	6:28	6:31	6:36	6:37	6:39
	5:45	5:47	5:58	6:10	6:12	6:13	6:30	6:45	6:51	6:58	7:01	7:06	7:07	7:09
	6:15	6:17	6:28	6:40	6:42	6:43	7:00	7:15	7:21	7:28	7:31	7:36	7:37	7:39
	7:15	7:17	7:28	7:40	7:42	7:43	8:00	8:15	8:21	8:28	8:31	8:36	8:37	8:39
	8:15	8:17	8:28	8:40	8:42	8:43	9:00	9:15	9:21	9:28	9:31	9:36	9:37	9:39
	9:15	9:17	9:28	9:40	9:42	9:43	10:00	10:15	10:21	10:28	10:31	10:36	10:37	10:39
	10:15	10:17	10:28	10:40	10:42	10:43	11:00							

Evening service is provided hourly.

1st trip of Route 14 EASTBOUND to GTC starts at 33rd St shelter at 6:13 a.m. Monday-Friday (Saturday 7:13 a.m.). 1st trip of Route 14 WESTBOUND to West Acres starts at Essentia at 6:12 a.m. Monday-Friday (Saturday 7:12 a.m.).

	GTG		5		1	GTC, Ho								Target, thouse
1	GTC .	Holiday	elter petreri	elter Age	sit shelter	Weigh	Setter Negti P	Tardy Lardy	elter walred	t Nest P	STORE OF CHILD	ate Courtie	Jee Peol	igheady GC
	TA	B	C	D	E	T F	G	H		J	K	L	M	(T) (A)
				_	_		_	6:11	6:13	6:18 6:33	6:21	6:27	6:28	6:29
	6:15	6:17	6:18	6:19	6:23	6:24	6:27	6:26 6:30	6:28 6:32	6:37	6:36 6:40	6:42 6:46	6:43 6:47	6:44 6:48
	6:30	6:32	6:33	6:34	6:38	6:39	6:42	6:45	6:47	6:52	6:55	7:01	7:02	7:03
	6:45 7:00	6:47 7:02	6:48 7:03	6:49 7:04	6:53 7:08	6:54 7:09	6:57 7:12	7:00 7:15	7:02 7:17	7:07 7:22	7:10 7:25	7:16 7:31	7:17 7:32	7:18 7:33
	7:15	7:17	7:18	7:19	7:23	7:24	7:12	7:30	7:32	7:37	7:40	7:46	7:47	7:48
	7:30	7:32	7:33	7:34	7:38	7:39	7:42	7:45	7:47	7:52	7:55	8:01	8:02	8:03
	7:45 8:00	7:47 8:02	7:48 8:03	7:49 8:04	7:53 8:08	7:54 8:09	7:57 8:12	8:00 8:15	8:02 8:17	8:07 8:22	8:10 8:25	8:16 8:31	8:17 8:32	8:18 8:33
	8:15	8:17	8:18	8:19	8:23	8:24	8:27	8:30	8:32	8:37	8:40	8:46	8:47	8:48
	8:30	8:32	8:33	8:34	8:38	8:39	8:42	8:45	8:47	8:52	8:55	9:01	9:02	9:03
	8:45	8:47	8:48	8:49	8:53	8:54	8:57	9:00	9:02	9:07	9:10	9:16	9:17	9:18
	9:00 9:15	9:02 9:17	9:03 9:18	9:04 9:19	9:08 9:23	9:09 9:24	9:12 9:27	9:15 9:30	9:17 9:32	9:22 9:37	9:25 9:40	9:31 9:46	9:32 9:47	9:33 9:48
	9:30	9:32	9:33	9:34	9:38	9:39	9:42	9:45	9:47	9:52	9:55	10:01	10:02	10:03
	9:45	9:47	9:48	9:49	9:53	9:54	9:57	10:00	10:02	10:07	10:10	10:16	10:17	10:18
	10:00 10:15	10:02 10:17	10:03 10:18	10:04 10:19	10:08 10:23	10:09 10:24	10:12 10:27	10:15 10:30	10:17 10:32	10:22 10:37	10:25 10:40	10:31 10:46	10:32 10:47	10:33 10:48
	10:30	10:17	10:18	10:13	10:38	10:39	10:42	10:45	10:32	10:52	10:40	11:01	11:02	11:03
	10:45	10:47	10:48	10:49	10:53	10:54	10:57	11:00	11:02	11:07	11:10	11:16	11:17	11:18
	11:00	11:02	11:03	11:04	11:08	11:09	11:12	11:15	11:17	11:22	11:25	11:31	11:32	11:33
ays.	11:15 11:30	11:17 11:32	11:18 11:33	11:19 11:34	11:23 11:38	11:24 11:39	11:27 11:42	11:30 11:45	11:32 11:47	11:37 11:52	11:40 11:55	11:46 12:01	11:47 12:02	11:48 12:03
Saturdays.	11:45	11:47	11:48	11:49	11:53	11:54	11:57	12:00	12:02	12:07	12:10	12:16	12:17	12:18
Sat	12:00	12:02	12:03	12:04	12:08	12:09	12:12	12:15	12:17	12:22	12:25	12:31	12:32	12:33
on	12:15	12:17	12:18	12:19	12:23	12:24	12:27	12:30	12:32	12:37	12:40	12:46	12:47	12:48
run	12:30 12:45	12:32 12:47	12:33 12:48	12:34 12:49	12:38 12:53	12:39 12:54	12:42 12:57	12:45 1:00	12:47 1:02	12:52 1:07	12:55 1:10	1:01 1:16	1:02 1:17	1:03 1:18
not r	1:00	1:02	1:03	1:04	1:08	1:09	1:12	1:15	1:17	1:22	1:25	1:31	1:32	1:33
do n	1:15	1:17	1:18	1:19	1:23	1:24	1:27	1:30	1:32	1:37	1:40	1:46	1:47	1:48
	1:30 1:45	1:32 1:47	1:33 1:48	1:34 1:49	1:38 1:53	1:39 1:54	1:42 1:57	1:45 2:00	1:47 2:02	1:52 2:07	1:55 2:10	2:01 2:16	2:02 2:17	2:03 2:18
areas	2:00	2:02	2:03	2:04	2:08	2:09	2:12	2:15	2:17	2:22	2:25	2:31	2:32	2:33
	2:15	2:17	2:18	2:19	2:23	2:24	2:27	2:30	2:32	2:37	2:40	2:46	2:47	2:48
Shaded	2:30	2:32	2:33	2:34	2:38	2:39	2:42	2:45	2:47	2:52	2:55	3:01	3:02	3:03
15	2:45 3:00	2:47 3:02	2:48 3:03	2:49 3:04	2:53 3:08	2:54 3:09	2:57 3:12	3:00 3:15	3:02 3:17	3:07 3:22	3:10 3:25	3:16 3:31	3:17 3:32	3:18 3:33
	3:15	3:17	3:18	3:19	3:23	3:24	3:27	3:30	3:32	3:37	3:40	3:46	3:47	3:48
	3:30	3:32	3:33	3:34	3:38	3:39	3:42	3:45	3:47	3:52	3:55	4:01	4:02	4:03
	3:45 4:00	3:47 4:02	3:48 4:03	3:49 4:04	3:53 4:08	3:54 4:09	3:57 4:12	4:00 4:15	4:02 4:17	4:07 4:22	4:10 4:25	4:16 4:31	4:17 4:32	4:18 4:33
	4:15	4:17	4:18	4:19	4:23	4:24	4:27	4:30	4:32	4:37	4:40	4:46	4:47	4:48
	4:30	4:32	4:33	4:34	4:38	4:39	4:42	4:45	4:47	4:52	4:55	5:01	5:02	5:03
	4:45	4:47	4:48	4:49	4:53	4:54	4:57	5:00	5:02	5:07	5:10	5:16	5:17	5:18
	5:00 5:15	5:02 5:17	5:03 5:18	5:04 5:19	5:08 5:23	5:09 5:24	5:12 5:27	5:15 5:30	5:17 5:32	5:22 5:37	5:25 5:40	5:31 5:46	5:32 5:47	5:33 5:48
	5:30	5:32	5:33	5:34	5:38	5:39	5:42	5:45	5:47	5:52	5:55	6:01	6:02	6:03
	5:45	5:47	5:48	5:49	5:53	5:54	5:57	6:00	6:02	6:07	6:10	6:16	6:17	6:18
	6:15 6:45	6:17	6:18	6:19 6:40	6:23	6:24 6:54	6:27 6:57	6:30 7:00	6:32 7:02	6:37 7:07	6:40	6:46 7:16	6:47 7:17	6:48
	7:15	6:47 7:17	6:48 7:18	6:49 7:19	6:53 7:23	7:24	7:27	7:00	7:02	7:07	7:10 7:40	7:16	7:17	7:18 7:48
	7:45	7:47	7:48	7:49	7:53	7:54	7:57	8:00	8:02	8:07	8:10	8:16	8:17	8:18
	8:15	8:17	8:18	8:19	8:23	8:24	8:27	8:30	8:32	8:37	8:40	8:46	8:47	8:48
	8:45 9:15	8:47 9:17	8:48 9:18	8:49 9:19	8:53 9:23	8:54 9:24	8:57	9:00 9:30	9:02	9:07 9:37	9:10 9:40	9:16 9:46	9:17	9:18
	9:15	9:17	9:18	9:19	9:53	9:54	9:27 9:57	10:00	9:32 10:02	10:07	10:10	10:16	9:47 10:17	9:48 10:18
	10:15	10:17	10:18	10:19	10:23	10:24	10:27	10:30	10:32	10:37	10:40	10:46	10:47	10:48
	10:45	10:47	10:48	10:49	10:53									
					E	vening se	ervice run	s every 30	O minutes	,				



GTC, Fargo High Rise, Lindenwood Park, Essentia Clinic, Fargo South High, Cashwise, West Acres, Public Library

1	gic.	toldy	id lindens	ORT LESS	tia chatter v	id Cagin	nse undi	cros Castri	se dundi k	ignor reserve	tia shelter	20th 25		Jajic Liptery
	TA	B	O			E					K		M	TA
ys.							6:15	6:20	6:25	6:27	6:29	6:34	6:37	6:38
ga	6:45	6:47	6:52	6:54	6:57	7:03	7:15	7:20	7:25	7:27	7:29	7:34	7:37	7:38
saturdays.	7:45	7:47	7:52	7:54	7:57	8:03	8:15	8:20	8:25	8:27	8:29	8:34	8:37	8:38
-	8:45	8:47	8:52	8:54	8:57	9:03	9:15	9:20	9:25	9:27	9:29	9:34	9:37	9:38
on	9:45	9:47	9:52	9:54	9:57	10:03	10:15	10:20	10:25	10:27	10:29	10:34	10:37	10:38
run	10:45	10:47	10:52	10:54	10:57	11:03	11:15	11:20	11:25	11:27	11:29	11:34	11:37	11:38
<u>ηστ</u> /	11:45	11:47	11:52	11:54	11:57	12:03	12:15	12:20	12:25	12:27	12:29	12:34	12:37	12:38
	12:45	12:47	12:52	12:54	12:57	1:03	1:15	1:20	1:25	1:27	1:29	1:34	1:37	1:38
: 00	1:45	1:47	1:52	1:54	1:57	2:03	2:15	2:20	2:25	2:27	2:29	2:34	2:37	2:38
areas	2:45	2:47	2:52	2:54	2:57	3:03	3:15	3:20	3:25	3:27	3:29	3:34	3:37	3:38
arı	3:45	3:47	3:52	3:54	3:57	4:03	4:15	4:20	4:25	4:27	4:29	4:34	4:37	4:38
ea	4:45	4:47	4:52	4:54	4:57	5:03	5:15	5:20	5:25	5:27	5:29	5:34	5:37	5:38
Snaded	5:45	5:47	5:52	5:54	5:57	6:03	6:15	6:20	6:25	6:27	6:29	6:34	6:37	6:38
5	6:45	6:47	6:52	6:54	6:57	7:03	7:15	7:20	7:25	7:27	7:29	7:34	7:37	7:38

TE Garry Hall IN

Madison School, YWCA, Centre, Univ. Manor

		Barri	Hall Whale	de Chapter	stage Migj its chefter line ign chefter				
	GC A	B B	C	D Mr Gr	E C	F	TA		
	6:15	6:17	6:26	6:30	6:33	6:36	6:39		
S.	7:15	7:17 7:26		7:30	7:33	7:36	7:39		
Saturdays	8:15	8:17	8:26	8:30	8:33	8:36	8:39		
เกะ	9:15	9:17	9:26	9:30	9:33	9:36	9:39		
iat	10:15	10:17	10:26	10:30	10:33	10:36	10:39		
on ?	11:15	11:17	11:26	11:30	11:33	11:36	11:39		
0 6	12:15	12:17	12:26	12:30	12:33	12:36	12:39		
run	1:15	1:17	1:26	1:30	1:33	1:36	1:39		
not	2:15	2:17	2:26	2:30	2:33	2:36	2:39		
	3:15	3:17	3:26	3:30	3:33	3:36	3:39		
; <i>ao</i>	4:15	4:17	4:26	4:30	4:33	4:36	4:39		
areas	5:15	5:17	5:26	5:30	5:33	5:36	5:39		
are	6:15	6:17	6:26	6:30	6:33	6:36	6:39		
-	7:15	7:17	7:26	7:30	7:33	7:36	7:39		
эде	8:15	8:17	8:26	8:30	8:33	8:36	8:39		
Snaded	9:15	9:17	9:26	9:30	9:33	9:36	9:39		
	10:15	10:17	10:26	10:30	10:33	10:36	10:39		

TE IB

GTC, Bethany Homes, SEHS, Avalon West, Essentia, Hornbacher's, Microsoft, Walmart

Ш			/ /	/ /	Wer /	/ /		/ /	/ /	The state of		/ /	"KET /	Mb
	EIC.	Holiday	Bether S	atter cytic	Shelter Essertin	solital Horn	dacher's	Naltral Waltral	the cheven	e rester Hornba	cheller /	solital chic	Shelter	Ready GC
	TA	B	C	D		F	G			J	K		M	TA
,						6:19	6:31	6:36	6:47	6:50	6:56	7:02	7:07	7:08
Saturdays.	6:45	6:48	6:49	6:57	7:08	7:19	7:31	7:36	7:47	7:50	7:56	8:02	8:07	8:08
rde	7:45	7:48	7:49	7:57	8:08	8:19	8:31	8:36	8:47	8:50	8:56	9:02	9:07	9:08
tu.	8:45	8:48	8:49	8:57	9:08	9:19	9:31	9:36	9:47	9:50	9:56	10:02	10:07	10:08
_	9:45	9:48	9:49	9:57	10:08	10:19	10:31	10:36	10:47	10:50	10:56	11:02	11:07	11:08
on	10:45	10:48	10:49	10:57	11:08	11:19	11:31	11:36	11:47	11:50	11:56	12:02	12:07	12:08
run	11:45	11:48	11:49	11:57	12:08	12:19	12:31	12:36	12:47	12:50	12:56	1:02	1:07	1:08
t u	12:45	12:48	12:49	12:57	1:08	1:19	1:31	1:36	1:47	1:50	1:56	2:02	2:07	2:08
not	1:45	1:48	1:49	1:57	2:08	2:19	2:31	2:36	2:47	2:50	2:56	3:02	3:07	3:08
do	2:45	2:48	2:49	2:57	3:08	3:19	3:31	3:36	3:47	3:50	3:56	4:02	4:07	4:08
	3:45	3:48	3:49	3:57	4:08	4:19	4:31	4:36	4:47	4:50	4:56	5:02	5:07	5:08
areas	4:45	4:48	4:49	4:57	5:08	5:19	5:31	5:36	5:47	5:50	5:56	6:02	6:07	6:08
	5:45	5:48	5:49	5:57	6:08	6:19	6:31	6:36	6:47	6:50	6:56	7:02	7:07	7:08
Shaded	6:45	6:48	6:49	6:57	7:08	7:19	7:31	7:36	7:47	7:50	7:56	8:02	8:07	8:08
hac	7:45	7:48	7:49	7:57	8:08	8:19	8:31	8:36	8:47	8:50	8:56	9:02	9:07	9:08
S	8:45	8:48	8:49	8:57	9:08	9:19	9:31	9:36	9:47	9:50	9:56	10:02	10:07	10:08





West Acres, ShareHouse, WF High, WF City Hall/Police, High Rise, Sanford Clinic, Walmart, Cass Co. Jail, SEHS, Islamic Center

Sornal Business We High Rise e Meetrac Or SEHE Sheller Health Clinic Fiedfiner Or Cass Country West Acres West Acres West Acres ght Ave Cir 2 Middlock P Oth Ave S HolidayInn Santord Shelter Shelter W High Walriart School Shelter Jail $\overline{\mathsf{B}}$ A D F G A K M 6:15 6:19 6:24 6:33 6:46 6:51 6:55 6:57 7:01 7:03 7:05 6:29 6:40 7:10 Saturdays 7:15 7:33 7:51 7:55 7:57 7:19 7:24 7:29 7:40 7:46 8:01 8:03 8:05 8:10 8:15 8:19 8:24 8:29 8:33 8.40 8:46 8:51 8:55 8:57 9:01 9:03 9:05 9:10 9:15 9:19 9:24 9:29 9:33 9:40 9:46 9:51 9:55 9:57 10:01 10:03 10:05 10:10 10:15 10:19 10:24 10:29 10:33 10:40 10:46 10:51 10:55 10:57 11:01 11:03 11:05 11:10 00 11:15 11:19 11:24 11:29 11:33 11:40 11:46 11:51 11:55 11:57 12:01 12:03 12:05 12:10 תח 12:55 12:19 12:24 12:29 12:33 12:40 12:46 12:51 12:57 1:01 1:03 1:05 1:10 not 1:55 1:57 1:15 1:19 1:24 1:29 1:33 1:40 1:46 1:51 2:01 2:03 2:05 2:10 2:15 2:19 2:24 2:29 2:33 2:46 2:51 2:55 2:57 3:01 3:03 3:05 3:10 2:40 do 3:15 3:24 3:29 3:33 3:51 3:55 3:57 3:19 3:40 3:46 4:01 4:03 4:05 4:10 areas 4:57 4:15 4:19 4:24 4:29 4:33 4:40 4:46 4:51 4:55 5:01 5:03 5:05 5:10 5:15 5:19 5:24 5:29 5:33 5:40 5:46 5:51 5:55 5:57 6:01 6:03 6:05 6:10 Shaded 6:15 6:19 6:24 6:29 6:33 6:40 6:46 6:51 6:55 6:57 7:01 7:03 7:05 7:10 7:15 7:19 7:24 7:29 7:33 7:40 7:46 7:51 7:55 7:57 8:01 8:03 8:05 8:10 8:15 8:19 8:24 8:29 8:33 8:40 8:46 8:51 8:55 8:57 9:01 9:03 9:05 9:10





West Acres, Sanford Medical Center, Cash Wise, Bluestem Dr./26th Ave., Costco

Z	Nest P	cras Dakota	jiew Estates Div	St Sati	st certier	Selva Cael	Nieg Ch	No M	s due la	Sdical Ath At	indigor p	West Helps
		B	0				a				K	T A
	6:30	6:32	6:35	6:40	6:45	6:48	6:55	7:04	7:08	7:13	7:17	7:20
S.	7:30	7:32	7:35	7:40	7:45	7:48	7:55	8:04	8:08	8:13	8:17	8:20
tay	8:30	8:32	8:35	8:40	8:45	8:48	8:55	9:04	9:08	9:13	9:17	9:20
Saturdays.	9:30	9:32	9:35	9:40	9:45	9:48	9:55	10:04	10:08	10:13	10:17	10:20
3at	10:30	10:32	10:35	10:40	10:45	10:48	10:55	11:04	11:08	11:13	11:17	11:20
on ?	11:30	11:32	11:35	11:40	11:45	11:48	11:55	12:04	12:08	12:13	12:17	12:20
	12:30	12:32	12:35	12:40	12:45	12:48	12:55	1:04	1:08	1:13	1:17	1:20
run	1:30	1:32	1:35	1:40	1:45	1:48	1:55	2:04	2:08	2:13	2:17	2:20
	2:30	2:32	2:35	2:40	2:45	2:48	2:55	3:04	3:08	3:13	3:17	3:20
do not	3:30	3:32	3:35	3:40	3:45	3:48	3:55	4:04	4:08	4:13	4:17	4:20
	4:30	4:32	4:35	4:40	4:45	4:48	4:55	5:04	5:08	5:13	5:17	5:20
areas	5:30	5:32	5:35	5:40	5:45	5:48	5:55	6:04	6:08	6:13	6:17	6:20
эге	6:30	6:32	6:35	6:40	6:45	6:48	6:55	7:04	7:08	7:13	7:17	7:20
	7:30	7:32	7:35	7:40	7:45	7:48	7:55	8:04	8:08	8:13	8:17	8:20
Shaded	8:30	8:32	8:35	8:40	8:45	8:48	8:55	9:04	9:08	9:13	9:17	9:20
Shi	9:30	9:32	9:35	9:40	9:45	9:48	9:55	10:04	10:08	10:13	10:17	10:20
-,	10:30	10:32	10:35	10:40	10:45	10:48	10:55	11:04	11:08			


Route 11



Route 13





Route 13U



Route 14









Route 32E



Route 32W











TapRide

Skip the Walk | Just Tap


TapRide is an on-demand service offered on NDSU campus during the academic year Monday - Friday, from 7:30pm to 11:00pm and will be offering service starting August 5, 2019 to the Fargo Industrial Park. TapRide services are linked to the main MATBUS fixed route service at specific hubs allowing passengers to access the main MATBUS system.

Industrial Park Service Starting August 5, 2019!

Beginning August 5, 2019, MATBUS will launch its second TapRide service. This service will allow riders to access the Fargo Industrial Park between the hours of 6:15am and 11:15pm, Monday through Friday and 7:15am to 11:15pm, on Saturday. This service will resemble the NDSU TapRide service and will use the same app/instructions below.

Passengers without a smartphone:

- Transferring onto the service at **Whale-of-a-Wash on 12th Ave N**, in Fargo, just let your Route 17 driver know to radio the TapRide service.
- Transferring onto the service at **West Acres** access the kioks and request the ride at the MATBUS stop, near the Roger Maris entrance.
- or you may call the Ground Transportation Center (GTC) at 701.232.7500 to request a ride via telephone.




How to use TapRide

Download by searching "TapRide" on the Google Play Store or Apple Store.

*
SELECT SYSTEM
Butler University
Central Michigan University
Charlotte Area Transit - NMeckRider
City of Fargo - Matbus
Florida International University
Facebook
Far North Redi Ride
Georgia College
GoLink/DART
GoLink Test
Indiana University
Innova EV Ride Share - Dash On Demand
Iowa State
Kitsap SK Ride
Kitsap Kingston Ride
MICA
Mountain Transit

Select "City of Fargo - MATBUS" from the list of agencies. * Will be available for selection on January 8, 2018



Useful Links


- Contact Call Center 701.232.7500
- New User? Register here
- Update Info? Manage Account

©2018 City of Fargo | Visit matbus.com for route and schedule information.

Sign into your TapRide Account
* You will need a valid email address to register for an account.



Select "Request Ride" at the bottom of the screen.




Select your pick-up location by tapping any area within the outlined NDSU Zone or one of the Quick Stops.

Select your drop-off location by tapping the drop off address bar, at the bottom of the screen, then you can select one of the **Quick Stops** or press the back arrow and tap anywhere in the zone.

Quick Stops

Quick Stops are predefined locations set for popular stops around campus. You may elect to use a quick stop for a pick-up or drop-off, or you may select anywhere on the map within the highlighted zone.



Riders may request a ride for up to 10 people at one time, going from the same pickup location and going to the same drop off location. Riders may also request the use of the bike rack and the use of the wheelchair securement area in the confirmation screen. Once all confirmation items have been selected, submit your ride by selecting the button at the bottom of the screen.

Your request will be entered into our system and you will receive an estimated time of arrival (ETA) for your pickup. Once the driver arrives, he/she will "honk," which will send a notification to your phone letting you know your ride as arrived. In order to minimize wait times for all riders, drivers will only wait five minutes at your pick up point for you to board. After five minutes have passed, the driver will cancel your ride and move on to the next riders. If you still need the ride, you will be required to request another ride.

* When there is high demand for rides:

Tapride will queue your ride when ride requests are in high demand. This will allow the driver to pick-up and drop-off passengers in a more timely manner. You will receive a notification and an estimated time of arrival when this occurs, however; the time will update continually and may change depending upon cancellations or new requests.

2019

- Route 14 no longer travels through the Cash Wise parking lot.
- Industrial park TapRide service was introduced. Beginning August 5, 2019, MATBUS launched its second TapRide service. This service allows riders to access the Fargo Industrial Park between the hours of 6:15am and 11:15pm, Monday through Friday and 7:15am to 11:15pm, on Saturday. TapRide services are linked to the main MATBUS fixed route service at specific hubs allowing passengers to access the main MATBUS system.
- Routes 14, 15, 16, 20 and 24 no longer travel through the West Acres parking lot. Buses travel in and out of the West Acres hub using 38th St. S and only drive into the parking lot where the bus stop is located to minimize driving on West Acres property.

2020

- LinkFM discontinued: LinkFM was a free circulator route connecting the downtowns of Fargo and Moorhead. LinkFM previously operated as a daily route; but as of January 1, 2020, it only operates during designated community-sponsored events.
- Moved to fare free service in March. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, all MATBUS services (including fixed route and MAT Paratransit) were fare-free. Continuing to not collect fares helped minimize contact while onboard MATBUS vehicles.
- NDSU Routes suspended early in March due to COVID-19.
- August: Effective Monday, August 3, 2020, masks were required on all MATBUS vehicles. This
 included both fixed route and MAT Paratransit services. Masks must be worn on the bus and on
 MATBUS property.
- Route 15 social distancing: Due to social distancing needs during the COVID-19 pandemic service increased to 6 buses on route in June.
- Route 15 service cuts. Served reduced to two buses during the week in November due to a driver shortage.
- Paratransit grocery delivery service. Starting Thursday, April 9, 2020, seniors (age 60 and older) and MAT Paratransit passengers can schedule grocery delivery from select Fargo-Moorhead stores. After ordering online or calling in an order, passengers can call MAT Paratransit to arrange for pickup and delivery. This service is available for seniors (age 60 and older) and eligible MAT Paratransit riders only.

2021

- Resumed fare collection in April. Free rides are still be available for COVID-19 vaccine
 appointments. Riders are welcome to take one free round trip for each of the two vaccine
 appointments.
- Route 15 service reduced to 3 vehicles in November due to a driver shortage.
- Saturday industrial park TapRide service eliminated to due to a driver shortage.



CASS COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE & TRANSPORTATION PLAN















TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1 BACKGROUND
- 7 COUNTY PROFILE
- 25 SUMMARY OF PUBLIC INPUT
- 31 ISSUES AND OPPORTUNITIES
- 35 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
- 67 LAND USE
- 85 TRANSPORTATION
- 127 IMPLEMENTATION

LIST OF FIGURES Figure 1.1: County Location	Figure 3.3: Survey Question - Where do you live and work?
Figure 1.2: Planning Process4	Figure 3.4: Survey Question - What is the order of importance that should be given to each of the
Figure 1.3: Harrowing at the Dalrymple Farms in Cass County ¹ 5	following topics?
Figure 2.1: Historical Population9	pressing issues facing Cass County?28
Figure 2.2: Population Forecasts9	Figure 3.6: Survey Question - Please rate your satisfaction with each Housing topic as it relates to
Figure 2.3: Race in Cass County10	Cass County
Figure 2.4: 2015 Population Pyramid10	Figure 3.7: Survey Question - Please rate your satisfaction with each Transportation topic as it relates
Figure 2.5: Historical Households10	to Cass County29
Figure 2.6: Household Forecasts10	Figure 3.8: Survey Question -Please rate your
Figure 2.7: Household Size11	satisfaction with each Quality of Life topic as it relates to Cass County29
Figure 2.8: Age of Householder11	Figure 3.9: Survey Question - Please rate your
Figure 2.10: Vehicle Availability12	satisfaction with each Other topic as it relates to Cass County29
Figure 2.9: Households with Children12	Figure 4.1: Relationship of Guiding Principles
Figure 2.11: Distribution of Household Income12	Figure 5.1: Quality of Life Facilities Matrix
Figure 2.12: Cass County Age by Block (2010)13	Figure 5.2: Housing Units38
Figure 2.13: Cass County Households (2010)14	Figure 5.3: Age of Housing Units
Figure 2.14: Cass County Households Over 65 (2010)15	Figure 5.4: Type of Housing Units38
Figure 2.15: Households with Children16	Figure 5.5: Occupancy and Tenure for
Figure 2.16: Enrollment in West Fargo and Fargo School Districts	Cass County Housing Units
Figure 2.17: Enrollment in Rest of Cass County School	Figure 5.6: Home Prices
Districts	Figure 5.7: Rent Asked
Figure 2.19: Average Monthly Precipitation and	Figure 5.8: Housing Costs as Percentage of Income40
Snowfall	Figure 5.9: Group Housing40
Figure 2.18: Average Monthly Temperatures18	Figure 5.10: Percent of Units Affordable at Specific Income Levels42
Figure 2.21: Average Monthly Temperatures18	Figure 5.11: Employment in Cass County
Figure 2.20: Seasonal Wind Roses18	Figure 5.12: Labor Shed47
Figure 2.22: Annual Average Temperature19	Figure 5.13: Unemployment Rates
Figure 2.23: Average Annual Rainfall19	Figure 5.14: Total Wages (in Millions)48
Figure 2.24: Soybean Field in Cass County21	Figure 5.15: Total Taxable Sales and Purchases
Figure 2.25: Cass County Prime Farmland22	(In Millions)49
Figure 3.1: Discussion at First Public Input Meeting 27	Figure 5.16: Largest Industries as a Percent of GDP 49
Figure 3.2: Presentation at First Public Input Meeting 27	Figure 5.17: Farm Size50

CONTENTS

Figure 5.18: Crop Cover51	
Figure 5.19: Complete Food System54	
Figure 5.20: Red River Market in Fargo57	
Figure 5.21: Functions of Emergency Management 58	
Figure 5.22: Emergency Services and Healthcare Facilities	
Figure 5.23: Cass County Energy Consumption (kWh)64	
Figure 5.24: Cass County Power Demand (MW)64	
Figure 6.1: Intergovernmental Coordination and Schools	
Figure 6.2: Reed Township70	
Figure 6.3: General Geology and Terrain71	
Figure 6.4: Farmland Productivity Map71	
Figure 6.5: Land Use Categories by Incorporated Cities and Rural Areas72	
Figure 6.6: Cass County Land Use73	
Figure 6.7: Growth Capacity75	
Figure 6.8: Township and City Zoning76	
Figure 6.9: Floodplain Best Available Information79	
Figure 6.10: Fargo-Moorhead Diversion Concepts83	
Figure 6.11: Fargo-Moorhead Diversion Alignment84	
Figure 7.1: Traffic Volumes	
Figure 7.2: Truck Traffic Volumes	
Figure 7.3: Surface type90	
Figure 7.4: Pavement Conditions	
Figure 7.5: Functional Class Hierarchy92	
Figure 7.6: Functional Class	
Figure 7.7: Regionally Significant Corridors94	
Figure 7.8: Year Bridges Built95	
Figure 7.9: Bridge Sufficiency95	
Figure 7.10: Bridge Location and Sufficiency96	
Figure 7.11: Bridge Condition Average98	
Figure 7.12: North Side Diversion Bridge Locations99	
Figure 7.13: South Side Diversion Bridge Locations 100	

Figure 7.14: Cass County Airports101
Figure 7.15: Cass County Railroads102
Figure 7.16: Preliminary Speed Limit Analysis104
Figure 7.17: County Road 17105
Figure 7.18: Southwest Metro Subarea Urbanizing Corridors109
Figure 7.19: North Metro Subarea111
Figure 7.20: Pavement Surface Selection Flowchart112
Figure 7.21: CR 9 Corridor113
Figure 7.22: CR 10 Corridor114
Figure 7.23: CR 8 Corridor116
Figure 7.24: CR 18 and CR 36 Corridors117
Figure 7.25: South Side Connector119
Figure 7.26: Active Transportation Network122
Figure 7.27: Park-and-Ride on Minnesota TH 32124
Figure 7.28: Commuter Flows and Mobility Options125

LIST OF TABLES
Table 2.1: Historical and Future Populations for Selected Cities in Cass County
Table 2.2: Historical and Future Households for Selected Cities in Cass County11
Table 2.3: Cass County Soil Classes
Table 2.4: National Registry of Historic Places in Cass County23
Table 5.1: Top Industries in Cass County46
Table 5.2: Fastest Growing Industries in Cass County46
Table 5.3: Wages by Industry48
Table 5.4: Top Crops in Cass County
Table 5.5: Top Livestock in Cass County
Table 5.6: Cass County General Health Reporting54
Table 5.7: Cass County Obesity and Chronic Disease 54
Table 5.8: Cass County Health Behaviors55
Table 5.9: Cass County Access to Health Care55
Table 5.10: Cass County Physical Environment Indicators
Table 5.11: Broadband Internet Access
Table 5.12: Estimated Levelized Cost of Electricity for New Generation Resources Entering Service in 2022 ¹³
Table 6.1: Distribution of Zoning Authority in Extraterritorial Areas77
Table 7.1: Roadways by Surface Type87
Table 7.2: Maintenance Trends
Table 7.3: Chip Seal and Paving Trends87
Table 7.4: Pavement Conditions
Table 7.5: Estimated Bridge Replacement Costs97
Table 7.6: Estimated Cost for CR 6/76th Avenue Corridor Improvements106
Table 7.7: Estimated Cost for CR 17 Corridor Improvements
Table 7.8: Estimated Cost for CR 8 Redesignation Options
Table 7.9: Estimated Cost for CR 18 Extension

Table 7.10: Estimated Cost for Southside	
Cross-County Paved Corridor	118
,	
Table 7.11: Operational Metrics for Valley Senior	
Service's Cass County Service	123



BACKGROUND







BACKGROUND

BACKGROUND

Cass County is located along the eastern border of North Dakota in the Red River Valley (Figure 2.1). This Comprehensive and Transportation Plan covers the entire area of Cass County, addressing the following topics:

- » Community Development
 - > Housing
 - > Economic Development
 - > Food Systems
 - > Emergency Management
 - > Public Infrastructure and Facilities
 - > Energy

- » Land Use
 - > Land Use
 - > Intergovernmental Coordination
 - > Floodplain Management
 - > Natural Resources
 - > Cultural Resources
 - > Climate
- » Transportation
 - > Roadways
 - > Bridges
 - > Public and Active Transportation

Each of these topics is guided by the Vision and Principles developed through the public input process. An implementation plan is also included in this document.





Figure 1.2: Planning Process



PURPOSE

The Cass County Comprehensive and Transportation Plan presents a vision for the future of the County and provides a framework of strategies and policies on many topics relevant to the current and future needs of the County. This allows the county government to make decisions that are internally consistent and fiscally efficient. Private interests also benefit from comprehensive plans because the plans offer a general understanding of how future development may occur. Comprehensive plans also serve as a foundation for the regulation of development through subdivision and zoning controls. This plan is an update to the 2005 Cass County Comprehensive Plan.

PROCESS

The Cass County Comprehensive and Transportation Plan followed the general process shown in Figure 1.2. It began with an existing and projected conditions assessment, detailed in the County Profile, then testing options, alternatives, and recommendations, and developing implementation strategies. The plan incorporated multiple rounds of public and stakeholder engagement, including meetings and surveys, which is detailed in the Summary of Public Input.

BACKGROUND

HISTORY

Sisseton and Wahpeton bands of the Sioux Native
Americans occupied current day Cass County as far back
as the seventeenth century. By the late 1700s and early
1800s, the first European settlers, fur traders, migrated west
across North America. The first settler in Cass County, Peter
Goodman was an employee of the Hudson Bay Company.
The new pioneers relied heavily on the area's waterways,
settling along the Red, Wild Rice, and Sheyenne rivers for
sources of wood, water, resources, and transportation.

The area now known as Cass County was originally part of Pembina County, which included all of the northern Dakota Territory east of the Missouri River. As settlement increased in the area, Pembina County was carved into several smaller counties. Cass County, named after George W. Cass, the President of the Northern Pacific Railway, was officially created in 1873 with the first County Commission meeting held on October 27, 1873.

The expansion of the Northern Pacific Railway in 1871 through what is now Fargo, marked the beginning of western

development into the County. This expansion brought new settlers which, combined with the discovery of the fertile soils of the Red River Valley, created large bonanza farming operations. These settlers were sod busters, exposing natural soils to seed of various small grains; spring wheat provided the area's first cash crop and its success was largely responsible for the increased number of settlers moving into the region. The intensive farming practices of the bonanza farms contributed to the 1930s Dust Bowls and led to soil conservation practices to prevent the erosion of the fertile topsoil.

The increased utilization of the automobile and the expansion and creation of a higher quality roadway network allowed residents to travel longer distance more rapidly and reliably. This pattern has continued to the present day, and resulted in the development of the Fargo urbanized area as a regional trade center, providing products, services, and jobs that are utilized by the rural areas of Cass County and a large region beyond its borders.

Bonanza Farms were very large farms, mostly cultivating and harvesting wheat. They developed as a result of efficient farming machinery, cheap land and the completion of major railroads between the farming areas and markets.




Figure 1.3: Harrowing at the Dalrymple Farms in Cass County



COUNTY PROFILE







COUNTY PROFILE

DEMOGRAPHICS

Historical and Future Population

Cass County's population has seen high, continuous growth since it was first organized in 1873. More recently, Cass County has seen 43.1 percent growth since 2000, to a 2015 population of 168,930 (shown in Figure 2.1). Cass County is 22.3 percent of North Dakota's 2015 population (756,835). Historical and future populations for selected cities in Cass County are shown in Table 2.1.

The urban-rural profile has changed overtime. When first organized, Cass County was only around 30 percent urban. Fast forward to the 2010 Decennial Census and Cass County is nearly 90 percent urban.

Figure 2.1: Historical Population

150,000

100,000

1880 1890 1900 1910 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000 2010 2015

Rural Urban

The recently completed Demographic Forecast Study for the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments included population forecasts for Cass County and many of the county subdivisions (cities and townships).

From 2015 through 2045, the population of Cass County is expected to grow more than 49 percent, to 251,940 (Figure 2.2). Much of this population growth will be in current population centers, specifically the Fargo-Moorhead urban area (Fargo, West Fargo, and Horace). Urbanization is expected to continue, with 94 percent of the population expected to live in the urban areas by 2045.





Table 2.1: Historical and Future Populations for Selected Cities in Cass County


Jurisdiction	1990	2000	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
Cass County	102,874	123,138	149,778	168,930	189,900	206,620	221,350	233,940	244,460	251,940
Argusville	161	147	475	500	503	506	506	499	491	495
Casselton	1,601	1,855	2,329	2,420	2,530	2,680	2,800	2,920	2,990	3,110
Fargo	74,111	90,599	105,524	117,230	129,690	140,030	151,810	162,450	172,140	179,800
Harwood	590	607	718	755	723	716	705	712	716	711
Horace	662	915	2,430	2,620	5,070	8,190	8,940	9,500	9,820	10,040
Kindred	569	614	692	728	773	798	805	799	802	797
Mapleton	682	606	762	801	792	809	774	750	761	755
Reiles Acres	210	254	513	539	489	483	493	475	468	464
West Fargo	12,287	14,940	25,840	32,300	37,370	40,140	42,000	43,240	43,660	43,270
Rest of Cass	12,001	12,601	10,495	11,037	11,960	12,268	12,517	12,595	12,612	12,498

Race

In 2015, Cass County was 89 percent white, four percent Black and three percent Asian (Figure 2.3). The county has become more diverse since 2000, when the County was 95 percent white.




The median age of Cass County has increased from 31.3 in 2000 to 31.9 in 2015, but remains younger than the state, where the median age was 34.6 in 2015. This is primarily due to the multiple universities and colleges in the Fargo-Moorhead metropolitan area, which results in a large college aged population. The recent Fargo-Moorhead Demographic Forecast Study also found that the Fargo-Moorhead area has been more successful retaining college graduates in recent years, likely attributable to the strong economy. The population pyramid for Cass County and North Dakota is shown in Figure 2.4, with the geographic distribution of median age shown in Figure 2.12.



Historical and Future Households

There were 70,460 households in Cass County in 2015, 91 percent of which were in Fargo, West Fargo, and Horace. This is a 10 percent increase over 2010, when there were 63,899 households and a 31 percent increase over 2000, when there were 53,790 households. Cass County represents 22.5 percent of all households in North Dakota (313,475). Historical households are shown in Figure 2.5, with the geographic distribution of households shown in Figure 2.13. The historical and future households for selected cities in Cass County is shown in Table 2.2.



The recently completed Demographic Forecast Study for the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments included population forecasts for Cass County and many of the county subdivisions (cities and townships).

From 2015 through 2045, the number of households in Cass County is expected to increase nearly 42 percent to 99,960 households (Figure 2.6); 97 percent of this household growth will be in current population centers, specifically the Fargo-Moorhead metropolitan area (Fargo, West Fargo, and Horace). Urbanization is expected to continue, with 93 percent of the households in the county to be in urban areas by 2045.




Jurisdiction	1990	2000	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
Cass County	42,407	53,790	63,899	70,460	78,160	83,820	89,290	92,520	96,750	99,960
Argusville	56	65	151	160	160	170	170	170	170	170
Casselton	664	738	874	890	920	950	1,000	1,040	1,090	1,150
Fargo	31,711	41,200	46,671	50,870	56,280	60,260	64,650	66,630	70,550	73,530
Harwood	172	201	241	250	250	270	270	270	280	280
Horace	216	311	810	840	1,730	2,710	2,980	3,190	3,360	3,520
Kindred	246	267	267	270	280	280	280	290	300	300
Mapleton	203	193	248	250	260	280	280	280	290	290
Reiles Acres	56	72	146	150	160	170	180	180	180	180
West Fargo	4,574	5,968	10,348	12,410	13,460	13,950	14,630	15,540	15,690	15,840
Rest of Cass	4,509	4,775	4,143	4,370	4,660	4,780	4,850	4,930	4,840	4,700

Table 2.2: Historical and Future Households for Selected Cities in Cass County


Household Size

In 2015, the average household size in Cass County was 2.65 for owner occupied households and 1.89 for renter occupied households. The average household size for owner occupied households is larger than the state average of 2.51, but the average household size for renter occupied households is smaller than the state average of 2.03. Household size is shown in Figure 2.7.



Age of Householder

As of 2015, the largest percent of householders were between the ages of 25 and 34 at 22 percent, split between Fargo (17 percent) and the rest of Cass County (five percent). The largest age range outside of Fargo is the 45 to 54 year olds, where they comprise more than six percent of households. Just five percent of households with the householder aged 65 or older live in rural Cass County. The age of householder is shown in Figure 2.8, with the geographic distribution of householders 65 or older shown in Figure 2.14.




The age of the householder has many implications on planning and policy. A large population of householders between 25 and 34, prime child-bearing years, could result in the need for future schools, while a large population of householders aged 65 or older could indicate a need for additional elder care services.

- » In 2015, 28 percent of households had at least one person over 60, which is up from 16 percent in 2010. This trend was borne out in the state of North Dakota as well, where households with one person over 60 increased from 24 percent in 2010 to 33 percent in 2015.
- » Nearly one in three households in 2015 had just one person living there. This trend has been fairly constant since 2000. Of these one-person households, 28 percent were individuals older than 65, including 5 percent living in rural Cass County.

Households with Children

Around one of four households in Cass County had children under 18 in 2015 (27 percent), as shown in Figure 2.9. This is a decline from 2000, where 30 percent of households had children under 18, but an increase from 2010 where 26 percent of households had children under 18. The geographic distribution of households with children is shown in Figure 2.15.


Figure 2.9: Households with Children



Vehicle Availability

Private automobiles are often very important for mobility to work, medical, or social events, especially in rural communities. In Cass County in 2015, six percent of households had no vehicle. Of the households with no vehicle, 68 percent of them are single person households who live in Fargo. Looking further into vehicle availability, one-vehicle households are surprisingly common.


Figure 2.10: Vehicle Availability



On average, 17 percent of Cass County two or more person households had just one vehicle available. Vehicle availability is shown in Figure 2.10.

Median Household Income


In 2015, the median household income in Cass County was \$53,728, up 6.2 percent since 2010 (\$50,616) and 40.8 percent since 2000 (\$38,147). For the first time since 2000, the median household income in Cass County was lower than the statewide median household income of \$60,557. Cass County has a higher proportion of lower income households (less than \$35,000) than the state as a whole. This could be explained by two factors. First, Cass County is younger than the state as a whole. The younger population may be enrolled in college, working less than full time, or have less experience and thus lower wages. Second, the lagging impact of the oil boom in western North Dakota may have resulted in a higher proportion of high-wage jobs in oil rich areas, relative to the types of jobs and job sectors that have grown in Cass County. Distribution of household income is shown in Figure 2.11.



Poverty

In 2015 in Cass County, 10.7 percent of individuals were in poverty. This includes 4.9 percent of families, 8.8 percent of children under 18, and 7.5 percent of people aged 65 and over. Since 2000, poverty has declined across most groups (families, children under 18, and people aged 65 and over). It has increased slightly for individuals between 18 and 65.

Figure 2.12: Cass County Age by Block (2010)



Cass County Median Age by Block


Census 2010







Figure 2.13: Cass County Households (2010)



Cass County Total Households by Block

Census 2010

Total Households





GRANDIN HUNTER PAGE GARDNER ARTHUR ARGUSVILLE AYR **AMENIA HARWOOD** NORTH RIVER **TOWER CITY REILES ACRES** BUFFALO CASSELTON MAPLETON WEST FARGO FARGO PRAIRIE ROSE FRONTIER BRIARWOOD HORACE ALICE DAVENPORT **OXBOW** KINDRED LEONARD ENDERLIN

Figure 2.14: Cass County Households Over 65 (2010)

Cass County Households Over 65 by Block

Census 2010







Figure 2.15: Households with Children



Cass County Households With Children by Block

Census 2010

Percent of Households with Children





PROFILE

SCHOOL ENROLLMENT

There are eight school districts in Cass County:

- » Fargo Public Schools
- » West Fargo Public Schools
- » Kindred
- » Central Cass
- » Mapleton
- » Northern Cass
- » Maple Valley
- » Page

Since 2010, enrollment in many of the rural schools has remained relatively flat. Northern Cass has grown at a rate of 2.5 percent each year, or about 15 new students each year. The West Fargo school district grew the fastest, nearly six percent each year, or 482 new students each year.







Figure 2.17: Enrollment in Rest of Cass County School Districts




CLIMATE


Overview

Cass County has a continental climate, with four distinct seasons: warm summers, cold winters, and moderate springs and falls. It is important to differentiate weather and climate. Weather is the state of the atmosphere, the representation of how hot or cold, wet or dry, calm or stormy the atmosphere is. Climate is the sum of weather over time.


Since 1980, Cass County has had an average annual temperature of 42.3 degrees, with the coldest average temperature in January (nine degrees) and the warmest in July (71 degrees), as shown in Figure 2.18. Typically, Cass County experiences 12 days above 90 degrees and 178 days below 32 degrees.



Annually, Cass County receives 22.6 inches of precipitation, including 42.3 inches of snow. Monthly precipitation and snowfall is shown in Figure 2.19.




On average, Cass County experiences 174 days of sunshine, typically experiencing more days of full and part sun during the summer months. Days of clear, partly cloudy, and cloudy are shown in Figure 2.20.



Annual average wind speeds are around 11 miles per hour. However, as the seasons change, the direction and speeds of winds also change. Seasonal wind speeds and direction are shown in Figure 2.21.

Figure 2.21: Average Monthly Temperatures



Change Over Time

Data collected by the National Centers for Environmental Information (program under the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration)² since 1960 has shown an upward trend for both temperatures (Figure 2.22) and precipitation (Figure 2.23) for Cass County.

Figure 2.22: Annual Average Temperature





Figure 2.23: Average Annual Rainfall



Climate Impacts On Transportation

National research completed by the Transportation Research Board, and presented in *Transportation Research Board Special Report 290*³ has begun to outline the potential impacts climate change will have on transportation systems. With higher and more sustained instances of extreme temperatures and weather events, impacts to the transportation system are likely to include thermal expansion on bridge joints and paved surfaces, decreased pavement integrity, subsidence or erosion of road base, and increased flooding and washouts of roadways.

Climate Change Impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment⁴ identified a variety of impacts to the transportation system:

- » Short-term road flooding and blocked culverts due to extreme rain events
- » Train derailment due to railway buckling
- » Pavement heaving and reduced pavement life due to high temperatures

The same publication presented adaptation opportunities for transportation:

- » Through transportation and land use planning, decide what infrastructure to build and where to build and seek to minimize impacts on vulnerable areas and populations (elderly, low-income, and non-native English speakers) of the community.
- » Complete a risk assessment to identify vulnerable facilities and systems and project consequences.
- » Adapt new infrastructure design that is resilient to extreme weather events and higher temperatures.
- » Incorporate a responsive plan for current and anticipated conditions for operations and maintenance.
- » Anticipate extreme weather disruptions and develop emergency response capabilities.

On Agriculture

Whether it is a longer growing season, increased instances of drought or heavy rains, or changes in pests and invasive species, climate change has significant impacts on agriculture.

Climate Change Impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment (Chapter 19: Great Plains) presented some findings on climate change's potential impact on agriculture:

- » Winter and spring precipitation and very heavy precipitation events will likely increase runoff and flooding resulting in lower water quality and eroded soils. The increased winter and spring precipitation may result in fields too wet to plant, but this could be offset by longer growing seasons.
- » Warmer winters will allow some pests and invasive weeds to survive. It could also induce winter crops to emerge early and then be damaged by spring freezes.
- » Higher temperature extremes and heat waves will have negative impacts on livestock. Livestock will also be impacted by feed-grain production, availability and price and pasture production and quality, among other things.

» Over time, the cumulative result of these impacts may be changing patterns of farming and changes in primary crops being grown in the County.

On Communities

In 2014, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change produced the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change⁴. The report states that emissions have increased since the preindustrial era, driven largely by economic and population growth, and are now higher than they have ever been. According to the report, many regions are experiencing climate change impacts that threaten ecosystems, human health, and infrastructure. Increasing temperatures and changing precipitation are altering hydrological systems and affecting water quality and quantity.

According to Climate Change Impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment (Chapter 19: Great Plains), North Dakota's increase in annual temperature over the past 130 years is the fastest in the contiguous United States, and the number of days with temperatures over 100 degrees Fahrenheit is projected to double by 2050. These increases in extreme heat will lead to increases in surface water losses, heat stress, and demand for air conditioning. These conditions will more than offset the benefits of warmer winters, such as lower winter heating demand, less cold stress on humans and animals, and a longer growing season. Winter and spring precipitation is projected to increase. As patterns of temperature and precipitation change, the Great Plains region will face increased competition for water supplies and energy.

NATURAL RESOURCES

The 2005 Cass County Comprehensive Plan, 2016 Cass County Subdivision Ordinance, and Cass County Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance were reviewed to determine existing Cass County policies, regulations, and practices as they relate to the protection and enhancement of natural resources. One of the goals identified in the 2005 Cass County Comprehensive Plan (Goal Four) is "To use and preserve natural resources in an environmentally sound manner." Objectives contained within this goal focus on the protection of ground and surface water resources, protection of natural vegetation along rivers, and protection of wetlands. Specific policies identified for achieving these objectives are general, but provide a framework and basis for the implementation of additional rules and regulations if needed.

The 2016 Cass County Subdivision Ordinance contains a number of provisions relative to the protection and enhancement of natural resources. The majority of these provisions defer to compliance with existing state and federal laws and regulations; however, there are some provisions that go beyond current state and federal regulations.

- » Section 609 of the ordinance requires the implementation of vegetative buffer zones along all blue line perennial watercourses and wetlands as identified on USGS quadrangle maps.
- » Section 610 of the ordinance requires building setback distances from all blue line perennial watercourses and wetlands as identified on USGS quadrangle maps.
- » Section 614 outlines requirements for planting vegetative buffers and also contains provisions for the protection of existing wooded areas.

The Cass County Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance is intended to manage development within Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA) as identified in the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). Counties, cities, and townships are responsible for developing floodplain development ordinances that are in compliance with the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Cass County, together with cities and townships within the County that participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, are responsible for governing floodplain activities occurring within the county.

With the exception of aforementioned documents, environmental oversight within the county is primarily deferred to existing state and federal regulations. To assess the current state and success of environmental oversight within the county, Tom Soucy with the Cass County Highway Department was interviewed. Mr. Soucy reaffirmed that protection of the natural and human environment is largely deferred to existing state and federal regulations. The county has not identified any issues within their jurisdiction that are not being properly addressed by existing state and federal regulations. If environmental issues are identified in the future that are outside the bounds of current regulations, the county would consider the implementation of county specific regulations at that time.

Figure 2.24: Soybean Field in Cass County



Pollinator Habitat

Pollinators are an important natural resource for Cass County due to the significance of agricultural crop production in the County. Although there is no comprehensive analysis of pollinator decline throughout the United States, there is strong support for the conclusion that pollinator loss is a regional issue. Honeybees are the most commonly recognized pollinator, and the long-term population trends for honeybees are demonstrably downward. However, there is evidence of decline in the abundance of other pollinators as well. To address this loss, the NDSU Extension, ND Game and Fish, and other conservation groups have been working to raise awareness of the issue and promote the maintenance and development of pollinator habitat.

Prime Farmland


Once at the bottom of glacial Lake Agassiz, the soils of Cass County are amongst the richest in the country. This means that much of the County has been identified as prime farmland or prime farmland if drained. Prime farmland is defined by the United States Department of Agriculture as land that has the best combination of physical and chemical characteristics for producing food, feed, forage, fiber, and

oilseed crops. These lands typically have adequate and dependable water, favorable temperature and growing season, acceptable acidity or alkalinity, salt, and few rocks. Additional land that meets production thresholds but does not meet all of the physical and chemical characteristic requirements of prime farmland is characterized by the State of North Dakota as Farmland of Statewide Importance. The breakdown of soil classes is shown in Table 2.3 and geographically displayed in Figure 2.25.

Table 2.3: Cass County Soil Classes

Soil Class	Acres	% of Total Acreage
Prime Farmland	411,500	36%
Prime Farmland if Drained	515,850	46%
Farmland of Statewide Importance	43,900	4%
Not Prime Farmland	160,000	14%

Figure 2.25: Cass County Prime Farmland



Cass County Soils

Soil Suitability

- All areas are prime farmland
- Farmland of statewide importance
- Not prime farmland
- Prime farmland if drained



CULTURAL RESOURCES

Cass County includes approximately 1,768 square miles. Of this, 116 square miles (74,222 acres) have been inventoried for cultural resources during 308 cultural investigations. Historic-era features account for most cultural resources, with a total of 5,454 buildings, structures, objects, historic districts, and non-standing features recorded as of mid-2017. Many of these are in or near developed urban and commercial areas, with Fargo having over 4,000 recorded locations, Casselton over 325, and Buffalo and Leonard each over 80.

Prehistoric locations are less documented than historic-era locations in Cass County, with 127 currently on record. The paucity of such locations may be due to the low numbers of investigations and inventoried acres in the county and not necessarily due to the area having been avoided by tribal groups.

Of the above cultural resources, the county has 32 architectural and two prehistoric locations listed on the National Register of Historic Places. The architectural locations include

- » seven historic districts
- » four residential locations
- » two high schools
- » two railway depots
- » two churches
- » two NDSU research plots
- » two warehouses
- » one warehouse and creamery building
- » one Masonic Block
- » one apartment building
- » one department store
- » one hospital
- » one library
- » one hotel
- » one theatre
- » one lodge
- » Cass County Courthouse

Of these, one is located near Enderlin, one is in Casselton, one is in Amenia, one is in Leonard, two are in Buffalo, and 25 are in Fargo.

Table 2.4: National Registry of Historic Places in Cass County

lable 2.4: National Registry of Historic Places in	T Cass Country
Name	Location
Buffalo High School (1916)	Buffalo
Barrington Apartments	Fargo
Burlington Northern Depot	Amenia
Cass County Courthouse	Fargo
Casselton Commercial Historic District	Casselton
DeLendrecie's Department Store	Fargo
Dibley House	Fargo
Downtown Fargo District	Fargo
Fargo City Detention Hospital	Fargo
Fargo Oak Grove Residential Historic District	Fargo
Fargo South Residential District	Fargo
Fargo Theatre Building	Fargo
Grand Lodge of North Dakota, Ancient Order of United Workmen	Fargo
Lewis house	Fargo
Masonic Block	Fargo
NDSU Historic District	Fargo
NDSU Research Plot 2	Fargo
NDSU Research Plot 30	Fargo
North Side Fargo Builder's Residential Historic District	Fargo
North Side Fargo High Style Residential Historic District	Fargo
Northern Pacific Railway Depot	Fargo
Old Stone Church	Buffalo
Pence Automobile Company Warehouse	Fargo
Powers Hotel	Fargo
Robert Lindermann house	Near Enderlin
St. Stephen's Episcopal Church	Casselton
Great Northern Freight Warehouse	Fargo
James Holes house	Fargo
Knerr Block, Floyd Block, McHench Building and Webster and Cole Building	Fargo
Union Storage & Transfer Cold Storage Warehouse and Armour Creamery Building	Fargo
Watts Free Library	Leonard
Woodrow Wilson School	Fargo



SUMMARY OF PUBLIC INPUT







SUMMARY OF PUBLIC INPUT

SUMMARY OF PUBLIC INPUT

Public involvement occurred regularly throughout the study process and brought together a diverse set of stakeholders and opinions. The following includes a summary of the public input process.

STUDY REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Six times throughout the process, the project's Study Review Committee met to discuss, review, and refine methods, assumptions, and technical analysis. Members of the SRC included representatives from Cass County (staff and elected officials), Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments, Fargo Cass Public Health, Greater Fargo-Moorhead Economic Development Corporation, Valley Senior Services, Southeast Cass Water Resource District, North Dakota Department of Transportation, and Federal Highway Administration.

TASK FORCE

Three times throughout the process, the project's Task Force met to discuss special topics and technical analysis. Members of the County Task Force included local school districts, township supervisors, Red River Valley and Western Railroad, Cass County Water Resource District, Cass County Extension, NDSU, Casselton Job Development Authority, Cass County Emergency Management, Cass County Historical Society, Cass County Housing Authority, City of Casselton staff, Cass County staff, Cass County elected officials, and Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments.

PERSONNEL WORKSHOPS

Twice throughout the process, the Cass County staff met to discuss technical analysis, policy, and implementation.

Figure 3.1: Discussion at First Public Input Meeting



Included in the personnel workshop were staff from the following departments: Assessor, Auditor, Emergency Management, GIS, Highway, NDSU Extension, Planning, Recorder, Sheriff, Social Services, Veterans Services, and Youth Commission.

KEY COUNTY STAFF MEETINGS

A subset of the Study Review Committee comprised of key county staff, met twice to review and discuss critical aspects of the plan development. The first meeting focused on goals and resulted in the development of the Guiding Principles. The second meeting reviewed and discussed details pertaining to the transportation element of the Plan.

PUBLIC INPUT MEETINGS

Twice throughout the process, the public was asked to review and comment on the plan.

- » At the first meeting, the public identified and prioritized issues. Meetings were held at three locations across the county (Buffalo, West Fargo, and Casselton). Each meeting included a short presentation followed by an open house. Nearly 50 people attended one of the open houses at the first public meeting.
- » At the second meeting, the public was asked to comment and review the draft final plan. The meeting was held at the Cass County Highway Department and included a formal presentation and an open house.

ELECTED OFFICIALS MEETINGS

Throughout the process, multiple meetings were held with elected and appointed officials, including:

- » Planning Commission
- » Township Officers
- » Cass County Board of Commissioners

Figure 3.2: Presentation at First Public Input Meeting




SURVEY SUMMARY

A 20-question survey was also conducted to obtain feedback on a range of issues from people who live and/or work in Cass County. There were 257 completed surveys by respondents representing 27 different local jurisdictions. Since this was not a systematic random sample survey, it's results are not necessarily representative of Cass County. Although the results cannot be considered a referendum, they do offer insight into the issues and opportunities ahead for Cass County. A brief summary of selected survey questions and their responses are shown below. The full survey responses are included in the appendix.

Where do you live and work?

» 85.3 percent of total respondents live and work in Cass County.


Figure 3.3: Survey Question - Where do you live and work?



As Cass County plans for the future, what is the order of importance that should be given to each of the following topics?

- » 58 percent of respondents ranked emergency services and public safety first, second, or third.
- » 45 percent of respondents ranked housing first, second, or third.
- » 38 percent of respondents ranked availability of nutritious and affordable food first, second, or third.


Figure 3.4: Survey Question - What is the order of importance that should be given to each of the following topics?



What are the most pressing issues facing Cass County?

- » Over half (55.2 percent) said safety and crime was one of the most pressing issues.
- » Over one-third (37.1 percent) said affordable housing was one of the most pressing issues.
- » About one-third (33.5 percent) said cost of living was one of the most pressing issues.
- » Other issues listed include transportation infrastructure, growth and land use patterns, and property taxes.


Figure 3.5: Survey Question - What are the most pressing issues facing Cass County?



Please rate your satisfaction with each Housing topic as it relates to Cass County.

- » Around half of respondents felt housing affordability (47.8 percent), housing diversity (55.7 percent), and senior living facilities (50.7 percent) were good.
 - > Around 41 percent of respondents felt housing affordability was poor.
 - > Around 26 percent of respondents felt housing diversity was poor.

Figure 3.6: Survey Question - Please rate your satisfaction with each Housing topic as it relates to Cass County.




SUMMARY OF PUBLIC INPUT

Please rate your satisfaction with each Transportation topic as it relates to Cass County.

- » Overall, most respondents felt transportation in Cass County was good.
- » Around one-third of respondents rated four categories as poor: public transit, transportation options for people with disabilities, bicycle facilities, and bicycle safety.


Figure 3.7: Survey Question - Please rate your satisfaction with each Transportation topic as it relates to Cass County.



Please rate your satisfaction with each Quality of Life topic as it relates to Cass County.

» Around one-third of respondents rated five quality of life issues as very good: employment opportunities, healthcare quality, quality of schools, shopping, and availability of fresh food and basic supplies.


Figure 3.8: Survey Question -Please rate your satisfaction with each Quality of Life topic as it relates to Cass County.



Please rate your satisfaction with each Other topic as it relates to Cass County.

- » Around 60 percent of respondents rated water and sewer infrastructure; water quality; open space and outdoor recreation availability; and quality of natural environment as good.
- » The cost of flood insurance was rated poor by 21 percent of respondents but good by 23 percent of respondents; 40 percent of respondents had no opinion on the cost of flood insurance.

Figure 3.9: Survey Question - Please rate your satisfaction with each Other topic as it relates to Cass County.





VISION AND PRINCIPLES







VISION AND PRINCIPLES

COUNTY PLAN FRAMEWORK

The result of the public input processes was a broad set of topics with specific issues and opportunities to be addressed in the Comprehensive Plan. Collectively, they suggested a framework of three different viewpoints from which to consider the future direction of the County. First, the role and vision for Cass County government service functions. Second, overarching Guiding Principles which are the main goals for the future of Cass County. Third, the key issues which define the most important needs and opportunities facing Cass County. The role, vision, and guiding principles are summarized in this chapter, with the key issues identified in the following chapters on Community Development, Growth Management, and Transportation.

THE ROLE OF CASS COUNTY: COORDINATED, RESPONSIVE AND INNOVATIVE

The new comprehensive plan provides an opportunity for Cass County to develop a new vision of the role of county government. In working with stakeholders from across Cass County and within the county government, a handful of core county functions were identified. Each of these functions involve a broad range of essential services provided by Cass County. Core county functions include:

- » Public Safety (e.g. law enforcement, emergency services, 911, flood risk reduction, etc.)
- » Transportation (e.g. roads, transit, active transportation)
- » Education and Training (e.g. extension services)
- » Social and Human Services (e.g. Veterans Affairs, Health and Human Services)
- » Public Records (e.g. property records, marriage and divorce records)
- » Financial Administration (e.g. tax collection and distribution)

The new vision for Cass County government services is to consciously focus on providing its services in ways that are responding to the changes confronting local governments and citizens alike. This includes an approach where "silos" are avoided and county departments excel at coordinated activities for meeting citizen needs. It includes understanding the underlying needs of citizens so that services can anticipate them. And, it means looking for ways to innovate in order to be coordinated and responsive.

Embracing a Vision

Cass County will focus on improving quality of life for county residents through the delivery of its broad range of services.

The services and programs the county provides are often a "pass through" of state and federal initiatives (and funds). Cass County plays a critical role as an intermediary between state and federal programs and local program implementation. Therefore, the focus for Cass County government is on service delivery models that are efficient. Cass County should aim to be virtual and connected, decentralizing access to core programs and resources.

Government programs and services need to be structured to efficiently provide core services to improve quality of life. The county should focus on integrated and coordinated service delivery models in key areas (e.g. housing, veterans benefits, and transportation).

The county has a large role to play, directly with townships and water resource districts, in the provision and maintenance of transportation and other county infrastructure. Cass County also plays a critical role regarding the delivery of core social service programming (health, welfare, etc.) provided to residents of Cass County.

Cass County government should focus on creating connections between services, programs, and departments. A key role for the county is to support the growth of small towns and rural communities through the provision of leadership and guidance on issues which have a county wide impact. The basis of many county policies need to remain agriculturally focused, with an eye towards the primary sector economy, as well as emerging technologies.

Understanding changing trends and dynamics in core industries (e.g. agribusiness, processing, production, etc.) is critical to the success of small towns and rural communities of Cass County. To prepare the county for change, it should also embrace economic opportunities in the areas of energy and technology.

The county should embrace change. The county should be forward looking to adopt technology to assist its residents and their communities thrive. To ensure all of Cass County can succeed, the government needs clarity of function. This comprehensive plan embraces a vision for the future.

GUIDING PRINCIPLES

The Cass County Comprehensive Plan establishes three *guiding principles* which embody the vision, values, and functions of Cass County government. They serve as building blocks for the development of the comprehensive plan. Each principle provides general guidance for the development and eventual implementation of the plan.

Planners have used the terms livability, resilience, and regionalism for at least two decades. However, to fully understand how they relate to Cass County's issues and opportunities, they must be defined within the specific framework of the county and this comprehensive plan. As such, they are defined below.

LIVABILITY

At its roots, Cass County is made up of people with a broad spectrum of values, needs, living situations, and locations. A fundamental value of Cass County is to serve and enhance the life of each person who lives or works in Cass County, both now and in the future. Livability identifies desirable characteristics of Cass County that support this value. Livability speaks to the quality of life for all people and means endeavoring to enhance the characteristics of the socioeconomic and physical environments in which people live, work, and play. Livability can be described in terms of the following concepts:

- » Sufficient multi-modal transportation choices
- » Equitable and affordable housing
- » Economic opportunities
- » Support for existing communities
- » Healthy and safe communities and public spaces
- » Well maintained and appropriate infrastructure systems
- » Coordination and leveraging of resources

RESILIENCE

Cass County faces existing and future challenges stemming from economic, natural, man-made, and political sources. A fundamental value of Cass County is to become more capable of responding to each of these challenges. Resilience refers to strategies and attitudes that support this value. It speaks to the broad range of challenges to which the County, its communities, residents, and businesses, must respond to survive and thrive both now and in the future. Resilience is the capacity of individuals, communities, institutions, businesses, and systems within Cass County to

survive, adapt, and grow no matter what kinds of chronic stresses and acute shocks are experienced. Increased resilience helps to maintain the Cass County's livability.

REGIONALISM

Cass County is made up of a collection of local governments, and other organizations which serve the residents of the county. To effectively enhance the livability and resilience of the entire county, Cass County government must both lead and collaborate in efforts to build a collective future that supports these values. Regionalism summarizes this strategy. Regionalism speaks to the way Cass County must act to build the future of the County. Regionalism focuses on collaboration between individual jurisdictions and organizations to promote a better quality of life and more effective use of resources while maintaining the uniqueness and independence of each.

TYING IT ALL TOGETHER

These three guiding principles serve as an overarching set of concepts by which to measure and direct the more specific objectives, policies, strategies, and action plans that emerged out of the planning process. It is useful to note that the guiding principles are a nested set of concepts as illustrated in Figure 4.1. Livability is at the heart of the desired future state of Cass County. Resilience establishes ways to ensure livability is maintained. Finally, regionalism recognizes that to effectively achieve livability and resilience, they must be pursued in a collaborative, multi-jurisdictional context.




Figure 4.1: Relationship of Guiding Principles



COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT







COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Cass County is home to 27 incorporated municipalities and many smaller unincorporated places. The guiding principles of this comprehensive plan recognize the importance of encouraging and supporting policies and actions that will help all communities in Cass County become stronger and better able to manage change. Community Development focuses on the socioeconomic aspects of this effort.

Changing conditions have caused many smaller communities to lose population and businesses over the last several decades, but experts point to several key ingredients that can reverse this trend and grow healthy vibrant communities. Schools, limited retail, and public facilities are critical ingredients to resilient communities in Cass County. Communities such as Kindred and Casselton are seeing the benefits of having these features. Even small communities

QUALITY OF LIFE FACILITIES

There is a matrix of quality of life facilities that support livability in communities. The county can look for policies and strategies to help maintain basic access to some or all of these facilities.

- » Post office
- » Schools
- » Bank
- » Religious facilities
- » Grocery/basic retail
- » Community/recreational/social facilities
- » Medical care
- » Restaurants

Figure 5.1: Quality of Life Facilities Matrix



like Buffalo maintain key community ingredients such as a bank, a post office, a volunteer run grocery store, and a restaurant. Other critical ingredients include high speed internet access, community leadership, responsive higher education, and "people attraction strategies."

Limited services in smaller towns increase the need for mobility services. For the aging population, especially in rural areas, the lack of core essential services increases the need for transportation and can reduce their ability to age in place. It is important to identify amenities, services, and facilities in small communities which could reduce travel demand, specifically for vulnerable populations who may be mobility limited. The county may be able to improve access by decentralizing certain public services (when applicable).

People are aggressively community shopping, seeking out schools, housing, and easy commutes. The most attractive features appear to be small school districts and housing affordability. People are choosing to move further out to find affordable housing options; Kindred is one such example. Small towns should continually evaluate a baseline matrix of services that may assist with attracting new businesses and households.

In addition to the critical ingredients noted previously, community development also encompasses a number of other topics:

- » Housing to fit a full spectrum of household income groups, ages, and living situations.
- » Economic development is in many ways synonymous with community development. Economic development and community development disciplines need to collaborate to be effective.
- » Food systems is especially concerned with eliminating food deserts by making sure everyone has ready access to healthy, affordable food.
- » Emergency management is essential to community resilience and managing disruptive changes.
- » Public infrastructure and facilities is about providing the underlying systems to provide for housing, economic development and community growth.
- » Energy is increasingly a community development topic as people seek to use energy more wisely and to lower their carbon footprint. The use of renewable energy sources is a key aspect of this.

Housing


Existing Conditions

In 2015, there were more than 78,200 housing units in Cass County, representing 21.6 percent of all housing units in North Dakota. From 2000 to 2010, the number of housing units grew 26.9 percent; from 2010 to 2015, the number of housing units grew another 14.6 percent. Housing units for Cass County are shown in Figure 5.2.



Age of Housing Stock

In Cass County, the age of the housing stock is well distributed across multiple decades. However, if housing construction continues at its current pace, nearly half (44.1 percent) of the housing stock will have been constructed since 2000. The age of housing units is shown in Figure 5.3, it includes the distribution of housing unit age for Cass



County as a whole, urban Cass County (Fargo and West Fargo), and rural Cass County.

Type of Housing Stock

There are a variety of housing types in Cass County, the most prevalent is the traditional single-family home, which is 41.3 percent of all housing units in the county, followed closely by units in buildings with 20 or more units. This dynamic shifts when Fargo and West Fargo are excluded from the distribution; single-family homes are 83.1 percent of all units in Cass County, outside of Fargo and West Fargo. The distribution of different types of housing units is shown in Figure 5.4.

Figure 5.4: Type of Housing Units Cass County Total 2% 49% 10% **Urban Cass County** 2% **Rural Cass County** 8% 4% 5% Single Family 10 or More Units 2 Units Mobile Homes 3 to 9 Units

38


COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Occupancy and Tenure

In 2015, Cass County's overall vacancy rate was just 6.1 percent. While this is higher than it was in both 2000 (4.6 percent) and 2010 (5.5 percent), it is still less than half of the North Dakota statewide housing vacancy rate of 13.6 percent. The homeowner vacancy rate was less than one percent and the rental vacancy rate was around 5.3 percent.

There were 73,440 occupied housing units in Cass County, almost evenly split between owner occupied (49.8 percent) and renter occupied housing (50.2 percent). However, when Fargo is excluded, the split between owner and renter occupied changes to 71.5 percent and 28.5 percent, respectively. Occupancy and tenure for Cass County is shown in Figure 5.5.

Figure 5.5: Occupancy and Tenure for Cass County Housing Units



Owner Occupied Housing Costs

The median home price in Cass County was \$200,500 in 2015. This represents an increase of 103.8 percent since 2000 and 28.7 percent since 2010. This is slower than the statewide increase of 143.1 percent since 2000 and 47.1 percent since 2010.


The 2015 median home price in Cass County is 10.8 percent higher than the statewide median. Homes valued between \$150,000 to \$200,000 made up about 23 percent of all housing stock in Cass County; homes between \$200,000 and \$300,000 made up about 27 percent of all housing stock in Cass County. In urban Cass County, 49 percent of housing units are between \$150,000 and \$300,000, comparable to Cass County. In rural Cass County, 38 percent of homes are valued between \$200,000 and \$299,999. This is likely due to the high home costs in places like Harwood and Reiles Acres and homes on large lots. Distribution of home prices is shown in Figure 5.6.

Based on the median income of Cass County, an affordable home would cost around \$160,000, yet just under 32 percent of homes were valued at that level or below.



Renter Occupied Housing Costs

The median rental price in Cass County was \$756 in 2015. This represents an increase of 63.3 percent since 2000 and 22.5 percent since 2010. Rent in Cass County increased at a slower rate than the statewide average, which increased 88.1 percent since 2000 and 32.9 percent since 2010. Additionally, the 2015 median rent in Cass County is 2.5 percent lower than the statewide average. This is compared against 2010, when median rent in Cass County was 5.8 percent higher than the statewide average. The distribution of rent asked is shown in Figure 5.7.



Rent of \$500 to \$999 is the highest percentage of all rental units in urban and rural areas of Cass County; 17 percent of rural units have rent between \$1,500 and \$1,999, which is a much higher proportion than urban Cass County.


There have been more than 6,200 new rental units built in Cass County since 2010. Based on the 2015 ACS estimates, units with rent less than \$500 decreased more than 42 percent, while rental units between \$1,000 and \$1,500 increased more than 49 percent. This increase in rents likely indicates that rents less than \$500 have been pushed upward due to low supply in combination with increased construction of "luxury" rental units.

Based on the median income of Cass County, an affordable rental unit would cost between \$1,400 to \$2,000. More than 90 percent of rental units are at or below \$1,500.

Affordability

The threshold for affordable housing is typically set at 30 percent of the household income. In 2015, 39 percent of renters and 19 percent of homeowners in Cass County were paying more than 30 percent of their income on housing

Figure 5.8: Housing Costs as Percentage of Income



(Figure 5.8). Statewide, 39 percent of renters and 16 percent of homeowners paid 30 percent or more of their income for housing.

Since 2010, the percent of people paying 30 percent or more on housing increased in Cass County from 18 percent of homeowners and 38 percent of renters to 19 percent and 39 percent respectively.

However, at the state level, the percent of people paying 30 percent or more on housing decreased from 19 percent of homeowners and 40 percent of renters to 16 percent and 39 percent, respectively. The downward trend statewide is likely associated with decreased commodity prices, changing the demand for labor, and thus housing for those workers. However, the strong economy in Cass County, and specifically the Fargo-Moorhead metropolitan area, continues to put pressure on the housing market.

Group Housing Quarters

Group housing is a place where people live or stay in a group living arrangement owned or managed by an entity to provide housing and services for residents. This typically includes adult and juvenile correctional facilities, nursing/skilled nursing facilities, dormitories, and military quarters. As of 2010, Cass County had more than 5,000 people in group quarters as shown in Figure 5.9; 98 percent of which are in Fargo.

Figure 5.9: Group Housing

Other

College/University Student Housing

Nursing Facilities

Adult or Juvenile Correction Facilities

40

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Housing Authority of Cass County

The Housing Authority of Cass County began in 1951, making it the oldest housing authority in North Dakota. They currently operate public housing in West Fargo, Casselton, and Kindred and administer the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program.

- » The Housing Authority manages 213 units in West Fargo (143 public housing units and 18 tax credit units for seniors), 40 units in Casselton (public housing), and 12 units in Kindred (public housing). The wait list for these units depend on the location. The West Fargo units have a wait list of 12 to 24 months, depending on the unit size, while Casselton has a vacancy rate of five percent and Kindred eight percent.
- » The Housing Authority also assists 353 units with Section 8 rental assistance. The wait list has been closed for two years.

The Housing Authority is in the process of developing a master plan to replace 60 of its aging public housing units developed for the elderly (efficiency and one bedroom) in West Fargo and to replace 24 family units (two, three, and four bedroom) with new townhouse style units.

Recently Completed Studies City of Fargo Housing Study

In September 2015, the City of Fargo completed a comprehensive housing study. While its focus was the City of Fargo, there are important themes for the County. These include an increase in single-family home values and higher demand, but lower supply for single-family housing. Based on the expected growth for the City of Fargo, this housing study recommended annual construction needs to meet the demand through 2020.

- » The study found around 590 to 640 rental units per year would be necessary through 2020, with many needed in the low to moderate income range (less than \$900 a month). Expanding rental assistance, subsidized housing, and tax credits would improve affordability for very low and low income households.
- » The study found around 540 to 600 owner occupied units per year would be necessary through 2020, 25 percent of these are likely to be single-family attached units. From 2010 through 2014, Fargo has only constructed an average of 305 single-family detached and around 100 single-family attached units. Since 2010, West Fargo has averaged 330 single-family units (detached and attached). While West Fargo may have been able to support Fargo's housing needs in the interim, they are reaching full build-out.

Based on this analysis, there are opportunities for smaller communities in Cass County to fill the gap in housing.

- » Smaller communities with schools and other basic services may be able to attract demand for affordable rental and owner occupied housing.
- » Owner occupied housing will continue to lean towards attached housing units like twin homes and independent senior living. Attracting this market segment will require a broader mix of housing choices.

While the rural areas of Cass County, are unlikely to attract a significant portion of the rental demand in Fargo, there are likely opportunities for additional single-family housing. Small communities like Horace and Casselton are likely to see the most growth, based on the recently completed Demographic Forecast Study.

North Dakota Statewide Housing Needs Assessment

In September 2016, the Housing Finance Agency (HFA) completed the Statewide Housing Needs Assessment which included a county level analysis of housing needs to 2029. The needs identified for Cass County are primarily based on the increase of first-time homebuyers and elderly homebuyers, cohorts which are expected to increase 26.0 and 92.1 percent respectively from 2014 to 2029. The statewide assessment estimated a need of new households between 1,610 and 2,780 annually across the county and across all income levels. It is likely that half or more of these units will occur in Fargo.

The assessment also identified a lack of affordable housing across the state, but specifically in Cass County. Figure 5.10 shows the percent of owner-occupied housing units that are affordable to specific income levels. While the Median Family Incomes (MFI) are different for North Dakota (\$69,600) and Cass County (\$72,100), the distribution remains relevant. Affordable housing stock is scarce for extremely low (30 percent or less of the MFI), very low (31 to 50 percent MFI) and low-income (51 to 80 percent) households in Cass County where affordable home purchase prices are around \$42,000, \$70,000, and \$113,000, respectively. These purchase prices assume a 30-year fixed loan at four percent interest, five percent down payment, and payment no more than 20 percent of income. Even at the highest income levels (140 percent of MFI), only 79.6 percent of homes are considered affordable.

Figure 5.10 also shows the percent of renter-occupied housing units that are affordable to specific income levels, based on the same MFI. Again, affordable rental housing stock is scarce for the extremely low income household, but it improves for most other income levels. At the lower moderate income levels (81 to 115 percent MFI) and higher, approximately 90 percent of all rental housing units are considered affordable.

Regional Workforce Study

Despite the high population growth, during the last decade Fargo-Moorhead's economy has also grown tremendously. The unemployment rate has remained under five percent, typically considered full employment. Therefore, workforce has emerged as a key challenge for the region. As a result of this ongoing issue, the Greater Fargo Moorhead Economic Development Corporation has led an initiative to recruit, retain, and develop talent to support business growth in the region. A Regional Workforce Study was completed in 2015 to identify the key factors needed to address the workforce need.

One of the key findings of this study was the understanding that approximately 45 percent of the current and short-term workforce need was for workers that fit the lower wage occupational brackets. To attract and retain these workers, the three critical pillars of childcare, transportation, and affordable housing must be addressed. The affordable housing pillar is important because vacancy rates of affordable rental housing seem to be very low, the average rental rate is climbing, home ownership is increasingly out of reach, and new construction is mostly market rate.




Figure 5.10: Percent of Units Affordable at Specific Income Levels

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Issues and Opportunities What We Heard Issue

There is continued real demand for rural, small town residential living. Some towns in Cass County are seeing significant housing growth. Lower costs (property taxes, special assessments, etc.) and available land in small communities adds to the attractiveness of these communities. Longer commutes can reduce attractiveness of lower cost small town housing. However, fuel prices have stabilized substantially in recent years reducing the perceived risk of longer distance commuting by automobile.

Housing is a critical issue facing Cass County. Several issues regarding housing in Cass County will require partnerships among agencies: affordability issues for multiple demographics and a variable mix of housing types in Cass County, specifically small towns. Niche groups such as veterans and the elderly face challenges to finding affordable housing through non-metro Cass County.

Opportunity

Efforts are needed to ensure communities and agencies in Cass County are utilizing State and Federal programs to support rural affordable housing. Enhanced coordination is needed among non-profit groups who have a potential role to support rural housing. Additionally, there is a lack of private sector interest in affordable housing due to low profit margin.

A key component to preserving good life cycle housing in Cass County is ensuring existing housing stock is maintained in good repair through continual and gradual investment. These efforts are typically spurred through rehabilitation programs. However, these programs are best supported through public-private partnerships, such as the Neighborhood Revitalization Initiative (NRI) program in the City of Fargo.

Overall, better data is needed on housing demand (type, price, etc.) to assist small communities make decisions on housing development needs. These data sets could be provided through the development of a County wide housing market needs study. The development of a county wide housing needs study and market analysis would likely include a partnership of key stakeholders including: Cass County, Fargo Housing Authority, Cass County Housing Authority, the Greater Fargo-Moorhead EDC, FM Area Foundation, among others.

Housing Affordability Issue

Affordable housing is not a metropolitan Fargo and West Fargo issue. It is a critical issue for all the communities of Cass County to maintain a local workforce and growing economy. A multi-faceted approach to housing is needed in order to meet present and future housing Cass County needs. The current and anticipated economic growth of Cass County needs to be supported by a full spectrum of housing opportunities. From a household income standpoint, this includes:

- » Emergency Shelters
- » Public Housing and Section 8 Rental Assistance
- » Workforce Housing
- » Market Rate Rentals and Homes
- » Luxury Homes and Second Homes

There is a very strong housing construction industry in the Fargo-Moorhead region. This industry is very good at meeting upscale housing and even at meeting middle income housing. But the market is not meeting the full spectrum of housing needs. In the single-family market, this means new homes that typically sell for \$300,000 or more. But there is a significant shortage of lower cost new homes being built.

The affordable housing spectrum includes more than single family housing. It also includes homeless shelters, short-term rental assistance, rental-reduction programs, low-income tax credit, a variety of HUD and USDA programs, and various programs aimed at supporting and increasing home-ownership.

Opportunity

No one housing style or price point can supply the needs of every worker, so a range of rental and owner options, as well as different styles and price points are needed. Although there are some options for alternative housing in rural communities, there appears to be a need to support facilities like the Arthur Good Samaritan Center which could help local senior citizens remain in their communities. Additionally, the current mix of housing alternatives in Cass County does not include:

- » Community Land Trust
- » Live/Work Housing
- » Tiny Homes
- » Other housing types often termed the "missing middle"

As an outgrowth of the Regional Workforce Study, the FM Area Foundation has been leading efforts to identify solutions to the affordable housing issue. While the exact number of affordable housing units has not been quantified, estimates have ranged between 1,000 and 3,000 units are needed in the short term. While much discussion about the issues has occurred, there are some critical roadblocks that need to be overcome:

- » Lower income households often lack the capacity for a typical mortgage down-payment
- » Poorer credit rating or lack of credit rating
- » Limited availability of lower cost housing

Further complicating private sector development of affordable housing are new changes to North Dakota law. These changes allow counties and school districts to opt out of tax breaks granted by cities within their boundaries. In the past, the County has typically only used tax incentives for business development, but now will have a role in evaluating and approving/denying tax credits for affordable housing. The County will need to establish criteria, guidance, and/or policy to address low income housing tax credits.

Strategies and Policies

Potential approaches to address housing include the following:

- » Develop a Community Land Trust (CLT) similar to ones already established in Grand Forks and Minot. A regional approach would allow a wider base of potential housing locations that fit the CLT model.
- » If cities own vacant lots or very low value properties, they may be potentially part of the mix for an affordable workforce housing project.
- » Broaden the role of the Cass County Housing Authority to include Redevelopment and Rehabilitation programs.
- » Utilizing TIF programs to encourage and support investment in affordable workforce housing properties.
- » Employer, Philanthropic, and Religious contributions to support/match targeted affordable workforce housing projects.
- » Housing levy dedicated to affordable workforce housing projects.
- » Prioritize affordable workforce housing projects for the use of CDBG funds.
- » Encourage development of small city Renaissance Zones to further incentivize housing rehabilitation and redevelopment.
- » Work closely with ND HFA and the Bank of North Dakota to capitalize on other funding resources.
- » Establish a clearinghouse that provides information on affordable housing strategies and programs and connects affordable housing opportunities with financial capability services.
- » Task force to prepare for and potentially take advantage of Diversion labor force housing issue.
- » Encourage and support completion of a County-wide needs analysis with a strong emphasis on affordable workforce housing.
- » Establish guidance on the approval of low income housing tax credits.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

The value of incorporating economic development into comprehensive planning is becoming increasingly significant. Economic Development as a discipline has changed dramatically since the 1960s and 1970s. This is due in part to changes in technology and in the increasingly globalized economy. Technology changes have resulted in reductions in human power needed for production in areas such as agriculture, manufacturing, and financial services. Technology has also resulted in the capacity for vastly more interconnected economic activity. Additionally, due to changes in national policy and technology, many former United States industries and jobs have shifted to other parts of the world.

Collectively, these and other factors have resulted in a shift in economic development from chasing a single major employer to provide jobs and a solid economic base for a community to a much more diversified approach to economic stability and growth. The role of land use planning that responded to the "smokestack chaser" approach was primarily about providing the land and infrastructure such a large employer would need to establish a business location in the community. Today, economic development is more multi-faceted. Instead of focusing on landing a large primary sector employer, the increasingly popular concept of economic gardening is about supporting economic growth of businesses and business sectors already in a community.

A robust economic development strategy includes at least nine components. There needs to be land (and sometimes, buildings) for economic activity to happen. There needs to be infrastructure to support the buildings and land. And economic activity requires people to do the work. Oftentimes, financing and/or incentives are needed to encourage the location or expansion of economic activity. Attracting or maintaining an adequate workforce includes factors which lead to a high quality of life in the community. Housing is often a critical factor in determining whether the workforce is attracted or maintained. Childcare is often another critical factor in determining whether the needed workforce can be attracted or maintained. Sometimes, incubator facilities, technical assistance, or other types of business assistance are important to encouraging or supporting startups or expansions. And, it is seldom that a single entity or organization is able to do all of this on their own. Instead it is important to develop partnerships that allow a team approach to building and maintaining a strong economic development environment.


Economic Gardening

There are two meanings of economic gardening in common usage. The generalized meaning of economic gardening is "an entrepreneurial approach to economic development that seeks to grow the local economy from within." In this generalized meaning, any effort to encourage or support the growth of home-grown business is economic gardening. This may mean providing incubator space for a start-up business, working within a community to increase the availability of childcare and affordable housing. Or it could be initiating a buy local marketing campaign. ⁵

Second, it means a very specific effort to "target second-stage companies already operating in a community. It helps these existing businesses grow larger by assisting them with strategic issues and providing them with customized research." This specific approach was developed by Chris Gibbons in response to a major workforce reduction by a large employer in Littleton, Colorado. "Economic Gardening specialists leverage sophisticated corporate databases, geographic information systems, SEO and Web marketing tools to help these businesses..." ⁶

Existing Conditions Employment

In 2016, Cass County had 116,906 jobs in all sectors of the economy. Despite a statewide decline in jobs since 2014, Cass County has seen a continual and steady increase in employment since 2010, increasing around 2.8 percent each year. Cass County represents 28.0 percent of all jobs in the state of North Dakota. Total employment is shown in Figure 5.11.



Employment by Sector

There are more than 20 industries within Cass County's economy. However, the top six industries by employment, shown in Table 5.1, account for nearly half of the total employment in the county.

Table 5.1: Top Industries in Cass County

Industry	2016 Employment	% of Total Jobs	% Growth Since 2010
Health Care and Social Assistance	17,399	14.9%	29.8%
Retail Trade	13,323	11.4%	8.1%
Government (Local, State and Federal)	12,861	11.0%	11.2%
Accommodation and Food Services	10,382	8.9%	12.9%
Manufacturing	8,583	7.3%	10.1%
Finance and Insurance	8,363	7.2%	29.3%

These top five industries do not necessarily represent the fastest growing industries in Cass County. The fastest growing industries in Cass County are shown in Table 5.2.

Table 5.2: Fastest Growing Industries in Cass County

Industry	2016 Employment	% of Total Jobs	% Growth Since 2010
Construction	8,291	7.1%	46.3%
Health Care and Social Assistance	17,399	14.9%	29.8%
Professional and Technical Services	6,149	5.3%	29.4%
Finance and Insurance	8,363	7.2%	29.3%
Educational Services	410	0.4%	27.3%

Top Employers

The top ten employers in Cass County hold more than 21,665 full-time jobs or job equivalents (i.e. two part-time jobs equals one full-time job equivalent). All are located in Fargo.

- » Sanford (6,665)
- » North Dakota State University (4,238)
- » Essentia Health (2,540)
- » Fargo Public Schools (1,816)
- » West Fargo Public Schools (1,432)
- » Fargo VA System (1,114)
- » Microsoft (1,000)
- » Noridian Healthcare Solutions (958)
- » U.S. Bank Service Center (955)
- » City of Fargo (948)

The largest rural employers are not available.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Competitiveness

Additional analysis was completed to understand competitiveness of Cass County's industries. Both the location quotient and shift share analysis attempt to identify industries that have a competitive advantage in Cass County due to unique characteristics.

Location Quotient

Location quotient analysis compares a region (Cass County) to a larger reference region (North Dakota) to understand how clustered an industry is in a region. Industries with a high location quotient have a competitive advantage in the region and bring money into the region. For Cass County, the most competitive industries are:

- » Manufacturing
- » Wholesale Trade
- » Information
- » Finance and Insurance
- » Real Estate and Rental and Leasing
- » Professional and Technical Services
- » Management of Companies and Enterprises
- » Administrative and Waste Services
- » Health Care and Social Assistance
- » Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation
- » Accommodation and Food Services

Shift Share

Shift-share analysis determines how much of the regional (Cass County) job growth is attributed to national trends and how much can be attributed to unique regional factors. It is based on three components:

- » National growth: the contribution national employment trends have on regional employment trends
- » Industry mix: the difference between local and national employment mix
- » Regional shift: the change in regional employment due to unique characteristics specific to the region

The shift-share analysis identified the following industries as basic industries:

- » Construction
- » Wholesale Trade
- » Management of Companies and Enterprises
- » Health Care and Social Assistance

Summary of Competitiveness


Both the location quotient and shift-share analysis pointed to competitive advantages for multiple industries in Cass County including Wholesale Trade; Information; Finance and Insurance; Health Care and Social Assistance; and Management of Companies and Enterprises.

While these industries are heavily influenced by activities in Fargo and West Fargo, there are likely opportunities to expand these industries into the county.

LABOR MARKET

A regional workforce study was completed in June 2015 for the greater Fargo-Moorhead area.


- » Labor Shed. The labor shed represents the geographic area where the Fargo-Moorhead metropolitan area gets its workforce. The laborshed for the Fargo-Moorhead area includes workers throughout an 11-county area. More than 25,000 people commute from these areas to the Fargo-Moorhead metropolitan area for work.
- » Labor Demand. This workforce study identified 6,700 open jobs in the Fargo-Moorhead metropolitan area in 2014 and projected more than 30,000 openings over the next five years. Barriers to employment in the area include affordable housing, childcare, and transportation. The authors of the study also cited talent attraction and retention from other areas of the country and a skills mismatch as difficulties in filling these jobs.



Unemployment

Since 2011, unemployment in Cass County and North Dakota has been significantly lower than the national rate, which has ranged from 4.9 percent in 2016 to 8.9 percent in 2011, shown in Figure 5.13. While unemployment in North Dakota been ticking upwards since 2014, unemployment in Cass County has leveled off around 2.3 percent since 2014.

Full employment is the level of employment where all those who are willing and able to work are working. This level is typically around three to five percent. Cass County has been well below this rate for many years, resulting in many unfilled jobs.



Wages

In 2016, Cass County had total wages exceed \$5.6 billion. On average, Cass County's wages grew 6.2 percent annually since 2010, slower than the statewide average of 7.3 percent annual growth. However, looking at just 2015 and 2016, Cass County has still experienced positive increases in total wages of 5.4 percent and 1.6 percent, respectively while statewide, total wages have declined 2.0 percent and 8.0 percent respectively. In 2016, wages in Cass County were 27.5 percent of total wages in North Dakota. Total wages in Cass County are shown in Figure 5.14.



Wages by Industry

Wages in the top five industries accounted for 55.7 percent of total wages in Cass County, as shown in Table 5.3.

Table 5.3: Wages by Industry

Industry	2016 Wages	% of Total Wages	% Growth Since 2010
Health Care and Social Assistance	\$922.6 M	17%	49%
Government	\$676.7 M	12%	26%
Finance and Insurance	\$523.4 M	9%	57%
Wholesale Trade	\$519.9 M	9%	38%
Construction	\$479.4 M	9%	91%

Taxable Sales and Purchases

Taxable sales are the sum of all sales tax applied to all taxable sales in Cass County under North Dakota law.


Taxable purchases are purchases of taxable items or services purchased by businesses for business use or contractors installing taxable goods into real property of businesses when the seller was not required to collect sales tax. The sum of these two represent the total sales of taxable items in Cass County and is useful as a proxy of overall economic activity in the County. It is a component of Gross Domestic Product. It does not, however, account for retail service activity which is also a significant component of the overall economic activity of the County.

Since 2010, Cass County's taxable sales and purchases have increased 18.9 percent. This is slightly lower than the

overall North Dakota taxable sales and purchases, which have increased 22.6 percent during the same time period. In 2016, Cass County's taxable sales and purchases were 17.3 percent of North Dakota's taxable sales and purchases. Taxable sales and purchases are shown in Figure 5.15.

Since 2010, Taxable Sales and Purchases in Fargo and West Fargo have accounted for around 97.0 percent of total Cass County taxable sales and purchases.

Figure 5.15: Total Taxable Sales and Purchases (In Millions)




Gross Domestic Product

The gross domestic product (GDP) of an area is the total value of goods produced and services provided in a given time period. GDP is available for the Cass County, North Dakota and Clay County, Minnesota metropolitan statistical area by the Federal Reserve Bank. Since 2011, GDP for the metro area has more than doubled, from \$6.7 billion in 2011 to \$15.4 billion in 2015.

In 2015, the largest industries as a percent of GDP were finance, insurance, and real estate; wholesale trade; professional services; and educational and health care services, as shown in Figure 5.16. Healthcare is the largest industry both in employment and wages. Finance also appears in the top industries for employees and wages.

Figure 5.16: Largest Industries as a Percent of GDP




Agriculture

Agriculture is a major component of Cass County's economy. In 2012, there were 968 farms holding more than 1.1 million acres. This is up from 913 farms and 1.04 million acres in 2007.

In 2012, the median acreage of farms in Cass County was 485 acres, but there are still 389 farms holding 1,000 acres or more. Cass County farms produced \$567.1 million in agricultural products in 2012, more than twice the 2007 value of \$267.9 million. Number of farms by acreage is shown in Figure 5.17.

Nearly all (96.8 percent) agricultural products sold in Cass County in 2012 were crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops, up from 94.1 percent in 2007. However, 88.8 percent of all agricultural products sold were just three crops: corn (46.1 percent), wheat (7.2 percent), and soybeans (35.6 percent). In addition to these three major crops, barley has seen a significant increase in acreage harvested and bushels produced. Top crops are shown in Table 5.4. Crop cover is shown in Figure 5.18.

Livestock was just 3.2 percent of all agricultural products sold in Cass County in 2012, compared to 5.9 percent in 2007. The cattle inventory and value of sales has remained



fairly stable since 2007, however, the inventory of hogs has decreased by nearly half, while the value of the hogs sold has remained fairly stable. Top livestock are shown in Table 5.5.


Table 5.4: Top Crops in Cass County

	2007		2012			2016			
Crop	Acres Harvested (Thousand)	Bushels (Million)	% of Ag Products Sold	Acres Harvested (Thousand)	Bushels (Million)	% of Ag Products Sold	Acres Harvested (Thousand)	Bushels (Million)	% of Ag Products Sold
Corn	238.0	28.2	31.2%	347.7	44.9	46.1%	291.5	53.4	NA
Wheat	182.0	6.3	14.5%	95.2	5.3	7.2%	94.9	6.4	NA
Soybeans	413.5	13.4	37.4%	487.5	16.1	35.6	476.0	21.6	NA
Barley	6.1	0.3	0.3%	10.9	0.7	0.8%	13.7	1.2	NA


Table 5.5: Top Livestock in Cass County

	2007		2012		2015	
Livestock	Inventory	% of Ag Products Sold	Inventory	% of Ag Products Sold	Inventory	% of Ag Products Sold
Cattle	12,014	3.8%	13,881	1.7%	12,600	NA
Hogs	9,014	0.7%	4,657	0.3%	4,800	NA
Sheep	2,074	NA	1,985	0.02%	2,000	NA

Figure 5.18: Crop Cover



Cass County Crop Cover



Issues and Opportunities

A critical focus of economic development in Cass County has been the Workforce Initiative undertaken by five partners: Greater Fargo Moorhead Economic Development Corporation, Fargo-Moorhead Visitors Bureau, Fargo Moorhead West Fargo Chamber of Commerce, FM Area Foundation, and the United Way of Cass and Clay counties. This initiative documented the tremendous need for more employees in the greater Fargo Moorhead area, and identified key strategies to attract and retain them.

In addition to the Greater Fargo Moorhead Economic Development Corporation, there are four other active economic development organizations in Cass County.

- » Casselton Job Development Authority
- » Buffalo Economic Improvement
- » Arthur Job Development Corporation
- » City of West Fargo Economic Development

What We Heard

Communities may benefit from guidance on economic development strategies similar to that which Casselton is receiving through the Greater Fargo Moorhead EDC. Small communities may need more help in understanding community infrastructure needs, expansion concepts needed to manage growth, and help in planning to manage growth. Additionally, the focus from the State of North Dakota on Main Streets is also appropriate to integrate into the Cass County Comprehensive Plan.

Main Street Initiative

To maximize the rural character, historic nature, and critical economic role of town centers of communities in Cass County, the comprehensive plan should embrace key elements of the Main Street Initiative being supported by the State of North Dakota. The focus statewide with the Main Street Initiative has been on larger communities (with populations greater 5,000). The Main Streets and downtowns of small communities in Cass County are no less important, and in fact are key growth points for each of these communities.

One priority of North Dakota Governor Burgum has been the Main Street Initiative. The overall objective of this initiative is to build a North Dakota that is resilient and equipped to take on the challenges of the 21st century. The three pillars of this initiative are a skilled workforce; smart, efficient infrastructure; and healthy, vibrant communities. These three pillars are consistent with the guiding principles of the comprehensive plan: Livability, Resilience, and Regionalism. Livability includes the concepts of appropriate infrastructure systems and healthy communities that are centers of social and economic activity. Resilience includes the concepts of households, communities, businesses, and workforces that are equipped for the natural and economic challenges of the future. Regionalism recognizes developing a skilled workforce and smart, efficient infrastructure happens more effectively with a collaborative approach.

The Main Street Initiative encourages community leaders, entrepreneurs, students, and interested citizens to work strategically to capitalize on their community's strengths and make sound planning decisions to position their community for a vibrant future. The initiative recognizes that for communities to survive and thrive in the 21st century economy, they must attract and retain workers who are prepared to meet the challenges of a changing economy, that in order to effectively attract families and workers, communities need to continue to become unique places brimming with activity and spaces that offer a high quality of life and a diverse business environment. To successfully achieve this, the initiative recognizes the need of communities to make smart infrastructure investments that meet the needs of businesses and households in cost efficient ways so that they can afford to remain in the communities.

The Main Street Initiative is not a new financial assistance program for North Dakota local governments. Instead it is a reframing of existing North Dakota government policies, practices, and funding mechanisms to encourage local governments, businesses, and citizens to think carefully about how to accomplish the Initiative's vision.

Some key concepts embodied in the Initiative are:

- » Encouraging infill development and higher density development to result in a better return on infrastructure investment.
- » Carefully evaluating investment in new infrastructure to ensure that it will pay for itself.
- » Recognizing that the reasons people pick a certain job now commonly have more to do with the community where the employee will live than the job itself.
- » Encouraging a new approach to economic development that focuses on creating the kind of community and workplace environment that will attract the worker of today and tomorrow.

» Strengthening capacity to train the needed workforce for constantly changing, increasingly technical careers.

Economic Development Framework

There is general consent for the creation of a county wide economic development framework. The intent of the framework relates to evolving past and current economic practices to meet emerging trends. The framework also requires the county and existing communities respect emerging trends by investing in and embracing new technologies. Look for ways to build clear economic development strategies that are tailored to unique communities/geographies within Cass County. Small towns seek a vision, not a mandate in the area of economic development.

The economic development framework needs to be broad enough to account for the diverse nature of Cass County. It also requires flexibility to allow for the uniqueness of the small towns diversity within the County.

Small towns often lack some of the essential services (medical, retail, etc.) creating need for mobility between rural and urban Cass County. However, opportunities may exist to establish more day to day core retail needs in smaller communities. The Amazon effect and the general proximity of major retail centers in Fargo/West Fargo, make it difficult for small shops to survive, especially without adapting or developing synergies. The county may need to provide technical assistance to help rural communities build capacity and provide limited basic community and consumer services. Rural communities need to develop strategies to attract services and amenities to provide more of the day to day services necessary for its residents.

A key cornerstone of an overall economic development strategy in rural Cass County must account for critical information technology infrastructure. Work to ensure adequate cellular and internet services are available county wide.

Strategies and Policies

Potential approaches to address economic development include the following:

- » Encourage rural communities to evaluate community investment to be sure it will pay for itself, and to consider what their true needs are instead of relying on past approaches.
- » Encourage and support rural communities efforts to enhance the quality of life through local initiatives.
- » Provide technical assistance by inviting rural communities to participate with existing collaboration meetings of the Casselton JDA and the GFMEDC.
- » Act as a repository of best practices materials and case studies – housing, childcare, infrastructure investments, strategic planning, etc.
- » Initiate and host a community development workshop for all small communities in Cass County that highlights opportunities to obtain assistance and plan for their future, including the concepts discussed in Rewriting the Rural Narrative and the Main Street Initiative.
- » Lead efforts to ensure all of Cass County has access to high speed internet.
- » Participate in local and regional efforts to create new ways to address affordable workforce housing.
- » Support and encourage participation in the National Center for Economic Gardening.

FOOD SYSTEMS


An emerging issue across the country, and in Cass County, is a renewed focus on the food system. Food systems and public health is a broad topic area representing a range of emerging issues related to production, distribution, and access to food within our communities and the impact it has on overall well being. Cass County is currently on the forefront of food systems work in North Dakota through its ongoing partnership with Clay County and Metro COG.

The food system incorporates all aspects of food production, distribution, consumption, and waste recovery (Figure 5.19). There are multiple areas a comprehensive plan can address in regards to the food system, including but not limited to agricultural land preservation, land use rights, and food related policies and programs.

Key inputs and guidance for the Cass County food system include:

- » Economic Development influence development and expansion of local food systems by positively impacting the local marketplace.
- » Food Access increase ease, availability, affordability, and accessibility of safe and nutritious food to all residents.
- » Food Infrastructure facilitate use of local foods among producers, consumers, and institutions throughout the local food system.
- » Outreach and Education improve promotion, production, purchase, preparation, and presentation of local foods.
- » Urban Agriculture influence public policy decisions to support improvement of local food systems and local food production.

Figure 5.19: Complete Food System



Existing Conditions

Building health considerations into policy planning can help improve general health and well-being, as well as individual and community resilience and sustainability. The following indicators begin to identify basic indicators of general health and well being in Cass County.

General Health Reporting

In the 2015 Behavior Risk Factor Surveillance Survey, respondents are asked to self-report their overall health, physical health, and mental health. In general, Cass County adults have better overall health and fewer physically and mentally unhealthy days when compared against North Dakota and the United States (Table 5.6).

Table 5.6: Cass County General Health Reporting

Metric	Cass County	North Dakota	US
Adults Reporting Fair or Poor Health	11%	13%	16%
Physically Unhealthy Days in Past 30 Days	2.6	3	3.8
Mentally Unhealthy Days in Past 30 Days	2.8	3.3	3.8

Obesity and Chronic Disease

Like the rest of the nation, Cass County residents face public health challenges, including chronic disease, diabetes, and obesity issues. In Cass County, 65 percent of Cass County residents are overweight or obese and eight percent of adults have been diagnosed with diabetes. This is a lower instance of overweight and obese and diabetes than the statewide average and the national average (Table 5.7).

Table 5.7: Cass County Obesity and Chronic Disease

Metric	Cass County	North Dakota	US
Overweight	36%	36%	36%
Obese	29%	31%	30%
Diabetes	8%	9%	10%

Health Behaviors Contributing to Disease

Specific behaviors like physical activity, fruit and vegetable consumption, drinking and smoking habits, and more contribute to overall wellbeing. In Cass County, 19 percent of adults do no leisure time physical activity, which is lower than the State of North Dakota and the United States as a whole. Just 16 percent of Cass County residents consume the recommended five servings of fruits and vegetables each day, which is higher than the statewide average but lower than the national average. Smoking prevalence among Cass County adults is lower than the statewide and national average, but binge drinking behaviors are higher in Cass County than in the state and nation (Table 5.8).

Table 5.8: Cass County Health Behaviors

Metric	Cass County	North Dakota	US
Adults Who Do No Leisure Time Physical Activity	19%	23%	26%
Adults Who Consume 5 Servings of Fruits and Vegetables Each Day	16%	14%	23%
Adults Who Smoke	15%	19%	17%
Adults Who Binge Drink	26%	25%	17%

Access to Health Care

Access to health care is a combination of transportation issues and provider availability. Transportation issues for Cass County are discussed in greater detail in the Transportation Chapter. On average, Cass County has better access to primary care physicians, dentists, and mental health providers than North Dakota and the United States. Cass County also has fewer preventable hospital stays and uninsured residents than the state and nation on average. Provider availability as well as preventable hospital stays and the uninsured rate is shown in Table 5.9.

Table 5.9: Cass County Access to Health Care

Metric	Cass County	North Dakota	US
Primary Care Physician Ratio	890:1	1,280:1	1,330:1
Dentists Ratio	1,350:1	1,630:1	1,520:1
Mental Health Provider Ratio	410:1	640:1	500:1
Preventable Hospital Stays per 1,000 Medicare Enrollees	35	46	59
Uninsured	8%	9%	11%

Physical Environment Indicators

Clean air and water, along with commuting and housing patterns provide insight into the physical or built environment and its impacts on public health. In Cass County, there were no drinking water violations reported, but air pollution (density of fine particulate matter per cubic meter) was 1.5 micrograms higher than the statewide average and 1.3 micrograms higher than the national average, however it is still well below the 12 microgram level set by national standards. There are also more severe housing problems in Cass County than the statewide average, but fewer when compared to the US as a whole. Severe housing problems are defined as percentage of households with at least one housing problem: overcrowding, high housing costs, lack of kitchen, or lack of plumbing. Physical environment indicators are shown in Table 5.10.

Table 5.10: Cass County Physical Environment Indicators

Metric	Cass County	North Dakota	US
Air Pollution	9.0	7.5	7.7
Drinking Water Violations	0	5	1,593
Severe Housing Problems	14%	11%	19%

Food System Indicators

The following food system indicators provide a brief snapshot on county food access, availability and security, producers and processing activities.

Food Access, Availability, and Security

Food security is typically defined as the ability to obtain enough food to lead an active healthy lifestyle, which incorporates food access (the ability to obtain culturally appropriate foods for a nutritious diet) and food availability (sufficient quantities of food on a consistent basis). Many of these issues are tied to socioeconomic status, emergency food access, and availability.

Food Assistance

In June 2017, eight percent of Cass County households received Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program benefits. There are 16 food pantries in Fargo alone. No data is currently available for the number of food pantries in rural Cass County. For calendar year 2016, 11 percent of individuals in Cass and Clay counties used the Great Plains Food Bank emergency feeding network; 38 percent of these individuals were children.

Food Access

As of 2012, there were 21 grocery stores in Cass County, 3 supercenters and club stores, 54 convenience stores, and 10 specialized food stores. Of these stores, 77 accepted SNAP.

Across Cass County, availability of retail grocery varies depending on location. While multiple retail grocery stores existing within Fargo and West Fargo, other rural communities also have full or limited retail grocery stores: Kindred, Casselton, Buffalo, Arthur, and Page. Additional communities have convenience stores with limited grocery availability: Harwood, Horace, Hunter, Tower City, and Grandin. The remaining communities do not have retail grocery available locally.

As of 2010, 15 percent of Cass County residents live more than one-mile from a grocery store in urban areas and ten miles in rural areas. This is significantly lower than the statewide average of 31 percent and the national average of 41 percent. Three percent of Cass County residents are low income and have low access to a store.

Producers and Distribution

There are six known farmers markets in Cass County:

- » Farmers Market & Beyond West Fargo
- » Northern Plains Botanic Garden Society Farmers Market -Fargo (West Acres)
- » Red River Farmers Market Fargo
- » Hildebrant's Farmers Market West Fargo
- » Enderlin Farmers Market Enderlin
- » Ladybug Acres' Veggie Barn Fargo

According to the North Dakota Local Food Directory, there are

- » five small vegetable farms supplying to local farmers markets (Bayer, Gramps, Rogroden, Solberg, Yellowbird)
- » one orchard
- » two farms with on-farm sales or direct sales (Bayer, Gramps)
- » one roadside farm stand (Gramps)
- » no u-pick or wholesale farms in Cass County.

There are no Community Supported Agriculture farms in Cass County. However there are five that serve Cass County (one from Richland County, one from Traill County, and three from Clay County).

There are 11 community gardens in Cass County, all within the Fargo-Moorhead metro area.

- » Cooper Community Garden
- » Golden Ridge Neighborhood Community Garden
- » McKinley Youth Garden
- » Nativity Community Garden
- » Oak Grove Park Community Garden
- » Yunker Farms Community Garden
- » Growing Together Community Gardens (5 locations)

Food Processing and Distribution

Food processing and distribution centers can help support the economic and educational benefits of the local food system.

- Prairie Roots is the only food cooperative in Cass County.It is located in Fargo.
- » There are no food hubs or urban farms in Cass County.
- » Square One is the only publicly available community kitchen. It is a licensed commercial kitchen.
- » There are five community kitchens for non-profit educational use in Cass County: NDSU Extension, Fargo Cass Public Health, Sanford Wellness, Dakota Medical Foundation, and Family Health Care).

Issues and Opportunities What We Heard

One of the more critical services sustaining small communities is access to food. Developing stable and dependable food systems is an emerging issue nationally, and within Cass County. A safe and dependable food supply is critical to any community's resilience. There are currently very few known farmer's markets in rural Cass. However, several thrive in the more urban areas of eastern Cass County (Fargo and West Fargo). Growers going to those markets are typically based in rural parts of the county.

Developing a strategy to support additional farmer's markets in rural Cass County can assist with meeting needs regarding small town food supply. In fact, small town grocers and local growers may benefit from the development cooperative efforts which serve to bring growers and sellers together in small towns.

Improvements to the local food systems in rural Cass County can improve quality of life for all residents, but especially for vulnerable populations. The lack of local grocery supply impacts seniors' ability to age in place. The development

of local food systems and community markets can assist to enhance rural food pantry supplies of healthful foods too, through the donating of unused products during peak growing seasons, a program known as gleaning.

Urban agriculture may resonate in small towns, especially given their potentially greater connection to traditional farming practices. Local codes and ordinances should be reviewed and evaluated to determine the degree to which small towns can support urban agriculture and food system development which are scaled to meet emerging local food market demands.

Strategies and Policies

Potential approaches to address food systems include the following:

- » Support the efforts of the Cass Clay Food Partners, which includes the Cass Clay Food Partners Steering Committee, Cass Clay Food Commission, and the Cass Clay Food Action Network
- » Encourage development of strategies that support local food including farmer's markets and cooperatives.
- » Support efforts to enhance rural food pantry supplies.
- » Incorporate the needs of urban agriculture into model ordinances. Specific needs may include beekeeping, chicken keeping, green roofs, etc.
- » Support efforts of Cass Clay Food Partners to ensure healthy food availability throughout Cass County.



Figure 5.20: Red River Market in Fargo

EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

The North Dakota Century Code recognizes the importance of emergency management organization at state, regional, and local levels. NDCC 37-17.1-07 states that "each county shall maintain an emergency management organization that serves the entire county or must be a member of a regional emergency management organization that serves more than one county. In NDCC 11-33-03 the potential for comprehensive planning to address emergency management is affirmed when it cites that county regulations (and by extension the comprehensive plan behind the regulations) may provide for emergency management. "Emergency management" in NDCC 11-33-03 means "a comprehensive integrated system at all levels of government and in the private sector which provides for the development and maintenance of an effective capability to mitigate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from known and unforeseen hazards or situations, caused by an act of nature or man, which may threaten, injure, damage, or destroy lives, property, or our environment." The NDCC definition includes the four "phases" or functions most commonly used to describe the roles of emergency management: mitigation, preparation, response, and recovery.

This element of the comprehensive plan:

- » summarizes the organization of public safety and emergency management functions in Cass County.
- » discusses the relationship between emergency management and comprehensive planning.
- » offers strategies to enhance emergency management and development practices in Cass County in ways that will promote a more resilient County.


Existing Conditions Relationship Between Public Safety and Emergency Management

The Cass County Emergency Management Department is responsible for reducing the effects of disasters before they occur. This is done by identifying threats and hazards, and planning for and coordinating the operations and response needed during a disaster. Additionally, the Department manages resources, distributes information to the public in the face of a disaster, and coordinates recovery efforts following a disaster.

Emergency management and public safety are two related, overlapping functions in Cass County. When an emergency occurs, the first level of response is determined by the proximity of first responders and the nature of the emergency. Typically, any communication about an emergency is routed to the Red River Regional Dispatch

Functions of Emergency Management

- » Mitigation Preventing future emergencies or minimizing their effects. The effort to reduce loss of life and property by lessening the impact of disasters – taking action now to reduce human and financial consequences later (analyzing risk, reducing risk, and insuring against risk).
- » Preparedness Planning, compiling resources, and training to handle emergencies. Activities necessary to build, sustain, and improve readiness capabilities to prevent, protect against, respond to, and recover from natural or man-made incidents.
- » Response Reacting safely to emergencies. Responding quickly to save lives, protect property and the environment, and meet basic human needs in the aftermath of a catastrophic incident.
- » Recovery Restoring community infrastructure and systems after an emergency. Timely restoration, strengthening and revitalization of infrastructure, housing, and a sustainable economy, as well as the health, social, cultural, historic, and environmental fabric of communities affected by a catastrophic incident.



Center, which dispatches appropriate first responders to the scene of the emergency. First responders include local law enforcement officers, local fire departments, and local ambulance services. The scale of the response is determined first by the Dispatch Center and then by the on-scene responders. Additional resources are dispatched as warranted by the nature and scale of the emergency. The Cass County Emergency Management Department is pulled into the situation when a complex response beyond local government capabilities is needed.

Operation and Maintenance of E-911 System

The Enhanced 911 response system is operated by the Red River Regional Dispatch Center located in Fargo. Emergency response zones are established based on local ambulance and fire response service areas. Emergency calls from a specific zone will have the first responders responsible for that specific zone dispatched to them. Emergency response zones are shown in Figure 5.22.

In order for accurate dispatching to take place, the entire County is part of a 911 addressing system. The Cass County Planning Office is responsible for maintaining the 911 addressing system for the rural parts of the County. The Cities of Fargo and West Fargo maintain their own addressing system for areas within their municipal boundaries.

Functions of Cass County Emergency Management Department

As noted previously, Cass County Emergency Management Department typically becomes a part of the emergency response when the nature or scale of the emergency warrants it. Examples of such emergencies are large scale flooding events, large scale chemical spills, or other similar events where the Emergency Operations Center is activated. These response functions are only one aspect of the County Emergency Management Department's responsibility. It is responsible for coordinating the four functions of Emergency Management noted previously (mitigate, prepare, respond, recover).

Cass County Emergency Management Organization and Coordination


Cass County's Emergency Management Department is led by a Director who has overall responsibility for its functions. The Director reports to the Cass County Board of County Commissioners. Many of the decisions and strategies of the Office are made collaboratively with the Local Emergency Planning Committee. The Cass County LEPC is comprised of members of public and private safety organizations as well as other local government representatives involved in responding to major emergencies. The LEPC is instrumental in development of the County's Emergency Operations Plan, and is also involved in the development of other emergency management plans, training activities, and emergency response management. The director also coordinates closely with a multitude of public and private organizations.

In addition to the lateral coordination with various public and private organizations in the Cass County area, the Cass County Emergency Management Department also coordinates vertically. There are two primary ways in which this happens: disaster responses, and planning/funding. Local emergencies often do not trigger the involvement of the County Emergency Management Department unless the scale or nature of the emergency warrants it. In some cases, the scale or nature of the incident is beyond the capacity of local responders and the County Emergency Management Department. When this happens, response is escalated to the next level which may be to call in additional resources from other nearby counties or cities, or to call in additional resources from the State of North Dakota's Department of Emergency Services. In a few cases, the scale or nature of the incident warrants a national level response. In these cases, the governor of North Dakota must formally request a disaster declaration from the US Government. Such requests are funneled through DHS-FEMA to the President, and when certain criteria are met, the President can authorize a Federal Disaster Declaration. This triggers the availability of national resources and allows funding for response, recovery, and mitigation activities to become available. It is important to recognize that disasters can happen at any time, and that only a fraction of events trigger Federal assistance.

Integrating Emergency Management and Comprehensive Planning

Every community in North Dakota is susceptible to some significant natural hazards such as blizzards or tornadoes that have the potential for loss of life or extensive property damage. Many communities in Cass County are also at risk of flooding, which may also result in extensive property damage, or even loss of life. Cass County Emergency Management updates a Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan every five years to assess the level of risk from natural and manmade hazards, and to develop an action plan to reduce the impacts of such hazards. Hazards identified in the last Cass County MHMP include dam failure, drought, flooding, geologic hazards such as landslides or riverbank slumping,

Figure 5.22: Emergency Services and Healthcare Facilities







Points Dispersed to Reduce Overlap, not Geographically Accurate

severe summer storms (includes tornados, hail, torrential downbursts, straightline winds, lightening, and extreme heat), severe winter weather (includes blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, and extreme cold), urban fire, and wildland fire.

One way to help reduce the impacts of such hazards is to integrate hazard mitigation planning with comprehensive planning. Local governments can help manage risk by the way they plan, design, and build their communities. Effective integration can happen when Cass County's "planning framework leads to development patterns that do not increase the risks from known hazards or leads to redevelopment that reduces risk from known hazards.^{11"}

A fundamental reason to integrate hazard mitigation into comprehensive planning is because it saves money by reducing the cost of responding to emergencies, the costs of cleanup and rebuilding after emergencies, and the disruption of business activity due to hazards. It can also enhance economic development by creating an environment that is less likely to be impacted by hazards and emergencies.

Typically, across the United States, hazard mitigation happens in a "silo" of people directly involved in emergency management. "Hazard mitigation plans often include mitigation strategies or actions that are focused on a disconnected series of emergency services, structure or infrastructure protection projects and public outreach initiatives with less emphasis on non-structural measures available through local land use planning or policy alternatives. "E" Historically, Cass County has created its Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plans as stand-alone documents that cover multiple jurisdictions, and does not link the MHMPs to other community specific or county-wide planning tools such as comprehensive plans or development regulations.

Although Federal funding delays have delayed the initiation of the next Cass County MHMP process, and the budget for integrating emergency management into this Comprehensive and Transportation Plan is constrained, several strategies and best practices for integrating mitigation planning and comprehensive planning have been identified as reasonable methods to encourage or support resilient infrastructure and development practices in Cass County.

Strategies and Policies

After reviewing the objectives of multi-hazard mitigation planning in the context of the topics addressed by the Cass County Comprehensive and Transportation Plan, an extensive list of emergency management objectives, opportunities and issues were identified for the Plan. The following paragraphs summarize these objectives, opportunities and issues by topic.

Land Use and Future Development

The historically most impactful hazard in Cass County has been flooding. Development in identified floodplains brings with it the potential for future damages, evacuation, and higher development costs to protect structures and infrastructure from damage. Agricultural uses, open space or recreational uses, and other non-structural uses are more appropriate land uses in floodprone areas. At a minimum, appropriate floodplain management should be supported in order to minimize the risks from flooding.

Flooding is not the only concern for land use and future development. Because Cass County is at the crossroads of two interstate highways, three railroad systems, pipelines, and is a major population center in the upper Midwest, a large amount of hazardous material is transported through or stored in the County. Wherever these hazardous materials travel or are stored there is potential for an incident that can threaten life and property. Land uses and development patterns with low impacts from hazardous materials incidents are more appropriate near the transport corridors. One simple mitigation strategy to address this hazard is to ensure that these high hazard corridors and sites are clearly understood by local permitting agencies. At a minimum, appropriate buffers should be established between these corridors and habitable development areas.

Transportation

Transportation networks are a critical aspect of emergency management. Without appropriate access, emergency response equipment cannot be quickly transported to needed sites. Certain sites and transportation corridors are much more susceptible to hazardous materials incidents and should be buffered from habitable development. Transportation networks are also an essential component of evacuation procedures in the event that one is needed. A distributed transportation network has the advantage of providing more alternate routes if some of the routes in a given area are blocked. New development proposals should be evaluated with regard to maintaining or enhancing the transportation network for emergency management

objectives. Additionally, transportation routes and development go hand in hand. To discourage development in high risk areas, transportation routes should be designed or limited to minimize the potential for development in such areas.

Housing

In addition to discouraging development (including housing) in high hazard locations, it is important to recognize appropriate standards for urban housing can reduce potential hazards. Critical aspects of emergency management related to housing include: ensuring that developments are designed with multiple egress routes to enhance the potential for emergency vehicle access and inhabitant evacuation, encouraging disaster resistant design and construction, and requiring adequate capacity and proximity for sheltering in place for housing that has low disaster resistance. Additionally, because local or personal preparedness is the best first step for emergency management, personal and neighborhood level resiliency planning should be highly encouraged.

Public Facilities and Infrastructure

Public facilities and infrastructure are especially important to emergency management because they support basic health and safety needs of whole communities. This is why critical facilities lists are an important element of MHMPs. The high cost of these facilities often leads to pragmatic decisions aimed at efficiency. However, these efficiencies also may result in higher risks for the public. Recent examples of this include decisions by local jurisdictions to obtain water or sewage treatment from a single source. From a cost benefit standpoint this is a wise decision, but if a catastrophe were to befall the water or sewage treatment facility, the impact will now extend to almost all the communities in the Metro area of Cass County. A distributed approach to water and sewer treatment would allow a lower impact in the event of a critical incident. Current and future planning for public infrastructure and critical facilities should be mindful of the benefits of distributed systems. In cases where critical facilities are not part of a distributed systems, it is especially important that these facilities be hardened to withstand potential hazards.

Community Facilities

In addition to public facilities and infrastructure, there are a large number of community facilities that serve critical functions to the population and communities of Cass County. These include places that store critical resources, provide critical services, or can be used as safe harbors for sheltering displaced populations. Again, the concept of distributed systems offers the benefit of being more accessible, and having less of an impact if access is denied. This benefit supports the concept of resilient neighborhood design noted in the land use and development discussion.

Historic and Cultural Resources

Communities often have historic and cultural resources that are irreplaceable. Although their physical or functional value may be limited, their cultural, historic, or social significance may be tremendous. These resources also merit consideration by emergency management planning. As an example, the Old Stone Church in Buffalo is an iconic representation of the community and its roots. If the building were destroyed, it would be a major loss to the community.

Natural Resource Protection

The Red River Valley may not often be thought of as having natural resources to be protected, but at a minimum the rich soils of the valley are a tremendous resource that supports a significant element of the regional economy, and is foundational to many of the rural communities in the County. Other potential resources to be protected include trees, natural wetlands and drainageways, and surface and groundwater. We are dependent on the water for water supply. Wetlands help reduce erosion and sediment loss into local rivers and thus help to maintain the water carrying capacity of those streams.

Drought Considerations

Cass County local governments are more typically concerned about addressing the problem of too much water rather than too little. However, drought is an essential issue to consider in the context of emergency management. Studies show a severe drought similar to that of the 1930s, will likely repeat by the year 2050. As the population in Cass County continues to grow, so does its demand for potable water. Because of the uncertainty of surface water supply and the limited groundwater supply which is nearly fully appropriated, another source of water is an important element in addressing drought conditions. The Red River Water Supply Project has been initiated to provide a reliable supply of quality drinking water for the Red River Valley including Cass County. It is important that Cass County Government, in collaboration with other local governments, continue to advocate for the completion of this Project. Although this long range project will ultimately help address concerns about drought conditions, it is also important that Cass County Emergency Management lead efforts to mitigate the impacts of this critical natural hazard.

Public Infrastructure and Facilities

Public infrastructure and facilities refers to the basic utilities of sewer, water, and broadband internet, which are fundamental to the desired quality of life in Cass County.

Existing Conditions Sewer

Sewage collection and treatment in Cass County is handled either by onsite septic systems with drainfields, or by community collection and treatment systems. Single lot development and rural subdivisions in Cass County almost always rely on onsite septic systems. Due in part to the heavy clay soils throughout much of Cass County, these septic systems need carefully designed drainfields to operate effectively. Even when well-designed they often have a lifespan of 20-25 years. In a few cases near the City of Fargo, rural subdivisions have participated in a rural community collection system that is ultimately treated by the City of Fargo's sewage treatment plant. Due in part to the significant cost involved in maintaining or expanding sewage treatment facilities, several communities near Fargo have elected to contract with Fargo for sewage treatment. The remaining small communities in Cass County use lagoons to address their sewage treatment needs.

Water

Although there are four aquifers in Cass County, most rural development and almost all the cities in the County obtain their water from the Sheyenne or Red Rivers. Again Fargo provides municipal water supply to several small communities and rural subdivisions in the metro area. In some cases, this is through a contract arrangement with the Cass Rural Water District. Cass Rural Water serves the small cities of Casselton, Mapleton, Kindred, Argusville, Gardner, Buffalo, Amenia, Davenport, Arthur, Hunter, Page, and Tower City, as well as 5,400 rural residences and farms.

Broadband Internet

Broadband internet is a high-speed always-on internet connection. Broadband internet is often considered a critical infrastructure for education and economic development.

The National Telecommunications and Information Administration launched the State Broadband Initiative (SBI) in 2009 in coordination with the Recovery Act. The SBI awarded grants to each state to support the efficient and creative use of broadband technology to allow local economies to better compete with the digital economy. It also collected data twice a year, until 2014, to support the

development of the National Broadband Map. North Dakota received almost \$3.7 million from this grant program.

As of 2014, residents of Cass County have exceptionally high access to broadband internet, relative to the United States as a whole. This is predominately delivered through wirelines, often the telephone line. Cass County broadband access information is shown in Table 5.11.

- » Three of four people in Cass County have access to 1 Gigabit internet, generally considered to be the fastest available speed, compared to less than one in ten in the United States.
- » Nearly 98 percent of people in Cass County have access to 3 Megabit internet, generally considered the slowest broadband speed, compared to 95 percent of the United States.
- » 82 percent of people in Cass County have 3 or more wireline broadband providers to choose from, compared to just 56 percent of the United States.
- » There is less than 0.1 percent speed difference between urban and rural homes in North Dakota.

Table 5.11: Broadband Internet Access

Metric	Cass County	North Dakota	United States
Population with Access to 1 Gigabit Speed (Wireline)	76.2%	59.3%	7.9%
Population with Access to 3 Megabit Speed (Wireline)	97.8%	95.1%	95.4%
3 or More Providers Available (Wireline)	81.7%	61.2%	56.0%
Rural-Urban Speed Differential	<0.1%		1.3%
Rank (Speed and Availability)	266/3234 Top 10%	15/50 Top 30%	-
Household Access to Internet Enabled Device	89.0%	86.2%	86.8%

ENERGY

Existing Conditions

Cass County is served by two power utilities: Cass County Electric Cooperative, based in Fargo, North Dakota, and Xcel Energy, based in Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Figure 5.23 illustrates Cass County's energy consumption in kilowatt-hours and Figure 5.24 illustrates its power demand as provided by the combined power utilities from 2007 through 2016. Energy consumption is the actual amount of electricity consumed and is typically measured as kilowatt-hours (kWh). The United States average energy use per home is around 750 kWh per month.







Figure 5.24: Cass County Power Demand (MW)



Power usage fluctuates daily and seasonally. To maintain sufficient power for the demands of the moment, power companies must transmit enough power through their infrastructure to meet those demands as they fluctuate. The amount of power that the utility company is required to make available is power demand. A rule of thumb is that a typical home has a demand of about two kilowatts (kW). This means that power companies would need to maintain 2,000 kW of generation to power 1,000 homes.

The overall trend for energy consumption and power demand in Cass County is increasing. The average growth in energy consumption from 2007 through 2016 was 1.36 percent. This is not surprising given the continued population and business growth in the county. The average growth in power demand from 2007 through 2016 was 1.91 percent. Thus, in addition to an increasing number of users, the power load required by those users at a given time is also increasing.

Issues and Opportunities Renewable Energy Options

There is an increasing interest on the part of energy supply companies and citizens in reducing reliance on finite energy resources, and exploring renewable energy options. Renewable energy is commonly considered to be energy from a source that is replaced rapidly by a natural process. The list of commonly considered renewable energy options includes:

- » Wind
- » Solar (photovoltaic & solar thermal)
- » Geothermal technologies
- » Biogas
- » Biomass
- » Hydroelectric power

Of these options only wind power and solar power are viable at a commercial or utility scale in Cass County. The Geronimo Energy Solar project just announced in 2018 is evidence of solar power viability. It covers over approximately 1,600 acres of land in Harmony Township. Neither wind and solar power can totally replace other energy sources because of their limitations:

- » Non-scalable they can't be turned off or on to adjust to peak electric demand
- » Inefficient they have very low capacity factors

- » Siting must be built where conditions are suitable, not necessarily where electricity is needed
- » Land require vast amounts of land area to produce significant amounts of electricity

Despite these limitations, Cass County can expect growth in solar and wind power systems because they help stretch non-renewable energy sources and the County is in the path of major electrical power transmission lines capable of transmitting electricity downstream to areas of high demand.

Life Cycle Costs for Renewable Energy

There have recently been a number of studies completed on the life cycle costs for renewable energy options. One of the most respected sources is the U.S. Energy Information Administration. A report produced by the EIA, based on what appear to be reasonable assumptions on cost factors, suggests that costs for solar and wind power generation might soon become competitive with coal and natural gas energy production costs. But as noted previously the capacity factors for wind and solar (approximately 30 percent) are so much lower than coal and natural gas power (approximately 80 percent) that the investment needed to produce the same amount of energy is higher. Table 5.12 shows levelized cost of electricity for new generation resources entering service in 2022 and provides a reasonable way to compare life cycle costs.

Table 5.12: Estimated Levelized Cost of Electricity for New Generation Resources Entering Service in 2022¹³

Plant Type	Capacity Factor (%)	Levelized Capital Cost	Levelized Fixed O&M	Levelized Variable O&M	Levelized Transmission Cost	Total System LCOE	Levelized Tax Credit	Total LCOE Including Tax Credit
Coal with 30% CCS	85	84.0	9.5	35.6	1.1	130.1	NA	130.1
Coal with 90% CCS	85	68.5	11.0	38.5	1.1	119.1	NA	119.1
Conventional CC	87	12.6	1.5	34.9	1.1	50.1	NA	50.1
Advanced CC	87	14.4	1.3	32.2	1.1	49.0	NA	49.0
Advanced CC with CCS	87	26.9	4.4	42.5	1.1	74.9	NA	74.9
Conventional CT	30	37.2	6.7	51.6	3.2	98.7	NA	98.7
Advanced CT	30	23.6	2.6	55.7	3.2	85.1	NA	85.1
Advanced Nuclear	90	69.4	12.9	9.3	1.0	92.6	NA	92.6
Geothermal	90	30.1	13.2	0.0	1.3	44.6	-3.0	41.6
Biomass	83	39.2	15.4	39.6	1.1	95.3	NA	95.3
Wind, Onshore	41	43.1	13.4	0.0	2.5	59.1	-11.1	48.0
Wind, Offshore	45	115.8	19.9	0.0	2.3	138.0	-20.8	117.1
Solar PV	29	51.2	8.7	0.0	3.3	63.2	-13.3	49.9
Solar Thermal	25	128.4	32.6	0.0	4.1	165.1	-38.5	126.6
Hydroelectric	64	48.2	9.8	1.8	1.9	61.7	NA	61.7



LAND USE







LAND USE

Cass County has long been the largest population center of North Dakota, and typically the largest economic engine in the state. These twin roles highlight the dual nature of the county: three percent of the County is the site of most of the Fargo-Moorhead metropolitan area and 85 percent dedicated primarily to agricultural production. The County contains 27 incorporated cities, several additional unincorporated villages, 49 townships, and four water resource districts. The Cass County Comprehensive and Transportation Plan responds to existing issues, projected needs, and opportunities identified by the public, key stakeholders throughout the County, and additional planning team analysis. This plan develops strategies and recommendations to assist Cass County in managing land development under its control, and supporting land use management with its cities, townships, and water resource districts.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL

With more than 90 different government entities (county, cities, townships, water resource districts, school districts, park districts, etc.), intergovernmental coordination is imperative for meeting the three guiding principles of this plan. Several key topic areas relate to intergovernmental issues including schools, parks, housing, growth management, and transportation. Each of these issues involve a degree

of needed intergovernmental coordination to assist with maximizing opportunities in Cass County.

Schools

There are multiple school districts in Cass County. Some districts have land in more than one county and depend on good communication and information sharing about a range of political subdivisions in Cass County. Rural school districts are facing growing needs to provide a range of new and challenging social and community services needs within their populations. Greater coordination among and between districts, city, and county government may serve to provide efficient service models to assist in meeting these needs.

Greater emphasis on skills training and development in the K-12 curriculum is a growing trend emerging in Cass County. In the changing economy, there is more pressure on rural school districts to provide post-secondary options in K-12 curriculum, as well as more technical based skill sets to students. There is an emerging need for a collaborative approach between school districts, post-secondary institutions, and some of the regional largest primary sector employers to meet workforce skills training.

Intergovernmental Coordination and Schools

The need for intergovernmental coordination presents itself in the areas between Fargo and Horace, specifically related to school facility placement being considered by the West Fargo School District. The West Fargo School District is currently proceeding with the development of a nearly 100-acre site for a future High School and Middle School south of 76th Avenue.

76th Avenue is currently a gravel township road with legacy land development patterns which will likely run contrary to future corridor needs. It is a proposed future major arterial with an interchange on I-29. It currently runs between Fargo and Horace city boundaries, but the two communities have had little deliberation on the preferred approach to how the transportation elements of the corridor should develop. The intersection of 76th Avenue and County Road 17 will develop into a major future intersection. Proactive planning and coordinated decision making in this area is critical to ensuring the timely and long lasting placement of public infrastructure. Through potential efforts to temporarily assume control of 76th Avenue, Cass County has an opportunity to assist in corridor management in this critical growth area.

Township Road

NOTHAVES

SOUNDAYES

SOUNDAYES

SOUNDAYES

SOUNDAYES

FUTUUTE

SOUNDAYES

69

Development trends (including housing) are also a big factor for rural school districts. Understanding growth and development trends around the county (and within their districts) is helpful to understanding future enrollment projections. Future development also impacts transportation costs and considerations for rural school districts. Improved communication and dialogue between the County, cities, townships, and each school district has the potential to establish an atmosphere of proactive facility and infrastructure planning on the part of all parties in Cass County.

Growth Management

Land development and growth management between townships, cities, school districts, and the County is a critical issue. Significant efforts are needed to continue a series of good practices currently in place. However, more attention is needed to address a range of emerging issue areas involving intergovernmental growth management.

By state statute, communities can exercise some degree of extraterritorial (ET) land use and zoning. In some cases, communities are not using this authority. In other, better coordination is needed between townships, cities and the county regarding implementation of ET growth management.

City and township coordination and collaboration on growth management and development are important issues. ET management practices can serve to improve land use and transportation decision making between urban or rural areas. However, if not implemented correctly, inefficiencies can develop. For example, townships are often left with responsibility for roads adjacent to urbanized areas (E.g. 19th Avenue North and 45th Street North); more proactive planning is needed to transition these corridors back to cities, joint jurisdictions, or the county to make sure maintenance and safety are managed appropriately.

Reed Township

Reed Township provides an interesting look at several opportunities for improving growth management between cites, townships, and the County. Currently Reed Township sees an overlap of no less than four ET boundaries: Fargo, West Fargo, Reile's Acres and Harwood.

Within Reed Township are several existing and future emerging Principal Arterial roadways which run along section lines. Many of these corridors are currently township roads, or county roads on the verge of urbanizing. Along many of those corridors, corridor management responsibilities are not well defined and have been addressed in a reactive approach.

Floodplain management is another critical issue in Reed Township. The multitude of overlapping jurisdictions requires communication and a clear set of standards to ensure wise land use and infrastructure management in the area.

In areas such as Reed Township, growth overlays may assist in providing clearer guidance among a host of affected jurisdictions on how to address common infrastructure and land use issues.



Figure 6.2: Reed Township

EXISTING PHYSICAL CONDITIONS

Terrain and Land Cover

The eastern three-fourths of Cass County is a part of the Red River Valley of the North which is a lake plain formed by glacier melt waters. The sediment in the glacier melt formed a flat valley ranging from 15 to 70 miles wide. The remaining western portion of Cass County is commonly referred to as the "Drift Prairie" and consists of slightly eroded glacial drift forming low and relatively rough hills and gentle rolling topography. Figure 6.3 illustrates the terrain and the surface geology of the County. Prior to the development of bonanza farming in the late 19th century the natural land cover in Cass County was predominately tall prairie grasses. Today, the majority of the County land area is used for crop production.

Water Bodies and Wetlands

The general direction of surface drainage across the County is from northwest to southeast into the Red River. Five rivers comprise the major components of Cass County's surface drainage systems, but they are supplemented by a significant number of legal drains which allow water to drain more quickly out of the fields and developed parts of the County. The flat nature of the Red River Valley, the minimal gradient of the rivers, and the northerly flow of the Red River make the area prone to extensive flooding during spring melt. Cass County has 32 small lakes averaging 42 acres in size, and 10 artificially created lakes averaging 36 acres in size. These lakes and reservoirs provide flood protection, irrigation, and recreation. The wetlands in Cass County are primarily located in the more broken terrain of the Drift Prairie. The wetlands provide surface and subsurface water storage, nutrient cycling, retention of sedimentation, and plant and animal habitats. According to the National Wetlands Inventory, Cass County has over 21,000 acres of wetlands (excluding lakes and rivers).

Soil Suitability and Prime Farmland

The soils left by receding glacial Lake Agassiz in the Red River Valley are among the most productive in the world. Most of the soils in Cass County are characterized by a thick black organic topsoil and limey subsoil. General soil types include loam, clay loams, sandy loams, and clay. Figure 6.4 illustrates the vast majority of land in Cass County has the capacity for very high levels of agricultural productivity. According to the 2012 Census of Agriculture there were over 1.1 million acres of land in farms in Cass County. Some of that acreage is not

used for farming. There are over 964,000 acres of land in agricultural use in the County. The typical underlying soils in Cass County have high shrink-swell properties and plasticity that are problematic for construction and on-site septic systems.

Figure 6.3: General Geology and Terrain





Figure 6.4: Farmland Productivity Map



Existing Land Use

Cass County includes more than 1.13 million acres or 1,767 square miles, covering an area roughly 44 miles wide by 42 miles long.

Of the county's entire area, 65,000 acres, or 5.8 percent, is incorporated into cities. The acreage within cities can be further stratified into agriculture, commercial, single-family residential and other public and government owned land categories:

- » 28 percent of all acreage in incorporated cities is public land, government owned properties and right-of-way, and water.
- » 26 percent of all acreage in incorporated cities is commercial property, which also includes industrial, multifamily residential, and trailer parks.
- » 24 percent of all acreage in incorporated cities is still classified as agricultural.
- » 22 percent of all acreage in incorporated cities is singlefamily residential.

The remaining 1.07 million acres is unincorporated and includes

- » 89 percent of all unincorporated acreage is agricultural.
- » 8 percent of all unincorporated acreage is single-family residential.
- » 3 percent of all unincorporated acreage is public land, government owned properties and right-of-way, and water.
- » Less than one percent of all unincorporated acreage is commercial uses, including commercial and industrial uses.

The breakdown of different land use categories is shown in Figure 6.5. An existing land use map is shown in Figure 6.6.






Figure 6.6: Cass County Land Use







Development Patterns

There are nearly 37,000 acres of land in platted subdivisions in Cass County. While most of that land is located inside incorporated municipalities, approximately 4,650 acres is located outside of those cities.

- » 23 percent of the land in these subdivisions is in commercial or industrial use.
- » 21.5 percent remains in agricultural use even though it is platted.
- » Approximately 45 percent of the land is used for residential purposes.
- » It is estimated that approximately 10 percent of these subdivisions are located in rural unincorporated villages such as Erie or Absaraka.
- » It is further estimated that approximately 77.5 percent of the land in these subdivisions is located in the 100 year floodplain.

While 16 of the 27 cities in the County have been growing in population since the 1990 census, only 11 have grown in area during the same time period. The cities of Casselton, Davenport, Fargo, Harwood, Horace, Kindred, Leonard, Reile's Acres and West Fargo have all had significant annexations that have increased their land area by at least 40 percent. It should also be noted that a few cities have a large amount of land that remains in agricultural use within their municipal boundaries. This includes 7,879 acres of land in the cities of the metro area.

Land Use Analysis Community Growth Capacity

Past growth is not an indicator of future growth. A number of factors were evaluated to consider the growth potential of cities in Cass County. Factors considered were municipal water supply capacity, sewage treatment capacity, available residential lots, zoning ordinances and future land use plans, planning commission, and the existence of certain desirable services (restaurant, bank, school, gas station/convenience store, and grocery store). Two communities fit every factor. An additional four communities fit all but one factor. A number of communities (mostly in the metro area) do not anticipate any growth either because they are surrounded by existing development, or because they have some other limitation that prevents any additional growth.

Based on the evaluation of growth capacity and location in the County, four different types of communities are apparent in the County:

- » Metropolitan cities with full range of services and significant growth capacity.
- » Urban residential communities with few services of their own.
- » Rural centers with resources to grow.
- » Rural residential clusters with limited potential to grow.

Community growth capacity is shown in Figure 6.7.


Townships were also evaluated to understand growth capacity. The dominant factors considered were past development levels, zoning categories, and proximity to the metro area. Generally speaking, the higher the past development, the more zoning categories, and the closer to the metro, the more townships were considered to have growth potential.

Special Land Uses and Issues Emerging Topics

While Cass County has not established zoning authority, all of the townships have established zoning authority. County involvement happens when subdivisions are proposed in the areas under township zoning authority. The most common development activity Cass County reviews is rural one lot subdivisions. However, there are a number of existing and emerging land use issues that merit consideration in this planning process. They are significant because of the potential to result in land use conflicts, substantially change or disrupt the dominant pattern of land use, and/or increase the need to expend local government resources to respond to the development activity. These include:

- » Animal Feeding Operations
- » Wind Farms
- » Solar Farms
- » Marijuana Manufacturing Facilities
- » Waste Disposal Facilities
- » Temporary Housing Facilities
- » Temporary Construction Materials Yards

Each of these potential activities may raise concerns because of perceived impacts to property values, landscape character, traffic volumes and safety, and creation of nuisances such as trash, noise, odor, light, and dust.



Cass County Community Growth Capacity Categories

Rural Growth Centers

Rural Residential Clusters

ET Boundary

Metro Planning Area Boundary

Urban Growth Center

Urban Residential Cluster

Figure 6.7: Growth Capacity

Roads

Hazardous Materials

Although not so likely to raise concerns because of perceived or potential impacts, there are other land uses which also merit special consideration. Generally, these relate to the storage or transport of hazardous materials. The train derailment near Casselton in 2015, and the tank farm fire in West Fargo in 2018 illustrate the incidents that need to be considered when evaluating development proposals. It would be appropriate for Cass County to establish or recommend development buffers around transportation routes, chemical storage facilities, and other locations that contain hazardous materials.

FM Area Diversion

The anticipated construction of the FM Area Diversion suggests an additional consideration. The use of publicly owned lands for recreational and community purposes was

identified as an opportunity during the planning process. Flood buyout properties and land in the vicinity of the proposed FM Area Diversion are potential locations for these uses.


Some initial conceptual land uses have been noted by the Diversion Authority. However, a coordinated approach to land use in the vicinity of the diversion would help ensure the best use of this potential asset. A more detailed discussion of this topic is addressed in the section on the FM Area Diversion.

Land Use and Growth Management

Cass County does not regulate land use through zoning. However, each of the 49 townships in Cass County and many of the cities have established zoning authority. A few of the cities have established extraterritorial authority outside there municipal boundaries as well. Figure 6.8 illustrates which cities have established zoning authority and extraterritorial authority, as well as showing the type of zoning established by the townships. Generally, the townships have established a single district zoning ordinance or they have zoning ordinances with multiple zoning

districts. The townships with single districts are invariably focused on agricultural preservation, and have a township wide agricultural zoning district. The remaining townships have multiple zoning districts which infer greater potential for non-farm development. Most of these ordinances are variations of one of three model ordinances. Two of these models are fairly old and may not reflect current best practices. One of the model ordinances was developed by the Cass County Planning Office. Most townships and many cities in Cass County do not have full-time staff to act as planners, zoning administrators, or building officials. In some cases, development activity happens too infrequently that local governments may not be cognizant of what their regulations or procedures require. It may be that the regulations and procedures end up not being followed. It would be a real benefit for these townships and small cities to have some technical assistance or training readily available to them.

Figure 6.8: Township and City Zoning



Cass County Townships



One of the fundamental growth management issues for townships and cities relates to extraterritorial zoning authority. The North Dakota Century Code establishes limits for the distance extraterritorial zoning can be expanded beyond municipal boundaries, based on the population of the city (see Table 6.1). For each of the three city sizes, the first half of the distance belongs unilaterally to the city. However, the second half of the distance must be shared with any adjacent jurisdiction that has established zoning authority.

Table 6.1: Distribution of Zoning Authority in Extraterritorial Areas

Distribution of Zoning Authority in Extraterritorial Areas by Size of City			
City Population	<5,000	5,000- 24,999	>25,000
Unilateral Zoning	first 1/2	first mile	first two
Authority	mile		miles
Shared Zoning Authority	second	second	second
	1/2 mile	mile	two miles

There are several potential procedural issues for cities and townships related to extraterritorial authority:

- » Cities do not automatically have extraterritorial zoning. They must establish zoning in their extraterritorial area using the same ordinance process used to establish zoning inside it municipal boundaries.
- » There should be township representation on cities' planning commissions.
- » There is a specific procedure involving the township for how any application in the second half of the extraterritorial area must be reviewed and approved.
- » There is a specific procedure for how changes or expansions to extraterritorial area must be completed to ensure orderly extension of the city's zoning to areas previously zoned by the township.

One other frequent issue related to growth management, especially for townships, pertains to potential high impact land uses that often involve state agency procedures and rules. These include animal feeding operations, solar farms, wind farms, marijuana manufacturing facilities, and solid waste facilities. They also tend to be controversial projects. There are other potential land uses which also have significant impacts and the potential for controversy. These include temporary housing (commonly called mancamps), adult entertainment, and bars that may spring up when there is a large influx of temporary workers as could possibly occur for construction of the FM Diversion project. In all these cases, it would be beneficial for local jurisdictions to

have zoning and guidance in place to address their potential before faced with an actual application.

Another fundamental issue addressed in the 2005 Comprehensive Plan was the ongoing development of large rural residential subdivisions. "The resulting problem is subdivisions lacking urban services while at the same time straining the county and public road network." Therefore, the County established platting requirements for major subdivisions that have worked effectively to address this issue in the years since the 2005 Plan.

Land Use and Growth Management Strategies

The following strategies involve Cass County Government supporting effective land use management and taking initiative to offer assistance to local governments in the County:

- » Volunteer to provide zoning maps for all townships and incorporate those zoning maps into the interactive GIS system available to the public.
- » Refine the existing County Planning Office township zoning model into two separate model township zoning ordinances. One would be aimed specifically at agricultural preservation and be very short and simple to administer. The other would be a multiple zoning district model which addresses the potential complexities related to special land uses noted previously. To the maximum extent possible these models would share definitions, standards, and procedures to provide the opportunity for consistency throughout the county.
- » Host township land use management workshops on a regular basis for small groups of townships in the same vicinity. This is an opportunity to learn, resolve common issues occurring in the same area, and offer technical assistance to enhance zoning ordinances and procedures.
- » Consider developing a city zoning model or guide that aims to create a business friendly environment to help stimulate economic development. It would potentially address specific uses which may not be addressed by most city zoning ordinances including mixed uses, urban agriculture, and alternative housing types which are not practical with current zoning.
- » Compile best practices materials and other educational materials on various land use and land management topics for both counties and cities. Make a strong effort to distribute this information widely (example: Living With a River from the ND Department of Health).

FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT

Natural Features and Propensity for Flooding

The major physiographic feature making up the eastern three-fourths of Cass County is the Red River Valley. The main stream in Cass County is the Red River of the North which flows northward along the county's eastern border, and eventually drains into Lake Winnipeg in Canada. The Red River Valley is one of the flattest regions on earth. The plain of Lake Agassiz has a northward slope of 1.5 feet per mile and an eastward slope ranging from 2 feet per mile near the Red River to 20 feet per mile farther west. Five rivers flowing through Cass County form its major surface drainage system. They are supplemented by legal drains which also move significant amounts of runoff into the rivers.

Flooding during the spring thaw is a common occurrence. The Red River's northerly flow and the spring thaw in the region progressing northward along the valley results in the southern valleys snow melt merging with fresh runoff as it moves north increasing the total amount of water in the river. Furthermore, the river's inconsistent thaw can cause ice jams as large broken pieces of ice moves north reaching impassable frozen sections of the river creating ice dams retaining the water upstream. Finally, as the river moves north its gradient also decreases causing the river to pool upstream.

Flood Risk and Development Impacts

The geological formations of the Red River Valley and its potential for flooding cannot be changed. With significant investments, flooding on already established neighborhoods and developments can be lessened, if just slightly. However, with a proactive approach, it is possible to lessen the damage of floods for new developments. This will not fix past mistakes, but can help to eliminate or lessen burdens created by flooding for future development. Allowing development in flood prone areas by adding fill or diking puts structures at risk of unanticipated flooding levels, and also reduces the natural storage area and creates bottlenecks in the flow of the river. Restricting growth in these naturally low-lying areas allows the storage areas to hold flood waters and helps lower the peak of the flood elevation.

The Red River of the North has exceeded the National Weather Service flood stage of 18 feet in 52 of the past 114 years, and every year from 1993 through 2011. Flooding in Fargo-Moorhead typically occurs in late March and early April. The flood of record at Fargo-Moorhead was the 2009

spring flood with a stage of 40.8 feet on the Fargo gage. With an estimated peak flow of 29,200 cubic feet per second (cfs), the 2009 flood was approximately a 2-percent chance (50-year) event. Equivalent expected annual flood damages in the Fargo-Moorhead metropolitan area are estimated to be over \$194.8 million in the future without project condition.

Although emergency measures have been very successful, they may also contribute to an unwarranted sense of security that does not reflect the true flood risk in the area. History has shown that the people in the study area will stay to fight a flood rather than evacuate to safer locations. A loss of life analysis conducted for the FM Area Diversion feasibility study estimated that as many as 200 people could perish if emergency levees failed suddenly during a 1-percent chance event. Flood water may be extremely cold, just above freezing, and anyone caught in the water would suffer hypothermia in a short time.

Flood insurance is a critical component of the overall strategy to manage risk from flooding. However, there is a tendency for people to not maintain flood insurance every year. For instance, there was a 40 percent drop in Cass County flood insurance policies from 2011 to 2012, probably because it was a relatively dry year. Policies have to be in effect for 30 days for flood damage to be covered, which means not maintaining annual coverage could leave property-owners without coverage under the right circumstances. In highrisk flood zones, there is a 25 percent chance of flooding during a 30 year mortgage, but only a four percent chance of experiencing a fire. According to the latest available information there are 4,022 total flood insurance policies in force in Cass County, of which 532 flood insurance policies are rated in 100 year floodplains. The total coverage of these policies is \$1,210,323,700.

The previously noted FM Area Diversion feasibility study identifies a high risk of flood damage in the 85 square mile study area. This includes damage to urban infrastructure from the Red River of the North, the Wild Rice River (ND), the Buffalo River, and the Sheyenne River and its tributaries, the Maple River, Lower Rush River, and Rush River. It also includes damage to rural infrastructure and agricultural land and disruptions of transportation and access to properties within the study area. The study area has an estimated average annual flood damage cost of more than \$194.8 million. There is localized flooding and subsequent risk of damage in many parts of Cass County outside the FM Diversion feasibility study area as well.

Existing and Anticipated Floodplain Studies

The risk of flooding in Cass County is not caused solely by conditions in Cass County, and the elements to floodplain management cannot all be accomplished within Cass County. In 2009, the Red River Basin Commission, an international Red River basin-wide organization was asked to spearhead an effort to develop a comprehensive, proactive approach to managing and mitigating flooding throughout the Red River watershed. A comprehensive analysis was completed in 2011 that developed recommendations to accomplish the project objectives. The analysis evaluated factors contributing to flooding, summarized the costs of flooding throughout the basin, defined basin-wide principles to guide the development of mitigation strategies, and defined "three basin-wide approaches to floodplain management with a combined goal of creating a more flood resilient basin. 14" The three basin wide approaches were:

- » Nonstructural strategies must be implemented to mitigate existing development and protect future growth to higher standards, including greater efforts at managing development, and education.
- » Levels of protection must be raised for an integrated approach for urban and rural areas, critical infrastructure, small cities, rural residences and farmsteads, cropland, critical transportation systems, and emergency services.
- » Retention was recognized as a potential key to taking the peak off floods and a full exploration of this tool was recommended.


The Long Term Solutions Report additionally recognized the critical need to establish "immediate permanent protection measures." It is important to recognize that these basin wide approaches are still critical to Cass County, and the principles behind these approaches should be applied wherever floodplain management occurs throughout Cass County.

Floodplain management is a requirement of participation in the National Flood Insurance Program. When the Federal Emergency Management Administration establishes official flood hazard areas in a jurisdiction, it requires the jurisdiction to administer floodplain regulations over the identified flood risk areas. Continued floodplain administration assures the jurisdiction has access to disaster funding in the event of a declared disaster for that jurisdiction. Currently there are 18 cities and 16 townships, as well as Cass County itself, participating in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). There are currently two ongoing Flood Insurance Studies being completed for parts of Cass County. When the Western Cass and the Upper Maple River Flood

Insurance Studies are completed, there will likely be three to five additional townships and one additional city that become participants in the NFIP with officially designated floodplains and FIRMs. In addition to these ongoing studies, a collaborative effort between FEMA and the North Dakota State Water Commission is currently underway to complete a RISK mapping program. This program uses available data to develop a model of potential flooding locations in areas which may not have existing Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). The results of RISK mapping program will serve as best available information for areas which experience flooding but have low development and population densities, and are therefore unlikely candidates for Flood Insurance Studies (FIS).

Figure 6.9 illustrates the best available information of the areas in Cass County at risk of 100 year flood events. However, a large portion of these 100 year floodplain areas are based on information that is essentially out of date. The 100 year floodplain for the southeastern part of the County is based on a period of record ending in 1991, and since that time a number of significant floods have occurred in the Red River Valley. In fact five of the 10 greatest floods have occurred in the time period from 2000 to 2017. This is not just an issue in the metropolitan area of Cass County. For instance in Wiser Township, the 1984 FIRM identifies a 100

Figure 6.9: Floodplain Best Available Information



year flood elevation of ranging from 878 to 882.9. This is typically a foot lower than the flood events occurring in the Township in the last 20 years.

A new floodplain map became effective for the southeastern portion of Cass County in 2015. As a result, there are now approximately 2,300 structures in the floodplain. But local officials anticipate a future floodplain map which does account for more recent flooding will become effective within the next few years, and that the new 100-year floodplain elevation will be more than 1.5 feet higher than that of the 2015 100-year floodplain. The result of this will be that approximately total 11,000 structures will be in the floodplain and need to carry flood insurance. Additionally, a new floodplain map for what is essentially the Maple River watershed which covers much of the remainder of Cass County will become effective in the not too distant future. These maps will help minimize risk from flood damages to new development, but in the meanwhile, great caution and common sense needs to be applied to prevent development with insufficient flood protection. In Wiser Township this has meant requiring development to be a foot higher than the highest flood elevation on record. In the City of Fargo, it has meant requiring development to be flood protected to an elevation 2.5 feet above the currently effective 100 year elevation.

The ongoing efforts to provide permanent flood protection for the metropolitan area of Cass County is addressed separately in the following section on the FM Area Diversion. The section explains the proposed project, its objectives, and summarizes its effects inside and outside the project footprint.

Strategies for Floodplain Management

To enhance floodplain management in Cass County the following strategies are recommended:

- » Continue participation in the RISK mapping program, and incorporate the final products of RISK mapping into the Cass County interactive GIS system available to the public. This will require careful explanation so that RISK maps, which are best available information and only advisory, are not confused with FIRMs which have regulatory implications.
- » Collaborate with County Water Resource Districts in providing appropriate information or technical assistance pertaining to floodplain management.

- » Make existing dam failure emergency response plans or information available to discourage inappropriate development siting in related hazard areas.
- » Host regular floodplain management workshops for local jurisdictions. A prominent focus of these workshops should be common sense approaches to development siting to eliminate potential flood damage.
- » Compile and distribute floodplain best management practices information through the Cass County website and other means as there is opportunity.
- » Compile and distribute information on the value of flood insurance through the Cass County website and other means as there is opportunity.
- » Maintain and expand information on appropriate setbacks from watercourses and on bank failure and related issues in order to encourage appropriate development in potential hazard areas.
- » Consider offering floodplain management services for small jurisdictions with limited capacity.
- » Collaborate with the Emergency Management Department on public education related to flood protection.

FM AREA DIVERSION

Purpose and Background

The previous section documented the level of risk for major flooding in the Red River Valley. Although efforts in recent years to protect life and property have generally been successful, those flood fighting efforts came at significant cost. Many homes located along the Red River and the Wild Rice River were flooded. Millions of dollars were spent building temporary flood protection and thousands of hours were lost from work production. However, this was all to fight floods that were less than base flood levels. Base floods are those which are computed to have an elevation with a one percent chance of happening in any given year.

As a point of reference, the worst flood on record in Fargo was the 2009 flood which rose to 40.84 feet at the Fargo gauging station, and had a maximum daily flow of approximately 29,000 cubic feet per second. A 100 year event would have a maximum daily flow of approximately 33,000 cubic feet per second. A 500 year event would have a maximum daily flow of 66,000 cubic feet per second. The probability of having consistently successful flood fighting efforts in the future must be considered extremely low, especially for events larger than the 1-percent chance event. Therefore, the need for permanent flood protection as noted in the Red River Basin Commission's 2011 Long Term Solutions for the Red River Basin Final Report was identified as a critical action to protect life, property, and even the economy of the Fargo-Moorhead area.

When the flood of 1997 happened, Cass County initiated a Flood Mitigation Study to investigate ways to protect people and property from future major events. In 2001 that Study recommended that a Southside Flood Protection Project be initiated. In the following years many alternatives were investigated and many public meetings held to discuss options for flood protection. In 2008 a reconnaissance report recommended that the US Army Corps of Engineers undertake a Feasibility Study to investigate flood issues in the Fargo Moorhead Metropolitan Area, identify flood risk management measures that could be implemented, document the findings, and if appropriate recommend implementation of a federal project. The resulting feasibility study, integrated with a National Environmental Policy Act document, investigated measures to reduce flood risk and analyzed the potential for federal participation in a flood risk management project in the Fargo Moorhead Metropolitan Area.

The alternatives considered included:

- » No action
- » Non-structural measures
- » Flood barriers
- » Increased conveyance
- » Flood storage

The final result of the study process ultimately concluded that the most appropriate plan for flood risk management was a locally preferred plan that combined levees, flood storage, and increased conveyance to best protect the study area while maintaining a positive benefit-cost ratio, and meeting NEPA requirements.

Following the finding and recommendations of the Feasibility Study, in 2013, a joint powers entity called the Metro Flood Diversion Authority, the "Diversion Authority," was formed by Cass County, Cass County Joint Water Resource District, the City of Fargo, the City of Moorhead, and Clay County to pursue project development and implementation of the recommended flood risk management project.

Planned Facility and Operation

The recommended and approved Diversion Project is a 30-mile long diversion channel in North Dakota with temporary upstream staging. The project includes a southern embankment, several bridges, three gated control structures, and two aqueduct structures as illustrated in Figure 6.10. However, progress on the project reached an impasse when a federal district court judge determined that the project could not proceed until the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources had completed a process to review, approve, and permit the project. This resulted in a Governors' Task Force being formed to address several concerns about the project being expressed by the Minnesota DNR and those potentially impacted due to the project. The result of the Task Force's efforts is a "Plan B" that shifts the southern embankment of the project further north, and reduces the amount of land impacted on the Minnesota side of the Red River. It also greatly reduces the size and anticipated use frequency of the upstream staging area. See Figure 6.11 for the Plan B revised footprint of the project. The southern embankment, and the inlet and control structure part of the Diversion Project was modified, but the diversion channel was not changed in Plan B. The Diversion Authority has officially submitted Plan B to the Minnesota DNR, and anticipates finding out the results of the DNR review process in the fall of 2018. Pending the issuance of the DNR permit, construction of the diversion channel, and of the outlet and control structure will begin. It

is anticipated that the completion of the project will be in the year 2026.

As identified in Plan B, the Diversion Project is expected to operate only when major flood events occur. This is estimated to be on average once in every 20 years. A major flood event generally means one with flows in the range of 35,000 cfs or higher.

Project Impacts

There will be both positive and negative benefits from the Diversion Project.


- » The primary benefit is protecting an estimated 230,000 people and 11,000 structures from the immediate effects of flooding. Without the Diversion Project, the owners of 11,000 impacted structures would likely pay a total of \$30 to 50 million annually in flood insurance. It also has the ultimate benefit of reducing the costs of fighting floods. And it has a significant impact on the capacity of the Fargo-Moorhead metropolitan area to maintain a healthy and growing economy.
- » The primary negative of the Diversion Project is that it impacts properties that typically do not experience springtime flooding to the degree that they will under the same flood event. There are approximately 16,000 acres of farmland which will be impacted by the water of major flood events that will pool in the staging area on the south side of the southern project embankment. There are 54 rural homes and farmsteads that will need to be acquired to remove them from the staging area. Additional negative impacts, include the cost for paying for the Diversion Project which are spread out among people who would not be impacted directly by major flood events in the project area.


Land Use Issues and Opportunities

As illustrated in Figure 6.11, there are nine local governments with jurisdiction over land that is a part of the Diversion Projects footprint. The area within and adjoining the Diversion footprint will undergo either temporary or permanent land use changes. Land within the area of protection by the Diversion will be available for development. Some of the land on the outside of the Diversion project will be in the floodplain and should remain without structural development. Other parts of the land outside the Diversion project will be above the floodplain and will have potential for development. There will be both public and private development opportunities when the Diversion is completed. One important public opportunity is to use the Diversion

footprint for recreational activities. This kind of opportunity has already been identified by the Diversion Authority. However, what is missing is a clear, comprehensive, and coordinated plan to take advantage of the potential for recreational activities. Some have envisioned significant facilities that are a major benefit to local residents, and perhaps even attract tourists from across the region. A multi-jurisdictional approach to planning future land use is needed in order to take advantage of this opportunity, and to ensure that future land use development in the vicinity of the Diversion does not conflict. Some potential concepts for consideration include:

- » A combined walking/biking trail along the entire length of the Diversion channel that provides a connecting linkage to existing and planned biking and walking facilities within the metro area.
- » River access locations for canoeing and kayaking on the Red River.
- » Regional parks that offer opportunities for specific activities requiring large linear footprints.
- » Neighborhood parks that link to a regional trail system.
- » Camping and service locations for long distance bicyclists that travel through the Fargo Moorhead metro area.
- » Outdoor educational sites for K-12 learning projects
- » Community gardens













INTRODUCTION

One of Cass County's primary functions is maintaining the county transportation network. The county maintains 634 miles of roadway and 541 bridges. Public transit, railroads, and airports are also essential elements of the transportation system in Cass County. This element of the Comprehensive and Transportation Plan responds to existing issues and projected needs identified by the public and key stakeholders throughout Cass County. Based on existing and projected conditions, this element of the plan will develop strategies and recommendations to assist Cass County in making future investments in its transportation system.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Average daily traffic volumes show how many cars travel on the road on an average day. Outside of the urban area of Cass County, traffic volumes are quite low. The highest volumes are along roadways with good connectivity or to major economic centers. Cass County collected data in 2017, the traffic volumes are shown in Figure 7.1.

Truck Traffic

The most recent traffic counts included truck counts. Truck traffic ranges from less than two percent of total traffic to more than fifty percent. Despite these high truck percentages, the actual truck counts are quite low. Truck traffic is shown in Figure 7.2.

PAVEMENTS

The 634 miles of county roadways (excluding State, Township, and Municipal roadways) are almost evenly split between paved (all pavement types) and gravel surfaces. Paved roadways are typically found on higher traffic corridors or corridors with good connectivity to economic centers, while gravel roadways are typically found on low volume corridors.

Roadway surface type is shown in Figure 7.3, with a breakdown by surface type and mileage shown in Table 7.1.

Table 7.1: Roadways by Surface Type

Surface Type	Miles	% Split
Paved	309	48.7%
Gravel	325	51.3%
Total	634	100.0%

Pavement Management Trends

The quality of Cass County's roads can be attributed to a high quality pavement management system. Since 2000, Cass County's pavement management plan has invested in more than 40 percent of paved roadways once every five years (Table 7.2). This included nearly 600 miles of improvements since 2000. However, these trends have been heavily influenced by a substantial influx of state funds from oil tax revenue.

Table 7.2: Maintenance Trends

Total Miles/	% of System	% of System Treated
Year	Treated/Year	Every 5 Years
26.2	8.2%	41.0%

Table 7.3: Chip Seal and Paving Trends

	2000- 2003	2004- 2008	2009- 2015	2016- 2020
Total Miles	70	137	266	122
Average Miles/Year	23.0	27.4	38	17.5

Pavement Conditions

Cass County recently completed a pavement condition survey in 2017. The last pavement condition survey was completed in 2012. Between 2012 and 2017, the overall pavement condition of Cass County has improved from an average PCI of 82.9 to 88.4, both "Good". As of the 2017 data collection, there are fewer miles in "Fair" and significantly more miles in "Good" condition and the average PCI of those miles is higher. The overall increase in pavement quality is a direct result in highway funding for counties in the first half of the current decade. This has since tapered off, but the County continues to maintain high quality paved roads. Pavement management strategies and priorities will be discussed later. PCI data for 2012 and 2017 is shown in Table 7.4; PCI for 2017 is shown in Figure 7.4.

Table 7.4: Pavement Conditions

	2012 Miles	Average PCI	2017 Miles	Average PCI
Poor (0-65)	22.7	44.1	0.5	65.0
Fair (66-80)	98.1	75.5	12.8	76.4
Good (81-90)	99.5	85.5	182.4	86.2
Very Good (91-100)	98.6	96.8	113.3	95.1
Total	319.0	82.9	309.0	88.8

Figure 7.1: Traffic Volumes









Figure 7.2: Truck Traffic Volumes




Cass County Truck Traffic Volumes - 2017

Adjusted Truck Percentage

- 0% 2% 10.1% 25%
 - 2.1% 10% 25.1% 50%
- Over 50%
 City Limits
- Truck Traffic Over 25%


Figure 7.3: Surface type



Cass County Roads Surface Type



Figure 7.4: Pavement Conditions




Cass County Roads PCI

FUNCTIONAL CLASS

Roadways must balance access and mobility. The function of the roadway is dependent on classification; an interstate prioritizes mobility and has very strict access controls, permitting high speeds, while a local road prioritizes access over mobility (Figure 7.5).

Figure 7.5: Functional Class Hierarchy



There are many functionally classified roadways throughout the county: Federal, State, County, Township, and City roadways. Many of the county highways are classified as major collectors. Functionally classified roadways are shown in Figure 7.6

SAFETY

As part of the Local Road Safety Program through the North Dakota Department of Transportation, Cass County completed a safety analysis in 2014. This analysis identified safety trends to develop a safety plan, which is summarized below.

From 2008 to 2012, there were more than 12,260 crashes in Cass County, less than two percent of these were severe injury or fatal crashes.

» All of the severe intersection crashes occurred at through-stop intersections, where the minor approach is stop controlled. Factors that contributed to this trend include intersection skews, sight line impacts, high access density, or high ADT cross products (major street volumes multiplied by minor street volumes).

» More than 84 percent of total crashes occurred in Fargo and West Fargo. A variety of factors including geometry, speed, capacity, and access contributed to these crash trends.

Based on these trends, and others identified, 34 rural projects, with a cost of \$268 thousand, were recommended for the county.

REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT CORRIDORS


Regionally significant corridors (RSC) are integral to internal movements of traffic within Cass County. Public and stakeholder input was gathered to assist with identifying the RSC network. Interregional and interstate traffic movements through Cass County are handled on corridors like I-29, I-94, ND-18, and ND-38. Even though these corridors are regionally significant, they are not Cass County's jurisdiction so are not included in the RSC.

RSC's connect important destinations throughout the county. The designation considers the following variables:

- » Economic Development Corridors are corridors that are currently or projected to see increased traffic based on economic development opportunities within Cass County.
- » Economic Development Nodes are specific areas that have been identified as economic growth opportunities.
- » Freight Corridors are corridors where truck traffic is typically above the county average, or where existing or projected agricultural traffic occurs.

The RSC network is important to the county's transportation plan because it assists with prioritizing investments in the county roadways, especially for pavement treatment options. The RSC network also identifies significant corridors and gives the public a better understanding of what corridors are likely to see the largest share of future county investment and related maintenance and operations. The RSC network builds upon the current prioritization of corridors currently identified by Cass County. Figure 7.7 shows the currently priority levels in relation to the identified RSC network.


Figure 7.6: Functional Class



Cass County Functional Class


Interstate — Major Collector City Limits
Principal Arterial — Collector
Minor Arterial — Local/Township






BRIDGES

Cass County maintains 541 bridge structures, 240 bridges span more than 20 feet. Of these structures, 29 percent were built before 1960 (Figure 7.8). Bridges built pre-1960 are often functionally obsolete due to width, height, and weight restrictions.



Of the 240 bridges greater than 20 feet, 78 percent have a sufficiency rating of 80 or greater with another 17 percent having a sufficiency rating between 50 and 80. Just 11 bridges (five percent) have a sufficiency rating below 50. Bridge sufficiency is shown in Figure 7.9.



Bridge location and sufficiency is shown in Figure 7.10.

Bridge Evaluation and Investment Review

A major component of the Cass County transportation plan is a bridge investment strategy. Cass County maintains 541 bridge structures. Of these structures, 240 are more than 20 feet long. Many of these bridges were built before 1960 and are functionally obsolete due to width, height, and weight restrictions. These 240 major structures are generally in good shape, with 78 percent having a sufficiency rating at 80 or greater. Through a review and assessment of these bridges, a prioritized list of investments has been developed.

Bridge Prioritization Methodology

A County bridge prioritization process was performed based on the county's existing inventory of structures based on existing NBI data sheets for Cass County. For the purposes of this analysis, it was assumed that existing bridges in the 2018-2022 Cass County Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) would remain the highest priorities for future investment. Beyond the top 25 structures in the current Cass County CIP, the analysis also flagged three bridges with a Code 3 ranking and one additional structure that is fracture critical.

- » Bridges were prioritized based on sufficiency rating, posting limit, and average condition of the structure.
 - > Bridges were analyzed in four sufficiency bins, those with sufficiency ratings less than 50, those between 50 and 75, those between 75 and 90, and those greater than 90.
 - > Within each quartile ranking, bridges were prioritized based on three primarily planning level data inputs: sufficiency rating, posting limit, and average condition of the structure. Condition average was developed based on a combination of inputs involving the superstructure, substructure and deck rating
 - > Analysis and prioritization within each sufficiency bin was completed based on posting values (scaled between zero and five) and the condition average of greater than or less than six. This matrix was used to determine the final ranking.

This method was used to sort the remaining 211 bridges after the 29 county priority, Code 3, and fracture critical bridges mentioned above. At the latter end of the prioritization matrix, the output is really more illustrative and less useful in demonstrating overall asset value of bridges in Cass County based on anticipated future investment bands. However, in the nearer term, specifically for bridges prioritized between number 26 and number 75, these are likely structures more certainly needing investment and evaluation over the life of the current planning process.




Figure 7.10: Bridge Location and Sufficiency

Bridge Sufficiency 2017

- 0 49.9
 - 50 79.9
- +08
- Bridges Programmed 2018-2022

Cost Assumptions

Cost assumptions were developed for both bridges and box culverts. Bridge cost was estimated using the following:

- » National Bridge Inventory information was used to determine length.
- » All replacement bridges would have a deck of thirty feet.
- » \$225 per square foot.

Box culvert cost was estimated depending on whether the culvert was on a county road or township road:

- » \$275,000 per box culvert if on a county road
- » \$175,000 per box culvert if on a township road

Summary of Bridge Investments

A summary of the bridge ranks and estimated replacement cost is shown in Table 7.5. The bridge condition average is shown in Figure 7.11. The full bridge analysis can be found in a separate technical appendix.

Table 7.5: Estimated Bridge Replacement Costs

Bridge Ranks	Phase	Estimated Replacement Cost (2018 \$)
1-25	Programmed	\$7.5 M
26-50	2023-2027	\$14.6 M
51-100	2028-2037	\$25.6 M
101+	>2037	\$66.4 M

Diversion Bridges

The FM Area Diversion will construct 15 new bridges, many of these will be on the county system. The public-private partnership operators will manage the bridges for 30 years. At the end of the 30-year period, the operators must make any necessary repairs to the bridge to ensure a base condition, before the county takes ownership. This means any maintenance necessary will be more than 30 years out. As will be discussed in later elements of this plan, the location of future FM Area Diversion crossings impacts decisions on the location and investment in other existing and future Cass County roads. FM Area Diversion bridge locations are shown in Figure 7.12 and Figure 7.13.

As part of development of the FM Area Diversion, the County Highway Department has informally at an internal level discussed potential options to integrate a future Cass County highway to serve as a transportation Reliever Route to Interstate 29 and Interstate 94. Given the substantial amount of right of way and related earth work required for the FM Area Diversion, there could well be the potential to integrate the development of a limited access paved twolane county corridor developed in tandem with the FM Area Diversion. Right of way would be assumed to allow for the potential conversion of the corridor to a four-lane facility if demands warranted. Access standards would be tightly restricted to prevent leap frog development and protect the corridor's operational integrity. Since this idea has only just been developed, it has been integrated into the Cass County Comprehensive and Transportation Plan as an illustrative concept. Significant additional planning and preliminary engineering would be needed prior to moving this concept forward. More discussion on this concept should be carried forward for discussion through the FM Area Diversion Authority, Metro COG, and impacted communities. This concept could be forwarded for consideration as part of Metro COG's update of the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). Figure 7.12 and Figure 7.13. show a generalized travel corridor alternate for the potential Reliever Route.

GRANDIN HUNTER PAGE GARDNER 38 ARTHUR **ARGUSVILLE** 18 AYR-HARWOOD **AMENIA** NORTH RIVER TOWER CITY **BUFFALO** CASSELTON **FARGO** REILES ACRES 38 MAPLETON 10 WEST 18 FARGO PRAIRIE ROSE **OFRONTIER** BRIARWOOD ALICE HORACE DAVENPORT **LEONARD OXBOW** 18 KINDRED 46

0


Figure 7.11: Bridge Condition Average

Cass County Bridge Condition Average

- 4.3 5.5
 Short Range
- 5.6 6.0 ▲ Medium Range
- 6.1 7.07.1 8.0Long Range
- 8.1 9.0 Beyond 2040

ENDERLIN

ET Boundary Potential Reliever Routes - Proposed Route Subject to Change



Non-County System

99

MAPLETON 10 WEST FARGO **FARGO** PRAIRIE ROSE FRONTIER BRIARWOOD HORACE DAVENPORT OXBOW KINDRED

Figure 7.13: South Side Diversion Bridge Locations

Diversion Bridges

Possible New Bridge Locations



AIRPORTS

There are 30 airports in Cass County, including one commercial airport, Hector International in Fargo, seven general aviation airports (Page, Arthur, Casselton, West Fargo, Kindred, Leonard, and Enderlin), and 22 private airports. These airports are shown in Figure 7.14.

Hector International Airport is a civil and military airport that serves the Fargo Air National Guard, commercial passenger carriers (Allegiant Air, American Eagle, Delta, and United), and cargo carriers (Alpine Air Express, Encore Air Cargo, FedEx, and Martinaire). In 2016, Hector International Airport served more than 789,000 passengers, this is a 12 percent decrease from the historical high of nearly 895,000 passengers in 2014.

Figure 7.14: Cass County Airports RICHTSMEIER + PAGE RGNL ARTHUR **SCHROEDER** PRIVATE WALKINSHAW LISBURG PETERSON NELSON WILCOX FARM SMITH PVT PUNTON PRIVATE GRIEVE 18 VINING JACOB GUST 38 WEST FARGO MUNI $\widetilde{10}$ CASSELTON 94 ROBERT

MILLER RGNL 94 DITTMER KRAF TRUE NORTH AIRPARK AUSK STRIP VINING PLATH FARMS LINDEMANN **ELLIG** FIELD 18 HAMRY FIELD GESKE AIRFIELD KRAIG FARMS ODEGAARD

Cass County Airports





RAILROADS

Three railroad companies provide freight service to and through Cass County:

- » Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) has 253 miles of track in Cass County with 250 at-grade crossings and 21 grade separated crossings, all but one are in the Fargo-Moorhead urban area.
- » Red River Valley and Western has 58 miles of track in Cass County with 100 at-grade crossings and one gradeseparated crossing.
- » Canadian Pacific has 10 miles of track in Cass County with eight at-grade crossings and one grade-separated crossing.

Railroads and their crossings are shown in Figure 7.15.




Figure 7.15: Cass County Railroads

Cass County Rail Crossings

Railway Crossing Type H BNSF At-Grade Crossing CPRS Grade Separated Crossing RRVW

TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS

Through the development of the Cass County Comprehensive and Transportation Plan a series of key issues and study areas were prioritized for detailed analysis. The following sections attempt to more closely evaluate a series of critical issues and corridors for Cass County and the county roadway system. Specifically, this element of the plan will analyze the following issue areas:

- » Speed Limits: Opportunities and limitations to increase speeds limits on certain Cass County roadways.
- » Corridor Management Strategy: Evaluate investment needs and jurisdictional issues on a series of roads being impacted by urbanization in eastern Cass County. Evaluate pavement management and jurisdictional issues on certain roads in Cass County.
- » Corridor Studies: General overview of planning and investment considerations for a series of corridors in Cass County.

SPEED LIMIT ANALYSIS

Across North Dakota there is growing interest at the county level for exploring increases in speed limits. As part of the public input process for the development of Cass County Comprehensive and Transportation Plan, the public has requested increased speed limits on county roads. Current State law allows the County to adjust speeds on a county wide basis, or on a corridor by corridor basis. The most logical approach, given varying conditions across Cass County, may lend itself to a case by case basis as improvements are made to account for inherent design issues of various corridors.

However, increasing speed limits is not just about replacing speed signs. Instead it must consider multiple factors of the roadway such as horizontal and vertical curves, capacity of bridge structures, and continuity to ensure safety of the roadway and compliance with posted speeds. Cass County has completed preliminary analysis on many of the county roads to identify which corridors may be feasible, based on design factors, for higher speed limits. The output of this analysis is considered in Figure 7.16.


Tentatively, the following corridors were identified as candidates for increased speeds based on horizontal and vertical grades, shoulders, and collected speed: County Road (CR) 4, CR 10, CR 11, CR 15, CR 17, CR 26, and CR 38. These corridors may be candidates for increased speed limits based on additional engineering analysis.

Additional analysis is necessary and should consider crashes, speed, and road condition/clear zone. The State of Minnesota recently completed a statewide study to evaluate speed limits on rural two-lane highways. Their evaluation considered eight factors:

- » Less than 10 access points per mile.
- » Six-foot paved shoulder.
- » Three percent vertical grade over the segment.
- » Hazard free clear zone.
- » Crash rates below 5-year statewide crash rate for comparable segments and ensure there is not a speed related crash problem.
- » Passing zones are adequate.
- » 85th percentile speed and 10 mile per hour pace indicates the speed most drivers are willing to accept given the prevailing road conditions.
- » Quarter-mile minimum length.

In addition to these criteria, the County should also consider the multimodal utility of the corridor. In general, the higher the speed and truck traffic of a roadway, the more incompatible that roadway is to support bicycle movements without further shoulder enhancements. Design guidance suggests shoulders up to 10 feet for very high speed, high volume corridors, which current Cass County design standards would not meet.

Figure 7.16: Preliminary Speed Limit Analysis



CORRIDOR MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

Transportation from a countywide perspective is critical to connecting communities and supporting economic development. Two different corridor management strategies are discussed below. They are stratified by urban and rural.

- » Urbanizing corridor: These corridors are evaluated based on a series of transportation needs and factors. Most specifically urbanizing corridors are evaluated in terms of strategic development to ensure a smooth transition of these corridors from a rural to urban context. The analysis of these urbanizing corridors considers future roadway improvements and considerations for potential turnback of these corridors to adjacent urban communities. As will be shown, Cass County is likely facing several significant investment decisions in urbanizing corridors in the southeast Cass County.
- » Rural corridors: Rural corridors are evaluated for a series of issues focusing most specifically on surface and pavement management. This analysis provides recommendations and considerations for potential pavement management strategies as well as possible considerations for conversion of various roads from township to county jurisdiction, or vice versa.

Urbanizing Corridors

Urbanizing corridors are those nearest to the cities of Fargo/ West Fargo. Given their proximity to the urban area, they are facing increased development pressure leading to an ever-increasing complexity of issues not akin to typical county roads in Cass County.

Some urbanizing corridors are candidates for turn back to a city road based on urban growth. In a typical turn back process, the County pays to improve the roadway to county standards, or contributes that value to the city as part of a larger urban scale reconstruction if the roadway is to be improved beyond county standards. Improvements beyond county standards are likely to include curb and gutter and sidewalks. After these improvements, the county gives jurisdictional authority and ownership to the urban community. In this case, urban communities refer to Fargo and West Fargo currently, but is likely to include Horace once its population exceeds 5,000. However, in the case of Horace, there may be instances where major scale investments are made in various county roads without the turnback of those corridors to the city.

Since 2000, the county has turned over more than 20 miles of roadway to Fargo and West Fargo and total county lane miles have been reduced by about 30 miles since 2005. Over the life of this plan, there are likely to be multiple segments of county roads that will require significant investment and likely require consideration for turning those corridors back to an adjacent city. Based on guidance from the County, a prioritized list of urbanizing corridors was evaluated regarding future potential investment needs and consideration for future turnback to a city.

- » CR 6/76th Avenue
- » CR 17
- » CR 81/University Drive
- » CR 14/100th Avenue



Figure 7.17: County Road 17

CR 6/76th Avenue: CR 17 to 38th Street

Issue: 76th Avenue is currently a township road between CR 17 and 38th Street. Because of increased development pressure and the imminent location of a new West Fargo High School/Middle School, Cass County has taken the step of programming future improvements to this three-mile stretch of corridor. It will eventually include an I-29 interchange and connect major growth areas, including a West Fargo School District high school and possible middle school in Horace and Fargo Davies High School.

Opportunity: Much of the area surrounding the 76th Avenue corridor has not yet been developed, but is within the City of Fargo's Extraterritorial area or within the city limits of Horace. The growth area plans completed for this area suggest commercial development and medium to high density residential development. The most recent demographic forecasts suggest there could be more than 4,500 new jobs and more than 3,000 new households along this corridor. Travel demand model estimates from the Southwest Metro Transportation Plan suggest traffic volumes approaching the interstate will exceed 20,000 vehicles per day.

To address projected development along 76th Avenue and to respond proactively to the multijurisdictional nature of the corridor, Cass County has elected to take over jurisdiction of 76th Avenue and add to its county road network as CR 6. The addition of 76th Avenue as future CR 6 will maintain the corridor for future mobility and an eventual connection to I-29. The County has programmed investments for this corridor for 2019 (grading and surfacing from CR 17 to the future 45th Street) and 2021 (grading and surfacing from the future 45th Street to 25th Street). Cass County will need to work diligently to preserve right-of-way and access control consistent with City of Fargo arterial standards.

Recommendation: Improvements to this corridor will occur in two parts. First, the county's programmed improvements to occur in 2019 and 2021 will improve the gravel section to a paved rural section. While the county has currently included the mile segment east of I-29 to 25th Street, this area is fully within the City of Fargo boundaries, who should manage its urban transition without County assistance. Once paved, the county should maintain jurisdictional control over the roadway work to preserve operations of the corridor and access management.

At such time when the corridor requires conversion to an urban section and a 76th Avenue interchange is programmed for construction, the county may need to further upgrade the corridor to an urban section, but would be eligible for Urban Roads program funds. After urbanization, the county should turnback 76th Avenue from 57th Avenue (western edge of Fargo city limits) to 38th Street to the City of Fargo. The remaining segment of the corridor from Sheyenne Street could be turned over to the City of Horace, when they are prepared to manage a future major arterial roadway.

Depending on the extent of the grading and surfacing project, the County is estimated to need \$5.25 million to improve this corridor to paved rural sections shown in Table 7.6. More funding may be necessary if they participate in the urbanization of the corridor. The Southwest Subarea, including CR 6/76th Avenue is shown in Figure 7.18.

To assist with relieving future travel demand on Sheyenne Street (CR 17), additional consideration should be given to improving and upgrading 45th Street between 52nd Avenue and 76th Avenue. This improvement should be phased with upgrades to 76th Avenue and done so to coordinate with the development of school facilities along 76th Avenue.

Table 7.6: Estimated Cost for CR 6/76th Avenue Corridor Improvements

CR 6 Segment	Year	Length	Estimated Cost
CR 17 to Future 45th Street	2019	1 miles	\$1.5 M
Future 45th Street I-29	2021	2 mile	\$3.75 M
Total		3 Miles	\$5.25 M

CR 17: 52nd Avenue to 100th Avenue

Issue: Significant investments are being planned and programmed along Sheyenne Street (old CR 17) north of 52nd Avenue. Development south of 52nd Avenue on CR 17 is already placing significant pressure on corridor management efforts. A proactive corridor management approach is needed on CR 17 between 52nd Avenue and 100th Avenue (CR 14). While the CR 17 corridor is fully within the Horace city limits, it is likely that Cass County will need to drive most future corridor management and investments along the corridor until it is converted to an urban section, specifically the northern two miles.

Opportunity: This four mile stretch of CR 17 from 52nd Avenue to 100th Avenue is fully within the Horace city boundaries. It transitions from a paved rural three-lane section with a center left-turn lane to a rural two-lane section. Cass county has made significant intersection upgrades along all of this corridor.

The 2045 Demographic Projections recently completed for the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments projected more than 1,650 new households in Horace, between 76th Avenue and 100th Avenue (CR 14).

Over the last five years, traffic volumes from on CR 17 from 52nd Avenue to 100th Avenue have been fairly stable growing around one percent per year on the northern end (52nd Avenue S) to three percent per year on the southern end (100th Avenue S). However, future traffic projections in the most recent travel demand model has projected volumes will range from 13,000 to 20,600 by 2040 between 52nd Avenue S and 76th Avenue.

Generally, a two-lane undivided roadway can carry between 12,000 and 15,000 with acceptable operations, depending on traffic control, turn lanes, and access density. The projected volumes will certainly require roadway modifications for acceptable operations which exceed the current design of the north two miles of the CR 17 corridor. The 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan recommended a four- or five-lane urban section on CR 17 from 52nd Avenue to 76th Avenue, with an estimated total cost of \$11 million. The project would likely be necessary between 2031 and 2040. However, if Horace develops following more recent growth projections, these improvements may be necessary before 2031.

South of 76th Avenue, future traffic volumes are unlikely to warrant roadway improvements. However, as development continues in and around Horace, the need for future

roadway investments should be anticipated. At a minimum, the County should anticipate further enhancements in the way of turn lanes and related access considerations for the southern two miles of CR 17 between 76th Avenue and 100th Avenue (CR 14).

Recommendation: The northern two-mile segment of the CR 17 corridor is likely to need investment prior to 2030. To integrate the recommendations of the current LRTP, it is assumed a 4/5 lane urban section will be needed from 52nd Avenue to 76th Avenue over the life of this plan. The county would also likely need to install traffic control signals at the 64th and 76th Avenue intersections.

The southern two-mile segment of this corridor is likely to need investment before 2030 in the form of enhancements to the current 2 lane rural section, which is dependent on the intensity and timing of the growth of Horace. The county should continue to limit accesses onto CR 17 and monitor growth to develop more accurate timing of improvements.

Horace will soon exceed the 5,000 population threshold to become an urban area and thus be eligible for Federal funding. However, all of CR 17 from 52nd Avenue to CR 14 is currently in the Metro COG Urban Area boundary, making any future investment in the corridor eligible for NDDOT Urban Roads funds, regardless of Horace's population. Therefore, currently, any major investment strategy along CR 17 can be led by Cass County with Urban Roads funds if they choose to submit those projects for consideration by Metro COG and NDDOT.

It is likely Cass County will need to lead the effort in managing and investing in CR 17 south of 52nd Avenue. Future improvements should be considered for NDDOT Urban Roads funding through Metro COG. However, consideration of turning any of these four miles back to the City of Horace would not be recommended until major investments are programmed in Metro COGs TIP and implemented. The estimated cost for CR 17 improvements is shown in Table 7.7. The Southwest Subarea, including CR 17 is shown in Figure 7.18.

Table 7.7: Estimated Cost for CR 17 Corridor Improvements

CR 17 Segment	Year	Length	Estimated Cost
52nd to 64th Avenue	2031	1 mile	\$5.8 M
64th to 76th Avenue	2031	1 mile	\$5.8 M
76th to 88th Avenue	2040+	1 mile	\$5.5 M
88th to 100th Avenue	2040+	1 mile	\$5.5 M
Total		4 miles	\$22.6 M

CR 81/University Drive: 52nd Avenue to 100th Avenue

Issue: CR 81/University Drive is currently a paved three-lane rural section (one-lane in each direction with a center left-turn lane) from 52nd Avenue to 70th Avenue and a two-lane rural section south past 100th Avenue. The City of Fargo recently expanded its southern border beyond 100th Avenue South and has zoned primarily residential and limited commercial land uses along the CR 81/University Drive corridor. The Davies High School campus is south of 70th Avenue between 25th Street and CR 81/University Drive. As this area develops, it is likely this corridor will need improvements to adequately serve the transportation demand. Improvements might include medians, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, and curb and gutter.

Opportunity: Generally, a two-lane undivided roadway can carry between 12,000 and 15,000 with acceptable operations, depending on traffic control, turn lanes, and access density. On the northern end of this corridor, around 52nd Avenue, traffic has grown around four percent annually since 2005, but has been nearly constant further south. The current travel demand model for the Fargo-Moorhead metropolitan area projects varied levels of growth along this corridor through 2040. Updated household and employment projections, along with most recent traffic counts, suggests this area is developing faster than anticipated. However, given the low traffic volumes and good pavement condition, this corridor is not likely a candidate for turn back in the near- or mid-term, especially with the prospect of a 76th Avenue interchange, which would draw significant traffic volumes off of CR 81/University Drive.

Recommendation: This corridor is unlikely to need significant investment before 2030, however is highly dependent on the rate of urbanization around the Fargo Davies High School and a 76th Avenue interchange. Continue to limit accesses onto CR 81/University Drive and monitor growth and development surrounding the corridor. The Southeast Subarea, including CR 81/University Drive is shown in Figure 7.18.

CR 14/100th Avenue: I-29 to the Sheyenne River

Issue: While most of this area will require permanent flood protection to be financially feasible, the area remains attractive for development. County Road 14/100th Avenue will be an important corridor for far south-side mobility, with connections across I-29 and the future FM Diversion.

Opportunity: CR 14/100th Avenue is a paved rural two-lane section from the Sheyenne River to CR 81/University Drive. It is a low volume roadway with volumes on the west end less than 300 vehicles per day to 1,230 vehicles per day at the interchange with I-29. Outside of Horace, there is no household or employment growth projected through 2045. Additionally, the Southwest Metro Transportation Plan did not anticipate significant growth around this corridor, nor did it expect a jurisdictional transfer from the County to the City of Fargo and City of Horace before 2040.

Beyond 2040, the CR 14/100th Avenue corridor is likely to be an important transportation connection across the south metro area. There is an existing interchange, it is expected to have an FM Diversion crossing, and has the potential to cross the Red River and connect to Cass County Road 65 in Minnesota.

Recommendation: This corridor is not anticipated to be necessary for a turn back to the City of Fargo and City of Horace before 2040. Continue to limit accesses onto CR 14/100th Avenue and monitor growth and development surrounding the corridor. Additional effort should be focused on one-quarter mile access spacing to allow for 38th Street to convert to a frontage road if necessary for interchange operations. The Southeast Subarea, including CR 14/100th Avenue is shown in Figure 7.18.




Figure 7.18: Southwest Metro Subarea Urbanizing Corridors

County Road Subarea Analysis

Roads	Projected Household and Jol	b Growth (2045)	
Interstates	No Change	### 2017 Adjusted ADT	
State Highways	0 - 250	### 2045 ADT	
County Roads	250 - 500	### 2045 ADT	
— Township Roads	500 - 1000	Analysis Corridor	0
City Streets	1000 - 3089	City Limits	

CR 20/40th Avenue

Issue: CR 20/40th Avenue provides mobility to the northern metro area. It will be an important connection when the FM Diversion is complete, with a diversion crossing, I-29 interchange and a connection into Minnesota. The segment from I-29 to the Red River has been turned back to the City of Fargo. Industrial development in Fargo and residential development in Reiles Acres may have impacts to mobility across the corridor.

Opportunity: CR 20/40th Avenue connects CR 11 to I-29 and into Minnesota. It cuts through Reiles Acres, a small, primarily low density residential suburb and an industrial park in the City of Fargo. CR 20/40th Avenue will include an FM Diversion crossing. The eastern four miles are paved, and the remaining five miles have a gravel surface. The county has programmed gravel stabilization improvements for 2021.

Traffic volumes on this corridor range from less than 100 on the western edge near CR 11 to nearly 1,000 vehicles per day east of CR 17/69th Street to more than 4,500 by the Minnesota boundary. There is little projected job growth in this area, and future traffic volumes are expected to stay around 4,000 vehicles per day through 2040.

Recommendation: As development continues around CR 20/40th Avenue, the county should maintain proper access standards to limit growth impacts to the corridor. Growth expectations around the corridor are unlikely to warrant changes to the surface type or additional capacity.

The two-mile segment between CR 17 and I-29 is the highest priority for paving and possible turnback to the city. However, turn back should only be considered at such time as this two-mile stretch is reconstructed to an urban two or three lane section. Cass County should actively participate in the pending Northwest Transportation Study proposed by Metro COG which will consider future system needs covering most of CR 20.

CR 22

Issue: There are no imminent issues facing CR 22. Because it falls within the band of area defined as urbanizing with in the overall study area and is considered for a future FM Diversion crossing, additional considerations was given to the CR 22 corridor.

Opportunity: CR 22 runs from CR 11 east to CR 17, where it jogs north to follow 76th Avenue. It runs through Prosper and Harwood, provides access to I-29 and across to Minnesota. This corridor will include a diversion crossing as well. It is paved from CR 11 to 165th Avenue, transitions to a gravel road to CR 17, where it connects to CR 17, a paved road, and then follows the 76th Avenue corridor, which is also paved.

The corridor carries less than 100 vehicles per day between CR 11 and CR 17, along the northern segment, following 76th Avenue it carries nearly 2,500 vehicles per day. The recently completed demographic forecasts expect around 220 new jobs and households along the northern section of CR 22/76th Avenue, which will have limited impacts on corridor operations.

Recommendation: Most of the development that will occur along CR 22 will likely occur within or adjacent to Harwood's city limits. The County should work with Harwood to maintain acceptable access spacing and right-of-way standards. Growth expectations around the corridor are unlikely to warrant changes to the surface type or additional capacity. Since a Diversion crossing has been identified for CR 22, it is very necessary that this corridor stay on the County system. Development trends in the area served by CR 22 could change rapidly once the Diversion is in place. Until changing conditions are understood post construction of the FM Diversion, no substantial changes are suggested to segments of CR 22 west of CR 17.


CR 13

Issue: CR 13 has limited connectivity and serves few cars per day. It will be outside the existing diversion alignment. This roadway may best be managed by returning to a township road.


Opportunity: CR 13 is two miles of gravel roadway connecting CR 20 and CR 22. It carries less than 50 vehicles per day. There is no expected growth around the corridor.

Recommendation: Evaluate options to return CR 13 to a township road. Corridor swaps with Raymond Township may be possible to connect different areas that will have permanent flood protection and higher growth potential.

Figure 7.19: North Metro Subarea







Rural Corridors

Management strategies for rural corridors are very different from considerations discussed in the earlier section for urbanizing corridors in Cass County. Cass County will be responsible for these county corridors well beyond the planning horizon of this document and will need to develop a fiscally sustainable plan to ensure proper maintenance. A key consideration will be surface recommendations based on daily traffic, heavy vehicle usage, current pavement condition, and regional significance.

Surface Type

Selecting the proper roadway surface type is challenging for all jurisdictions. Asphalt or concrete roadways are almost always preferred by the public, but their construction and maintenance costs are financially burdensome. Gravel roads are appropriate on low-volume roads, but as volumes increase they need more regular maintenance changing the investment needs and making them financially unsustainable as well. Both gravel roads and asphalt roads may have

seasonal load restrictions, which may hinder economic development. Roadway surface types should be selected based on traffic volumes, life-cycle costs, and county access.

In general, the flow chart in Figure 7.20 outlines the decision-making process based on traffic volumes and high level, generalized costs, based on assumptions from the Upper Great Plaints Transportation Institute's Local Road Surface Selection Tool. While this flow chart can help guide decisions, additional considerations at the corridor level may be necessary, especially when considering existing road condition, truck traffic, and freight generators.

Surface type corridor studies were completed for County Road 9 and County Road 10.




Figure 7.20: Pavement Surface Selection Flowchart

County Road 9

Issue: CR 9 currently runs from north to south from ND 46 to the I-94 frontage road, the remaining segment to CR 10 is a township road maintained by Durbin and Harmony townships. CR 9 has a grade separated crossing at I-94. It provides access to a gravel pit, leading to heavier than normal truck traffic on this corridor. Cass County is tentatively planning on making grading improvements to CR 9 in 2021 from CR 10 through Durbin Township. The intent of this analysis was to determine the justification for adding these two miles of unpaved township road to the County system.

Opportunity: CR 9 begins at the I-94 frontage road south, with eventual connections to multiple east-west county roads. CR 9 carries about 270 vehicles per day north of the gravel pit, which is about 30 percent truck traffic. South of the gravel pit and the township road north of the CR 9 terminus carries around 30 vehicles per day.

North of I-94, the roadway is gravel. South of I-94, CR 9 is paved to 42nd Street around Durbin, where it then

Roads

 Interstates State Highways

 County Roads - Township Roads City Streets

transitions to gravel again. The paved section of CR 9 was last paved in 1993, but received a seal coat treatment in 2017. Despite this treatment, the pavement condition is still only rated "Good" with an index score of 81.

This corridor is three miles east of ND-18. This corridor is not on the Regionally Significant Corridor network. Furthermore, collected speed data shows vehicles are already traveling at reduced speeds, which may be due to the roadway quality or the gravel transition.

Recommendation: The county has programmed \$3.25 million for a 2021 grading and surfacing project for CR 9 from CR 10 to Durbin. This project should continue as programmed.



Analysis Corridor

City Limits

County Road 10

Issue: CR 10 is a significant east-west corridor that runs parallel to I-94 through many rural communities. It transitions from paved to gravel to paved as it moves east to west through the county. An evaluation was requested to determine if CR 10 should be completed as continuous paved corridor across the county.

Opportunity: The public engagement process highlighted the desire to improve CR 10 to a continuous paved corridor across the county. The following analysis includes the entire extent of CR 10, however, only the segment from Buffalo to CR 5 is gravel and would require investment. This is to demonstrate the different characteristics of the roadway as it relates to the surface type.

» The segment of CR 10 that connects Tower City to Buffalo is six miles long and paved. The pavement is in Fair to Good condition. The roadway carries between 450 vehicles per day near Buffalo to more than 800 in Tower City, where CR 10 connects to I-94. Given the traffic volumes, this segment should remain paved.

- » From Buffalo to CR 5, CR 10 is eight miles of gravel road carrying less than 200 vehicles per day. This segment of CR 10 does not justify a paved surface due to low traffic volumes. The cost to pave this section would cost around \$3.25 million (estimated at \$0.325 million for 10 miles).
- » From CR 5 to West Fargo, CR 10 is paved. It carries more than 500 vehicles per day west of Casselton, but more than 1,000 vehicles per day east of Casselton. The pavement varies from Good to Very Good. Where the pavement is in Good condition, the county has included three separate grading and surfacing projects in 2018, 2020, and 2021 on this segment. This segment of CR 10 should remain paved.

Recommendation: The segments of CR 10 that justify improved surfaces are paved. While traffic patterns do not necessarily warrant a surface change, the significant public interest may warrant further consideration in managing this corridor. The County could consider improved gravel quality through stabilization and dust control near residential areas.




Figure 7.22: CR 10 Corridor

Corridor Studies

As part of this transportation plan, efforts were made to identify and evaluate key considerations at an areawide level for four specific corridors in Cass County. These corridors were identified by the public and Cass County technical staff.

County Road 8/40th Avenue

Issue: CR 8 currently runs from the Sheyenne Diversion to CR 15. Cass County would like to reassign the CR 8 corridor to an alignment with a future crossing of the FM Diversion. Currently, there are potential crossings of the FM Diversion at both 32nd Avenue and 52nd Avenue.

Opportunity: CR 8 extends from the Sheyenne Diversion, where it connects to West Fargo's 40th Street, and terminates at CR 15, where it connects to 41st Street, a township road. Based on 2017 counts, CR 8 carries around 125 vehicles per day. The primary issue for CR 8 is its eventual connection across the Red River Diversion and the appropriateness of this designation.

Between I-94 and County Road 14/100th Avenue South, the Diversion Master Transportation Plan recommended crossings at 38th Street, 32nd Avenue, and 52 Avenue, none of which are county roads west of the Sheyenne River Diversion. Once the FM Diversion is complete, CR 8 will terminate at the diversion. For these reasons, moving the CR 8 designation to 32nd Avenue or 52nd Avenue will improve connectivity and quality of the roadway. Options for relocation of the CR 8 corridor to an alignment which would

align with a future FM Diversion crossing are considered in Table 7.8.


Recommendation: There are multiple options for the CR 8 redesignation.

- » Do nothing. This alternative does not improve eastwest mobility in the long term after the FM Diversion is complete. This is the lowest cost option, with \$0.3 million programmed for gravel stabilization in 2021.
- » Design 38th Street as a County Road. This would improve north-south mobility around the diversion at a cost of \$2.1 million to improve the section between CR 10 and CR 16.
- » Move to 32nd Avenue. This will improve east-west mobility across the FM Diversion, I-29, and commercial areas. Improve to the county's gravel road standard until the corridor urbanizes.
- » Move to 52nd Avenue. This will improve east-west mobility across the FM Diversion, I-29, future growth areas, and connection into Minnesota. There are three miles of minimum maintenance roadway that would require additional investment. Improve to the county's gravel road standard until the corridor urbanizes.
- » Maintain 32nd Avenue and 52nd Avenue corridors. Both corridors have significant benefits to east-west mobility in the eastern edge of Cass County, with access to I-29 and existing metro destinations. The county could elect to designate both as County Road corridors, improve to gravel road standards until the corridors urbanize. This would be the highest cost alternative.

Table 7.8: Estimated Cost for CR 8 Redesignation Options

	F	Table 7.8: Estimated Cost for CR 8 Redesignation Op	
Corridor	Estimated Cost	Advantages	Disadvantages
32nd Avenue	\$1.2 M	 » Direct access to Essentia Health and commercial areas » Access to I-29 » Capacity for traffic volume growth » Spacing between east-west CR corridors » Gravel surface to County Road 15 » Diversion crossing bridge 	 » Limited east-west connectivity west of CR 15 » No connection into Minnesota » Electric substation at 32nd Avenue and 26th Street may present challenges to any necessary improvements
52nd Avenue	\$1.2 M	 » Direct access to commercial areas » Access to I-29 » Capacity for traffic volume growth » Connection to Minnesota » Diversion crossing bridge 	 » Near to CR 6/76th Avenue west of Sheyenne Diversion and CR 14/100th Avenue » 3 miles of minimum maintenance roadway between Sheyenne Diversion and CR 15
38th Street	\$3.6 M	» Improved north-south mobility around the diversion area	» Corridor not currently on county system
Do Nothing (40th Avenue)	\$0.3 M	» Recently improved east of the Sheyenne River diversion	» No connection to I-29» No FM Diversion crossing

Figure 7.23: CR 8 Corridor



County Road Subarea Analysis



County Road 36/County Road 18 Extension

Issue: The County is seeking guidance on the potential extension of CR 18 along the 52nd Street alignment. Coupled with this extension would be the potential turn back of the current CR 36 corridor to Normanna Township. The County is preparing to study this corridor in a separate study. This preliminary effort serves to more clearly outline issues which can be more fully explored at the corridor study level of detail.

Opportunity: CR 36 is a gravel road that zigs and zags from CR 15 (north-south) to CR 16 (east-west) and carries around 150 vehicles per day. 52nd Street is a gravel road that runs east-west between CR 15 and CR 17. East of CR 17, 52nd Street is designated as CR 18 and connects to I-29, Oxbow/ Hickson, and continues into Minnesota over the Red River. This corridor is an important connection for students in Oxbow/Hickson and rural Cass County to access the schools in Kindred.

Extending CR 18 would come with challenges, especially in terms of access management, right-of-way, and geotechnical issues. Within Norman, an unincorporated place, there are 10 access points in 0.6 miles. Access density like this can create safety and operational issues. The Norman Lutheran Church directly abuts 52nd Street. Its proximity to the street, combined with the Sheyenne River bank directly on the

south side of 52nd Street can restrict right-of-way and create additional bank stabilization and geotechnical constraints.

The CR 18 extension would need to come with a jurisdictional turn back of the CR 36 corridor to the Normanna Township. Before the county can make this turn back, they would have to ensure the roadway meets county standards. It is expected to require grading, with possible stabilization.

Recommendation: Designation of the 52nd Street corridor as a continuation of CR 18 is an opportunity to create a direct east-west route north of Kindred to the interchange at 52nd Street. It would give the county the option to turn back the CR 36 corridor to Normanna Township (six miles) and take ownership of the 52nd Street corridor (five miles) from Normanna and Pleasant Township. There may be initial costs associated with this jurisdictional turnback, to improve the six miles of existing CR 36 and costs to improve 52nd Street, that will need to be balanced. Additional challenges may likely include right-of-way and roadway width to ensure the road meets county standards.

Table 7.9: Estimated Cost for CR 18 Extension

	Length	Estimated Cost
CR 18 Extension	5 miles	\$1.5 M
CR 36 Turn back	6 miles	\$1.2 M
Total		\$2.7 M



Figure 7.24: CR 18 and CR 36 Corridors

County Road Subarea Analysis



Southside Cross-County Paved Corridor

Issue: The County is looking for a continuous paved eastwest route south of I-94. The next continuous, paved roadway is 17 miles south on ND-46 which runs along the Cass County and Ransom/Richland County borders. It begins at the western county border and ends at CR 81/University Drive/174th Avenue S. The most likely candidates include County Road 6 (76th Avenue east of CR 17/170th Avenue SE), or using a series of existing or future paved east west corridors which serve to provide a continuous, while not direct east-west paved connection across Cass County.

Opportunity: The CR 6 and CR 16 corridors provide the greatest opportunity for a continuous east-west paved route between I-94 and ND 46.

CR 6 is a continuous segment from the western county line to I-29. It varies from gravel to paved. It would connect to a future interchange at I-29 and have a diversion crossing. Improvements would be necessary to permit an increase in speeds. There are about \$22.5 million in improvements to complete a CR 6 continuous east-west paved corridor in southern Cass County.

CR 16 is less continuous west of ND 18. It varies from gravel to paved and would connect to an existing

interchange. It would also include an FM Diversion crossing. There are around \$27 million in improvements to complete a continuous CR 16 east-west paved corridor in southern Cass County.

Options considered for a southside cross-county paved corridor are shown in Table 7.10.

Recommendation: Both CR 6 and CR 16 lack some paved segments, but have proposed improvements in the 2018-2022 Cass County Highway Improvement Plan and will include an FM Area Diversion crossing. CR 6 presents the most convenient and direct corridor for a paved crosscounty connection in southern Cass County. CR 6 does have some risk, specifically with the 76th Avenue interchange timing and feasibility. If the county does elect to proceed with improvements on CR 6, they should work with the City of Fargo, North Dakota Department of Transportation, and the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments to understand the timing of improvements at 76th Avenue.

Table 7.10: Estimated Cost for Southside Cross-County Paved Corridor

Corridor	Estimated Cost	Advantages	Disadvantages
CR 6	\$22.5 M	 » Opportunity to complete corridor with no realignments » Paved from CR 38 to ND 18 (16 miles) » Seven miles south of I-94, 10 miles north of ND-46 » Future 76th Avenue interchange » FM Area Diversion crossing 	 » Proximity to I-94 may make CR 6 less desirable » Serves southern Cass County less well » Only segment between ND 18 and 143rd Avenue eligible for speed limit increase to 65 mph » Urbanization east of CR 17 may increase congestion, making the corridor less desirable » Risk if 76th Avenue interchange cannot be constructed
CR 16	\$27 M	 Existing I-29 interchange 11 miles south of I-94, six miles north of ND-46 Better serves southern communities FM Area Diversion crossing (shared with CR 17) 	 » Discontinuity of facilities makes traveling more difficult » Much more significant investment necessary for paving corridor » Only segment east of 163rd Avenue to CR 81/University Drive eligible for speed limit increase to 65 MPH
Do Nothing	\$0	» No additional investment necessary	» No improved east-west mobility between I-94 and ND 46



County Road Subarea Analysis

Roads

- Interstates **Analysis Corridor** State Highways City Limits County Roads
- Township Roads

Reliever Route

For multiple reasons, the County Highway Department has discussed potential options to integrate a future Cass County limited access highway into the FM Area Diversion plan. First, as the Fargo-Moorhead metro grows, congestion on I-94 and I-29 is expected to worsen, a future highway along the FM Diversion could provide additional capacity for traffic moving through the metro. Second, the substantial amount of right-of-way and related earth work required for the FM Diversion, there is likely opportunities to integrate the development of this reliever route within the current construction plan to minimize costs.

To properly plan for a reliever route, right-of-way would be assumed to allow for the potential conversion of the corridor to a four-lane facility if demands warranted. Access standards would be tightly restricted to prevent leap frog development and protect the corridor's operational integrity. Since this idea has only just been developed, it has been integrated into the Cass County Comprehensive and

Transportation Plan as an illustrative concept. Significant additional planning and preliminary engineering would be needed prior to moving this concept forward.

Initial concerns might relate to how to integrate this potential project into ongoing environmental documentation and permitting related to the FM Area Diversion itself. The integration of a transportation corridor of this nature with in or adjacent to the FM Area Diversion would certainly be viewed as having a "logical nexus" from a Federal regulatory and permitting perspective. Therefore. significant additional deliberation is needed on the concept, and the ability to directly tie it to the development and construction of the FM Area Diversion. More discussion on this concept should be carried forward for discussion through the FM Area Diversion Authority, Metro COG, and impacted communities. This concept could be forwarded for consideration as part of Metro COG's update of the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). Figure 7.12 and Figure 7.13 shows a generalized travel corridor alternate for the potential Reliever Route.



ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION

Active transportation supports healthy, resilient communities and is important for tourism, commuting, and quality of life. Active transportation facilities link local destinations and provide alternative transportation modes for short trips for those who are unable, unwilling, or uninterested to drive. Included in this section are opportunities to establish bicycle corridors and improve policies surrounding county highways as Main Streets.

Existing Conditions

Active transportation facilities are limited in rural Cass County, especially west of County Road 11. Within the metropolitan planning area and the east side of Cass County however, there are a variety of facilities ranging from offstreet shared-use paths to bike lanes and wide shoulders. A local group has also converted an abandoned rail corridor to an off-street shared-use path between Hunter and Arthur. The intent with this element of the Plan is to develop a comprehensive future network of both off- and on- road facilities to support active transportation in Cass County.

Creating a Comprehensive Active Transportation Network

There are many opportunities to establish a county-wide active transportation network that can serve multiple purposes and users and connect popular destinations across the county. A network of facilities will focus on two different types of users: active cyclists or commuter cyclists traveling long distances within or through the county and more traditional typical recreational riders who are only traveling short distances. The later require separated facilities, whereas the former are often comfortable with on road riding provided there is adequate shoulder to accommodate safe cycling. The approach to developing a comprehensive active transportation network in Cass County will focus on the following key topic areas:

- » On Road Wide Shoulders/Shared Road Facilities: These include corridors which can support on road or shared road facilities along paved Cass County roads.
- » Recreational Trails/Separated Facilities: These will include either existing or future proposed corridors which are separated facilities along either existing or future potential rights of way.

Shared Facilities

The County's current design standards for paved roadways include four to six foot paved shoulders on all paved roadways, which is the minimum width to support bicycle activity on roadway shoulders. The county also installs rumble strips on all paved roadways. These wide shoulders are designed to facilitate bicycle movements by avid and confident cyclists and are not necessarily appropriate for families with small children.

According to national guidance, wide shoulder bicycle facilities are appropriate on roads under 12,000 vehicles per day with speeds 55 miles per hour or less. However, as traffic volumes and speed increase, additional consideration should be given to corridors most appropriate for the suggestion of "shared road" facilities.

Regional Recreation Trails

Regional recreation trails do not necessarily need to focus on connecting specific destinations, instead provide a safe, enjoyable environment for people and year-round trail activities. Recreational trails can have a variety of surfaces depending on their purpose. Trails with natural surfaces are more appropriate in environmentally focused areas and should cater to walking or mountain biking. Trails with paved surfaces are more appropriate to ensure accessibility of users or to connect long distances. These types of facilities are more appropriate for all types of users. There are multiple opportunities within Cass County to provide regional recreation trails.

Potential candidate corridors identified for future potential recreational trails would include the following:

- » Rush River: Future Red River Diversion to Amenia
- » Drain 14: Davenport to CR 15

FM Diversion

With the construction of the FM Diversion, there is a significant opportunity to improve the outdoor recreational activities within the county, including trails. The current diversion channel cross section includes a multi-use trail system.

The county should pursue pedestrian infrastructure at diversion crossings to ensure adequate mobility across this new barrier. As is show in Figure 7.26, the future FM Diversion can provide a significant future connector between many of the proposed active transportation improvements in Cass County.

TRANSPORTATION

Rails to Trails

The County can also consider establishing a framework for rails to trails conversions which would seek opportunities to convert shuttered rail lines into recreational trails. Improved coordination with the railroads in Cass County would be necessary to allow the county to acquire the right-of-way before it is sold to private owners.

A local organization has converted an abandoned rail corridor between Hunter and Arthur to a gravel trail. While the corridor is not yet ADA compliant, local groups continue to seek state and federal funding to continue to improve the corridor. Further coordination with BNSF to prepare preservation efforts with the rail section from Arthur to Amenia presents the next best opportunity for a rail to trail conversion. This connection from Amenia, to Arthur to Hunter would create nearly 14 miles of uninterrupted trail within the county.

There is also the undetermined future potential to utilize the current Red River Valley & Western (RRV&W) line from Horace to Davenport and Davenport to Leonard as a future rail to trail candidate corridor. While RRV&W has not indicated that this line is a potential for abandonment, staying abreast of changing conditions of this line is important to a proactive approach to act quickly if portions of this line enter abandonment proceedings.

Connections to State Network

The State of North Dakota is currently developing a tiered State bicycle Network. They have tentatively identified County Road 81 as a Tier 1 bicycle facility, ND 46 as a Tier 2 facility, and CR 10 and CR 4/26 as a Tier 3 facility. The county should continue to work with NDDOT as the development and adoption of the State Bicycle Network is completed.

Connections to National Trails

Cass County abuts the future alignment of the Heartland Trail, which is expected to follow an alignment through north Moorhead, and is north of the North Country Trail, which runs through Walcott, North Dakota and follows the Sheyenne River.

» The Heartland Trail presents the biggest opportunity for Cass County connections. Working with the City of Fargo, the county could pursue an alignment through the urbanized area of Fargo and connect to the eventual Red River diversion trail.

- » The county is unlikely to be able to influence any northsouth alignment south of the Cass-Richland county border. However, improved coordination may help identify a route that could be connected to the North Country trail.
- » A natural trail following the Rush River is another opportunity to connect existing and future active transportation facilities in the county.

Main Street


Main Street is often the commercial hub for many small communities and the center for multimodal activity. Multiple small communities in Cass County have county or state highways as their Main Streets. These would include:

- » Horace
- » Buffalo
- » Casselton
- » Others

In this context, these highways must support both county-wide traffic movements and local access, and the roadway design should reflect this dual purpose. When reconstruction needs arise, the county should seek to work with the communities to fully understand the needs of the roadway to support the community. These needs may include sidewalks or side paths, on-street parking, or Americans with Disabilities Act compliance.

Most of these communities are unable to tap into the statewide Urban Grant Funds, which is limited to communities with populations over 5,000, but have many of the same needs. Additional information on statewide resources can be found in the Community Development section of this plan.

Figure 7.26: Active Transportation Network



Cass County Multimodal Needs

Bike Corridors & Trails



TRANSPORTATION

MOBILITY OPTIONS

Improving mobility options through transit can have many positive benefits for rural Cass County. Providing mobility options for seniors can help them age in place, maintaining the social and community structure they know, while allowing them to access the services they need. Providing mobility options for commuters can help increase access to more housing choices and reduce congestion on major corridors.

Public Transit

Valley Senior Services currently provides rural transportation to the general public in smaller communities and rural areas of Cass County. They operate three routes.

- » The North Route, running through Hunter, Arthur, Casselton, and Fargo operates on Tuesdays.
- » The South Route running through Leonard, Kindred, Horace, and Fargo operates on Wednesdays.
- » The Central Route, running through Tower City, Buffalo, Casselton, Mapleton, and Fargo, operates every other Friday but is rarely used.

Riders must call two days in advance to schedule a ride on their corresponding route. From 2015 to 2016, service hours fell by more than 50 percent, but operating costs declined by just 20 percent. Ridership fell 24 percent. From 2016 to 2017, service hours increased by four percent, with operating costs increasing by 13 percent. Ridership fell another 40 percent. Ridership on the North Route is significantly higher than the South Route, even with the recent ridership declines. A summary of operational metrics is shown in Table 7.11

Ridership and service hours decreased for transit service in Cass County provided by Valley Senior Services between

2015 and 2017. However, in recent years the Community of Care organization of Cass County has stepped up to provide a significant amount of transportation in Cass County. In 2017, it was estimated Community of Care served 516 clients, providing 19,772 miles of volunteer transportation which totaled nearly 2,100 of volunteer (driver) hours. These services attracted ridership typically dependent on Valley Senior Services (source: www.communityofcarend.com).

Additionally, Valley Senior Services reported that in 2016 and 2017 vehicles from either Traill County or Steele County were used to provide transportation in Cass County due to driver issues in Cass County. This arrangement is possible since Valley Senior Services operates in these counties as well. So rides provided in Cass County on these vehicles were reported as ridership in those counties, not Cass County.

CARPOOLING

In Cass County, 9.5 percent of commuters carpooled to work in 2016, and around 40 percent of the County worked outside of the community they live in. A handful of informal park-and-rides have been established throughout the county. As congestion builds in the metro area, commuters from rural Cass County and other surrounding counties may increasingly look for carpooling options and park-and-rides.

To further support carpooling and commuter mobility, Cass County can seek to provide formal park-and-ride facilities. These rural park-and-rides should be located on high traffic, well connected corridors. They should be paved to ensure they are usable year-round and include lighting to improve actual and perceived safety. An example of a formal rural park-and-ride facility in the area is located on Minnesota State Highway 32, north of Trunk Highway (TH) 10.

Table 7.11: Operational Met	rics for	Valley .	Senior :	Service's	Cass (Lounty S	pervice	

	2015	2016	2017	2015-2017 % Change
Vehicles Used	1 Van, 1 Bus	1 Van, 1 Bus	1 Van, 1 Bus	-
Service Hours	1,901	923	963	-49.3%
Operating Cost	\$4,216	\$35,324	\$39,861	-9.8%
Cost per Hour	\$23.26	\$38.27	\$41.39	+77.9%
Total Ridership	2,297	1,752	1,104	-51.9%
North Route Ridership	2,003	1,542	971	-51.5%
South Route Ridership	294	210	133	-54.8%
Cost per Ride	\$19.25	\$20.16	\$36.11	+87.6%

Siting Park-and-Rides

Based on U.S. Census Bureau data (Local Employment Household Destination Origin-Destination Employment Statistics), there are some high commuter origin-destinations that would benefit from formalized park-and-rides. All of these locations are located along the interstate or major county road to increase accessibility.

- » Argusville. Based on 2015 data, more than 100 people commute from Argusville, with more commuters coming from Gardner, Grandin, Mayville, Hillsboro, Grand Forks, etc.
- » Casselton and/or Mapleton. Casselton has more than 700 people that commute to Fargo for work and Mapleton has more than 400 people. A park-and-ride at either or both communities would serve more than 1,000 commuters. These park-and-ride facilities may also be attractive to the nearly 800 commuters from Jamestown and nearly 500 commuters from Valley City.
- » County Road 18 Interchange. The County Road 18 interchange might draw commuters from Oxbow (117 commuters) and Kindred (267 commuters). A park-and-ride facility here might also be attractive to commuters from Wahpeton and Breckenridge.
- » Horace. There are nearly 1,900 commuters traveling from Horace into Fargo for work.

There are obviously many more commuters traveling from out of Cass County or rural Cass County than those discussed above, but these locations present the most likely locations for successful park-and-rides given proximity and access to interstate. The siting of future permanent park and rides can be assisted through the development of less permanent informal facilities on a temporary basis. Examples might be the Governors Inn or Grady's Travel Plaza in Casselton. Another candidate site for a temporary park and ride could be St. Williams Catholic Church in Argusville. Facilities adjacent to NDDOT corridors (I-94 or I-29) or their right-of-way would likely need to be developed and managed by NDDOT while facilities adjacent to county corridors would need to be developed and managed by the county.

For park-and-ride facilities and carpooling to be effective as a transportation demand management strategy, the county will need to actively coordinate with NDDOT and Metro COG to develop marketing strategies for these facilities and possibly help organize carpools, at least initially.

Electric Vehicle Charging Stations

NDDOT intends to designate I-29 and I-94 as alternative fuel corridors for electric vehicle (ev) charging stations. Within Cass County, there are at least seven ev charging stations - six in Fargo and West Fargo and one in Tower City. All are level 2 stations. Using the funds available from the Volkswagen settlement, NDDOT hopes to add 12 charging stations across the state, likely through public-private partnerships. It is likely that NDDOT will seek to find locations within Cass County for ev charging stations.



TRANSPORTATION




Figure 7.28: Commuter Flows and Mobility Options

Number of County Residents Working in Urban Area

0 50.1 - 100.0 2.0 - 10.0 100.1 - 1000.0 10.1 - 50.0 1000.1 - 56008.0

Rural Transit Central South North













IMPLEMENTATION

The 2018 Cass County Comprehensive and Transportation Plan established a vision that addressed a broad range of topics important to the future of Cass County. Consistent with the Guiding Principles of this plan, the implementation of the plan will involve a range of ongoing responsibilities and new initiatives. These include:

- » Managing the County Highway System and collaborating with federal, state, and local officials to provide and maintain appropriate transportation systems.
- » Continuing the function of managing subdivision development in areas outside city control.
- » Providing technical support for local jurisdictions in the areas of floodplain, land use management, community, and economic development.
- » Coordinating with various boards, agencies, and local jurisdictions in the provision of services to citizens and businesses of the County.
- » Encouraging and supporting activities, systems, and initiatives that maintain and improve the quality of life for all in Cass County

TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS

The Cass County Highway Department maintains a five-year Capital Improvements Program that prioritizes spending based on available revenue to manage the County's roads and bridges. This plan identifies ongoing data collection, additional studies, and other initiatives needed to help determine future investment choices. It also establishes strategies to address specific topics such as active transportation, mobility, speed limits, turn backs, and highway classification. These are detailed in the Transportation chapter. Specific policies and other recommendations are also listed in the implementation table of this chapter.

SUBDIVISION AND LAND USE MANAGEMENT

The Cass County Planning Commission and the Cass County Planning Office oversee the review and approval of subdivision development proposals that occur outside of city jurisdiction. The policies which address major subdivision development developed in the past several years have been effective in addressing issues identified in the previous comprehensive plan. These policies should be maintained. However, there is a need to modify some elements of the current subdivision regulations, especially those related to minor subdivisions. Additional policies and

other recommendations relating to land use management, subdivision regulation, public facilities, and natural resources are listed in the implementation table of this chapter.

TECHNICAL SUPPORT

Cass County has a responsibility, along with other local jurisdictions who participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, for floodplain management in Cass County. This plan encourages continued County Planning Office involvement in this role, recognizes opportunities for coordination with the Cass County Water Resource Districts, and other local jurisdictions to increase the effectiveness of floodplain management within Cass County. Specific policies and other recommendations relating to floodplain management are listed in the implementation table of this chapter.

The County Planning Office has provided technical support in land use management issues especially for townships in Cass County. This plan encourages the expansion of this role by supporting the development and refinement of model ordinances for townships and small cities that support objectives of agricultural preservation, appropriate rural development, and community and economic development. Additional initiatives and policies that support these objectives are also listed in the implementation table of this chapter.

COORDINATING AND SUPPORTING FUNCTIONS

In addition to the functions listed above, Cass County provides a number of leadership, coordinating and supporting functions to serve county citizens and businesses. This Comprehensive and Transportation Plan has identified specific policies and recommendations related to several of these functions: Emergency Management, FM Area Diversion, Food Systems, Economic Development, and Housing. Specific strategies, recommendations and policies pertaining to them are listed in the implementation table of this chapter.

The Cass County Emergency Management Department works closely with a multitude of government agencies and other community organizations to prepare for and respond to emergency situations. This plan offers specific recommendations to enhance the preparedness of Cass County local governments and citizens including developing a more integrated approach with land use and transportation planning.

The FM Area Diversion has a significant role in mitigating flooding impacts and supporting the continued economic health of the largest metropolitan area in North Dakota. This Comprehensive and Transportation Plan identifies specific objectives relating to transportation and land use coordination in the vicinity of the Diversion.

Cass County is already actively involved in Cass Clay Food Partners and related endeavors to encourage and support healthy food access and the enhancement of effective food systems in Cass County.

Cass County already is a member of the Greater Fargo Moorhead Economic Development Corporation, and provides funding to support this organization. This plan encourages an increased focus on actively supporting economic development in Cass County.

Workforce housing is an especially important element of community and economic development, and the enhancement of quality of life for Cass County citizens. This plan identifies several strategies for consideration by Cass County, and supports increased participation in addressing this essential issue in the future of the County.

Cass County land use remains strongly focused on agricultural production and its related rural heritage. This plan recognizes the importance of the diverse economic base and the diverse living opportunities provided in the County. Several objectives and policies from the previous Comprehensive Plan are retained in this plan.

This Comprehensive and Transportation Plan was based on significant input from a large and diverse group of people and organizations. It recognizes that effective and ongoing communication is essential to ensuring the implementation of the plan. To this end, the plan incorporates objectives and policies from the previous Comprehensive Plan that support effective communication with county citizens.

IMPLEMENTATION TABLE

The implementation table identifies specific strategies, objectives and policies which, when followed, will help implement the Vision for Cass County and its Guiding Principles. Strategies are specific concepts or approaches that respond to the issues and opportunities of various topics addressed by the Comprehensive and Transportation Plan. Objectives are topic specific goals that are consistent with the Vision and Guiding Principles. Policies are specific actions that address or support the strategies and objectives.

Implementation Strategies, Objectives and Policies

Topic	Reference	Description	Responsibility	Timeframe
	Strategies			
	S-1	Volunteer to provide zoning maps for all townships	PO, GIS, PC	2019
	S-2	Incorporate township zoning maps into interactive GIS maps	GIS	2019
	S-3	Host township land use workshops to support township zoning administration	PC	2018, annual
	S-4	Be a resource of land use best practices and related educational materials	РО	2018, annual
ηt	S-5	Refine/develop two model township zoning ordinances	PO	2019-2020
Land Use and Growth Management	S-6	Develop regulations preventing development from occurring in areas prone to soil stability erosion problems, preventing land uses accelerating the inherent problem, and protecting the riverfront vegetation helping to stabilize soils	PO	2020
Ла	Objectives and	Policies		
۷ ر	O-1	Promote compact and orderly development		
wt	P 1-1	Encourage infill development and redevelopment where appropriate	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
2	P 1-2	Support minor subdivision development in rural areas	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
0	P 1-3	Guide major subdivisions toward community growth areas	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
nd	P 1-4	Prevent premature development	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
Jse a	P 1-5	Periodically update the County's subdivision ordinances to compliment local municipalities ordinances and not encourage sprawl	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
ի քս	P 1-6	Support development patterns that preserve agricultural land and farming of food crops for nearby consumption.	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
La	O-2	Prevent incompatible land uses from locating in close proximity to one another		
	P 2-1	Discourage premature development of farmland	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 2-2	Encourage township zoning to address land use compatibility	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	O-3	Promote major residential subdivision development which will more easily convert to an urban environment		
	P 3-1	Encourage development whose lots will not become economically infeasible if annexed into a municipality	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 3-2	Maintain infrastructure and urban design standard requirements for major subdivisions	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing

Topic	Reference	Description	Responsibility	Timeframe
th	O-4	Ensure new development will protect the short and long term health, safety, and general welfare of county's citizens by preventing the problems associated with flooding and soil stability		
ow It	P 4-1	Deter development which adversely impacts the flooding potential in the County and requiring mitigation	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
d GI mer	P 4-2	Deter development and land uses that will adversely impact soil stability	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
an(O-5	Properly address the impact of new development on existing drainage systems		
Land Use and Growth Management	P 5-1	Require new major developments to submit a drainage plan to study the needs of the new development drainage system, its impact on the existing drainage system, and any other information required by the water resource board and/or the jurisdictions with authority over relevant roadways.	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 5-2	Ensure new development will not adversely impact current drainage systems	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	S-7	Work with Valley Senior Services, Metro Area Transit, FM Metro COG, and ND DOT to promote the development of formal and informal "park-n-ride" facilities at key intersections in rural Cass County for use by metro bound commuters	HD	2020
	S-8	Work with Valley Senior Services, Metro Area Transit, FM Metro COG, and ND DOT to promote the development and use of Rideshare and Carpooling programs in Cass County for use by metro bound commuters	HD	2019
tion	O-6	Encourage the use of the existing public transit in rural Cass County by all residents of rural Cass County		
ortati	P 6-1	Ensure adequate local, state, and federal funding for transit services in rural Cass County by reviewing the existing needs and demands of rural residents on a regular basis	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
Transporta	0-7	Prevent new development from placing an economic strain on the county to provide a safe and quality road network		
F	P 7-1	Require those benefiting the most from roads to pay the cost for installation, upgrading, and repairing of subdivision roads and the public roads providing access to the development	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 7-2	Prevent development which will have an adverse effect on the public road network, unless mitigated	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	O-8	Require new development roads to meet the transportation and safety needs of the county's citizens during the initial construction of the subdivisions (major subdivisions)		

Topic	Reference	Description	Responsibility	Timeframe
	P8-1	Require new development to provide roads meeting both the current and future needs of the county's citizens	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 8-2	Prevent development requiring the new land owners and residents of subdivisions from having to fund, plan, and organize upgrades to the subdivision roads to meet their transportation needs or requirements after lots have been sold and homes built	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 8-3	Require new developments submit and fund a transportation plan to study the transportation and safety needs of the development and the surrounding area	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 8-4	Require developers to pay for and install any necessary street, traffic signs, or lighting features	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
on	O-9	Encourage development creating pedestrian friendly design		
tati	P 9-1	Require the safety improvements needed for safe pedestrian interaction with the road network	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
por	P 9-2	Design roads so they complement the neighborhood environment	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
Transportation	P 9-3	Promote development providing walking and bike paths within the subdivision and connecting to existing or future walking or bike paths	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 9-4	Promote development using the corridor along the rivers as public greenway to allow for a future recreational trail system	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	O-10	Plan new developments so they more easily convert to a future urban transportation environment		
	P 10-1	Preserve and establish right-of-way that dedicates and deeds the land for the future arterial corridors found along the section and quarter section lines	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 10-2	Direct development's road network and accesses so they can more easily transition to an urban road network	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 10-3	Restrict the access points along the future arterial roads and ensure proper location of permitted accesses	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
(0)	O-11	Ensure new development provides the necessary level of quality services for the county's citizens		
Public Facilities	P 11-1	Require levels of services for roads, water, stormwater drainage, and all other related services and infrastructure appropriate to the scale of the development	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
Д Ř	P 11-2	Require developers to install, or post a financial guarantee for the installation of all necessary facilities, services, and infrastructure prior to the approval of subdivisions	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing

Topic	Reference	Description	Responsibility	Timeframe
	S-9	Consider offering floodplain management services	PO	2020
¥	S-10	Collaborate with Emergency Management Department on public education related to flood protection	PO, EM	2019, ongoing
gemer	S-11	Be a resource for floodplain management best practices, flood insurance, dam failure response plans, watercourse setbacks, and related educational materials	PO, WRD	ongoing
ınag	S-12	Continue involvement in RISK mapping program and utilization for floodplain and land use management	РО	ongoing
Σ	S-13	Collaborate with Water Resource Districts for floodplain mapping technical assistance	PO, WRD	ongoing
lain	S-14	Host regular floodplain management workshops for local jurisdictions	РО	ongoing
Floodplain Management	O-12	Ensure new development will protect the short and long term health, safety, and general welfare of county's citizens from flooding		
ш	P 12-1	Deter development which adversely impacts the flooding potential in the County and requiring mitigation	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 12-2	Discourage development in 100 year floodplains	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	S-15	Support vegetation management practices that promote pollinator habitats	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	O-13	Promote efforts to establish and maintain pollinator habitats in Cass County		
	P 13-1	Support property owners in the establishment and expansion of pollinator-friendly landscapes	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
ources	P 13-2	Continually review management of County owned public land and take steps to increase its contribution to pollinator health	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	S-16	Work with the proper agencies to help educate the public about the benefits of certain vegetation along the river	PO, HD	ongoing
<u>ia</u> R	S-17	Create guidelines to help riverfront owners identify the important vegetation	PO, Cass County Soil Conservation	2019
Natural Res	O-14	Preserve adequate quantity and quality of ground and surface water supplies		
Ž	P 14-2	Require new developments to provide adequate quantity and quality of potable water for the citizen's current and future needs	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 14-3	Promote development providing potable water sources which most efficiently use the resource	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 14-4	Encourage development using ground water resources in the most efficient ways with the greatest long term benefit to the county's citizens and long range water conservation	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing

Topic	Reference	Description	Responsibility	Timeframe
	O-15	Protect the natural vegetation along rivers for its ability to help stabilize river banks		
	P 15-1	Create a conservation easement protecting the natural and important vegetation along the river bank	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	O-16	Protect the County's wetlands		
	P 16-1	Identify the county's wetland areas and use this information during the planning and review processes	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 16-2	Require new development to comply with all local, county, state, and federal laws, regulations, guidelines, and ordinances relating to wetlands	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	O-17	Protect the County's surface water resources		
	P 17-1	Require new development to prevent any harm, damage, or other adverse impact on the County's lakes, rivers, or streams	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 17-2	Deter developments transferring sedimentation and pollution in the county's surface water systems	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 17-3	Require new developments to meet the standards established by the EPA for storm water pollution protection	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	O-18	Prevent the introduction of sewage and other harmful agents into the county		
	P 18-1	Continue working with Fargo Cass Public Health to review, design and inspect new development sewage systems	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 18-2	Deter developments using sewage systems with limited life spans necessitating expensive replacement or upgrades	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	S-18	Explore broadening the Cass County Housing Authority role to include housing rehab and redevelopment	Responsibility for	ongoing
	S-19	Explore the potential use of TIFs to support affordable workforce housing	these strategies may include	ongoing
b 0	S-20	Explore the potential for a housing levy dedicated to affordable workforce housing	multiple local jurisdictions and	ongoing
sing	S-21	Explore the use of vacant or low value lots for affordable workforce housing	organizations such as Cass	ongoing
Housing	S-22	Explore the potential prioritization of CDBG funds for affordable workforce housing	County Housing Authority, SENDCAA,	ongoing
	S-23	Develop guidance on low-income housing tax credits	GFMEDC,	ongoing
	S-24	Participate in a Community Land Trust that includes all of Cass County	LARC, FM Area Foundation, LSS,	ongoing
	S-25	Initiate a Task Force exploration of housing demand during the Diversion construction	and Habitat for Humanity.	2019
	S-26	Initiate a County-wide Housing Needs Analysis	, .	2020

Topic	Reference	Description	Responsibility	Timeframe
	O-19	Support efforts to assure adequate present and future housing supply in Cass County		
	P 19-1	Encourage employer, philanthropic, and religious groups participation in affordable housing development	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 19-2	Encourage small communities to use Renaissance Zone to incentivize housing redevelopment and rehabilitation	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 19-3	Encourage development of an affordable housing information clearinghouse for Cass and Clay Counties	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	S-27	Explore opportunities to promote neighborhood level resilience	EM	2019
	S-28	Explore a distributed approach to emergency response resources	EM	2019
nent	S-29	Incorporate emergency management principles into model zoning ordinances	EM	2019
gen	S-30	Incorporate emergency management-comprehensive plan nexus into the upcoming Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan	EM	2019
ana	O-20	Support efforts to assure adequate emergency management capacity for all parts of Cass County		
Emergency Management	P 20-1	Encourage appropriate floodplain management throughout Cass County	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
)enc	P 20-2	Support the completion of the Red River Valley Water Supply Project	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
lerg	P 20-3	Encourage disaster resistant design into housing development	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
늞	P 20-4	Encourage protection of community facilities	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 20-5	Encourage the preservation or appropriate mitigation of historic and cultural resources	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 20-6	Wherever practical, support distributed and redundant community infrastructure	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
- Su	S-31	Incorporate the needs of urban agriculture and local food supply into model ordinances	PO, CCFP	2020
Food Systems	O-21	Support activities that both improve healthy food access and advance economic development in Cass County		
Sy	P 21-1	Support efforts of Cass Clay Food Partners	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing

Topic	Reference	Description	Responsibility	Timeframe
Food Systems	P 21-2	Encourage development of strategies to support local food including farmers markets, cooperatives, community gardens, urban agriculture, and increasing healthy and fresh food retail locations	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
F _C	P 21-3	Support efforts of Cass Clay Food Partners to ensure healthy food availability throughout Cass County	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 21-4	Support efforts to enhance rural food pantry supplies	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	S-32	Be a resource for best practices in community development that enhances local economic development	PO, GFMEDC	ongoing
nent	S-33	Host an annual community development workshop for small communities in Cass County	PO, GFMEDC	2019, ongoing
bu	S-34	Lead efforts to ensure County-wide high speed internet	PO	TBD
/elo	S-35	Collaborate with other partners in a regional affordable housing initiative	PO	ongoing
Dev	O-22	Support activities that enhance the capacity of Cass County and its communities to thrive economically		
Economic Development	P 22-1	Encourage local participation in the National Center for Economic Gardening	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
פ	P 22-2	Encourage local community efforts to improve quality of life	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
Eco	P 22-3	Encourage benefit cost evaluation of community investment	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 22-4	Encourage wider participation in GFMEDC technical assistance	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	O-23	Protect the County's valuable farmland, agricultural traditions, and existing rural character		
a	P 23-1	Identify Cass County's agriculturally productive lands and use this information during the planning process	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
tag	P 23-2	Direct large urban type developments to locate in close proximity to the urban areas	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
Rural Heritage	P 23-3	Limit development occurring away from the urban fringes to be small in size and scope so as to reduce its impact on the rural atmosphere and existing land uses	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
ura	P 23-4	Support the establishment and use of farm programs and farm protection programs	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 23-5	Promote agriculture and its benefits for the entire county	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 23-6	Educate the public about the value of the county's soils, farms, and farmland and the consequences of its conversion to nonfarm uses	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing

Topic	Reference	Description	Responsibility	Timeframe
	O-24	Maintain open lines of communication between the county and all other local entities		
	P 24-1	Distribute planning agendas to the relevant entities	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 24-2	The county planner should attend city commission and planning meetings when necessary	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 24-3	The county planner should attend joint township and city meetings	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
ion	P 24-4	The county planner should attend relevant local planning lectures and conferences	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
Communication	P 24-5	Encourage review and comments by local entities relating to county planning issues	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
unu	O-25	Provide public awareness of planning goals, objectives and issues		
omn	P 25-1	Provide the media with copies of all agendas and special meetings	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
Ŭ	P 25-2	Hold public meetings for input on current issues and goals	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 25-3	Invite public input on regular and special planning issues	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P25-4	Consider and utilize citizen suggestion when making public decisions	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 25-5	Require new developments to distribute plans and information to surrounding landowners of proposed developments	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing
	P 25-6	Use planning commission to advise the county on issues of growth and development	PO, PC, BCC	ongoing





QuickFacts Fargo city, North Dakota

QuickFacts provides statistics for all states and counties, and for cities and towns with a population of 5,000 or more.

Table

All Topics	Fargo city, North Dakota
Population Estimates, July 1 2021, (V2021)	⚠ NA
PEOPLE	
Population	
Population Estimates, July 1 2021, (V2021)	⚠ NA
Population estimates base, April 1, 2020, (V2021)	⚠ NA
Population, percent change - April 1, 2020 (estimates base) to July 1, 2021, (V2021)	⚠ NA
Population, Census, April 1, 2020	125,990
Population, Census, April 1, 2010	105,549
Age and Sex	
Persons under 5 years, percent	△ 6.7%
Persons under 18 years, percent	₾ 20.0%
Persons 65 years and over, percent	1 1.9%
Female persons, percent	4 9.1%
Race and Hispanic Origin	
White alone, percent	₾ 84.6%
Black or African American alone, percent (a)	▲ 7.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone, percent (a)	1 .2%
Asian alone, percent (a)	▲ 3.5%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone, percent (a)	₾ 0.0%
Two or More Races, percent	▲ 3.1%
Hispanic or Latino, percent (b)	⚠ 3.0%
White alone, not Hispanic or Latino, percent	⚠ 82.7%
Population Characteristics	
Veterans, 2015-2019	6,438
Foreign born persons, percent, 2015-2019	9.0%
Housing	
Housing units, July 1, 2019, (V2019)	x
Owner-occupied housing unit rate, 2015-2019	43.6%

Median value of owner-occupied housing units, 2015-2019	\$212,100
Median selected monthly owner costs -with a mortgage, 2015-2019	\$1,447
Median selected monthly owner costs -without a mortgage, 2015-2019	\$536
Median gross rent, 2015-2019	\$823
Building permits, 2020	X
Families & Living Arrangements	
Households, 2015-2019	54,571
Persons per household, 2015-2019	2.14
Living in same house 1 year ago, percent of persons age 1 year+, 2015-2019	72.6%
Language other than English spoken at home, percent of persons age 5 years+, 2015-2019	9.2%
Computer and Internet Use	
Households with a computer, percent, 2015-2019	92.0%
Households with a broadband Internet subscription, percent, 2015-2019	83.3%
Education	
High school graduate or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2015-2019	94.3%
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2015-2019	40.0%
Health	
With a disability, under age 65 years, percent, 2015-2019	6.5%
Persons without health insurance, under age 65 years, percent	△ 7.3%
Economy	
In civilian labor force, total, percent of population age 16 years+, 2015-2019	75.3%
In civilian labor force, female, percent of population age 16 years+, 2015-2019	71.9%
Total accommodation and food services sales, 2012 (\$1,000) (c)	389,121
Total health care and social assistance receipts/revenue, 2012 (\$1,000) (c)	1,860,300
Total manufacturers shipments, 2012 (\$1,000) (c)	2,601,405
Total retail sales, 2012 (\$1,000) (c)	3,299,921
Total retail sales per capita, 2012 (c)	\$30,060
Transportation	
Mean travel time to work (minutes), workers age 16 years+, 2015-2019	15.3
Income & Poverty	
Median household income (in 2019 dollars), 2015-2019	\$55,551
Per capita income in past 12 months (in 2019 dollars), 2015-2019	\$35,205
Persons in poverty, percent	1 3.2%
BUSINESSES	
Businesses	
Total employer establishments, 2019	X
Total employment, 2019	X
Total annual payroll, 2019 (\$1,000)	X

Total employment, percent change, 2018-2019	
Total nonemployer establishments, 2018	X
All firms, 2012	11,347
Men-owned firms, 2012	6,252
Women-owned firms, 2012	3,404
Minority-owned firms, 2012	552
Nonminority-owned firms, 2012	10,133
Veteran-owned firms, 2012	1,093
Nonveteran-owned firms, 2012	9,300
⊕ GEOGRAPHY	
Geography	
Population per square mile, 2010	2,162.0
Land area in square miles, 2010	48.82
FIPS Code	3825700

About datasets used in this table

Value Notes

⚠ Estimates are not comparable to other geographic levels due to methodology differences that may exist between different data sources.

Some estimates presented here come from sample data, and thus have sampling errors that may render some apparent differences between geographies statistically indistinguishable. Click the Quick Info 10 icon to the left of each row in TABLE view to learn about sampling error.

The vintage year (e.g., V2021) refers to the final year of the series (2020 thru 2021). Different vintage years of estimates are not comparable.

Fact Notes

- Includes persons reporting only one race
- (c) Economic Census Puerto Rico data are not comparable to U.S. Economic Census data
- (b) Hispanics may be of any race, so also are included in applicable race categories

Value Flags

- Either no or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest or upper interval of an open ended distribution.
- Fewer than 25 firms
- Suppressed to avoid disclosure of confidential information
- Data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.
- FN Footnote on this item in place of data
- Χ Not applicable
- S Suppressed; does not meet publication standards
- NA Not available
- Value greater than zero but less than half unit of measure shown

QuickFacts data are derived from: Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, Current Population Survey, Small Area Health Insurance Estimates, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates, State and County Housing Unit Estimates, County Business Patterns, Nonemployer Statistics, Economic Census, Survey of Business Owners, Building Permits.









METROPOLITAN PROFILE 2021

Annual Report for the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Area





Adopted: November 18, 2021 1-2nd Street N, Suite 232 Fargo, ND 58102 www.fmmetrocog.org The preparation of this document was funded in part by the United States Department of Transportation with funding administered through the North Dakota and Minnesota Departments of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration. Additional funding was provided by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and through local contributions from the governments of Fargo, West Fargo, Horace, and Cass County in North Dakota; and Moorhead, Dilworth, and Clay County in Minnesota. The United States government and the states of North Dakota and Minnesota assume no liability for the contents or use thereof.

This document does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. The United States Government, the states of North Dakota and Minnesota, and the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names may appear therein only because they are considered essential to the objective of this document.

Dear Interested Persons, Stakeholders, Jurisdictions, Agencies and Organizations --

The Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments (Metro COG) is pleased to present the Metropolitan Profile 2021 (Metro Profile), a document previously known as the Surveillance and Monitoring Report for the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Area. The data presented within this Profile pertains to the 2020 calendar year (January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020).

Metro COG began producing the Metropolitan Transportation Surveillance and Monitoring Report in 1981. Over time, this document has taken various forms in order to ensure compliance and compatibility with relevant surface transportation authorization. Under Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act), the Metro Profile has become an essential performance management tracking tool.

The profile is structured to document and monitor the following:

- (a) Changes to the transportation system;
- (b) Demographic and socio-economic conditions;
- (c) Changes in land use patterns and/or development patterns;
- (d) Accuracy of projections/assumptions made within the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP); and
- (e) Implementation of the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

The Metro COG Policy Board believes this data to be critical to both accurately represent the state of the transportation network and to maintain and to implement elements of the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Program, such as the TIP, MTP, and regional Travel Demand Model (TDM).

It is Metro COG's goal to continue to enhance the ease and accuracy of collecting and reporting metropolitan transportation data; as well as improving accessibility to this information for all interested persons and stakeholders.

Any questions or comments on the content of this document should be directed to Metro COG. Supporting plans, studies, and other transportation data for the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Area is available by contacting Metro COG (701.532.5100), by email at metrocog@fmmetrocog.org, or visiting Metro COG's website at www.fmmetrocog.org.

Julie Nash

Chair, Metro COG Policy Board

Cindy Gray

Executive Director, Metro COG

CONTENTS

Introduction	Į
Jurisdictions	(
Organizational Chart	-
Vision and Mission	8
Executive Summary: COVID-19	

Community Profile

Overview	10
Demographics	11
Employment	12
Housing	13

TRANSPORTATION

Roadways

14
15
16
17

Freight

Overview	18
Truck	19
Rail and Air	20
Pipelines	21

Bike & Pedestrian

Additional Resources

Overview	22
Projects	23
Network Map	24
Counts	25

Iransii	
Overview	26
Projects	27
Route Map	28
Inventory	29
Acronyms	30

31

INTRODUCTION

Who is Metro COG?

The Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments (Metro COG) is both the designated Council of Governments (COG) and Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the greater Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Area. An MPO is a transportation policy-making organization comprised of representatives from local government and transportation authorities. The Federal Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1973 requires the formation of a MPO for any urbanized area with a population greater than 50,000. MPOs ensure that existing and future expenditures for transportation projects and programs are based on a comprehensive, cooperative, and continuing planning process, known as the "3-C" process.

What is the Metro Profile?

The 2021 Metropolitan Profile is separated into five chapters, each of which focuses on trends affecting the development patterns and transportation network of the Fargo-Moorhead MPA. Together the chapters provide a comprehensive snapshot of the conditions and trends affecting the metro area based on 2020 data (or the most recent data available).

The chapters are grouped into two sections:

- Community Profile
- Transportation

The Transportation section encompasses topics focused on the:

- Roadway System
- Freight & Interstate Travel
- Bicycle & Pedestrian Network
- Local & Regional Transit

Within each of these chapters are metrics that Metro COG tracks from year to year. These metrics are used to track progress towards goals set in the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). The goals in the MTP are developed with Metro COG's vision, mission, and core functions in mind.


JURISDICTIONS

North Dakota

- □ Horace- 11.55 sq mi
- □ Cass County- 1,768 sq mi
- □ Casselton 1.98 sq mi
- □ Fargo- 49.74 sq mi
- ☐ Harwood- 1.20 sq mi
- □ West Fargo- 16.28 sq mi
- □ Mapleton- 3.91 sq mi


Minnesota

- □ Barnesville- 2.08 sq mi
- □ Clay County- 1,053 sq mi
- ☐ Glyndon- 1.58 sq mi
- □ Moorhead- 22.27 sq mi
- □ Hawley- 2.46 sq mi
- □ Dilworth- 3.21 sq mi



NOTE: Jurisdiction acreage was calculated from the GIS information provided by each jurisdiction. Associate Jurisdictions are depicted in navy, while the Member Jurisdictions are color coded by pink, purple, greens, bright blues, or yellow.

ORGANIZATIONAL CHART (2021)



NOTE: Committees with dashed outlines denote those that do not meet regularly/those that are called upon as needed.

Metro COG 701.532.5100

Executive Director Cindy Gray	701.532.5103
Office Manager Savanna Leach	701.532.5101
Senior Transportation Planner Michael Maddox	701.532.5104
Transportation Planner Dan Farnsworth	701.532.5106

Community & Transportation Analyst Adam Altenburg	701.532.5105
Associate Transportation Planner Luke Champa	701.532.5107
Assistant Planner / GIS Coordinator Ari Del Rosario	701.532.5102
Assistant Planner Vacant	

VISION AND MISSION

Vision Statement

Provide quality, proactive regional planning services for a changing society.

Mission

- 1. Harmonize the activities of federal, state, and local agencies,
- 2. Render technical assistance
- 3. Encourage public participation in the development of the area

Core Functions

- □ Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency.
- ☐ Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users.
- ☐ Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users.
- □ Increase accessibility and mobility for people and freight.
- Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns.

- ☐ Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight.
- □ Promote efficient system management and operation.
- □ Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system.
- Improve the resiliency and reliability of the transportation system and reduce or mitigate stormwater impacts of surface transportation.
- ☐ Enhance travel and tourism.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: COVID-19

COVID-19 Pandemic

In 2020, metro areas across the country were heavily impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. The health crisis limited in-person social activities, disrupted the economy, and altered the movement of people and goods in response to changing demands.

On May 18, Minnesota issued a stay-at-home order in response to the growing number of cases and deaths. Many jobs moved to remote work with the exception of essential workers such as those in healthcare, agriculture and critical retail.

A report further detailing the impacts of COVID-19 on traffic and bike ridership in the FM Metro using Streetlight and Strava data was conducted by Metro COG in 2021. You can find the report by clicking here or see "COVID-19 Analysis Report" in Additional Resources.

Highlights

The decennial census was conducted in 2020. In the past decade, the Fargo-Moorhead MSA has experienced faster population growth than the country as a whole. In particular, 2020 saw increased population growth in the MSA, reversing the trend of slowing growth since 2015. The population of the metro remains younger and more educated than national averages thanks to the number of higher education institutions in the area. The metro continues to diversify despite having lower rates of foreign-born individuals and those speaking a language other than English at home.

Despite an increase in unemployment rate due to the pandemic, Fargo-Moorhead fared better than the rest of the country on average. While house prices across the country notably increased, the metro's housing market remained relatively stable during the same period as 2,252 new residential units were added metrowide.

Vehicle miles traveled notably decreased in 2020 as certain business activities were restricted, social gatherings were limited and some residents began to work-from-home. According to the Transportation Improvement Program, there were 4 major roadway projects constructed within the metro.

Conversely, the demand for freight increased. Truck travel times remained comfortably reliable despite the demand. However, the number of Amtrak rail and air passengers decreased significantly in reponse to pandemic restrictions.

There was an increase in bicycle and pedestrian activity as residents took care of their health and searched for recreational outdoor opportunities during the pandemic. Use of fitness tracking apps such as Strava gained usership and the metro's bike network continued to expand.


Public transit saw declines in ridership in 2020 largely due to the pandemic. The MATBUS and MAT paratransit fleet went fare-free from March 23 with financial aid from the CARES Act. As college classes went virtual, college ridership took a hit. Major renovations were undertaken at the Ground Transportation Center (GTC).

Overview


Percent population change (2010-2020)

19.67%


United States 7.4%



Population by jurisdiction in 2020



Annual population growth (2011-2020)



Demographics



Median age*

FM MSA

United States 33.5 yrs | 38.2 yrs



Foreign born[^]

FM MSA 6.7% United States



Speaks a language other than English at home[^]


FM MSA 7.6% **United States**




Bachelor's degree or higher

United States

Age and sex distribution



Racial composition



Employment



Average unemployment rate in 2020

FM MSA

United States



Percent in labor force[^]

United States



Median household income*

\$62,820 | \$68,703


United States




Percent in poverty*

FM MSA 11.5% **United States**

Unemployment rate in 2020 by month



Top 10 industries ranked by employment



NOTE: For full industry titles and more comprehensive economic profile, please click *here* or see "Economic Profile" in Additional Resources.

^{*2019} data was the most recent available ^2015-2019 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimates

Housing



Average household size[^]

United States



Owner-occupied rate[^]

FM MSA

United States

Median house price in 2020







New single-family residential units

Fargo

West Fargo

+86 Horace

Moorhead

Dilworth

+37 Associate Jurisdictions

1123 New multi-family residential units

> +1019 Fargo

+35 West Fargo

Horace

+69 Moorhead

Dilworth

+0 Associate Jurisdictions

NOTE: For more in-depth information, please click *here* or see "Permits Plus" in Additional Resources.

ROADWAYS Overview

Vehicle miles traveled (VMT) in 2020

2.3 billion+

Change from year previous

-34.92%

Auto Travel Time Reliability (Interstate)

ND Portion of the MPA

100%

MN Portion of the MPA

100%

Auto Travel Time Reliability (Non-Interstate)

ND Portion of the MPA

67%



MN Portion of the MPA

85%



NOTE: For more information, please click *here* or see "Performance Measures" in Additional Resources.



VMT per capita

FM Metro **9,328.8**

United States **8,538.3**




Average commute time in minutes[^]

FM Metro **17.4**

United States 26.9

Average Daily Traffic on I-94, I-29 and University Dr



Sources in this section: NDDOT, MnDOT, American Community Survey (ACS) estimates ^2015-2019 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimates

ROADWAYS

Projects



Number of roadway projects in 2020

Fargo

64th Ave S from 25th St S to 45th St S- New Construction

West Fargo

☐ **Sheyenne St** from Main to 7th Ave- Reconstruction

Moorhead

12th Ave S from 5th St to SE Main-Rehabilitation

Phase 1 completed in 2020 (12th Ave S from 4th St to 20th St)

Clay County

□ Bridge replacement on **CR 100**, **CR 93**, **CR 68** and **CSAH 21**- Reconstruction

NOTE: Only expected major roadway projects in the TIP listed for 2020 are included. Confirmed updates to the status of projects as of 2020 are italicized.

NOTE: For more information about the current TIP, please click image below or see "Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)" in Additional Resources.

Final 2022 - 2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)



September 2021

ROADWAYS

Safety & Pavement Condition Targets



NDDOT 2020 safety data

Number of fatalities

33 Number of serious injuries

Number of non-motorized fatalities and non-motorized serious injuries

MnDOT 2020 safety data

A Number of fatalities

7 Number of serious injuries

Number of non-motorized fatalities and nonmotorized serious injuries

NDDOT 2018-2021 pavement condition targets



75.6%

3%

% of Interstate in poor condition

% of Interstate in good condition

58.3%

3%

% of non-Interstate in good condition

% of non-Interstate in poor condition

MnDOT 2018-2021 pavement condition targets

55%

% of Interstate in good condition

2%

% of Interstate in poor condition

50%

% of non-Interstate in good condition

4%

% of non-Interstate in poor condition

NDDOT 2018-2021 bridge condition targets



60% 4%

% of NHS bridges in good condition

% of NHS bridges in poor condition

MnDOT 2018-2021 bridge condition targets

35%

% of NHS bridges in good condition


4%

% of NHS bridges in poor condition

NOTE: For more information, please click *here* or see "Performance Measures" in Additional Resources.

ROADWAYS

Functional Classification





NOTE: The North Dakota federal functional classification system is in the process of being updated.

MnDOT expects to begin the process of updating their functional class system in 2023.

Overview




Air cargo total landed weight in 2020 (lbs)

420 million

Change from year previous

Truck ADT on I-94 and I-29



Sources in this section: Hector International Airport, NDDOT, NPMRDS, Amtrak

Truck Travel Time Reliability Index (TTTR)

Fargo-Moorhead MPA

Minneapolis-St Paul **MPA**

1.41


TTTR target 2020

TTTR Actual vs Target



NOTE: For more information, please click *here* or see "Performance Measures" in Additional Resources.

Truck



Number of unreliable

road segments

Rail and Air



Empire Builder passengers in 2020

253,486

Change from year previous

-39.8%

Fargo Amtrak station ridership in 2020

12,408

Change from year previous

-33.1%

NOTE: For more information, please click *here* or see "Fargo Amtrak Station" in Additional Resources.

NOTE: Due to COVID-19, Amtrak passenger rail service in ND was cut to 3 times a week (from daily) starting October, 2020.



FAR air passengers in 2020

478,604

Change from year previous

-49.1%

FAR enplanements (boardings) in 2020


238,508

Change from year previous

-49.4%

NOTE: For more information, please click *here* or see "Hector International Airport Year-End Statistics" in Additional Resources.

Airline	City	Market Share	Change from 2019			
Delta	Minneapolis, Atlanta*	29%	-8%			
United	Chicago, Denver	21%	-2%			
Allegiant	Los Angeles*, Nashville, Orlando*, Phoenix	22%	-5%			
American	Chicago, Dallas, Phoenix*	23%	6%			
Frontier	Denver, Phoenix*	5%	0%			
*denotes seasonal service						




Overview

Bike trips taken in 2020 on Strava

43,009

Change from year previous

+62.4%



NOTE: For more information, please click *here* or see "Performance Measures" in additional resources.

Cass County, ND

Total activities

28,748

Commute

13%

Leisure

87%

Volumes by hour

Volumes by day of week

Sun Mon Tue Wed Thu Fri Sat

Volumes by month of year

Clay County, MN
Total activities
14,261

Commute

13%

Leisure

87%

Volumes by hour

Volumes by day of week


Sun Mon Tue Wed Thu Fri Sat

Volumes by month of year

Sources in this section: NDDOT, MnDOT, Strava Metro

MPA

Projects






In-progress

- □ **12th Ave S** from 5th to 11th St S (38)
- **CR 17** from 3rd Ave N to 81st Ave S (71)
- **64th Ave S** from 25th to 33rd St S (84)

Completed

- **Rivershore Dr S** from 22nd to 40th Ave S (30)
- **21st St S** from 62nd to 64th Ave S (40)


Network map





Fargo-Moorhead Bike Map

- □ The application is available on Google Play and the Apple App Store
- ☐ The bike map of the Fargo-Moorhead metro area includes locations of shared use paths (paths for biking and walking), bike lanes, and bikeable streets. Also included in the map are the locations of bike repair stations and bike lockers.




NOTE: For the most up-to-date bike network map, please click *here* or see "Fargo-Moorhead Bike Map" in additional resources.


Counts

Annual Bicycle and Pedestrian Counts

- Both manual and automated bicycle and pedestrian counts have been taken since 2013 and 2014 respectively
- There are automated counters placed at 5 locations in the Fargo-Moorhead Metro Area that collect data year-round
- Manual counts are conducted at 16 locations on a typical weekday in September once a year for a four-hour period
- For more information about the counts and count locations, please refer to the bicycle and pedestrian count report



NOTE: For more information about the most recent counts, please click here or see "Bike & Ped Count Report" in additional resources.



TRANSIT Overview



Total MATBUS passengers in 2020

1,318,732

Change from year previous

-26.3%

Fargo/West Fargo passengers in 2020

855,848

Moorhead/Dilworth passengers in 2020

425,747

Paratransit passengers in 2020

37,137

Change from year previous


-34.6%

Change from year previous

-11.5%

Change from year previous

-30.4%



■ Fargo ■ Moorhead ■ Paratransit

Sources in this section: MATBUS

TRANSIT

Projects

Route and Service Changes

- □ Added grocery delivery service for seniors and Paratransit-eligible riders
- Extra bus added to Route 4 during weekday peak ridership hours- 10:00am-7:00pm, May 8-June 25
- Extra bus added to Route 15 on Saturdays- May 9-July 1
- Route 15 reduced to 30-minute service on weekdays- October 26-November 16

Fares

□ Due to COVID-19 Pandemic, implemented free fares on MATBUS and MAT Paratransit beginning March 23, 2020.

Marketing


- Utilized advertising trade with WDAY for television commercial on COVID-19 safety measures
- MATBUS featured in several publications by the Minnesota Public Transit Association- a video about Minnesota transit's importance during COVID-19; articles in the monthly newsletter about Stuff the Bus and retasking drivers to Meals on Wheels/grocery delivery
- Purchased outdoor sandwich boards for use during LinkFM events, MATBUS event booths


Studies

- Completed Transit Authority Study
- Began Five-year Transit Development Plan (2021-2025)
- The comprehensive safety plan required by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) was developed.

TRANSIT

Route Map





TRANSIT

Inventory

Fleet Inventory

- 11 35' Buses owned by Moorhead
- 25 35' Buses owned by Fargo, of which 2 are diesel-electric hybrid buses
- 6 40' Buses owned by Fargo, of which all are diesel-electric hybrid buses
- 1 35' Bus removed from service
- 1 35' Bus authorized for purchase

Paratransit Inventory

- 4 Cutaway Buses owned by Moorhead
- 14 Cutaway Buses owned by Fargo
- 1 Cutaway Bus removed from service
- 2 Cutaway Buses authorized for purchase

Valley Senior Service Inventory

4 - Dodge Caravans owned by Moorhead

Equipment Purchases

- Purchased Diesel Particulate Fuel Cleaning Equipment for Metro Transit Garage
- Purchased Emitters and Green Light Priority System for Moorhead Traffic Signals, with implementation scheduled for May 2021
- □ Purchased Plexiglas barriers for all fixed route vehicles. These will be replaced with Driver Protection Systems in 2021
- □ Purchased Faster Web and began implementation
- □ Continued implementation of upgraded Genfare Fare Collection System. New features include mobile ticketing, best fare, new customized app, wireless download of data, Paratransit set up with old fareboxes, etc.

Facility Purchases, Replacements & Improvements Metro Transit Garage (MTG)

Requested quotes to replace existing lights with LED lights, to be completed in 2021.

Ground Transportation Center (GTC)

Renovated the interior of the Ground Transportation Center (GTC) to include increasing office space, expanding restrooms, replacing all flooring and lighting, relocating fixed route dispatch and create a customer care center which also includes relocating Paratransit to the GTC, creating space for Jefferson Lines, replace HVAC systems, perform a variety of bus deck repairs, replace fencing.

Shelters

Moorhead purchased two new shelters in 2020 and awarded installation for spring 2021 (Clay County Courthouse and Hornbacher's Main/St. Joe's Church and School). New and replacement locations were determined for the Fargo shelters that were purchased in 2019. Procurement for installation will begin in 2021.

ACRONYMS

ACS American Community Survey (U.S. Census Bureau)

ADT Average Daily Traffic

FAR Hector International Airport, Fargo

FM Fargo-Moorhead

FTA Federal Transit Administration

GTC Ground Transportation Center

MATBUS Metro Area Transit of Fargo-Moorhead

Metro COG Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments

MnDOT Minnesota Department of Transportation

MPA Metropolitan Planning Area

MPO Metropolitan Planning Organization

MSA Metropolitan Statistical Area (includes all of Cass County and Clay County)

MTG Metro Transit Garage

MTP Metropolitan Transportation Plan

NDDOT North Dakota Department of Transportation

NHS Non-Highway System

NPMRDS National Performance Management Research Data Set

TDP Transit Development Plan

TIP Transportation Improvement Program

TDM Travel Demand Model

TTTR Truck Travel Time Reliability

VMT Vehicle Miles Traveled

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

Bike & Ped Count Report fmmetrocog.org/resources/planning/bicycle-pedestrian-planning

COVID-19 Analysis Report fmmetrocog.org/application/files/9316/2188/9131/Final_COVID-19_Analysis_Report.pdf

Economic Profile gfmedc.com/business/community-profile/economic-profile/

Farao Amtrak Station greatamericanstations.com/stations/fargo-nd-far/

Fargo-Moorhead Bike Map fmmetrocog.org/fmbikemap

Hector International Airport Year-End Statistics fargoairport.com/december-year-end-2020-monthly-statistics/

Permits Plus issuu.com/homebuildersassociation/docs/permits_plus_issue_1_2021

Performance Measures fmmetrocog.org/resources/performance-measures

Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) fmmetrocog.org/TIP






Race and Ethnicity in Fargo, North Dakota (City)

Race and Ethnicity

#1

Percentage of the total population.

Scope: population of North Dakota and Fargo




Count number of members in ethno-racial group

Relative Race and Ethnicity

#2

Race and Hispanic origin in Fargo as a percentage of the total population, expressed as percentage point difference from North Dakota.

Scope: population of North Dakota and Fargo




% group's percentage of the total population of Fargo

ref. group's percentage of the total population of North Dakota

¹ non-Hispanic ² excluding black and Asian Hispanics


¹ non-Hispanic ² excluding black and Asian Hispanics



Ethno-Racial Composition by Age Cohort

Ethno-racial composition by age cohort as a percentage of the total population.

Scope: population of Fargo



Ethno-Racial Composition per Year

#5


X


#4

Ethno-racial composition per year of the age cohort as a percentage of the total population.

Scope: population of Fargo

White 1 HispanDVERTISENWENT3







Map of Race and Ethnicity by Neighborhood in Fargo

Mixed

Count number of members of racial group





Map of Race and Ethnicity by Tract in Fargo


White Hispanic Black Asian Mixed Other


Whites (non-Hispanic) as a percentage of the population (%):

White Race and Ethnicity by Tract


62% 70% 76% 83% 90% 98%

#13





Map of Race and Ethnicity by Block Group in Fargo



Race and Ethnicity by Neighborhood in Fargo

There are 38 neighborhoods that are fully or partially contained within Fargo (29 fully and 9 partially). This section compares all 38 of those to each other, Fargo, and other entities that contain or substantially overlap with Fargo.

Non-White Population by Neighborhood

Hispanic²

#25

250

128

141 293 21

22

25

26

Percentage of the total population.

Pointe West

Woodhaven

Prariewood

Southpointe

White¹

Scope: population of Fargo, selected neighborhoods in Fargo, and entities that contain Fargo

Black

Asian Other¹ 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% Count # 1,194 West Acres 45.0% **United States** 121M Village West 30.9% 1,633 Willow Park 24.4% 770 3 23.4% Midwest 15.8M **Anderson Park** 22.2% 184 4 Jefferson/Carl Ben 21.4% 1,324 5 **Bluemont Lks** 19.6% 947 6 West North Central 18.7% 3.92M Madison/Unicorn Pk 18.0% 451 15.8% 441 Trollwood 8 15.7% South High 347 9 15.5% Washington 515 10 15.4% 582 Westgate 11 **Fargo** 14.5% 16.8k Lewis and Clark 13.9% 357 12 North Dakota 13.6% 100k Downtown 13.6% 469 13 Stonebridge 13.4% 604 14 Brunsdale 13.0% 865 15 Cass 12.1% 20.2k Fargo Area 11.8% 26.9k Roosevelt/NDSU 11.5% 1,002 16 Hawthorne 9.3% 263 17 Maple Valley 8.4% 23 18 Osgood 8.4% 360 19 8.4% **Amber Valley** 96 23 **Brandt Crossing** 8.4% 34 24 Bennett 8.4% 256 20 8.4%

8.4%

7.9%

ADVERTISEMENT



River Drive	4.3%	56	33
Longfellow	3.2%	89	34
Davies	0.1%	0	35

Count number of non-whites

rank of neighborhood out of 35 by percentage non-white

Relative Race and Ethnicity by Neighborhood

Percentage point difference from Fargo.

Scope: population of Fargo, selected neighborhoods in Fargo, and entities that contain Fargo

#26

White ¹ Asian		spanic ² xed ¹		ack her ¹		
		-20%	0%	+20%	%	#
West A	cres	-32.9%		+32.9%	65.7%	1
United St		-23.9%		+23.9%	47.7%	
Village V	Vest	-16.4	%	+16.4%	32.7%	2
Willow I	Park	-15.7%		+15.7%	31.4%	3
Da	vies	-14.4%		+14.4%	28.8%	4
Longfe	llow	-13.4%		+13.4%	26.7%	5
Anderson I	Park	-11.2%		+11.2%	22.5%	6
Lin	coln	-10.4%		+10.4%	20.8%	7
Center	nnial	-10.3%		+10.3%	20.7%	8
Mid	vest	-10.2%		+10.2%	20.5%	
Rose C		-10.2%		+10.2%	20.5%	9
River D		-10.2%		+10.2%	20.4%	10
Clara Ba		-9.8%		+9.8%	19.5%	11
Horace M		-9.6%		+9.6%	19.2%	12
Hawtho		-9.1%		+9.1%	18.1%	13
Jefferson/Carl		-8.5%		+8.5%	17.0%	14
South I	_	-7.8%		+7.8%	15.6%	15
Prariew		-7.7%		+7.7%	15.4%	16
Bluemont		-7.2%		+7.2%	14.5%	17
North		-7.0%		+7.0%	13.9%	18
Southpo		-6.7%		+6.7%	13.4%	19
Madison/Unicon		-6.6%		+6.6%	13.1%	20
	nett	-6.1%		+6.1%	12.2%	21
Amber Va		-6.1%		+6.1%	12.2%	22
Maple Va		-6.1%	ш	+6.1%	12.2%	23
	lood	-6.1%		+6.1%	12.2%	24
Pointe V		-6.1%		+6.1%	12.2%	25
Woodha		-6.1%		+6.1%	12.2%	26
Brandt Cros		-6.1%		+6.1%	12.2%	27
Washin		-6.0%		+6.0%	12.1%	28
West North Ce		-5.2%		+5.2%	10.4%	
North Da		-5.1%		+5.1%	10.2%	000
West	_	-5.1%		+5.1%	10.2%	29
Downt		-4.6%	_	+4.6%	9.27%	30
Trollw		-4.6%		+4.6%	9.13%	31
Roosevelt/NI		-4.1%		+4.1%	8.14%	32
Bruns		ADVERTIS	EME	+3.7% NT 406	7.36%	33
I AWIE and I	IOTV	1 2 71 07		1 < /I U/2	n /hu/2	2/1

¹ non-Hispanic ² excluding black and Asian Hispanics

rank of neighborhood out of 35 by %

White **→**

White 1 Population by Neighborhood

#27

Percentage of the total population.

Scope: population of Fargo, selected neighborhoods in Fargo, and entities that contain Fargo

09	% 20%	40%	60%	80%	Count	#
Davies				99.9%	83	1
Longfellow				96.8%	2,672	2
River Drive				95.7%	1,256	3
Rose Creek				95.5%	1,171	4
Clara Barton				94.9%	2,288	5
Lincoln				94.6%	1,481	6
Horace Mann				94.4%	2,730	7
Centennial				94.2%	1,612	8
Northport				92.5%	5,120	9
Southpointe				92.2%	3,459	10
Prariewood				92.1%	1,651	11
Bennett				91.6%	2,797	12
Amber Valley				91.6%	1,047	13
Maple Valley				91.6%	255	14
Osgood				91.6%	3,935	15
Pointe West				91.6%	2,724	16
Woodhaven				91.6%	1,403	17
Brandt Crossing				91.6%	372	18
Hawthorne				90.7%	2,562	19
Roosevelt/NDSU				88.5%	7,728	20
Fargo Area				88.2%	201k	
Cass			-	87.9%	147k	
Brunsdale			3	37.0%	5,766	21
Stonebridge			8	86.6%	3,892	22
Downtown			8	86.4%	2,983	23
North Dakota				86.4%	636k	
Lewis and Clark			3	86.1%	2,218	24
Fargo				5.5%	99.1k	
Westgate				4.6%	3,187	25
Washington				4.5%	2,816	26
South High				4.3%	1,869	27
Trollwood				4.2%	2,350	28
Madison/Unicorn Pk				2.0%	2,051	29
West North Central				.3%	17.1M	
Bluemont Lks				.4%	3,895	30
Jefferson/Carl Ben			78.0		4,861	31
Anderson Park			77.8		644	32
Midwest			76.6		51.8M	
Willow Park	ADVE:	OTICEN	IENIT	75.6%	2,390	33
\/illana \//est	ADVE	RTISEN	IEN I	60 1%	3 656	3/1

¹ non-Hispanic ² excluding black and Asian Hispanics

Race and Ethnicity by Place in the Fargo Area

There are 43 places in the Fargo Area. This section compares Fargo to all of the places in the Fargo Area and to those entities that contain or substantially overlap with Fargo.


Non-White Population by Place

#33

Percentage of the total population.

Scope: population of Fargo, selected other places in the Fargo

Area, and entities that contain Fargo



X

UXDOW	U.8%		3	32
Tower City	0.0%		0	33
Leonard	0.0%		0	34
Felton	0.0%		0	35
Erie	0.0%		0	36
Brooktree Pk	0.0%		0	37
Embden	0.0%		0	38
Baker	0.0%		0	39
North River	0.0%		0	40
Wheatland	0.0%		0	41
Alice	0.0%		0	42
Ayr	0.0%		0	43

Count number of non-whites

rank of place out of 43 by percentage non-white

Relative Race and Ethnicity by Place

#34

Percentage point difference from Fargo.

Scope: population of Fargo, selected other places in the Fargo Area, and entities that contain Fargo

White ¹ Asian	Hispanic ² Mixed ¹	Black Other ¹			
	-20%	0%	+20%	%	#
United States	-23.9%	+	23.9%	47.7%	V
Georgetowr	-1 <mark>8.8</mark> %	+18.8	8%	37.6%	1
Davenpor		+15.1%	,	30.3%	2
Tower City	-14.5%	+14.5%		29.0%	3
Leonard	-14.5%	+14.5%		29.0%	4
Feltor	-14.5%	+14.5%		29.0%	5
Erie	-14.5%	+14.5%		29.0%	6
Brooktree Pk	-14.5%	+14.5%		29.0%	7
Embder	-14 <mark>.5</mark> %	+14.5%		29.0%	8
Bakei	-14.5%	+14.5%		29.0%	9
North River	-14.5%	+14.5%		29.0%	10
Wheatland	-14 <mark>.5</mark> %	+14.5%		29.0%	11
Alice	-14 <mark>.5</mark> %	+14.5%		29.0%	12
Ayı	-14.5%	+14.5%		29.0%	13
Oxbow	-1 <mark>3.8</mark> %	+13.8%		27.5%	14
Frontie	-1 <mark>3.5</mark> %	+13.5%		26.9%	15
Buffalo	-13.4%	+13.4%		26.7%	16
Prairie Rose	-1 <mark>3.</mark> 3%	+13.3%		26.6%	17
Reile's Acres	-1 <mark>2.8</mark> %	+12.8%		25.5%	18
Harwood	-12.4%	+12.4%		24.9%	19
Sabir				24.6%	20
Page	-12.2%	ó +- ´	12.2%	24.3%	21
Amenia	- <mark>12</mark> .0%	ó +- ´	12.0%	24.1%	22
Gardnei	- <mark>12</mark> .0%	6 + 1	12.0%	24.1%	23
Hitterda	-11.7%	+-	11.7%	23.3%	24
Hunter	r -11.6%		11.6%	23.3%	25
Arthui		+1	11.6%	23.2%	26
Uler	-11.5%	+1	11.5%	22.9%	27
Kindred	ADVERTIS		11 2%	22 4%	28

_I1∩ 7% **→1**∩ 7% 2U 70% 33 Horaca

¹ non-Hispanic ² excluding black and Asian Hispanics

1 101 a0 0 -	10.2 /0	110.4	∠U.+ /∪	JJ
Comstock	-9.8%	+9.8%	19.6%	34
Mapleton	-9.7%	+9.7%	19.5%	35
Glyndon	-9.6%	+9.6%	19.1%	36
Enderlin	-9.3%	+9.3%	18.5%	37
Barnesville	-8.8%	+8.8%	17.6%	38
Hawley	-8.7%	+8.7%	17.3%	39
Dilworth	-7.3%	+7.3%	14.7%	40
West Fargo	-6.1%	+6.1%	12.2%	41
West North Central	-5.2%	+5.2%	10.4%	
North Dakota	-5.1%	+5.1%	10.2%	
Moorhead	-3.9%	+3.9%	7.76%	42
Fargo Area	-2.7%	+2.7%	5.48%	
Cass	-2.4%	+2.4%	4.83%	
Fargo		0.0%	0%	43
		I		

% sum total percentage point deviation of place from Fargo

White **▼**

White¹ Population by Place

#35

Percentage of the total population.

Scope: population of Fargo, selected other places in the Fargo Area, and entities that contain Fargo

04	% 50	% 100%	Count	#
Tower City		100.0%	303	1
Leonard		100.0%	296	2
Felton		100.0%	161	3
Erie		100.0%	98	4
Brooktree Pk		100.0%	89	5
Embden		100.0%	68	6
Baker		100.0%	67	7
North River		100.0%	60	8
Wheatland		100.0%	34	9
Alice		100.0%	32	10
Ayr		100.0%	23	11
Oxbow		99.2%	392	12
Frontier		99.0%	190	13
Prairie Rose		98.8%	83	14
Buffalo		98.5%	197	15
Reile's Acres		98.2%	505	16
Harwood		97.9%	751	17
Sabin		97.8%	572	18
Page		97.7%	291	19
Hunter		97.1%	339	20
Arthur		97.1%	331	21
Kindred		96.7%	753	22
Casselton		96.5%	2,412	23
Briarwood	ADVERTISEN	96.3%	52	24
Argueville	ADVERTISEN	MEIN I 06 20/2	186	25

111 100 01

[#] rank of place out of 43 by %

¹ non-Hispanic ² excluding black and Asian Hispanics

Ulen	93.270	454	JΙ
Hitterdal	92.5%	198	32
West Fargo	91.5%	28.9k	33
Hawley	90.3%	1,733	34
Dilworth	90.0%	3,815	35
Gardner	89.5%	102	36
Glyndon	89.0%	1,110	37
Fargo Area	88.2%	201k	
Cass	87.9%	147k	
Grandin	87.6%	170	38
Moorhead	86.9%	35.9k	39
North Dakota	86.4%	636k	
Fargo	85.5%	99.1k	40
Mapleton	82.3%	729	41
West North Central	81.3%	17.1M	
Davenport	80.1%	205	42
Georgetown	78.4%	58	43
Midwest	76.6%	51.8M	
United States	62.0%	197M	

Count number of whites

rank of place out of 43 by percentage whites

Race and Ethnicity by Place in North Dakota


There are 401 places in North Dakota. This section compares Fargo to the 50 most populous places in North Dakota and to those entities that contain or substantially overlap with Fargo. The least populous of the compared places has a population of 1,126.

Non-White Population by Place

#41

Percentage of the total population.

Scope: population of Fargo, selected other places in North Dakota, and entities that contain Fargo



X

¹ non-Hispanic

-			
Bottineau	14.7%	343	13
Minot	14.5%	6,875	14
Fargo	14.5%	16.8k	15
Stanley	13.9%	323	16
North Dakota	13.6%	100k	
Dickinson	13.3%	2,932	17
Burlington	13.1%	162	18
Tioga	12.7%	143	19
Cass	12.1%	20.2k	
Hettinger	11.9%	136	20
Fargo Area	11.8%	26.9k	
Wahpeton	11.7%	918	21
Hillsboro	11.1%	182	22
Bismarck	10.5%	7,237	23
Watford City	10.4%	477	24
Mandan	10.2%	2,111	25
Cavalier	10.0%	121	26
New Rockford	9.9%	145	27
Park River	9.4%	133	28
Bowman	9.1%	157	29
Ellendale	8.9%	128	30
Hazen	8.9%	236	31
Jamestown	8.6%	1,327	32
West Fargo	8.5%	2,668	33
Mayville	8.4%	152	34
Oakes	8.1%	166	35
Valley City	7.6%	504	36
Lisbon	6.9%	144	37
Garrison	6.7%	98	38
Carrington	5.3%	104	39
Langdon	4.8%	92	40
Lincoln	4.3%	144	41
Thompson	4.3%	52	42
Horace	4.3%	111	43
Velva	4.3%	50	44
Rugby	4.0%	123	45
Cooperstown	3.9%	45	46
Washburn	3.6%	52	47
Casselton	3.5%	88	48
Beulah	2.9%	95	49
Harvey	2.8%	53	50

Count number of non-whites

rank of place out of 50 by percentage non-white

Relative Race and Ethnicity by Place

Percentage point difference from Fargo.

Scope: population of Fargo, selected other places in North Dakota, and entities that contain Fargo

White¹ Hispanic² Black Asian Mixed¹ Other¹

ADYERTISEMENT +50%

#42

% #

¹ non-Hispanic ² excluding black and Asian Hispanics

Minot AFB	-26 0%	+26.0%	51.9%	6
United States	-23.9%	+23.9%	47.7%	
Grand Forks AFB	-16.2%	+16.2%	32.3%	7
Devils Lake	-13.8%	+13.8%	27.6%	8
Grafton	-12.8%	+12.8%	25.5%	9
Garrison	-12.5%	+12.5%	24.9%	10
Harvey	-11.8%	+11.8%	23.5%	11
Beulah	-11.7%	+11.7%	23.3%	12
Casselton	-11.0%	+11.0%	22.0%	13
Washburn	-11.0%	+11.0%	21.9%	14
Larimore	-10.9%	+10.9%	21.9%	15
Thompson	-10.7%	+10.7%	21.4%	16
Lincoln	-10.7%	+10.7%	21.4%	17
Cooperstown	-10.6%	+10.6%	21.3%	18
Rugby	-10.6%	+10.6%	21.2%	19
Bowman	-10.3%	+10.3%	20.7%	20
Velva	-10.3%	+10.3%	20.6%	21
Midwest	-10.2%	+10.2%	20.5%	_ '
Horace	-10.2%	+10.2%	20.4%	22
New Rockford	-10.2%	+10.2%	20.4%	23
Langdon	-10.1%	+10.1%	20.2%	24
Carrington	-9.8%	+9.8%	19.6%	25
Oakes	-9.8%	+9.8%	19.6%	26
Burlington	-9.3%	+9.3%	18.6%	27
Cavalier	-9.1%	+9.1%	18.2%	28
Hillsboro	-9.1%	+9.1%	18.1%	29
Lisbon	-8.8%	+8.8%	17.6%	30
Stanley	-8.6%	+8.6%	17.3%	31
Tioga	-8.5%	+8.5%	17.0%	32
Watford City	-8.0%	+8.0%	15.9%	33
Park River	-7.7%	+7.7%	15.3%	34
Valley City	-7.6%	+7.6%	15.3%	35
Mayville	-7.4%	+7.4%	14.7%	36
Mandan	-7.2%	+7.2%	14.4%	37
Hazen	-7.0%	+7.0%	14.0%	38
Bismarck	-6.8%	+6.8%	13.6%	39
Hettinger	-6.8%	+6.8%	13.6%	40
Jamestown	-6.7%		13.3%	41
Ellendale	-6.7%	+6.7%	13.3%	42
Wahpeton	-6.6%	+6.6%	13.1%	43
West Fargo	-6.1%	+6.1%	12.2%	44
Bottineau	-5.8%	+5.8%	11.7%	45
Williston	-5.7%	+5.7%	11.3%	46
West North Central	-5.2%	+5.2%	10.4%	
North Dakota		+5.1%	10.2%	
Dickinson		+4.5%	8.96%	47
Minot		+3.6%	7.24%	48
Fargo Area	-2.7%	+2.7%	5.48%	
Grand Forks	-2.7%	+2.7%	5.31%	49
Cass	-2.4%	+2.4%	4.83%	
Fargo		0.0%	0%	50
9-				

[%] sum total percentage point deviation of place from Fargo

[#] rank of place out of 50 by %

¹ non-Hispanic ² excluding black and Asian Hispanics ADVERTISEMENT

Percentage of the total population.

Scope: population of Fargo, selected other places in North Dakota, and entities that contain Fargo

0	%	20%	40%	609	% 80%	Count	#
Harvey					97.2%	1,870	1
Beulah					97.1%	3,233	2
Casselton					96.5%	2,412	3
Washburn					96.4%	1,412	4
Cooperstown					96.1%	1,119	5
Rugby					96.0%	2,969	6
Velva					95.7%	1,107	7
Horace					95.7%	2,448	8
Thompson					95.7%	1,144	9
Lincoln					95.7%	3,167	10
Langdon					95.2%	1,837	11
Carrington					94.7%	1,876	12
Garrison					93.3%	1,367	13
Lisbon					93.1%	1,947	14
Valley City					92.4%	6,111	15
Oakes					91.9%	1,877	16
Mayville					91.6%	1,668	17
West Fargo					91.5%	28.9k	18
Jamestown					91.4%	14.1k	19
Hazen					91.1%	2,417	20
Ellendale					91.1%	1,304	21
Bowman					90.9%	1,560	22
Park River					90.6%	1,287	23
New Rockford					90.1%	1,321	24
Cavalier					90.0%	1,083	25
Mandan					89.8%	18.5k	26
Watford City					89.6%	4,119	27
Bismarck					89.5%	61.7k	28
Hillsboro					88.9%	1,461	29
Wahpeton					88.3%	6,926	30
Fargo Area					88.2%	201k	0.4
Hettinger					88.1%	1,004	31
Cass					87.9%	147k	0.0
Tioga				_	87.3%	983	32
Burlington				_	86.9%	1,073	33
Dickinson					86.7%	19.1k	34
North Dakota					86.4%	636k	0.5
Stanley					86.1%	2,005	35
Fargo			<u> </u>	_	85.5%	99.1k	36
Minot		-		_	85.5%	40.5k	37
Bottineau Grand Forks					85.3% 85.1%	1,997	38
Williston					84.5%	47.5k	39 40
West North Central					81.3%	20.2k 17.1M	40
Larimore					81.1%	1,155	41
Grafton					30.6%	3,456	42
Devils Lake					30.3%	5,859	43
Midwest		ADVE	RTISEM	1ENŢ	3 6%	51 QM	70

SHALL VALLEY							// 0
Offell valley	2.0 70					20	40
Belcourt	0.9%					18	49
Fort Totten	0.7%					9	50
Count number of whites # rank of place out of 50 by percentage whites 1 non-Hispanic							

Race and Ethnicity by Place in the Midwest


There are 9,721 places in the Midwest. This section compares Fargo to the 50 most populous places in the Midwest and to those entities that contain or substantially overlap with Fargo. The least populous of the compared places has a population of 95,520.

Non-White Population by Place

#49

Percentage of the total population.

Scope: population of Fargo, selected other places in the Midwest, and entities that contain Fargo



X

E (1)4/	0.4 007	0.4.71	0.4
Fort Wayne	31.3%	81.7k	31
Topeka	30.8%	39.3k	32
Warren	29.7%	40.1k	33
Green Bay	28.3%	29.7k	34
Springfield	28.0%	32.7k	35
Madison	25.2%	62.0k	36
Davenport	24.9%	25.5k	37
Columbia	23.9%	28.0k	38
Midwest	23.4%	15.8M	
Olathe	23.3%	31.0k	39
Rochester	23.0%	25.6k	40
Independence	21.1%	24.7k	41
Overland Park	20.7%	38.0k	42
Evansville	20.1%	24.1k	43
Lincoln	18.8%	51.3k	44
West North Central	18.7%	3.92M	
Sioux Falls	17.5%	29.3k	45
Sterling Hts	17.0%	22.4k	46
Cedar Rapids	15.6%	20.2k	47
Fargo	14.5%	16.8k	48
Springfield	14.4%	23.7k	49
North Dakota	13.6%	100k	
Dearborn	12.2%	11.7k	50
Cass	12.1%	20.2k	
Fargo Area	11.8%	26.9k	
- argo / troa	11.070	20.010	

Count number of non-whites

rank of place out of 50 by percentage non-white

Relative Race and Ethnicity by Place

#50

Percentage point difference from Fargo.

Scope: population of Fargo, selected other places in the Midwest, and entities that contain Fargo

White ¹ Asian	Hispanic ² Mixed ¹	Black Other ¹		
Asian	Mixeu	Other		
	-50%	0% +50%	%	#
Detro	it -79.4%	+79.	4% 159%	1
Chicago	-54.8%	+54.8%	110%	2
Clevelan	53.5%	+53.5%	107%	3
Flin	t -51.6%	+51.6%	103%	4
Milwauke	-50.1%	+50.1%	100%	5
Auror	-49.5%	6 +49.5 <mark>%</mark>	99.0%	6
Elgi	-46.9°	% +46.9%	93.9%	7
Kansas Cit			93.8%	8
St. Loui	s 44.1	% +44.1%	88.3%	9
Cincinna	ti -38.9%	+38.9	9% 77.9%	10
Jolie	et -37.1%	+37.	1% 74.2%	11
Dayto	n -36.5%	+36.5	5% 73.1%	12
South Ben	d -34.6%	+34.6	69.2%	13
St. Pau	ıl -32.5% 📉	+32.5	% 65.0%	14
Rockfor	d -3ADVERT	ISEMENT +31.8	% 63.5%	15

¹ non-Hispanic ² excluding black and Asian Hispanics

Grand Rapids	-28.0%	+28.0%	56.1%	22
Akron	-27.5%	+27.5%	55.1%	23
Minneapolis	-25.1%	+25.1%	50.3%	24
United States	-23.9%	+23.9%	47.7%	
Wichita	-22.3%	+22.3%	44.7%	25
Kenosha	-20.4%	+20.4%	40.8%	26
Omaha	-18.9%	+18.9%	37.8%	27
Topeka	-18.6%	+18.6%	37.1%	28
Des Moines	-18.3%	+18.3%	36.6%	29
Naperville	-18.2%	+18.2%	36.4%	30
Ann Arbor	-17.6%	+17.6%	35.1%	31
Fort Wayne	-17.5%	+17.5%	35.0%	32
Warren	-16.5%	+16.5%	33.0%	33
Springfield	-15.3%	+15.3%	30.6%	34
Green Bay	-14.9%	+14.9%	29.7%	35
Davenport	-12.2%	+12.2%	24.4%	36
Madison	-11.4%	+11.4%	22.9%	37
Midwest	-10.2%	+10.2%	20.5%	
Columbia	-10.1%	+10.1%	20.3%	38
Olathe	-9.6%	+9.6%	19.2%	39
Rochester	-9.3%	+9.3%	18.6%	40
Independence	-9.3%	+9.3%	18.6%	41
Evansville	-8.8%	+8.8%	17.6%	42
Overland Park	-7.4%	+7.4%	14.8%	43
West North Central	-5.2%	+5.2%	10.4%	
Lincoln	-5.1%	+5.1%	10.3%	44
North Dakota	-5.1%	+5.1%	10.2%	
Sterling Hts	-4.3%	+4.3%	8.69%	45
Sioux Falls	-4.0%	_	8.08%	46
Dearborn	-3.1%	+3.1%	6.24%	47
Fargo Area	-2.7%	+2.7%	5.48%	
Cedar Rapids	-2.6%	+2.6%	5.24%	48
Cass	-2.4%	+2.4%	4.83%	
Springfield	-1.7%	T	3.49%	49
Fargo		0.0%	0%	50
	1			

% sum total percentage point deviation of place from Fargo

White **▼**

White¹ Population by Place

#51

Percentage of the total population.

Scope: population of Fargo, selected other places in the Midwest, and entities that contain Fargo

04	% I	20%	40%	60%	80%	Count	#
Fargo Area					88.2%	201k	
Cass					87.9%	147k	
Dearborn					87.8%	83.8k	1
North Dakota	,	ADVER	TISEME	NT	86.4%	636k	

X

[#] rank of place out of 50 by %

¹ non-Hispanic ² excluding black and Asian Hispanics

Lincoln	81.2%	222k	7
Evansville	79.9%	96.0k	8
Overland Park	79.3%	146k	9
Independence	78.9%	92.5k	10
Rochester	77.0%	85.8k	11
Olathe	76.7%	102k	12
Midwest	76.6%	51.8M	
Columbia	76.1%	89.2k	13
Davenport	75.1%	76.8k	14
Madison	74.8%	184k	15
Springfield	72.0%	84.0k	16
Green Bay	71.7%	75.2k	17
Warren	70.3%	94.9k	18
Topeka	69.2%	88.2k	19
Fort Wayne	68.7%	179k	20
Ann Arbor	68.7%	81.1k	21
Kenosha	68.2%	68.1k	22
Naperville	68.1%	99.3k	23
Des Moines	67.8%	144k	24
Omaha	67.2%	298k	25
Wichita	63.5%	246k	26
United States	62.0%	197M	
Minneapolis	60.4%	244k	27
Toledo	60.0%	168k	28
Grand Rapids	59.5%	115k	29
Akron	59.5%	118k	30
Peoria	57.5%	66.7k	31
Columbus	57.5%	481k	32
Indianapolis	56.5%	479k	33
Lansing	55.4%	63.6k	34
Kansas City	55.4%	261k	35
Rockford	54.8%	82.0k	36
South Bend	53.5%	54.0k	37
St. Paul	53.4%	159k	38
Dayton	52.1%	73.5k	39
Joliet	51.1%	75.4k	40
Cincinnati	48.8%	146k	41
St. Louis	42.7%	135k	42
Elgin	40.1%	44.9k	43
Kansas City	38.9%	58.3k	44
Flint	37.8%	37.4k	45
Aurora	37.7%	75.7k	46
Milwaukee	36.0%	215k	47
Cleveland	34.3%	134k	48
Chicago	32.3%	878k	49
Detroit	9.5%	65.2k	50

Count number of whites

rank of place out of 50 by percentage whites


¹ non-Hispanic

#57

Percentage of the total population.

Scope: population of Fargo, selected other places in the United States, and entities that contain Fargo

White¹ Hispanic² Black



Count number of non-whites

rank of place out of 50 by percentage non-white

Relative Race and Ethnicity by Place

#58

Percentage point difference from Fargo.

Scope: population of Fargo, selected other places in the United States, and entities that contain Fargo

White ¹	His	spanic ²	E	Black			
Asian	Mix	ked ¹	(Other ¹			
		-50%	0%	% +50%	9/	6	#
M	iami 🖣	79.7%		+79.79	% 15	9%	1
De	troit 📅	79.4%		+79.49	6 15	9%	2
ELP	aso -	76.8%		+76.8%	15	4%	3
San Anto	onio	62.8%		+62.8%	12	6%	4
Mem	phis	62.7%		+62.7%	12	5%	5
Hous	ston	-62.4%		+62.4%	12	5%	6
San J	lose	-60.5%		+60.5%	12	1%	7
Baltin	nore	-59.7%		+59.7%	11	9%	8
Fre	esno	-58.5%		+58.5 <mark>%</mark>	11	7%	9
Da	allas	-58.2%		+58.2%	11	6%	10
Oak	land	-58.1%		+58.1%	11	6%	11
Los Ang		-57.8%		+57.8%	11	6%	12
Long Be		-57.8%		+57.8%	11	6%	13
Chic		-54.8%		+ 5 4.8%	11	0%	14
New '	York	-54.0%		+54.0%	10	8%	15
Clevel	land	53.5%		+53.5%	10	7%	16
Sacrame	ento	-51.9%		+51.9%	10	4%	17
Philadel	phia	-51.3%		+51.3%	10	3%	18
Washin	_	-50.6%		+50.6%		1%	19
Milwau	ıkee	-50.1%		+50.1%		0%	20
	anta	-49.8%		+49.8%	99.		21
Albuquer		47.7%		+47.7%	95.		22
Fort W		-45.8%		+45.8%	91.		23
Arling	gton	-45.0%		+45.0%	90.	1%	24
San Franc	isco	-44.5%		+44.5%	88.		25
Charl		-43.4%		+ 43.4%	86.		26
San Di	•	-42.8%		+42.8%	85.		27
Pho		-41.5%		+41.5%	83.		28
	cson	-40.5%		+40.5%			29
	ston	-40.3%	6	+40.3%		6%	30
Las Ve		40.0%		+40.0%			31
٨١	ietin	ADVERTIS	ΞIΫ	1EN 1 27 60/	75	10/	30

X

Oklahama City 20160/. 120160/. 61 20/. 20

¹ non-Hispanic ² excluding black and Asian Hispanics

Okianoma City	-30.070	T3U.070	01.370 30
Tulsa	-30.3%	+30.3%	60.6% 39
Indianapolis	-30 2%	+30.2%	60.5% 40
Columbus	-28.6%	+28.6%	57.2% 41
Minneapolis	-25.1%	+25.1%	50.3% 42
Mesa	-25.1%	+25.1%	50.1% 43
United States	-23.9%	+23.9%	47.7%
Virginia Bch	-23.3%	+23.3%	46.6% 44
Wichita	-22.3%	+22.3%	44.7% 45
Louisville	-20.3%	+20.3%	40.6% 46
Seattle	-19.8%	+19.8%	39.6% 47
Omaha	-18.9%	+18.9%	37.8% 48
Colorado Spgs	-17.2%	+17.2%	34.4% 49
Portland	-13.9%	+13.9%	27.9% 50
Midwest	-10.2%	+10.2%	20.5%
West North Central	-5.2%	+5.2%	10.4%
North Dakota	-5.1%	+5.1%	10.2%
Fargo Area	-2.7%	+2.7%	5.48%
Cass	-2.4%	+2.4%	4.83%
Fargo		0.0%	0%

% sum total percentage point deviation of place from Fargo


White -

White 1 Population by Place

#59

Percentage of the total population.

Scope: population of Fargo, selected other places in the United States, and entities that contain Fargo



Auctio 10 10/2 1 1/4/1/2 10

[#] rank of place out of 50 by %

¹ non-Hispanic ² excluding black and Asian Hispanics

Ausun	40.370	4441	19
Las Vegas	45.6%	279k	20
Tucson	45.5%	240k	21
Boston	45.3%	298k	22
Phoenix	44.4%	690k	23
San Diego	43.0%	591k	24
Charlotte	42.8%	346k	25
Arlington	41.5%	159k	26
San Francisco	41.2%	350k	27
Fort Worth	40.7%	332k	28
Albuquerque	40.6%	226k	29
Atlanta	37.2%	170k	30
Milwaukee	36.0%	215k	31
Washington	35.8%	236k	32
Philadelphia	35.3%	550k	33
Cleveland	34.3%	134k	34
Sacramento	33.6%	163k	35
Chicago	32.3%	878k	36
New York	32.3%	2.73M	37
Dallas	29.2%	373k	38
Los Angeles	28.5%	1.12M	39
Long Beach	27.7%	130k	40
Baltimore	27.7%	172k	41
Fresno	27.6%	142k	42
Oakland	27.3%	113k	43
San Jose	26.9%	271k	44
Memphis	26.6%	175k	45
San Antonio	25.5%	367k	46
Houston	25.1%	562k	47
El Paso	14.0%	94.7k	48
Miami	11.2%	48.5k	49
Detroit	9.5%	65.2k	50

Count number of whites

rank of place out of 50 by percentage whites

Definitions

Throughout these reports we will refer to several racial and ethnic groups, the definitions of which derive from those used by the US Census Bureau. In the interests of brevity and clarity we have combined several of the Census categories yielding the following mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive categories:

- White: non-Hispanic whites
- Hispanic: Hispanics, excluding black and Asian Hispanics
- Black: blacks, including Hispanic blacks
- Asian: Asians, including Hispanic Asians
- Mixed: non-Hispanic mixed race people
- Other: American Indians and other groups ADV FITTISEMENT ategorized.

¹ non-Hispanic

above), and in our preference for the term 'ethno-racial group' in place of just 'race'. Finally, while these categories are used wherever possible, the data does not always allow this grouping, so it is important to read the footnotes of each chart to understand the definitions used therein.

For additional information about the data presented on this site, including our sources, please see the About Page (/about#data).

More Topics to Explore

Demographics

Population (/place/North-Dakota/Fargo/Population)

Age and Sex (/place/North-Dakota/Fargo/Age-and-Sex)

Race and Ethnicity (/place/North-Dakota/Fargo/Race-and-Ethnicity)

Household Types (/place/North-Dakota/Fargo/Household-Types)

Marital Status (/place/North-Dakota/Fargo/Marital-Status)

National Origin (/place/North-Dakota/Fargo/National-Origin)

Ancestry (/place/North-Dakota/Fargo/Ancestry)

Languages (/place/North-Dakota/Fargo/Languages)

Income

Household Income (/place/North-Dakota/Fargo/Household-Income)

Employment Status (/place/North-Dakota/Fargo/Employment-Status)

Food Stamps (/place/North-Dakota/Fargo/Food-Stamps)

Occupations (/place/North-Dakota/Fargo/Occupations)


Industries (/place/North-Dakota/Fargo/Industries)
ADVERTISEMENT



School Enrollment (/place/North-Dakota/Fargo/School-Enrollment)

More Maps to Explore

Neighborhoods ZIP Codes Unified School Districts State House Districts



State:



Metro Area:

Fargo Area (/metro-area/North-Dakota/Fargo/Overview)

ZIP Codes:

58047 (/zip/58047/Overview), 58078 (/zip/58078/Overview), 58102 (/zip/58102/Overview), 58103 (/zip/58103/Overview), 58104 (/zip/58104/Overview), 58105 (/zip/58105/Overview)

Unified School Districts:

Fargo 1 (/school-district/North-Dakota/Fargo-Public-School-District-1/Overview), Kindred 2 (/school-district/North-Dakota/Kindred-Public-School-District-2/Overview), West Fargo 6 (/school-district/North-Dakota/West-Fargo-Public-School-District-6/Overview)

Neighborhoods:

Amber Valley (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Amber-Valley/Overview), Anderson Park (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Park (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Park (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Park (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Park (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/P Dakota/Fargo/Anderson-Park/Overview), Bennett (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Bennett/Overview), Bluemont Lakes (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Bluemont-Lakes/Overview), Brandt Crossing (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Brandt-Crossing/Overview), Brunsdale (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Brunsdale/Overview), Centennial (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Centennial/Overview), Clara Barton (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Clara-Barton/Overview), Davies (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Davies/Overview), Deer Creek (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Deer-Creek/Overview), Downtown (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Downtown/Overview), Hawthorne (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Hawthorne/Overview), Horace Mann (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Horace-Mann/Overview), Jefferson/Carl Ben (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Jefferson/Carl-Ben/Overview), Lewis and Clark (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Lewis-and-Clark/Overview), Lincoln (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Lincoln/Overview), Longfellow (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Longfellow/Overview), Madison/Unicorn Park (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Madison/Unicorn-Park/Overview), Maple Valley (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Maple-Valley/Overview), Northport (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Northport/Overview), Osgood (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Osgood/Overview), Pointe West (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Pointe-West/Overview), Prariewood (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Prariewood/Overview), River Drive (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/River-Drive/Overview), Roosevelt/NDSU (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Roosevelt/NDSU/Overview), Rose Creek (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Rose-Creek/Overview), South High (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/South-High/Overview), Southpointe (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Southpointe/Overview), Stonebridge (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Stonebridge/Overview), The District (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/The-District/Overview), Trollwood (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Trollwood/Overview), Urban Plains (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Urban-Plains/Overview), Village West (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Village-West/Overview), Washington (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Washington/Overview), West Acres (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/West-Acres/Overview), Westgate (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Westgate/Overview), Willow Park (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Willow-Park/Overview), Woodhaven (/neighborhood/North-Dakota/Fargo/Woodhaven/Overview)

Congressional District:

ND-At Large (/congressional-district/North-Dakota/At-Large-District/Overview)

State Senate Districts:

ND-11 (/state-upper-legislative-district/North-Dakata/State-District-11/Overview), ND-16 (/state-upper-



legislative-district/North-Dakota/State-Senate-District-41/Overview), ND-44 (/state-upper-legislative-district/North-Dakota/State-Senate-District-44/Overview), ND-45 (/state-upper-legislative-district/North-Dakota/State-Senate-District-46/Overview), ND-46 (/state-upper-legislative-district/North-Dakota/State-Senate-District-46/Overview)

State House Districts:

ND-11 (/state-lower-legislative-district/North-Dakota/State-House-District-11/Overview), ND-16 (/state-lower-legislative-district/North-Dakota/State-House-District-16/Overview), ND-21 (/state-lower-legislative-district/North-Dakota/State-House-District-21/Overview), ND-22 (/state-lower-legislative-district/North-Dakota/State-House-District-25/Overview), ND-25 (/state-lower-legislative-district/North-Dakota/State-House-District-27/Overview), ND-41 (/state-lower-legislative-district/North-Dakota/State-House-District-27/Overview), ND-41 (/state-lower-legislative-district/North-Dakota/State-House-District-41/Overview), ND-45 (/state-lower-legislative-district/North-Dakota/State-House-District-46/Overview))

Neighboring Cities:

Briarwood (/place/North-Dakota/Briarwood/Overview), Frontier (/place/North-Dakota/Frontier/Overview), Harwood (/place/North-Dakota/Harwood/Overview), Horace (/place/North-Dakota/Horace/Overview), Moorhead (/place/Minnesota/Moorhead/Overview), Prairie Rose (/place/North-Dakota/Prairie-Rose/Overview), Reile's Acres (/place/North-Dakota/Reiles-Acres/Overview), West Fargo (/place/North-Dakota/West-Fargo/Overview)

Neighboring Townships:

Fargo (/county-subdivision/North-Dakota/Cass-County/Fargo-Township/Overview), Kurtz (/county-subdivision/Minnesota/Clay-County/Kurtz-Township/Overview), Moorhead (/county-subdivision/Minnesota/Clay-County/Moorhead-Township/Overview), Pleasant (/county-subdivision/North-Dakota/Cass-County/Pleasant-Township/Overview), Reed (/county-subdivision/North-Dakota/Cass-County/Reed-Township/Overview), Stanley (/county-subdivision/North-Dakota/Cass-County/Stanley-Township/Overview)

Nearby Cities:

Argusville (/place/North-Dakota/Argusville/Overview), Comstock (/place/Minnesota/Comstock/Overview), Dilworth (/place/Minnesota/Dilworth/Overview), Georgetown (/place/Minnesota/Georgetown/Overview), Glyndon (/place/Minnesota/Glyndon/Overview), Mapleton (/place/North-Dakota/Mapleton/Overview), North River (/place/North-Dakota/North-River/Overview), Oxbow (/place/North-Dakota/Oxbow/Overview), Sabin (/place/Minnesota/Sabin/Overview)

Nearby Unincorporated Places:

Brooktree Park (/place/North-Dakota/Brooktree-Park/Overview)

ADVERTISEMENT

ADVERTISEMENT

Attachment K

CITY OF FARGO
HUMAN RESOURCES DIR
225 4TH STREET NORTH
FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 01 FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION

	SALARIES	HISP OR L MALE	ATINO	N		SPANIC MAL		TINO			NON-HI	SPANIC FEM				TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 01 02 03 04 05 06	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9															
08	70.0- PLUS			5						3						8
PROFESSIONALS 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS	1		2 5 16						 						 2 8 24
TECHNICIANS 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			2 10 9						 3						 2 13 13
PROTECTION SE 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															

CITY OF FARGO
HUMAN RESOURCES DIR
225 4TH STREET NORTH
FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 01 FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION

1. FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES (CONTINUED)

				ANIC														
				ATINO		NON-H			R LAT	INO			NON-HIS			TINO		
		SALARIES	MALE	FEMAL:										FEMA	ALE			TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY			A	В	С	D	E		F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
	2.2	ė 1 1F О		1	1	1	1	1	1		ı	I	1	I	I	1		ı
PARA PROFESSI		\$.1- 15.9		-														
	34	16.0- 19.9		-														
	35	20.0- 24.9		-														
	36	25.0- 32.9													 			
	37	33.0- 42.9						ļ							l			
	38	43.0- 54.9						ļ				2						2
	39	55.0- 69.9							ļ			1						1
	40	70.0- PLUS			2		l					1						3
ADMINISTRATIV	4 1	\$.1- 15.9		1	1	1	1	1	ı		I	I	1	l	I	ı		I
	42	16.0- 19.9				-		l] 			 			
	43	20.0- 24.9						ł] 			 			
	44	25.0- 32.9						ł] 			 			
	45	33.0- 42.9		-			-					1			 			1
	46	43.0- 54.9				-		1				7						8
	47	55.0- 69.9		1		-		-				5						6
	48	70.0- PLUS		-	1	1		- 1				2						4
	10	70.0 1100		ı	1 -	1 -	1	- 1	I		I	4	1		l	I		1 -
SKILLED CRAFT	49	\$.1- 15.9		1														1
	50	16.0- 19.9		İ	İ	İ	i	İ	i		İ	İ	j i			İ		İ
	51	20.0- 24.9		İ	İ	İ	i	İ	i		İ	İ	j i			İ		İ
	52	25.0- 32.9		İ	İ	j	i	i	İ		İ	İ	j i			İ		İ
	53	33.0- 42.9		İ	İ	İ	i	İ	i		İ	İ	j i			İ		İ
	54	43.0- 54.9		İ	İ	i	i	i	i		İ	İ	j i			İ		i
	55	55.0- 69.9		i	i	i	i	i	i		İ		j i			İ		İ
	56	70.0- PLUS		İ	İ	j	i	i	İ		İ	İ	į i			İ		İ
				'	'	'	'	'	'		'	•			•	'		1
SERVICE MAINT	57	\$.1- 15.9																
	58	16.0- 19.9																
	59	20.0- 24.9		İ	İ	ĺ	į	İ	j		İ	İ	ĺ			İ		İ
	60	25.0- 32.9		İ	İ	İ	j	İ	į		İ	İ	j j			İ		İ
	61	33.0- 42.9		j	j	j	j	j	j		İ	İ	j i			j		İ
	62	43.0- 54.9		İ	İ	İ	İ	j	İ		İ	İ	j			İ		İ
	63	55.0- 69.9		İ	j	j	j	İ	İ		İ	İ	j	İ	İ	j		i
	64	70.0- PLUS		İ	İ	İ	i		İ		İ					j		i
TOTAL		, ,		1	1	1	'	'	'		1	1	1	ı	1	1		'
	65		1	1	52	1		1		1		37				1		95
												_						

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 01 FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

		HISP. OR L. MALE	ATINO			SPANIC MALE					ON-HI	SPANIC FEMA		ΓΙΝΟ 		TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI PROFESSIONALS TECHNICIANS PROTECTION SE PARA PROFESSI ADMINISTRATIV SKILLED CRAFT SERVICE MAINT	67 68 69 70 71 72			2						1 1						
TOTAL OTHER THAN F-T	74			2						1						3
		HISP. OR L. MALE	ANIC ATINO FEMALE	1	ION-HI	SPANIC MALE					ON-HI	SPANIC FEMA		ΓΙΝΟ		TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E E	F	G	Н	I	J	K K	L L	М	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI PROFESSIONALS TECHNICIANS PROTECTION SE PARA PROFESSI ADMINISTRATIV SKILLED CRAFT SERVICE MAINT	76 77 78 79 80 81			2												 1 2 1 1
TOTAL NEW HIRES	83			2						2				1		5

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102 CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 02 STREETS & HIGHWAYS

	SALARIES	OR I	PANIC LATINO FEMALE			ISPANIC		ATINO			NON-H		C OR L			TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	21-11-12	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 01	\$.1- 15.9											ļ				
02	16.0- 19.9															
03 04	20.0- 24.9															
05	25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9															
06	43.0- 54.9															
07	55.0- 69.9															
08	70.0- PLUS			2												2
	70.0 1200		1 1	_	I	ı	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	1 2
PROFESSIONALS 09	\$.1- 15.9															
10	16.0- 19.9															
11	20.0- 24.9				ļ					ļ			ļ			
12	25.0- 32.9				ļ	ļ	ļ			ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ		ļ	
13	33.0- 42.9				ļ	ļ	ļ			ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ		ļ	
14	43.0- 54.9				ļ					ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ			ļ
15	55.0- 69.9				ļ					ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ			
16	70.0- PLUS			2												2
TECHNICIANS 17	\$.1- 15.9		1 1		I		ĺ	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
18	16.0- 19.9		i i		i											İ
19	20.0- 24.9		i i		i					i	i	i	i			
20	25.0- 32.9		i i		i					i	i	i	i			
21	33.0- 42.9		i i		İ	j	İ			i	i	i	i			
22	43.0- 54.9		i i		İ	j	İ	İ	İ	j	İ	j	j	İ		İ
23	55.0- 69.9		i i	8	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ		8
24	70.0- PLUS		j j	2		İ	İ	İ	j	j	İ	j	İ	j	İ	2
PROTECTION SE 25	\$.1- 15.9		1 1		1	I	I	1	1	1	1	1	I	I	I	I
26	16.0- 19.9											-				
27	20.0- 24.9											-	ł			
28	25.0- 32.9															
29	33.0- 42.9															i
30	43.0- 54.9		j													İ
31	55.0- 69.9		i i													İ
32	70.0- PLUS		j i		İ	1	İ		İ		İ					i
					1	1	1	1	1	ı	1	1	ı	1	1	1

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 02 STREETS & HIGHWAYS

1. FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES (CONTINUED)

	SALARIES	HISP OR L MALE	ATINO	 		SPANIC MAL	OR LA:	ΓΙΝΟ 		1	NON-HI	SPANIC	OR LA'			TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
PARA PROFESSI 33 34 35 36 37 38 38	16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9															
ADMINISTRATIV 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	2 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9									1						
SKILLED CRAFT 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9			5 11 1												 5 11
SERVICE MAINT 57 58 59 60 61 62 63	16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9			21 2						2						 24 2
TOTAL FULL TIME 65	5			53		1				3						57

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 02 STREETS & HIGHWAYS

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

	HISP. OR L. MALE	ATINO			SPANIC - MALE					NON-HI	SPANIC FEMA				TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 66 PROFESSIONALS 67 TECHNICIANS 68 PROTECTION SE 69 PARA PROFESSI 70 ADMINISTRATIV 71															
SKILLED CRAFT 72 SERVICE MAINT 73			9	1					1	 					11
TOTAL OTHER THAN F-T 74			9	1					1						11
	HISP. OR L MALE	ATINO			SPANIC - MALE					NON-HI	SPANIC FEMA		rino 		TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75 PROFESSIONALS 76 TECHNICIANS 77 PROTECTION SE 78 PARA PROFESSI 79 ADMINISTRATIV 80 SKILLED CRAFT 81 SERVICE MAINT 82			1												1 1
TOTAL NEW HIRES 83			5												5

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO

ND 58102 CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 03 PUBLIC WELFARE

	SALARIES		ANIC ATINO FEMALE	1		SPANIC MALI		rino		:	NON-HI	SPANIC	OR LA			TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															
PROFESSIONALS 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS							 1								 1
TECHNICIANS 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															
PROTECTION SE 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH ND 58102

FARGO

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 03 PUBLIC WELFARE

1. FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES (CONTINUED)

	SALARIES		PANIC LATINO FEMALE	N 	ON-HIS	SPANIC MALE		TINO			NON-HI	SPANIC FEM				TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
PARA PROFESSI 33	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9											 				
35	20.0- 24.9		i i	į			İ	İ	İ		İ	İ	j	i i		İ
36	25.0- 32.9		i i	j	İ		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	j	j j		j
37	33.0- 42.9		i i	5	1		j	İ	İ	6	5	İ	j	1		18
38	43.0- 54.9		į į	į	İ		j	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	į į		İ
39	55.0- 69.9		į į	ĺ	İ		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	į į		ĺ
40	70.0- PLUS			İ	İ					İ		İ				ĺ
ADMINISTRATIV 41	\$.1- 15.9															
42	16.0- 19.9															
43	20.0- 24.9															
44	25.0- 32.9															[
45	33.0- 42.9															[
46	43.0- 54.9															[
47	55.0- 69.9			ļ			ļ		ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ			ļ
48	70.0- PLUS															
SKILLED CRAFT 49	\$.1- 15.9			ļ								[
50	16.0- 19.9			ļ			ļ									ļ
51	20.0- 24.9															ļ
52	25.0- 32.9															ļ
53	33.0- 42.9			ļ												
54	43.0- 54.9			ļ			ļ									!
55	55.0- 69.9															
56	70.0- PLUS				l				I	I			1			
SERVICE MAINT 57	\$.1- 15.9							[[
58	16.0- 19.9			ļ			ļ		ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ			ļ
59	20.0- 24.9															[
60	25.0- 32.9			ļ			ļ	-		ļ		[ļ			
61	33.0- 42.9			ļ			ļ	-		ļ		[ļ			
62	43.0- 54.9			ļ			ļ	-		ļ		[ļ			
63	55.0- 69.9			ļ			ļ						ļ			
64	70.0- PLUS															
TOTAL				_	_						_					
FULL TIME 65				5	1			1		9	5			2		23

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 03 PUBLIC WELFARE

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

		HISPANIC OR LATINO NON-HISPANIC OR LATINO MALE FEMALE MALE											OR LAT	ΓΙΝΟ 		TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI PROFESSIONALS TECHNICIANS PROTECTION SE PARA PROFESSI ADMINISTRATIV SKILLED CRAFT SERVICE MAINT	67 68 69 70 71 72	1		1	1					4	3			1		 11
TOTAL OTHER THAN F-T	74	1		1	1					4	3			1		11
		HISP. OR L. MALE	ATINO	1	NON-HIS	SPANIC MALE		rino		N 	ON-HIS	SPANIC FEMA				TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI PROFESSIONALS TECHNICIANS PROTECTION SE PARA PROFESSI ADMINISTRATIV SKILLED CRAFT SERVICE MAINT	76 77 78 79 80 81			4	1					4	2					
TOTAL NEW HIRES	83			4	1					4	2					11

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102 CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 04 POLICE PROTECTION

	SALARIES		ANIC ATINO FEMALE	N	NON-HI	SPANIC MAL1		rino			NON-HI	SPANIC				TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			4												
PROFESSIONALS 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			7												
TECHNICIANS 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			21						2						24
PROTECTION SE 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS	1		14 66 35	3					 1 7 12 5						 22 83 40

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 04 POLICE PROTECTION

ND 58102

1. FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES (CONTINUED)

	SALARIES	HISPANI OR LATI MALE FE		NON-HI	SPANIC		ΓΙΝΟ 		N	ION-HI	SPANIC FEM				TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	DALIAKTID	A	в с	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
PARA PROFESSI 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS														
ADMINISTRATIV 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS		1						 2 11 5						 2 12 5
SKILLED CRAFT 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS														
SERVICE MAINT 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS														
TOTAL FULL TIME 65		1	148	3	1		2		45						200

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 04 POLICE PROTECTION

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

JOB CATEGORY	HISPAI OR LA' MALE 1 A	TINO FEMALE		HISPANIC MALI		CINO G	Н	NC I	N-HIS J	SPANIC - FEMA	OR LAT ALE L		 N	TOTAL O
OFFICIAL/ADMI 66 PROFESSIONALS 67 TECHNICIANS 68 PROTECTION SE 69 PARA PROFESSI 70 ADMINISTRATIV 71 SKILLED CRAFT 72 SERVICE MAINT 73 TOTAL OTHER														
THAN F-T 74	HISPAI OR LA	TINO		HISPANIC		'INO		NC	ON-HIS		OR LAT			
JOB CATEGORY	MALE 1 A	LEMANE	C D	OE	⊈ F	G	Н	I	J	- FEMA K	T TF:	M	N	TOTAL O
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75 PROFESSIONALS 76 TECHNICIANS 77 PROTECTION SE 78 PARA PROFESSI 79 ADMINISTRATIV 80 SKILLED CRAFT 81 SERVICE MAINT 82	1		15			1		5						23
TOTAL NEW HIRES 83	1		16	1		1		6						25

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

птсруитс

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 05 FIRE PROTECTION

_		
1	ים אודים _ דוווים	EMPLOYEES

	SALARIES		PANIC LATINO FEMALE	N	ON-HI	SPANIC	OR LA	rino]	NON-HI	SPANIC				· TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			10												11
PROFESSIONALS 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			36												36
TECHNICIANS 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															
PROTECTION SE 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			20 59 2	1											21 60 2

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 05 FIRE PROTECTION

1. FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES (CONTINUED)

		OR I	PANIC LATINO		NON-HIS			TINO			NON-H	ISPANIC				
JOB CATEGORY	SALARIES	MALE A	FEMALE B			MAL: E	E F	 G	Н	 I	 Ј	FEM K	IALE			· TOTAL O
JOB CATEGORY		А	В	С	D	Ľ	r	G	п	Т	U	K.	L	M	N	U
PARA PROFESSI 33	\$.1- 15.9					[
34																
35																
36																
37																
38	43.0- 54.9															
39																
40	70.0- PLUS															
ADMINISTRATIV 41	\$.1- 15.9		1											1		
42	16.0- 19.9															
43																
44																
45																
46																
47																
48	70.0- PLUS															
SKILLED CRAFT 49	\$.1- 15.9		1 1			I		I	1	1			1	I	I	
50			i i		İ	İ		İ	İ	İ	İ		İ	İ	İ	İ
51	20.0- 24.9		i i		İ	İ		İ	İ	İ	İ		İ	İ	İ	İ
52			i i		İ	İ		İ	İ	İ	İ		İ	İ	İ	İ
53	33.0- 42.9		i i		İ	İ	İ	İ	j	İ	j	İ	j	İ	İ	İ
54	43.0- 54.9		i i		İ	İ	İ	İ	j	İ	j	İ	j	İ	İ	İ
55	55.0- 69.9		i i		j	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	j	j	j	İ	İ	İ
56	70.0- PLUS		į į		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
SERVICE MAINT 57	\$.1- 15.9		1 1			I	I	I		1		1	I	I	I	
58			i i		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
59	20.0- 24.9		i i		İ	İ		İ	İ	İ	İ		İ	İ	İ	İ
60	25.0- 32.9		i i		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
61			į į		j	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	j	į	İ	İ	İ	İ
62			į į		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ		j	İ	İ	İ	İ	
63			į į		j	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	j	į	İ	İ	İ	İ
64			į į		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	j	į	İ	İ	İ	İ
TOTAL				'		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	
FULL TIME 65				127	1			1		1						130

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 05 FIRE PROTECTION

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

	HISP OR L MALE	ANIC ATINO FEMALE	NON-HI			NON-HI	SPANIC				TOTAL				
JOB CATEGORY	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	 L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 66 PROFESSIONALS 67 TECHNICIANS 68 PROTECTION SE 69 PARA PROFESSI 70 ADMINISTRATIV 71 SKILLED CRAFT 72 SERVICE MAINT 73															
TOTAL OTHER THAN F-T 74															
	HISP OR L MALE	ANIC ATINO FEMALE		NON-HI	SPANIC MALE					NON-HI	SPANIC		TINO		TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75 PROFESSIONALS 76 TECHNICIANS 77 PROTECTION SE 78 PARA PROFESSI 79 ADMINISTRATIV 80 SKILLED CRAFT 81 SERVICE MAINT 82			5												 5
TOTAL NEW HIRES 83			5												5

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH ND 58102

FARGO

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 06 NATURAL RESOURCES

	SALARIES		ANIC ATINO FEMALE			SPANIC MAL		TINO			NON-HI	SPANIC				· TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			1												1
PROFESSIONALS 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			1												
TECHNICIANS 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															
PROTECTION SE 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102 CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 06 NATURAL RESOURCES

1. FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES (CONTINUED)

	SALARIES	OR I	PANIC LATINO FEMALE			SPANIC			 NON-H	C OR LA			· TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	SHIMITIO	A	В		D	E	F	Н	J	L	M	N	0
PARA PROFESSI 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS												
ADMINISTRATIV 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS												
SKILLED CRAFT 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			3 4 1									3 4 1
SERVICE MAINT 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS												
TOTAL FULL TIME 65				10									10

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 06 NATURAL RESOURCES

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

	HISP. OR L MALE	ATINO			SPANIC MALE	C OR LATINO NON-HISPANIC OR LATINO LE FEMALE									· TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 66 PROFESSIONALS 67 TECHNICIANS 68 PROTECTION SE 69 PARA PROFESSI 70 ADMINISTRATIV 71 SKILLED CRAFT 72 SERVICE MAINT 73			9												11
TOTAL OTHER		1	1		1 1		I	I	I	ı	ı	I	I	1	I
THAN F-T 74			9						2						11
	HISP. OR L MALE	ATINO			SPANIC MALE					NON-HI	SPANIC		ΓΙΝΟ 		· TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75 PROFESSIONALS 76 TECHNICIANS 77 PROTECTION SE 78 PARA PROFESSI 79 ADMINISTRATIV 80 SKILLED CRAFT 81 SERVICE MAINT 82			1												1
TOTAL NEW HIRES 83			1												1

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102 CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 07 HOSPITALS & SANITARIUMS

	SALARIES		PANIC LATINO FEMALE		SPANIC MAL		TINO		NON-HISPANIC OR LATINO							
JOB CATEGORY	2	A	В	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	
OFFICIAL/ADMI 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															
PROFESSIONALS 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															
TECHNICIANS 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															
PROTECTION SE 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102 CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 07 HOSPITALS & SANITARIUMS

1. FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES (CONTINUED)

	SALARIES		PANIC LATINO FEMALE -		NON-HI	SPANIC	CORLA	ATINO			NON-HISPANIC OR LATINO FEMALE TO					
JOB CATEGORY	SALIANTES	A	В	С	D	E		G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0
PARA PROFESSI 33	\$.1- 15.9							1	1							I
34	16.0- 19.9															
35	20.0- 24.9															
36	25.0- 32.9															
37	33.0- 42.9															
38	43.0- 54.9															
39	55.0- 69.9															
40	70.0- PLUS															
ADMINISTRATIV 41	\$.1- 15.9							1	1	1	1	1			1	I
42	16.0- 19.9		j j		İ	İ	İ	İ	j	j	j	j	j	İ	İ	İ
43	20.0- 24.9		i i		İ	j	j	j	j	j	j	j	į	İ	j	
44	25.0- 32.9		i i		İ	j	j	j	j	j	j	j	į	İ	j	
45	33.0- 42.9		i i		İ	j	j	j	j	j	j	j	į	İ	j	
46	43.0- 54.9		i i		İ	j	j	j	j	j	j	j	į	İ	j	
47	55.0- 69.9		i i		İ	İ	İ	j	į	İ	j	j	İ	İ	İ	İ
48	70.0- PLUS		j j		İ			j	j	j	İ	İ	İ	j	İ	İ
SKILLED CRAFT 49	\$.1- 15.9		1 1					1	İ	İ	I	I	I	[I	Ī
50	16.0- 19.9		i i		İ	İ		İ	i		j	j		İ	İ	
51	20.0- 24.9		i i		İ	İ	İ	İ	i		j	j	İ	İ	İ	
52	25.0- 32.9		i			İ	i	i	i		i	i			i	
53	33.0- 42.9		i			İ	i	i	i		i	i			i	
54	43.0- 54.9		i			İ	i	i	i		i	i			i	
55	55.0- 69.9		i			İ	i	i	i		i	i			i	
56	70.0- PLUS							İ	İ	İ	j	İ				İ
SERVICE MAINT 57	\$.1- 15.9		1 1					1	1	1	I	ı		1	I	1
58	16.0- 19.9		i i		İ	i	İ	İ	i	İ	j	j	İ		İ	
59	20.0- 24.9		i i			İ	İ	i	i				İ			
60	25.0- 32.9					İ	İ	İ					İ			
61	33.0- 42.9					İ	İ	İ					İ			
62	43.0- 54.9					İ	İ	İ					İ			
63	55.0- 69.9							i					İ			
64	70.0- PLUS					İ										
TOTAL	1313 - 200		1		1	1	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	ı	1	1

FULL TIME 65

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 07 HOSPITALS & SANITARIUMS

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

	HISPANIC OR LATIN MALE FEM	0	NON-H	ISPANIC MALE		INO	 NON-HISPANIC OR LATINO								
JOB CATEGORY		в с		E	F	G	I J	K	L M	N	- TOTAL O				
OFFICIAL/ADMI 66 PROFESSIONALS 67 TECHNICIANS 68 PROTECTION SE 69 PARA PROFESSI 70 ADMINISTRATIV 71 SKILLED CRAFT 72 SERVICE MAINT 73															
TOTAL OTHER THAN F-T 74	HISPANIC OR LATIN MALE FEM	0		ISPANIC MALE		INO	NON-HI		OR LATINO		- TOTAL				
JOB CATEGORY		в с		E	F	G	I J	K	L M	N	0				
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75 PROFESSIONALS 76 TECHNICIANS 77 PROTECTION SE 78 PARA PROFESSI 79 ADMINISTRATIV 80 SKILLED CRAFT 81 SERVICE MAINT 82															
TOTAL NEW HIRES 83															

NEW HIRES 83

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 08 HEALTH

	SALARIES		PANIC LATINO FEMALE	1		SPANIC MAL1		TINO		NON-HISPANIC OR LATINO							
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	
OFFICIAL/ADMI 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS																
PROFESSIONALS 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			6 5						 1 11 23						 18 29	
TECHNICIANS 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			1						1						1 1	
PROTECTION SE 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS																

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 08 HEALTH

1. FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES (CONTINUED)

	SALAR]	OR	PANIC LATINO FEMALE		NON-HI		OR LA'	ΓΙΝΟ 		NON-HISPANIC OR LATINO						
JOB CATEGORY	2	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
PARA PROFESSI 3	· ·					[[[ļ
	16.0- 1				ļ		ļ	ļ		ļ	ļ		ļ		ļ	
	5 20.0- 2				ļ		ļ	ļ		ļ	ļ		ļ		ļ	
	6 25.0-3															
	33.0- 4									2						2 8 2
	8 43.0- 5									8						8
	55.0-6									2						2
4	0 70.0- I	PLUS				1							I			
ADMINISTRATIV 4	·					[[[
	2 16.0- 1				ļ		ļ	ļ		ļ	ļ		ļ		ļ	
	3 20.0- 2				ļ		ļ	ļ	ļ		ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ
	4 25.0-3						ļ	ļ		ļ			ļ			
	5 33.0- 4									5						5
	43.0- 5		ļ						ļ	7						7
	55.0-6									3						3
4	8 70.0- I	PLUS										1	I			
SKILLED CRAFT 4	9 \$.1- 1	L5.9							1	1	I	1	1		I	
Ę	16.0- 1		i i		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	j	İ	İ	İ
5	20.0- 2		i i		j	İ	İ	j	İ	İ	İ	İ	j	İ	İ	j
5	25.0-3	32.9	i i		j	İ	İ	j	İ	j	İ	İ	j	İ	j	j
5	33.0- 4	12.9	İ		j	İ	İ	j	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	j
5	43.0- 5	54.9	İ		j	İ	İ	j	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	j
5	55.0-6	59.9	i i		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
5	66 70.0- I	PLUS				İ	İ			İ	İ	İ				İ
SERVICE MAINT 5	7 \$.1- 1	L5.9			I	I				1		I	I			1
5	8 16.0- 1	L9.9	i i		j	İ	İ	j	İ	j	İ	İ	j	İ	j	j
5	9 20.0- 2	24.9	į į		j	İ	İ	j	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
6	0 25.0-3	32.9	İ		j	İ	İ	j	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	j
	33.0- 4		ĺ		ĺ	İ	İ	ĺ		İ	İ		Ì		İ	İ
	2 43.0- 5		j		ĺ			ĺ		İ	ĺ				ĺ	İ
	55.0- 6		į į													
6	70.0- I	PLUS	j													
TOTAL												_				
FULL TIME 6	5		1	12						64		1				78

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102 CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 08 HEALTH

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

		PANIC LATINO FEMALE	1	NON-HIS	SPANIC MALI		TINO		NON-HISPANIC OR LATINO							
JOB CATEGORY	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	
OFFICIAL/ADMI 66 PROFESSIONALS 67 TECHNICIANS 68 PROTECTION SE 69			1		 	 			 25 	1 		 			 26 1	
PARA PROFESSI 70 ADMINISTRATIV 71 SKILLED CRAFT 72 SERVICE MAINT 73									5 6						5 6	
TOTAL OTHER THAN F-T 74			1						36	1					38	
		PANIC LATINO FEMALE	1	NON-HIS	SPANIC MALI		TINO		N	ON-HIS	SPANIC FEM		TINO		TOTAL	
JOB CATEGORY	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75 PROFESSIONALS 76 TECHNICIANS 77 PROTECTION SE 78			1			 			4						5	
PROTECTION SE 76 PARA PROFESSI 79 ADMINISTRATIV 80 SKILLED CRAFT 81 SERVICE MAINT 82									2			 			2	
TOTAL NEW HIRES 83			1						6					_	7	

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102 CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 09 HOUSING

	SALARIES		ATINO		SPANIC MALF		O NON-HISPANIC OR LATINO								
JOB CATEGORY		А	В	D	E		Н			K	L	M	N	0	
OFFICIAL/ADMI 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS														
PROFESSIONALS 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS														
TECHNICIANS 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS														
PROTECTION SE 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS														

REV. EEO-4 1995 CITY OF FARGO PAGE 26

STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT INFORMATION 2019 EEO-4 REPORT

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 09 HOUSING

FARGO

	SALARIES		PANIC LATINO FEMALE		NON-HI	SPANIC MAL		ATINO				C OR LA			- TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	51	A	В	С	D	E	– F	G	Н	I	K	L	M	N	0
PARA PROFESSI 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS														
ADMINISTRATIV 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS														
SKILLED CRAFT 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS														
SERVICE MAINT 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 TOTAL FULL TIME 65	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS														

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 09 HOUSING

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

		HISPANIC OR LATINO NON-HISPANIC OR LATINO MALE FEMALE MALE										SPANIC FEM				- TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	O
OFFICIAL/ADMI PROFESSIONALS TECHNICIANS PROTECTION SE PARA PROFESSI ADMINISTRATIV SKILLED CRAFT SERVICE MAINT	67 68 69 70 71 72															
TOTAL OTHER THAN F-T	74	OR I	ANIC ATINO			ISPANIC					NON-HI					
JOB CATEGORY		MALE A	FEMALE B	C	D	MALI E	E F	G	Н	I	 Ј	FEM	ALE L	 М	N	O TOTAL
OFFICIAL/ADMI PROFESSIONALS TECHNICIANS PROTECTION SE PARA PROFESSI ADMINISTRATIV SKILLED CRAFT SERVICE MAINT	76 77 78 79 80 81															
TOTAL	83															

NEW HIRES 83

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO

ND 58102 CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 10 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

	SALARIES		ANIC ATINO FEMALE		SPANIC MAL1		TINO			NON-HI	SPANIC				TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	21-11-11-12	A	В	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS														
PROFESSIONALS 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS														
TECHNICIANS 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS														
PROTECTION SE 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS														

CITY OF FARGO
HUMAN RESOURCES DIR
225 4TH STREET NORTH
FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 10 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

1. FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES (CONTINUED)

	SALARIES		PANIC LATINO FEMALE -		NON-HI	SPANIC	C OR LA	ATINO			NON-H		C OR LA			. ଫ ∩ଫ⊼⊺.
JOB CATEGORY	SALAKTES	A	В	С	D	E		G	Н	I	J		L	M	N	0
PARA PROFESSI 33	\$.1- 15.9							1								
34	16.0- 19.9															
35	20.0- 24.9															
36	25.0- 32.9															
37	33.0- 42.9															
38	43.0- 54.9															
39	55.0- 69.9															
40	70.0- PLUS															
ADMINISTRATIV 41	\$.1- 15.9							1			1		1			
42	16.0- 19.9		j j		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	j	j	İ	j	İ	j	İ
43	20.0- 24.9		i i		İ	j	İ	j	İ	j	İ	j	j	İ	j	j
44	25.0- 32.9		i i		İ	j	İ	j	İ	j	İ	j	j	İ	j	j
45	33.0- 42.9		i i		İ	j	İ	j	İ	j	İ	j	j	İ	j	j
46	43.0- 54.9		i i		İ	j	İ	j	İ	j	İ	j	j	İ	j	j
47	55.0- 69.9		i i		İ	İ	İ	j	İ	j	İ	İ	j	İ	İ	İ
48	70.0- PLUS		j j		İ		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
SKILLED CRAFT 49	\$.1- 15.9		1 1				1	1		1	Ī		İ	1		
50	16.0- 19.9		i i		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	j	İ	İ	j	İ	İ	İ
51	20.0- 24.9		i i		İ	İ		İ	İ	j		İ	į	İ	j	İ
52	25.0- 32.9		i			İ		i		i			i		İ	İ
53	33.0- 42.9		i			İ		i		i			i		İ	İ
54	43.0- 54.9		i			İ		i		i			i		İ	İ
55	55.0- 69.9		i			İ		i		i			i		İ	İ
56	70.0- PLUS						İ	İ		İ			İ			
SERVICE MAINT 57	\$.1- 15.9		1 1				1	1		I	1		1	1		
58	16.0- 19.9		i i		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	j		İ	i	İ	i	İ
59	20.0- 24.9		i			İ		i		i			i		İ	İ
60	25.0- 32.9					İ		İ		İ	İ	İ	İ		İ	
61	33.0- 42.9					İ		i				İ	İ		İ	
62	43.0- 54.9					İ				i						i
63	55.0- 69.9															
64	70.0- PLUS									i						
TOTAL			1 1		1	1	1	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	1

FULL TIME 65

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 10 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

	HISPANIC OR LATINO	NON-	HISPANIC OR LA	ATTNO	NON-HISPANIC OR	T.ATTNO
	MALE FEMAL				FEMALE	
JOB CATEGORY	A B	C D				L M N O
OFFICIAL/ADMI 66	1					
PROFESSIONALS 67						
TECHNICIANS 68						
PROTECTION SE 69						
PARA PROFESSI 70						
ADMINISTRATIV 71						
SKILLED CRAFT 72						
SERVICE MAINT 73						
TOTAL OTHER						
THAN F-T 74						
	HISPANIC					
	OR LATINO		HISPANIC OR LA		NON-HISPANIC OR	
TOD CAMPRODY	MALE FEMAL				FEMALE	
JOB CATEGORY	А В	C D) E F	G H	I J K	L M N O
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75						
PROFESSIONALS 76						
TECHNICIANS 77						
PROTECTION SE 78						
PARA PROFESSI 79						
ADMINISTRATIV 80						
SKILLED CRAFT 81						
SERVICE MAINT 82						
TOTAL						
NEW HIRES 83						

NEW HIRES 83

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102 CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 11 CORRECTIONS

	SALARIES		PANIC LATINO FEMALE			SPANIC			 NON-HI	OR LA			TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D			Н	J	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS												
PROFESSIONALS 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS												
TECHNICIANS 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS												
PROTECTION SE 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS												

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 11 CORRECTIONS

1. FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES (CONTINUED)

	SALARIES		PANIC LATINO FEMALE					LATINO	 	N	ION-HIS					· TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	ZZ	A	В	С	D	E	F		Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0
PARA PROFESSI 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															
ADMINISTRATIV 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															
SKILLED CRAFT 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															
SERVICE MAINT 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 TOTAL	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															

FULL TIME 65

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 11 CORRECTIONS

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

	HISPA OR LA MALE	TINO			SPANIC					NON-HI		OR LA	TINO		- TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	MALE A	B	C	D	MALE E	F	G	Н	I	J	ғыл. К	L L	 M	N	0 0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 66 PROFESSIONALS 67 TECHNICIANS 68 PROTECTION SE 69 PARA PROFESSI 70 ADMINISTRATIV 71 SKILLED CRAFT 72 SERVICE MAINT 73															
TOTAL OTHER THAN F-T 74															
	HISPA OR LA		1	ı∩N_UT	SPANIC	רם דאר	TTNI()			NON-HI	CDAMIC	' OD TA	TTNO		
												. OR LA IALE			- TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75 PROFESSIONALS 76 TECHNICIANS 77 PROTECTION SE 78 PARA PROFESSI 79 ADMINISTRATIV 80 SKILLED CRAFT 81 SERVICE MAINT 82															
TOTAL															

NEW HIRES 83

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102 CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 12 UTIL & TRANSPORTATION

	SALARIES		ANIC ATINO FEMALE	N		SPANIC MAL		TINO			NON-HI	SPANIC				- TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			3												3
PROFESSIONALS 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			3												4
TECHNICIANS 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			4 5												4 5
PROTECTION SE 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															

CITY OF FARGO
HUMAN RESOURCES DIR
225 4TH STREET NORTH
FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 12 UTIL & TRANSPORTATION

	SALARIES	HISP OR L MALE	ATINO			SPANIC MAL	OR LA'	TINO		1	NON-HI	SPANIC				TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	21-11-12	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
PARA PROFESSI 33 34 35 36	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9										 				 	
37 38 39	33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9										 			 	 	
40	70.0- PLUS			1												1
ADMINISTRATIV 41 42 43 44 45	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9			1				 						 		
45 46 47 48	33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			1 1						3	 			 	 	4
SKILLED CRAFT 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS	2		2 7 17 3												 5 8 17
SERVICE MAINT 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			1 10 3												
TOTAL FULL TIME 65		2		61						8						71

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102 CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 12 UTIL & TRANSPORTATION

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

		ANIC ATINO FEMALE			SPANIC - MALE				ION-HI	SPANIC FEM <i>l</i>		TINO		TOTAL	
JOB CATEGORY	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 66] [ļ	ļ		[ļ		ļ
PROFESSIONALS 67			_												
TECHNICIANS 68			3												3
PROTECTION SE 69															
PARA PROFESSI 70 ADMINISTRATIV 71													 		
SKILLED CRAFT 72							 						 		
SERVICE MAINT 73			6	2			 		1 1				l İ		9
Blicvich milivi 73		1	١	2	ı		I	ı	1 + 1		1		I	1	1
TOTAL OTHER															
THAN F-T 74			9	2					1						12
	HISP.	ANIC													
									_						
		ATINO			SPANIC		TINO				SPANIC				шоша т
TOD CATECODY	MALE	ATINO FEMALE			- MALE						FEMA	ALE			TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		ATINO					TINO G	Н						N	TOTAL O
	MALE	ATINO FEMALE			- MALE			 Н			FEMA	ALE		 N	_
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75	MALE	ATINO FEMALE			- MALE			 н 			FEMA	ALE		 N 	_
	MALE	ATINO FEMALE			- MALE			н 			FEMA	ALE		N	_
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75 PROFESSIONALS 76	MALE	ATINO FEMALE			- MALE			H			FEMA	ALE		 N 	_
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75 PROFESSIONALS 76 TECHNICIANS 77 PROTECTION SE 78 PARA PROFESSI 79	MALE	ATINO FEMALE			- MALE			H			FEMA	ALE		 N	_
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75 PROFESSIONALS 76 TECHNICIANS 77 PROTECTION SE 78 PARA PROFESSI 79 ADMINISTRATIV 80	MALE A	ATINO FEMALE	C		- MALE			H	I I		FEMA	ALE		N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75 PROFESSIONALS 76 TECHNICIANS 77 PROTECTION SE 78 PARA PROFESSI 79 ADMINISTRATIV 80 SKILLED CRAFT 81	MALE	ATINO FEMALE			- MALE			H	I		FEMA	ALE		 N 	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75 PROFESSIONALS 76 TECHNICIANS 77 PROTECTION SE 78 PARA PROFESSI 79 ADMINISTRATIV 80	MALE A	ATINO FEMALE	C		- MALE			H	I I		FEMA	ALE		 N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75 PROFESSIONALS 76 TECHNICIANS 77 PROTECTION SE 78 PARA PROFESSI 79 ADMINISTRATIV 80 SKILLED CRAFT 81 SERVICE MAINT 82	MALE A	ATINO FEMALE	C		- MALE			H	I I		FEMA	ALE		N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75 PROFESSIONALS 76 TECHNICIANS 77 PROTECTION SE 78 PARA PROFESSI 79 ADMINISTRATIV 80 SKILLED CRAFT 81	MALE A	ATINO FEMALE	C		- MALE			H	I I		FEMA	ALE		N	0

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

CITY OF FARGO
HUMAN RESOURCES DIR
225 4TH STREET NORTH
FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 13 SANITATION & SEWAGE

	SALARIES		PANIC LATINO FEMALE			SPANIC			 NON-H	ISPANIC	CORLA MALE			TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	DIMINITIO	A	В		D	E	G	Н	J	K	L	М	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			3										3
PROFESSIONALS 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS													
TECHNICIANS 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			1 2 4										
PROTECTION SE 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS													

CITY OF FARGO
HUMAN RESOURCES DIR
225 4TH STREET NORTH
FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 13 SANITATION & SEWAGE

	SALARIES		ANIC ATINO FEMALE			SPANIC MALI		TINO			NON-HI	SPANIC FEM				TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	211111111111111111111111111111111111111	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
PARA PROFESSI 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			1 3						2						3 3
ADMINISTRATIV 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															
SKILLED CRAFT 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			5 11 2												 5 12 2
SERVICE MAINT 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS	1		1 19 13												 1 19 15
TOTAL FULL TIME 65		1	. '	65	-		-		1	6			-	. '		73

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 13 SANITATION & SEWAGE

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

	HIS	PANIC													
	OR I	LATINO	N	NON-HIS	SPANIC	OR LA	TINO			NON-F	HISPANI	C OR LA	TINO		
	MALE	FEMALE			- MALE						FE	MALE			TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	Ο
OFFICIAL/ADMI 66														1	
PROFESSIONALS 67		į į	j	İ	į		İ	İ	İ	j	İ		İ	İ	İ
TECHNICIANS 68		į į	j	İ	j		İ	İ	İ	j	İ		İ	İ	İ
PROTECTION SE 69		i i	İ	j	į		İ	j	İ	j	j		İ	İ	j
PARA PROFESSI 70		i i	İ					İ	İ	İ	İ		İ	İ	i
ADMINISTRATIV 71		i i		İ				İ		İ	İ		İ		İ
SKILLED CRAFT 72		i i		İ	i		İ	İ		i	İ		İ	İ	i
SERVICE MAINT 73			3	1				İ		İ		İ		j	4
TOTAL OTHER															
THAN F-T 74			3	1											4
	HIS	PANIC													
	OR I	LATINO	N	NON-HIS	SPANIC	OR LA	TINO			NON-F	HISPANI	C OR LA	TINO		
	MALE	FEMALE			MALE						FE	MALE			TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 75												1			
PROFESSIONALS 76															
TECHNICIANS 77															
PROTECTION SE 78															
PARA PROFESSI 79															
ADMINISTRATIV 80															
SKILLED CRAFT 81															
SERVICE MAINT 82			3												3
TOTAL			3												

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 15 OTHER

	SALARIES		ANIC ATINO FEMALE	N	ION-HIS	SPANIC MALI		TINO		1	NON-HI	SPANIC				TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			2						 2 6 4						 2 8 15
PROFESSIONALS 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			1 2 12						 2 4						 1 4 16
TECHNICIANS 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			5 7 21	1											 6 9 23
PROTECTION SE 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS															

CITY OF FARGO
HUMAN RESOURCES DIR
225 4TH STREET NORTH
FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 15 OTHER

	SALARIES		ANIC ATINO FEMALE]		SPANIC MALE		rino			NON-HI	SPANIC FEM				TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY	SHERREE	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0
PARA PROFESSI 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			3 2						3 2						 6 4
ADMINISTRATIV 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS		2	1						 7 19 7						 8 21 8
SKILLED CRAFT 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS	2		4 5 13 4												 4 7 13 4
SERVICE MAINT 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS	1		1 7 8 3	 2 1											 1 12 9 5
TOTAL FULL TIME 65		3	2	114	4	1		2		61						187

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

FUNCTION 15 OTHER

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

		HISP. OR L MALE	ANIC ATINO FEMALE]	NON-HIS	SPANIC MALE		rino		N	10N-HI	SPANIC FEM <i>I</i>		TINO		TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI PROFESSIONALS TECHNICIANS PROTECTION SE	67 68 69			1 2						2 1 1 1						3 3 1
PARA PROFESSI ADMINISTRATIV SKILLED CRAFT	71		 1 	28	 			 		 69 1	1			 1 		100
SERVICE MAINT	73	12	j 5 j	409	11	3		11	1	350	3	1		5		811
TOTAL OTHER THAN F-T	74	12	6	440	11	3		11	1	424	4	1		6		919
		HISP. OR L. MALE	ANIC ATINO FEMALE]	NON-HIS	SPANIC MALE		rino		N	NON-HI	SPANIC FEMA		TINO		TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI PROFESSIONALS TECHNICIANS PROTECTION SE	76 77			1 3				 								2 3
PARA PROFESSI ADMINISTRATIV SKILLED CRAFT SERVICE MAINT	80 81		1	1 3						1						2 1 3
TOTAL NEW HIRES	83		1	8						2						11

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

01 02 03 04 05 06 08 12 13 15

		OR I	PANIC LATINO	N	ION-HIS		OR LA	TINO]	NON-HI	SPANIC				
JOB CATEGORY	SALARIES	MALE A	FEMALE B	C	D	MALI E	E F	G	Н	I	 Ј	FEMI K	ALE L	M	N	TOTAL O
OFFICIAL/ADMI 01	\$.1- 15.9															
02	16.0- 19.9															
03 04	20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9															
05	33.0- 42.9							 			 		 			
06	43.0- 54.9				ļ					2						2
07	55.0- 69.9			2	l I		 	 		6	 		 			2 8 48
08	70.0- PLUS			39	l I		 	 		9	 		 			0 4Ω
00	70.0- FEES		1 1	39	ı		I	I	I	1 2	I	I	I			1 40
PROFESSIONALS 09	\$.1- 15.9															
10	16.0- 19.9															
11	20.0- 24.9															
12	25.0- 32.9															
13	33.0- 42.9															
14	43.0- 54.9			3				1		2				1		7
15	55.0- 69.9			13						17		1				31
16	70.0- PLUS	1	1	82	l					35				1		120
TECHNICIANS 17	\$.1- 15.9		1 1	ı	I		I	I	I	I	I	I	I			I
18	16.0- 19.9		iii		i											
19	20.0- 24.9		iii		i											
20	25.0- 32.9		iii		i											
21	33.0- 42.9		i i		ľ				İ	1						1
22	43.0- 54.9		i i	8	ľ				İ	1						9
23	55.0- 69.9		i i	31	1		İ	1	İ	3	İ	İ	İ	i		36
24	70.0- PLUS			63	İ		İ	3	İ	7	İ	İ	İ			73
PROTECTION SE 25	\$.1- 15.9		1 1	ı	I		I	I	I	I	I	I	I	1		I
PROTECTION SE 25	16.0- 19.9				l I		 	 			 		 			
27	20.0- 24.9			l			 	 			 		 			
28	25.0- 32.9			l	l I											
29	33.0- 42.9			l												1
30	43.0- 54.9			34	1			1		7						43
31	55.0- 69.9	1		125	3	1		1 1		12						143
32	70.0- PLUS	_		37	J	_		-		5			 			42
5-2			1 1	= :	ı		ı	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102 CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

01 02 03 04 05 06 08 12 13 15

			ANIC ATINO	1	ON-HIS	SPANIC	OR LA		, ,		NON-HIS	SPANIC	OR LA	rino		
	SALARIES	MALE	FEMALE			MALI	<u> </u>					FEMA	7TE			TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
PARA PROFESSI 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS			5 3 3 6						 8 13 7 1	5					 20 16 10 7
ADMINISTRATIV 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS		2 1	2 1 2 1		1				 17 45 23 4						19 19 49 26 6
SKILLED CRAFT 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS	2 2		9 22 56 10												12 25 57
SERVICE MAINT 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64	\$.1- 15.9 16.0- 19.9 20.0- 24.9 25.0- 32.9 33.0- 42.9 43.0- 54.9 55.0- 69.9 70.0- PLUS	1		1 9 58 21 1	 2 1	1 1				 1 2 3						1 14 62 25
TOTAL FULL TIME 65		8	4	647	10	4		7	1	234	5	1		3		924

REV. EEO-4 1995 CITY OF FARGO PAGE 003

STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT INFORMATION 2019 EEO-4 REPORT

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

01 02 03 04 05 06 08 12 13 15

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

		HISP	ANIC													
		OR L	ATINO	1	NON-HI	SPANIC	OR LAT	ΓINO		N	ON-HIS	PANIC	OR LAT	ΓΙΝΟ		
		MALE	FEMALE			MALE	C					- FEMA	LE			TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL/ADMI PROFESSIONALS TECHNICIANS PROTECTION SE	67 68			1 2 4	 					2 26 1	1					3 29 5
PARA PROFESSI ADMINISTRATIV SKILLED CRAFT	70 71 72	1		1 30 9	1 					10 75 3	3 1			1 1		17 108 12
SERVICE MAINT	73	12	5	427	15	3		11	1	352	3	1		5		835
TOTAL OTHER THAN F-T	74	13	6 NEW HI	474 ERES DU	16 URING :	3 FISCAL	YEAR -	11 - PERM <i>I</i>	1 ANENT E	469 TULL TI	8 ME ONL	1 .Y		7		1009
OFFICIAL/ADMI PROFESSIONALS TECHNICIANS PROTECTION SE PARA PROFESSI ADMINISTRATIV SKILLED CRAFT SERVICE MAINT	76 77 78 79 80 81	1		1 4 3 20 4 1 6	 			1		1 4 5 7 4 2	2			1		2 9 3 28 14 6 10
TOTAL NEW HIRES	83	3	1	49	1	1		1		23	2			1		82

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

01 02 03 06 08 12 13

			HISP	ANIC													
			OR L	ATINO	N	ION-HI	SPANIC	OR LA	TINO		1	IH-NON	SPANIC		TINO		
		SALARIES	MALE	FEMALE			MAL						FEM.				TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY			A	В	С	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
OFFICIAL /ADMI	0.1	\$.1- 15.9		1 1	ı		I	I	ĺ	ı	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
OFFICIAL/ADMI	02	16.0- 19.9										 				 	
	03	20.0- 24.9															
	04	25.0- 32.9															
	05	33.0- 42.9			ļ							ļ				ļ	
	06	43.0- 54.9		!!!													ļ
	07	55.0- 69.9		!!!					ļ								
	8 0	70.0- PLUS			14						4						18
PROFESSIONALS	0.9	\$.1- 15.9		1 1	I		I	I		I	1	I	I	I	I	I	
	10	16.0- 19.9								İ		l İ	İ			l I	-
	11	20.0- 24.9			l			 				 		 		 	-
	12	25.0- 32.9					-					 				 	
	13	33.0- 42.9										l I				l I	
					2				1			 			1		
	14	43.0- 54.9			2				1		2		1		1		6
	15	55.0- 69.9	_		11						15	ļ	1			ļ	27
	16	70.0- PLUS	1	1	27			1			31	l			1	l	61
TECHNICIANS	17	\$.1- 15.9		1 1	I		1	I		I	1	I	I	I	1	I	
	18	16.0- 19.9		i i	İ		İ	İ	İ	i		İ	i		İ	İ	İ
	19	20.0- 24.9		i i						İ		İ	İ			İ	i
	20	25.0- 32.9		i i					i			! 	İ			İ	i
	21	33.0- 42.9		1 1							1	! 			1	 	1 1
	22	43.0- 54.9			3						-	! 	1			l I	1 3
	23	55.0- 69.9			24						3	 				l İ	27
	24	70.0- PLUS			21			 	1		4	 		 		 	26
	27	70.0- F105		1 1	21		I	I	1 +	I	=	l	ı	I	I	l	20
PROTECTION SE	25	\$.1- 15.9		1													
	26	16.0- 19.9		i i	İ		İ	İ	İ	j		j	j	İ	İ	j	İ
	27	20.0- 24.9		i i			İ	İ	İ	İ		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
	28	25.0- 32.9		į į	j		İ	İ	İ	j	İ	j	İ	İ	İ	j	İ
	29	33.0- 42.9		j i	j		İ	İ		j		j	İ	İ	İ	İ	
	30	43.0- 54.9		j i												İ	
	31	55.0- 69.9										<u> </u>				İ	
	32	70.0- PLUS										! 					
	<i>J</i> <u>L</u>	,0.0 1100		1 1	ı		I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	1

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

01 02 03 06 08 12 13

			HISP	ANIC													
				ATINO	1	NON-HI	SPANIC		TINO		1	NON-HIS			TINO		
		SALARIES	MALE	FEMALE			MAL						FEM				TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY			A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
PARA PROFESSI		\$.1- 15.9															
	34	16.0- 19.9															
	35	20.0- 24.9															
	36	25.0- 32.9															
	37	33.0- 42.9			5	1		ļ			8	5			1		20
	38	43.0- 54.9									10						10
	39	55.0- 69.9			1						5						6
	40	70.0- PLUS			6						1						7
ADMINISTRATIV	41	\$.1- 15.9															
	42	16.0- 19.9		İ	j	j	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ			İ	İ		İ
	43	20.0- 24.9		İ	j	j	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ			İ	İ		İ
	44	25.0- 32.9			İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ			İ			
	45	33.0- 42.9			1						8						9
	46	43.0- 54.9					1				15						16
	47	55.0- 69.9		1	1						11						13
	48	70.0- PLUS			1	1					4						6
SKILLED CRAFT	49	\$.1- 15.9			I	I	I							I			
	50	16.0- 19.9		İ	j	j	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ			İ	İ		İ
	51	20.0- 24.9		İ	j	j	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ			İ	İ		İ
	52	25.0- 32.9			İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ			İ			
	53	33.0- 42.9	2		5	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	1			İ			8
	54	43.0- 54.9			17						1						18
	55	55.0- 69.9			43					1							44
	56	70.0- PLUS			6												6
SERVICE MAINT	57	\$.1- 15.9			I	I	I	1		I				I			I
	58	16.0- 19.9		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ		İ			İ			
	59	20.0- 24.9		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ			İ	İ		İ
	60	25.0- 32.9		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	j			İ	İ		
	61	33.0- 42.9		İ	2	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ			İ	İ		2
	62	43.0- 54.9			50	j	1	İ	İ	İ	2			İ			53
	63	55.0- 69.9	1		18	ĺ	İ				1			İ			20
	64	70.0- PLUS			ĺ	ĺ											
TOTAL																	
FULL TIME	65		4	2	258	2	2		2	1	127	5	1		3		407

REV. EEO-4 1995 CITY OF FARGO PAGE 003

STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT INFORMATION 2019 EEO-4 REPORT

CITY OF FARGO HUMAN RESOURCES DIR 225 4TH STREET NORTH

FARGO ND 58102

CONTROL NUMBER 38301070

01 02 03 06 08 12 13

2. OTHER THAN FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

		HISF	ANIC													
		OR I	ATINO]	NON-HIS	SPANIC	OR LAT	TINO		N	ION-HIS	SPANIC	OR LA	ΓINO		
		MALE	FEMALE			MALE	<u> </u>					- FEM	ALE			TOTAL
JOB CATEGORY		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	Ο
OFFICIAL/ADMI PROFESSIONALS TECHNICIANS PROTECTION SE	67 68			4	 			 		25 25	1 		 			 26 4
PARA PROFESSI ADMINISTRATIV SKILLED CRAFT SERVICE MAINT	70 71 72	1		1 2 9 18	1 4					10 6 2 2	3			1		17 8 11 24
TOTAL OTHER THAN F-T	74	1	NIEW IIT	34	5	EICCNI	VEND	DEDM	አ አ ፒሮአየጥ	45	4	V		1		90
OFFICIAL/ADMI PROFESSIONALS TECHNICIANS PROTECTION SE PARA PROFESSI ADMINISTRATIV SKILLED CRAFT SERVICE MAINT	76 77 78 79 80 81	2	NEW HI	1 3 4 5 7	URING 1 1 	FISCAL	YEAR	- PERM	ANENT	FULL TI	.ME ONL	. Y				6 3 14 2 9
TOTAL NEW HIRES	83	2		20	1					15	2			1		41

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS REPORT: JILL MINETTE TITLE: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

ADDRESS: 225 4TH STREET NORTH TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE): 701 241-1321

FARGO, ND 58102

DATE: 8/19/19 TYPED TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: HUMAN RESOURCES DIR

01	Р	M	С	LOSEE, KURT H	1428	270.19	11/01/10	CIVIL SERVICE COMM (FINC ADM)
01	P	M	С	WENAAS, MICHAEL J	2504	270.19	7/01/04	CIVIL SERVICE COMM (FINC ADM)
01	P	M	С	GRINDELAND, PAUL W	806	270.19	10/17/95	CIVIL SERVICE COMM (FINC ADM)
01	P	F	С	JORDHEIM, NANCY L	1157	270.19	2/01/99	CIVIL SERVICE COMM (FINC ADM)
01	P	F	С	PETTINGER, JANE	1843	270.19	7/10/00	CIVIL SERVICE COMM (FINC ADM)
04	P	M	С	GRINDBERG, TONY S	4057	25,511.20	6/28/16	CITY COMMISSIONER (OTHER)
04	P	M	С	GEHRIG, ANTHONY W	3194	25,511.20	5/12/15	CITY COMMISSIONER (OTHER)
04	P	M	С	STRAND, JOHN A	4058	25,511.20	6/28/16	CITY COMMISSIONER (OTHER)
04	P	M	С	PIEPKORN, DAVID A	1849	28,007.20	6/24/14	DEPUTY MAYOR CITY COMM (OTHER)
06	P	M	С	MAHONEY, TIMOTHY J	1469	53,872.00	9/27/05	MAYOR CITY COMMISSION (FIN AD)
08	P	M	C	DAWSON, STEPHEN R	2794	113,599.20	6/26/12	MUNICIPAL JUDGE (OTHER)

FUNCTIO				MINISTRATION				
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
			,					
01				INISTRATION				NEW HIRE = *
08		M		COSTIN, KENT A WILLIAMS, MARK D	393	167,190.40		DIRECTOR OF FINANCE (FIN ADM)
			С	WILLIAMS, MARK D	2532	113,838.40		ASST DIR OF PLANNING AND DEV
			С	TARALSON, BRUCE W GRONNEBERG, RONALD M	2311	116,209.60		INSPECTIONS DIR/BLDG OFFICIAL
		M		GRONNEBERG, RONALD M	810	156,603.20	5/26/00	CHIEF INFO OFFICER (FIN ADM)
		M		HUSHKA, BEN D	1054	128,731.20	6/21/76	CITY ASSESSOR (FINC ADM)
08	F	F		ANDERSON, KEMBER A	53	80,267.20	11/10/08	EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT (FINC ADM)
08		F		MINETTE, JILL C CRUTCHFIELD, NICOLE B	1586	146,660.80	1/19/04	HR DIRECTOR (FINC ADM)
8 0	F	F	С	CRUTCHFIELD, NICOLE B	412	124,072.00	8/20/07	CHIEF INFO OFFICER (FIN ADM) CITY ASSESSOR (FINC ADM) EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT (FINC ADM) HR DIRECTOR (FINC ADM) DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND DEV
02		PROFE	SSIONALS	3				NEW HIRE = *
06	F	M	C	REDEPENNING, MARK D PEDERSON, COREY C BRASKI, JONATHAN J HENNIS, JASON U EIDENSCHINK, RAYMOND P HAUGEN, MATTHEW J GRUHOT JR, DAVID A PAGEL, JILL M	2884	54 766 40	4/22/13	DESKTOP TECHNICIAN I
06	F		C	PEDERSON COREY C	3864	50,627.20		DESKTOP TECHNICIAN I
07	F	M	C	BRASKI JONATHAN J	2725	60,611.20		GIS TECH II (OTHER)
07	F	M	C	HENNIG JASON II	4233	61,921.60		SERVER NETWORK TECHNICIAN II
07	F	M	C	FIDENCHINK PAVMOND D	3749	63,752.00		SERVER NETWORK TECHNICIAN II
07	F	M	C	HALICEN MATTURM T	3742	63,752.00	8/24/15	
07	F	M	C	CDIIUOT TO DAVID A	917	58,926.40		DESKTOP TECHNICIAN I
07	F	F	C	PAGEL, JILL M HOUIM, JESSICA L BAGLEY, KYLENE N	1702	66,913.60		DEPUTY CITY AUDITOR
07	F	F	C	PAGEL, UILL M UOIITM TECCICA I	2120	60,611.20		ACCOUNTANT
07	F	F		DACIEV VVIENE N	2744			PLANNER (FINC ADM)
08	F	Р М	A	BAGLEY, KYLENE N VILLANUEVA, ANTONIO P FRISCH, JOSEPH P PALUBICKI, BRANDON J LINDBERG, LUKE W KRANITZ, RYAN M ANDERSON, MATTHEW R VOECKS, DAVID A MASON, MATTHEW S NELSON, AARON M VALKOV, PETAR M DESOTEL, DOUGLAS T PETERSON, MATTHEW G	3/44	74,256.00		SERVER NETWORK TECHNICIAN II
	F	M	A	VILLANUEVA, ANIONIO P	2422	74,230.00		
08	r F	M	C	FRISCH, UUSEPH P	003	70,366.40		DESKTOP TECHNICIAN II
08			C	PALUBICKI, BRANDON J	2933	78,395.20	7/29/13	
08	F	M	C	LINDBERG, LUKE W	2653	80,454.40		SAFETY MANAGER (FINC ADM)
08	F	M	C	KRANITZ, RYAN M	2868	78,291.20		APPLICATIONS DEVELOPER II
08	F	M	C	ANDERSON, MATTHEW R	2976	72,259.20		SERVER NETWORK TECHNICIAN III
08	F	M	C	VOECKS, DAVID A	2428	91,291.20		APPLICATIONS DEVELOPER III
	F	M	C	MASON, MATTHEW S	2910	78,291.20		APPLICATIONS DEVELOPER II
	F	M	C	NELSON, AARON M	2882	80,267.20		LONG RANGE PLANNING COORD
08	F	M	С	VALKOV, PETAR M	3034	70,720.00		PLAN REVIEWER II
08	F	M	C	DESOTEL, DOUGLAS T	469	82,056.00		PLAN REVIEWER II
08	F	M		•		•		ASSISTANT TRANSIT DIRECTOR
08	F	M	С	KRESS, DONALD C	3946	91,291.20	5/09/16	CURRENT PLANNING COORDINATOR
8 0	F	M	С	SPRAGUE, STEVEN H	2224	111,612.80	12/13/99	
8 0	F	M	С	KEEL, TODD M	3618	74,318.40	1/04/16	
08	F	M	С	SMEBY, BRYCE L	3252	74,318.40	9/14/15	PUBLIC SAFETY SYSTEM ADMIN III
8 0	F	M	С	HENDERSON, ROBERT J	4396	74,318.40	10/09/17	
80	F	F	С	ZAHRADKA, MEGAN H	3181	70,720.00	5/04/15	FINANCIAL/BUDGET ANALYST
8 0	F	F	С	CALKINS, SHEREE L	295	95,700.80		ACCOUNTING SUPERVISOR
08	F	F	С	FLANAGAN, JENICA J	646	112,278.40	11/19/07	ACCOUNTING MANAGER

FUNCTIO	N 01	FINAN	CIAL ADM	MINISTRATION				
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
02			SSIONALS					NEW HIRE = *
8 0	F	F	C	BULLOCK, JAMIE M	271	88,649.60		GRANTS ACCOUNTANT
8 0	F	F	С	ELSHAUG, MAEGIN A	2855	78,062.40		URBAN DEVELOPMENT COORDINATOR
80	F	F	С	SYLSKAR, KRISTIN K	2307	82,492.80		SENIOR PLANNER (FINC ADM)
* 08	F	F	C C G	BULLOCK, JAMIE M ELSHAUG, MAEGIN A SYLSKAR, KRISTIN K BRASETH, TIA M	4586	86,902.40	8/01/18	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COORD
03		TECHN	ICIANS					NEW HIRE = *
* 06	F	М		Δ ΜΟΙ.ΤΜΑΝΝ .ΤΟΝΑΤΗΟΝ Δ	4711	54,142.40	3/04/19	INSPECTOR I (FINC ADM)
		M		WOLTMANN, JONATHON A EICKHOFF, STEVEN A GIRDNER, JOSEPH E MOSS, MICHAEL L	4783	54,142.40		CODE ENFORCEMENT INSPECTOR
		M	C	EICKHOFF, STEVEN A GIRDNER, JOSEPH E MOSS, MICHAEL L HILDEBRAND, CLINTON G HILLMAN, DUSTIN E YATES, WILLIAM D THOMPSON, WILLIAM D OLSON, LUCAS D KYLLO, KASEY V ARENS, JOHN L MAYER, ERIC G GAULRAPP, MELISSA J LARSON, ELIZABETH M ASH, TERESA L SPLONSKOWSKI JR, MICHAEL F	2161	62,233.60		INSPECTOR I (FINC ADM)
		M	C	MOCC MICHAEL I	3033	65,436.80		INSPECTOR I (FINC ADM) INSPECTOR II (FINC ADM)
07	F	M M	C	MOSS, MICHAEL L	30 <u>4</u> 3	62,233.60		
			C	HILDEBRAND, CLINION G	3135	62,233.00		INSPECTOR I (FINC ADM)
07	F	M	C	HILLMAN, DUSIIN E	3067	63,876.80		INSPECTOR I (FINC ADM)
07	F	M	C	YATES, WILLIAM D	4551	55,785.60		INSPECTOR I (FINC ADM)
07	F	M	C	THOMPSON, WILLIAM D	4090	60,257.60		INSPECTOR II (FINC ADM)
07	F	M	C	OLSON, LUCAS D	3099	62,233.60		INSPECTOR I (FINC ADM)
07	F	M	С	KYLLO, KASEY V	4209	57,324.80		INSPECTOR I (FINC ADM)
07	F	M	С	ARENS, JOHN L	75	68,952.00		INSPECTOR II (FINC ADM)
07	F	M	С	MAYER, ERIC G	2729	65,478.40		INSPECTOR I (FINC ADM)
07		F	С	GAULRAPP, MELISSA J	715	65,145.60		PLAN REVIEWER I
07		F	С	LARSON, ELIZABETH M	3087	65,436.80	8/25/14	APPRAISER/LAND MGMT SPECIALIST
07		F	С	ASH, TERESA L	81	67,246.40	8/12/02	PROPERTY APPRAISER II (FIN AD)
80	F	M	С	SPLONSKOWSKI JR, MICHAEL F	2854	80,454.40	1/07/13	PROPERTY APPRAISER III
08	F	M	С	SHOWALTER, JAMES D	2147	72,467.20	2/07/05	INSPECTOR II (FINC ADM)
08	F	M	С	KOSKELA, KEVIN E	2850	70,740.80	12/10/12	INSPECTOR II (FINC ADM)
08	F	M	С	LEMAR, CHAD E	2667	72,467.20	6/13/11	INSPECTOR II (FINC ADM)
08	F	M	С	HARSHBERGER, ROBERT E	904	96,262.40	11/08/04	DEPUTY CITY ASSESSOR (FIN ADM)
08	F	M		HALEY JR, JAMES R	3073	70,740.80		PROPERTY APPRAISER II (FIN AD)
	F	M		BORMANN, TROY D	204	70,740.80		INSPECTOR II (FINC ADM)
	F	M		ASH, TERESA L SPLONSKOWSKI JR, MICHAEL F SHOWALTER, JAMES D KOSKELA, KEVIN E LEMAR, CHAD E HARSHBERGER, ROBERT E HALEY JR, JAMES R BORMANN, TROY D HAM, WAYNE L	861	88,649.60		PROPERTY APPRAISER III
		M		BLEVINS, MICHAEL K	4104	70,220.80		INSPECTOR III
08	F	M	G	WARK, JASON M	4104 2471	72,467.20		PROPERTY APPRAISER II (FIN AD)
08	F	F	C	WALZ, JANELL S	2463	78,291.20	4/26/99	
08	F	F	C	ROSE, CHRISTINE L	1976	93,828.80		ASST INSPECTIONS DIRECTOR
08	F	F	C	STEWART, LINDA L	2256	70,366.40		SPECIAL ASSESS TECH II
00	-	-	C	STEMENT, BINDIT B	2230	70,300.10	1703701	
05		PARA	PROFESSI	IONALS				NEW HIRE = *
06	F	F	C	CHRISTIE, CATLYN N	3213	54,142.40	6/10/15	ASSISTANT PLANNER (FINC ADM)
* 06	F	F	C	SQUYER, MARGUERITE S	4722	54,142.40	4/03/19	ASSISTANT PLANNER (FINC ADM)
07	F	F	С	MARKUSEN, JASMINE R	2592	57,324.80		ASSISTANT PLANNER (FINC ADM)
08	F	M	С	DURGIN, DOUGLAS R	520	72,259.20		SPECIAL ASSESSMENT MANAGER

FUNCTIC SALARY	ON 01		CIAL AD	MINISTRATION	EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P			NAME	NUMBER	SALARY		POSITION TITLE
05		DARA	PROFESS	TONALS				NEW HIRE = *
08	F		C	EBERHARDT, DANNY B	529	109,096.00	5/31/96	SPECIAL ASSESS COOR (FINC ADM)
08			C		1813			ACCOUNTANT - PUBLIC HEALTH
04	P		C		4161			CT ASSISTANT PLANNER
06		ADMIN	IISTRATI	VE SUPPORT				NEW HIRE = *
05	F	F	C	JANGULA, VICKI M	4175	41,246.40	1/10/17	
06	F	M	E	BORGE, BRENTON P	3070			
* 06		F		GORGHUBER, MADELINE Z		•		OFFICE ASSOCIATE III (FIN ADM)
06	F	F	С	HAGEN, HOLLY A	4296			OFFICE ASSOCIATE III (FIN ADM)
06	F	F	С	TURNER, NANCY J	2384	44,324.80	1/03/06	
06	F	F	С	BARNES, ROBIN R	109	53,248.00	1/02/01	CUSTOMER SERVICE REP III
06	F	F	С	WOLF, MIRANDA M	4217	45,531.20	2/27/17	OFFICE ASSOCIATE III (FIN ADM)
06	F	F	C	KRITZBERGER, MELISSA R	4086	53,123.20	8/08/16	ACCOUNTING TECHNICIAN
06	F	F	С	BYRON, SHELLY R	593	54,516.80	6/21/10	OFFICE ASSOCIATE III (FIN ADM)
07	F	F	A	VANYO, MICHELLE R	2409	65,145.60	1/03/00	RECORDS ADMINISTRATOR
07	F	F	C	TESTER, LINDSEY K	3210	57,636.80	6/10/15	ACCOUNTING TECHNICIAN
07	F	F	С	BROOKS, JEANINE	239	60,340.80	1/25/10	HUMAN RESOURCES ASSOCIATE
07	F	F	С	KRAITER, JENNIFER D	4166	68,806.40	12/14/16	HR MANAGER
07	F	F	С	BEAR, ANGELA M	4321	59,009.60	7/03/17	UTILITY BILLING SUPERVISOR
07	F	F	С	IKEN, JERI L	1058	65,145.60	1/26/87	ACCOUNTING TECHNICIAN
08	F	M	С	MITCHELL, MICHAEL J	1588	82,056.00	3/26/92	TRAINING & DEVELOPMENT COORD
08	F	M	D	GIBSON, ALBERT E	725	70,740.80	4/02/07	OFFICE MANAGER (FINC ADM)
08	F	F	C	WIEGMAN, BETHANY J	2702	70,720.00	1/08/15	HR MANAGER
08	F	F	C	LYON, JENNIFER L	1452	82,056.00	2/09/04	HR MANAGER
01	P	M	C	KOVAR, JACOB R	4554	10,920.00		
01	P	M	С	MORMAN, LUKE J	4340	9,360.00	7/31/17	PT PLANNING (INTERN)

FUNCTIO				GHWAYS	EMDI OVER		HTDE	MDM HTDD - +
		SEX	CODE	NAME	EMPLOYEE NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	NEW HIRE = * POSITION TITLE
01		OFFICI	[INISTRATION				NEW HIRE = *
08	F	M	ט יינועה / נואנו	ANDVIK MATTHEW C	4495	91 020 80	4/30/18	DW SERVICES MANAGER
08	F	M	C	ANDVIK, MATTHEW C DOW, BENJAMIN J	505	143,291.20	11/29/99	DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS-PW (ST)
02		PROFES	SSIONALS	5				NEW HIRE = *
08	F	M	C	GION, JASON J KRUEGER, STEVEN T	736	76,003.20	3/01/06	SIGNALS & LGHTING OPS TECH
08	F	М	С	KRUEGER, STEVEN T	1293	76,003.20	9/24/07	SIGNALS & LGHTING OPS TECH
03		TECHNI	CIANS					NEW HIRE = *
07		M	С	GEE, STEVEN D	717	65,145.60		PW CREWLEADER (STREETS)
07		M	С	DEBELE, DANA A	454	63,689.60		PW TECH I (STREETS)
07		M	С	GRONAAS, JAMES M	808	65,145.60		PW CREWLEADER (STREETS)
* 07		M	С	SMEDSHAMMER, TANNER A	2187	63,086.40		FLEET SERVICES MGMT SPECIALIST
07	F	M	C	GAHNER, DOUGLAS H	700	65,145.60		PW CREWLEADER (STREETS)
07	F	M	С	HEUPEL, DEVONNO L	974	65,145.60		PW CREWLEADER (STREETS)
07	F	M	С	WEAVER, MICHAEL D	2479	65,145.60		PW CREWLEADER (STREETS)
07	F	M	С	GABLE, JERRY	697	65,145.60		PW CREWLEADER (STREETS)
08	F	M	C	ANDERSON, LEE A	55	82,056.00		PW SUPERVISOR (STREETS)
80	F	M	С	GEE, STEVEN D DEBELE, DANA A GRONAAS, JAMES M SMEDSHAMMER, TANNER A GAHNER, DOUGLAS H HEUPEL, DEVONNO L WEAVER, MICHAEL D GABLE, JERRY ANDERSON, LEE A HOUIM, COREY L	1033	76,377.60	10/09/97	PW SUPERVISOR (STREETS)
06				JE SUPPORT				NEW HIRE = *
8 0	F	F	С	WOLF, MELISSA J	2554	82,056.00	6/21/99	PW ADMIN SERVICES MANAGER
07			ED CRAFT	rs				NEW HIRE = *
06			С	THOENNES, JAMIE D LENNOX, BRETT S BACHMANN, ANDREW R DOSCHADIS, BRYANT S MCCLAFLIN, AARON R SCHAIBLE, BRETT M SCHMIDT, RANDY A FUGERE, TODD A	3133	50,627.20		EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
06		M		LENNOX, BRETT S	2655	50,627.20		EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
06		M		BACHMANN, ANDREW R	91	53,331.20		EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
06	F	M		DOSCHADIS, BRYANT S	501	53,331.20		EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
06	F	M		MCCLAFLIN, AARON R	3154	50,627.20		EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
07		M		SCHAIBLE, BRETT M	2032	60,340.80		EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
07		M		SCHMIDT, RANDY A	2067	60,340.80		EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
07	F	M	C	FUGERE, TODD A	693	60,340.80		EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
07	F	M	C	CORCORAIN, DEAN D	304	00,340.00		EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
07	F	M	C	FREDRICKSON, MATTHEW E	674	60,340.80		EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
07	F	M	C	DULLUM, DWIGHT B	513	60,340.80		EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
07	F	M	C	LARSON, RICHARD K	1343	60,340.80		EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
07	F	M	C	KOLRUD, JEFFREY C	1259	60,340.80		EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
07	F	M	C	KJOS, RYAN E	1225	60,340.80		EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
07 07	F F	M	C	THOMAS, GUY M	2320	60,340.80		EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
0 /	ľ	M	С	THOMAS, JAMES R	2322	60,340.80	5/19/03	EQUIPMENT OPER III (STREETS)
08		SERVIC	CE MAINT	ΓENANCE				NEW HIRE = *
* 06	F	М	С	CLELAND, COLIN E	4444	42,993.60	12/17/18	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (STREET)

FUNCTIO	N 02	STREE	TS & HI	GHWAYS					
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL				NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
0.0		CEDIII	CE MAIN'	TEND NOT					NIEW IITDE - *
08 06	F	SERVI M	CE MAIN	STEVENS, ANDREW J	3856	45,531.20	2/15/16	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	NEW HIRE = *
	r F			MOSS, CASSIDY T	4447	44,241.60		EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
06 06	r F	M M			2772	48,110.40		EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
06		M		FRAZIER, KALOB E	4027				
	F		C	BAX, GARRET D		45,531.20		EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
06	F	M	C	GIFFEN, BRICE W	4303	44,241.60		EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
06	F	M	C	BLAKE, ERIC J	4317	45,531.20		EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
06	F	M	C	PORT, JOSEPH R	4409	44,241.60	11/16/17		
06	F	M	С	BOSCH, PAUL A DILLY, CLAYTON J	4338	44,241.60		EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
06	F	M	С	DILLY, CLAYTON J	3127	46,841.60		EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
06	F	M	С	HAUGEN, RICHARD D		52,000.00		EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
* 06	F	M	C	DAVIDSON, BRIAN C	445	42,993.60		EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
06	F	M	С	TWEITEN, NICHOLAS L ANDERSON, TROY M	2386	45,531.20	6/12/17	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	II (STREET)
06	F	M	С	ANDERSON, TROY M	66	46,841.60		EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
* 06	F	M	С	GROTHMAN, MICHAEL D		42,993.60	6/03/19	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	II (STREET)
06	F	M	С	FREDRICKSON, SCOTT R	675	44,241.60	9/25/17	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	II (STREET)
06	F	M	С	KAUFMAN, SCOTT A	4143	45,531.20	9/26/16	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	II (STREET)
06	F	M	С	FREDRICKSON, SCOTT R KAUFMAN, SCOTT A VANGERUD, TODD A	3242	45,531.20	8/17/15	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	II (STREET)
* 06	F	M	С	TIAMBLE TAGOD T	4.00	10 000 60	1/1//10	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
06	F	M	С	FOSTER, MARK A	4163	44,241.60	5/25/17	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
06	F	M	C	OELKERS, MICHAEL L	4174	45,531.20	1/09/17	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
06	F	M	E	FOSTER, MARK A OELKERS, MICHAEL L EDWARDSON, CHRISTOPHER K ANDERSON, BRANDI L HATLESTAD, LACEY B BAUMGARDNER, MICHAEL J SCHULER, SCOTT L KOSTECKE, JACOB E	3268	45.531.20	10/19/15	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
06	F	F	C	ANDERSON. BRANDT I	3015	45.531.20	12/21/15	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
06	F	F	C	HATLESTAD LACEY B	3996	45 531 20	5/31/16	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
07	F	M	C	RAIIMGARDNER MICHAEL I	118	55 889 60	2/23/04	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
07	F	M	C	SCHIILER SCOTT I.	2089	55,889,60	4/06/05	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	
01	P	M	C	KOGTECKE INCOR E	4800	6 384 00	6/03/19	PT LABORER STREET	
01	P	M	C	DROEGEMUELLER, DYLAN J	4702	6,384.00	5/03/19 5/20/10	PT LABORER STREET	
01	P	M	C	RIEBEL, JACOB M	4542	6,384.00		PT LABORER STREET	
01	P	M	C	KIEBEL, UACOB M	4542 4528				
					3048	6,624.00		PT LABORER STREET	
01	P	M	C			6,384.00		PT LABORER STREET	
01	P	M	C	OUART, BRADY R	4543	13,248.00		PT LABORER STREET	
01	P	M	C	NELSON, ALEX A	3977	6,624.00		PT LABORER STREET	
01	P	M	D	NELSON, ALEX A HENDERSON, ADRIEN L SWEEP, MADISON L	3637	6,384.00		PT LABORER STREET	
01	P	F	С	SWEEP, MADISON L	4302	6,624.00		PT LABORER STREET	
02	P	M	С		4527			PT LABORER STREET	
04	P	M	С	JOHNSON, ADAM R	3870	31,574.40	5/02/19	PT LABORER STREET	(STREET)

FUNCTIO	N 03	PUBLI	C WELFA	RE				
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
02			SSIONAL					NEW HIRE = *
06	F	M	G	JOSHUA, JORDAN C	2789	50,044.80		FT TEMP 40 PROGRAM COORD
06	F	F	С	GOULD, JILLIAN R	3747	54,662.40		SHELTER/DETOX PROGRAM COORD
06	F	F	G	STONEFISH, AUDRA J	3143	53,123.20		SHELTER/DETOX PROGRAM COORD
07	F	F	С	SIEWERT-OBERG, LEAH A	2706	57,636.80		SHELTER/DETOX PROGRAM COORD
8 0	F	F	С	ELIASSEN, JAN M	554	93,828.80	1/28/08	DIR-HARM RED AND SHELTER SVCS
05		DARA	PROFESS:	TONALS				NEW HIRE = *
* 05	F	M	C C	MORAN, JOSEPH A	4581	34,112.00	8/02/18	FT 40 TEMP HARM RED ADVOCATE
05	F	M	C	BEYER, JORDAN S	159	34,112.00		HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
* 05	F	M	C	DIEHL, DYLAN A	4716	34,112.00		FT 40 TEMP HARM RED ADVOCATE
* 05	F	M	C	SHEPPARD, ALAN J	4690	34,112.00		FT 40 TEMP HARM RED ADVOCATE
* 05	F	M	C	OLSON, JAYSON M	4723	34,112.00	4/01/19	FT 40 TEMP HARM RED ADVOCATE
05	F	M	D	LUETH, GABRIEL A	2970	40,248.00	12/16/13	HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
05	F	F	C	GERVING, DANIELLE R	4451	34,112.00	1/18/18	FT 40 TEMP HARM RED ADVOCATE
* 05	F	F	C	PETERMANN, ALESHA R	4571	34,112.00	7/19/18	HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
* 05	F	F	C	SOMA, JOLEE M	4689	34,112.00		HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
* 05	F	F	C	OLMSTEAD, JENNA L	4603	34,112.00		HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
05	F	F	C	WINDUS, MADISON K	4242	35,110.40		HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
05	F	F	C	BAEM, NICOLE M	4241	35,110.40		HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
* 05	F	F	D		4614	34,112.00	9/17/18	FT 40 TEMP HARM RED ADVOCATE
05	F	F		SOROBA, GRACE G O'NEAL, LAQUITA M	4466	34,112.00	2/13/18	FT 40 TEMP HARM RED ADVOCATE
05	F	r F	D D	DORLEY, MASSA P	4497	35,110.40		HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
* 05	F	r F	D	WAI, GRACE A	4533	34,112.00	3/26/19	
05	F	r F	D		3284	37,169.60		HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
05	F	r F	G	WARSAME, NASRA H	2625	40,248.00		HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
01	r P	Р М		NAVARRO, NANCY I	4807		6/05/19	
01			A	OLSON, ZACHARY T	4648	13,644.80		
01	P	M	C	SEIFERT, JOHN D		13,644.80	11/20/18	
	P	F	C	BENSON, CLAIRE R	4721	13,644.80	3/26/19	TV HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
01	P	F	C	HOINES, TYRZA M	4706	13,644.80	2/11/19	TV HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
01	P	F	D	DESHIELD, BENDU P	4717	13,644.80	3/13/19	TV HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
03	P	M	D	KUOL, JACOB A	2971	22,301.76	8/27/15	PT REG HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
03	P	F	C	PARTLOW, ALYSSA T	4688	20,467.20		PT REG HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
04	P	F	C	PURCELL, RHEA J	4619	27,289.60	9/26/18	PT REG HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
04	P	F	D	PEAH, PEACHES	4620	27,289.60		PT REG HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
04	P	F	D	JAMES, EBONY R	4465	28,088.32		PT REG HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE
04	P	F	G	GOULET, TANIALLE M	4567	27,289.60	7/11/18	PT REG HARM REDUCTION ADVOCATE

FUNCTIO SALARY					EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	NEW HIRE = * POSITION TITLE
01		OFFICI	AL/ADM	INISTRATION				NEW HIRE = *
08			C	RENNER, ROSS J	1910	119,828.80	9/02/97	POLICE DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
8 0	F	M	C	OSMUNDSON, TODD G	1773	128,731.20	8/29/88	POLICE DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
8 0	F	M	С	ANDERSON, JOSEPH D	51	116,875.20	1/06/97	POLICE DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
80	F	M	С	TODD, DAVID E	2360	167,190.40	12/28/87	POLICE DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE POLICE DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE POLICE DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE POLICE CHIEF (POLICE)
02		PROFES	SSIONALS	S				NEW HIRE = *
08	F	M	С	AHLFELDT, WILLIAM S	13	98,612.80	12/19/01	POLICE LIEUTENANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	VINSON, GEORGE H	2424	93,828.80	1/07/04	POLICE LIEUTENANT (POLICE)
08		M		RUZISKA, SHANNON J	1991	103,376.00	5/14/92	POLICE LIEUTENANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	STEFONOWICZ, TRAVIS S	2240	103,376.00	5/08/02	POLICE LIEUTENANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	CRANE, JARED J	404	96,262.40	12/02/02	POLICE LIEUTENANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	HELMICK, CHRISTOPHER L	954	98,612.80	12/19/01	POLICE LIEUTENANT (POLICE)
80	F	M	С	AHLFELDT, WILLIAM S VINSON, GEORGE H RUZISKA, SHANNON J STEFONOWICZ, TRAVIS S CRANE, JARED J HELMICK, CHRISTOPHER L SANDERS, MATHEW D	2011	108,160.00	1/03/95	POLICE LIEUTENANT (POLICE)
03		TECHNI	CTANS					NEW HIRE = *
08	F	M	C	YSTEBOE, MATTHEW B WAGNER, JERROD D PALLAS, KEVIN L GNOINSKY, COLLIN J GAMRADT, SHAWN A BERNIER, MICHAEL J ERBES, MICHAEL R NICHTERN, CHRISTOPHER T HALVERSON, BRENT R VOLRATH, KEVIN L MOSER, TRAVIS P ERICKSON, JOEL D SANDEN, MICHAEL B CHRISTENSEN, MATTHEW D LYKKEN, MARK D ABERLE, SHANE A MORRIS, THOMAS J	2567	80.454.40	12/19/01	POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	C	WAGNER, JERROD D	2443	76,356.80		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	C	PALLAS, KEVIN I	1784	92,726.40		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	C	GNOINSKY, COLLIN J	742	84,552.00		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	C	GAMRADT, SHAWN A	705	84,552.00		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	C	BERNIER, MICHAEL J	153	92,726.40		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	C	ERBES, MICHAEL R	568	88,649.60		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	C	NICHTERN, CHRISTOPHER T	1678	84,552.00		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	C	HALVERSON, BRENT R	2633	72,259.20		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	VOLRATH, KEVIN L	2435	92,726.40		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	MOSER, TRAVIS P	1616	84,552.00		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	ERICKSON, JOEL D	586	78,395.20		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	SANDEN, MICHAEL B	2008	78,395.20		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	CHRISTENSEN, MATTHEW D	335	84,552.00		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	LYKKEN, MARK D	1448	92,726.40	6/14/91	POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	ABERLE, SHANE A	9	88,649.60		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	MORRIS, THOMAS J	1614	84,552.00	9/18/97	POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	HANNIG, TROY G	868	80,454.40	3/26/07	POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	KRINGLIE, JAMES T	1285	88,649.60		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	NESTLER III, CARLOS W	1672	88,649.60		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	VANLITH, JAMES W	2408	88,649.60		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	M	G	SHAW, THOMAS J	2133	86,569.60	9/15/03	POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
08	F	F	С	JACOBSEN, CRISTIE I	1078	78,395.20	10/09/06	POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
8 0	F	F	C	KRABBENHOFT, JUNELL J	1272	80,454.40		POLICE SERGEANT (POLICE)
04		PROTEC	TTON SI	ERVICES				NEW HIRE = *
* 05	F	F	C C	AVENTI, LAVERNE M	88	39,790.40	1/14/19	COMMUNITY SERVICE OFFICER

FUNCTION 04	4 POLICE PROTECTION							
SALARY		ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL F/E	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
04		ECTION S						NEW HIRE = *
	M		MUSOLF, ZACHARY R	4657			POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
* 06 F		С	KRISTAN, IAN R	4769			POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
	M		DOUVIER, CLAYTON R	4653			POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
	M		HIEDEMAN, TY R	4656			POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
* 06 F			HIEDEMAN, TY R VEGEL, JOSEPH M	4605	54,142.40	9/10/18		
* 06 F			FAHNING, DILLON O	4055	54,142.40	11/26/18		(POLICE)
* 06 F		С	DEVRIES, MICHAEL A	4652	54,142.40	11/26/18	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
* 06 F		С	SIEGEL, CHRISTOPHER L	4772 4658 4771 4712	54,142.40	5/13/19	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
* 06 F	M	C	OLERUD, ELLIOTT J	4658	54,142.40	11/26/18	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
* 06 F	M	C	OTTO, SAMUEL M	4771	54,142.40	5/13/19	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
* 06 F	M	С	SWIONTEK, JETT R DAVIS, CHASE J MOILAN, ABRAHAM V	4712	54,142.40	3/11/19	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
* 06 F	M	C	DAVIS, CHASE J	4768	54,142.40	5/13/19	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
* 06 F	M	С	MOILAN, ABRAHAM V	4604	54,142.40	9/10/18	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
* 06 F	M	С	NORGER, NOAH A	4607	54,142.40	9/10/18	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
* 06 F	M	G	DAVIS, CHRISTIAN J	4608	54,142.40	9/10/18	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
* 06 F	F	С	LASHOMB, SAMANTHA D	4770	54,142.40	5/13/19	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
* 06 F	F	С	ZERFAS, MADISON R	4773 642	54,142.40	5/13/19	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
06 F	F	С	FIX, SARA A	642	51,750.40	5/19/03	COMMUNITY SERVICE OFF	'ICER
* 06 F	F	С	FIX, SARA A CURRIN, HANNAH E	4751	54,142.40	4/29/19	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
06 F	F	С	SPIELMAN, KATHY J	2220	51,750.40	5/30/95	COMMUNITY SERVICE OFF	'ICER
06 F		С	CURRIN, HANNAH E SPIELMAN, KATHY J OLSON, COLETTE R COOK, SASHA S	1745	51,750.40	6/04/02		
* 06 F		С	COOK, SASHA S	4750	54,142.40	4/29/19	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
07 F	M	A	MENDEZ, BARDO F	3065	63,876.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07 F	M	С	HIII.BERT DANTEL M	4111	57,324.80	9/12/16	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
07 F	M	С	JACOBS, KRISTOPHER W	1077	67,121.60		POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07 F	M	С	FALCONNIER, TIMOTHY A	4372	55,785.60	9/11/17	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
07 F	M	С	MELQUIST, ADAM D	2863	63,876.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07 F	M	С	HELM, JESSE D	2962	62,233.60		POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07 F		С	BAKER, SHEM D	4221	57,324.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07 F	M	С	MAAHS, JACOB A	3118			POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07 F	M	С	NELSON, PAUL W	4186			POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07 F	M	С	BERNIER, THOMAS L	2860	60,611.20	2/19/13	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)
07 F	M	С	MICHAELS, JORDAN A	3146	60,611.20	2/02/15	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07 F	M	С	ESSLER, ROBERT J	3115	60,611.20	10/20/14		
07 F	M	C	SEEHUSEN, TYLER J	4283	57,324.80	5/22/17		
07 F	M	C	KORTE, JORDAN M	4514	57,324.80	5/14/18	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07 F	M	C	IVERSON, PAUL J	3117	60,611.20	10/20/14		
07 F	M	C	GEASE, CODY D	2928	62,233.60	7/08/13	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07 F	M	C	MARLOW, JOSHUA A	3973	60,611.20	5/23/16	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07 F	M	C	NORD, TYLER J	1694	67,121.60	8/23/10		
		-	•		, ==::0	= ,		- /

Page 8 7/01/2018 TO 6/30/2019

FUNCTIO:	N 04			CTION					
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
04		PROTE	CTION SE	ERVICES					NEW HIRE = *
07	F	M	C C	SEEHUSEN, KYLE J	2960	62 233 60	11/18/13	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07	F	M		HARKEN, JUSTIN A	2692	67,121.60		POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07	F	M		SCHOCK, ADAM L	3147	60,611.20	2/02/15		
07	F	M		BERGER TRAVIS J	2829	63,876.80	10/15/12		
07	F	M	C	CERNIK, BRADLEY D	3246	59,009.60	8/31/15		
07	F	M	C	KERR, BRANDON M	3925	60,611.20	4/25/16		
07	F	M	C	MARVIG, JOSHUA L	3927	60,611.20	4/25/16		
07	F	M	C	NESS, KYLE D	1670	68,744.00	8/24/09		
07	F	M	C	MOON, MATTHEW G	2797	63,876.80	7/09/12		
07	F	M	C	MALONE, BRENT R	2796	63,876.80	7/09/12		
07	F	M	C	MOCK, LUCAS L	3924	60,611.20	4/25/16		
07	F	M	C	DOTAS, ANDREW L	4109	57,324.80	9/12/16		
07	F	M	C	O'DONNELL DVAN W	3928	63,876.80	4/25/16		
07	F	M	C	O'DONNELL, RYAN W SIMONSON, PAUL D	0.01	67,121.60	5/02/11		
07	F	M			2631 3149 4429 4374	60,611.20	2/02/15		
07	F	M	C	GOSSEN, ADAM J HECK, LUCAS A HINZ, JESSE A THIEDEMAN, DIRK E VALENTI, JUSTIN A	7170	55,785.60			
07	F	M	C	ILING TECCE A	4374	55,785.60	9/11/17		
07	F	M	C	TINZ, UESSE A	2274	59,009.60	11/09/15		
07	F	M	C	INIEDEMAN, DIKK E	3274 3116	60,611.20			
07	r F	M	C	\TT:\\I\T\\T\\T\\T\\T\\T\\\\T\\T\\T\\T\\T\\T	1602		10/20/14		
		M	C	NIEMAN, NATHAN K	3244	67,121.60	8/23/10		
07	F		C	JASPER, RYAN L	1890	59,009.60	8/31/15		
07	F	M	C	RANUM, MARK R	2830	68,744.00	11/30/09		
07	F	M	C	HANSON, TROY M	2632	63,876.80	10/15/12		
07	F	M	C	O'BRIEN, ADAM C THOMAS, PATRICK S	3119	67,121.60	5/02/11		
07	F	M	C	THOMAS, PATRICK S		60,611.20	10/20/14		
07	F	M	C	SAVAGEAU, TAYLOR J	3150	60,611.20	2/02/15		
07	F	M	C	NOVACEK, JONATHAN R	3248	59,009.60	8/31/15		
07	F	M	C	KAHL, LOGAN T	4516	57,324.80	5/14/18		
07	F	M	C	DYE, THOMAS P	4184	57,324.80	1/23/17		
07	F	M	C	SIDERS, MATTHEW O	2153	68,744.00	8/24/09		
07	F	M	C	FAUSKE, TYRELL J	2961			POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07	F	M	C	HOLTE, CHRISTOPHER M	2861	63,876.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07	F	M	C	HELLER, JOSHUA B	3245	59,009.60	8/31/15	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07	F	M	C	BOLLMAN, ALEXANDER R	3247	59,009.60	8/31/15	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07	F	M	C	HORNER, JOSHUA P	3114	60,611.20	10/20/14		
07	F	M	C	KORB, CALEB J	2929	62,233.60	7/08/13	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07	F	M	C	FUGLEBERG, KEITH A	4371	55,785.60	9/11/17		
07	F	M	C	RUED, JACOB W	3191	60,611.20	5/11/15	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07	F	M	C	GOLOS, ALDIN	3148	60,611.20	2/02/15	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	
07	F	M	С	CARLSSON, TORBJORN C	3273	59,009.60	11/09/15	POLICE OFFICER NEWER	(POLICE)

Page 9 7/01/2018 TO 6/30/2019

FUNCTIO SALARY	N 04		E PROTE	CTION	EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P			NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	NEW HILLE
04		חח \ חום	CTION S	EDVICEC					NEW HIRE = *
07	F	PROIE M	C C C		3969	60,611.20	E/22/16	POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07		M		WATEA LACULAN T	4515	57,324.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWE:	
07	F	M		HADERLIE, MICHAEL G VAIRA, LACHLAN J JANTTIE, NICHOLAS A	2066	60,611.20		POLICE OFFICER NEWE:	
07	F	M		G G T T T T T G T T G T G G T T T G	4000	57,324.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	M	C	SCHLANGEN, GREGORY S YANCY, AUSTIN C ROBINSON, ZACHARY B MANDOUR, ADAM M BLOOM, MICHAEL M LUCKOW, CORY N ANDERSON, MICHAEL D ROACH, CHRISTOPHER A POWELL CALHOLN NICALAUS D	4273	55,785.60		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	M	C	ROBINSON ZACHARY B	3975	60,611.20		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	M	C	MANDOUR ADAM M	4281	57,324.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	M	C	RIOOM MICHARI M	2987	63,876.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	M	C	THICKOM CORY N	4114	57,324.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	M	D	ANDERSON MICHAEL D	3187	60,611.20		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	M	D	ROACH CHRISTOPHER A	3064	63,876.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	M	D	POWELL CALHOUN NICALAUS D	4110	57,324.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	M	E	CARLSON, DAVID T	3276	59,009.60		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	F	C	ROZICH, DANICA M	4428	55,785.60		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	F	C	BUNKELMAN, LACEY B	4115	57,324.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	F	C	NOLL ANDREA A	3152	60,611.20		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	F	C	ROACH, CHRISTOPHER A POWELL CALHOUN, NICALAUS D CARLSON, DAVID T ROZICH, DANICA M BUNKELMAN, LACEY B NOLL, ANDREA A THIELGES, ALICE L WITZEL, HEIDI J EHRESMAN, TAMMY D CHEZICK, KAYLA M MILLER, ELIZABETH A HALLADA, TINA M SCHARES, EMILY J SANTANGELO, KIMBERLY M	4423			POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	F	C	WITZEL, HEIDI J	4279	57,324.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	F	C	EHRESMAN. TAMMY D	3275	59,009.60		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	F	C	CHEZICK, KAYLA M	4426	55,785.60		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	F	C	MILLER, ELIZABETH A	4113	57,324.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	F	C	HALLADA, TINA M	4112	57,324.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	F	C	SCHARES, EMILY J	3967	60,611.20		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	F	C	SANTANGELO, KIMBERLY M	2862	63,876.80		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
07	F	F	C	GUSTAFSON, JENNIFER L	3745	60,611.20		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
08	F	M	C	BAIR, JONATHAN D	2862 3745 98 6	73,465.60		POLICE OFFICER OLD	
08	F	M	C	ADET TACON T	6	76,856.00		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
08	F	M	C	BOLLMAN, SAMUEL D	197	70,366.40		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
08	F	M		KEMPF, VINCENT G	1196	76,856.00		POLICE OFFICER OLD	
08		M	C	NORMANDIN, SCOTT A	1697			POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
08	F	M	C	BERGEM, CHRISTOPHER R	143	76,856.00		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
08	F	M	C	KJONAAS, NICHOLAS L	1224	73,465.60		POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
08	F	M	C	VOIGTSCHILD, MARK D	2433	76,856.00	5/15/03	POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
08	F	M	C	KJERA, MICHAEL A	1223	73,465.60	11/15/89	POLICE OFFICER OLD	
08	F	M	С	SKALICKY, JASON R	2170	76,856.00	2/24/03	POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
08	F	M	C	WAHL, TODD M	2447	73,465.60	3/01/96	POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
08	F	M	C	NIELSEN, TROY N	1682	76,856.00	1/29/00	POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
08	F	M	C	GAHNER, JAMEY D	701	70,366.40	3/02/09	POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
08	F	M	C	CICHOS, PAUL D	346	76,856.00	3/26/07	POLICE OFFICER NEWE	
08	F	М	C	WITTE, BRET A	2549	76,856.00	1/03/00	POLICE OFFICER NEWE	

FUNCTIO				CCTION				
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE			NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
04		PROTE	CTION S	SERVICES				NEW HIRE = *
08	F	M	C	FYLLING, PATRICK E	696	76,856.00	3/26/07	POLICE OFFICER NEWER (POLICE)
08	F	M		MATHSON, CHRISTOPHER C	1507	73,611.20	3/03/08	
08	F	M		MATHSON, CHRISTOPHER C BAER, BRADLEY J	95	76,856.00	1/17/90	
08	F	M		CLOWER, MICHAEL J	364	76,856.00	1/14/02	
08	F	M	С	HJELDEN, DANE F				
08	F	M	С	JOHNSON, DEREK A	1124	73,611.20		
08	F	M	С	DEITZ, RICHARD J	460	76,856.00		
08	F	M	С	NACHATILO, JUSTIN G	1638	76,856.00		
08	F	M	С	COCHRAN, DAVID J ZIESKA, BRAD A	368	76,856.00		
08	F	M	С	ZIESKA, BRAD A	2583	73,465.60		
08	F	M	С	JORDHEIM, DEAN G	1156	70,366.40		POLICE OFFICER NEWER (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	SULLIVAN, CHARLES W	2285	73,465.60		POLICE OFFICER OLD (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	SULLIVAN, CHARLES W MOEN, CHAD W BOE, DAVID W	1592	73,465.60		POLICE OFFICER NEWER (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	BOE, DAVID W	188	76,856.00		POLICE OFFICER OLD (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	LOVEJOY, MICHAEL R LOOS, JOSHUA C	1432	73,465.60		POLICE OFFICER NEWER (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	LOOS, JOSHUA C	1421			POLICE OFFICER NEWER (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	NIEMEYER, MATTHEW R	1684	73,465.60	10/22/07	POLICE OFFICER NEWER (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	RONNING, DANE L	1972	76,856.00		POLICE OFFICER NEWER (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	AUSTIN, MICHAEL J KENDALL, GRANT A	87	73,465.60		POLICE OFFICER NEWER (POLICE)
08	F	M	С	KENDALL, GRANT A	1197	76,856.00		POLICE OFFICER NEWER (POLICE)
08	F	F	С	GUNTHER, SARA L CRUZE, SARA K	832	73,465.60		POLICE OFFICER NEWER (POLICE)
08	F	F	С	CRUZE, SARA K	414	76,856.00	1/07/04	POLICE OFFICER NEWER (POLICE)
08	F	F	С	DURR, TERRESA M	522	73,465.60	9/14/98	POLICE OFFICER NEWER (POLICE)
08	F	F	С	NICHTERN, CONNIE K	1679	76,856.00	10/24/00	POLICE OFFICER NEWER (POLICE)
08	F	F	С	WHITE-TUTON, JESSECA D	2516			POLICE OFFICER NEWER (POLICE)
06				VE SUPPORT				NEW HIRE = *
05		F			4165			POLICE SUPPORT SPECIALIST
			С					POLICE SUPPORT SPECIALIST
* 06		M		CRAMER, SCOTT R	4757	42,993.60		POLICE CREATIVE SERVICES PROD
06	F	F	_	•				POLICE SUPPORT SPECIALIST
06	F	F	С	THOMAS, RACHEL A	2326	51,979.20	2/04/08	POLICE SUPPORT SPECIALIST
06	F	F	С	SYVERSON, JEAN M	3221	44,553.60	6/22/15	
06	F	F	С	HASSELL, ALISON G	4448	44,241.60	1/16/18	
06	F	F	C	HOLDEN, LINDA J	1009	51,750.40	7/23/92	
06	F	F	С	LARSON, CHERYL R	1335	51,750.40	6/04/86	
06	F	F	С	SCHAEFER, JOAN M	2028	49,358.40	6/12/06	
06	F	F	С	KIEBKE, AMY M	1207	51,750.40	12/11/00	
06	F	F	C	LEAN, ANISE A	2889	50,731.20	4/29/13	·-
06	F	F	С	LOVEJOY, ALICIA A	2724	48,152.00	1/03/12	POLICE SUPPORT SPECIALIST

Prepared 8/19/19, 15:21:28	EEO-4 Detail Listing	Page 12
Program PR592L	2019 EEO-4 REPORT	7/01/2018 TO 6/30/2019

FUNCTIC SALARY LEVEL			E PROTE ETHNIC CODE	CTION NAME	EMPLOYEE NUMBER	SALARY	HIRE DATE	NEW HIRE = * POSITION TITLE
06		ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT						NEW HIRE = *
06	F	F	С	DRISCOLL, JOCELYN K	3220	44,553.60	6/22/15	POLICE SUPPORT SPECIALIST
07	F	F	С	WAGNER, SARAH L	1894	60,340.80	5/20/02	EVIDENCE/PROP QTR MSTR ASSIST
07	F	F	С	GEYER, BRIDGITTE J	1333	65,478.40	9/13/10	EVIDENCE/PROPERTY MANAGER
07	F	F	С	SCHINDELDECKER, JESSICA A	2045	68,744.00	5/17/10	POLICE PUBLIC INFO OFFICER
07	F	F	С	SWIFT, PATRICIA L	2306	55,889.60	10/09/00	OFFICE ASSOCIATE III (POLICE)
07	F	F	C	CAMMACK, LAUREN L	3211	59,009.60	6/09/15	CRIME ANALYST

FUNCTION 05		FIRE	PROTECT	ION				
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL			CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
01		OFFIC	ידאד / ארזאי	TNITCUDATION				NEW HIRE = *
	F	M		INISTRATION NELSON CRAIC D	1646	00 221 60	E/0E/02	ACCICUMNU DIDE CHIER (DIDE)
			C	NELSON, CRAIG P	1040	70,321.00	6/11/07	ADDITUTE THE CHIEF (FIRE)
			C	CUDOMEY RENTON R	2//	76 256 00	6/01/07	DEPUTE TIRE MARCHAL
	F	M	C	DIDUCEN CTEVEN T	2717	155 594 00	11/20/11	DEPUTE FIRE MARSHAL
08	F	M		DIRECT DAVID C	2/1/	70 201 20	11/20/11	AIDDODT EIDE CHIEE
08	F	M		CEVERCON DODERT D	2120	70,291.20	10/01/14	AIRPORT FIRE CRIEF
	r F	M		SEVERSON, ROBERT P	Z1Z0	106 603 00	11/01/93	DEPUTY FIRE MARSHAL
08	r F			ERICKSON, RYAN S	59I	100,083.20	11/18/02	ASSISI FIRE CHIEF-FIRE MARSHAL
08		M		GARVEY, JAMES D	/10	80,454.40	3/14/05	DEPUTY FIRE MARSHAL
08	F	M		YOUNG, RYAN R	2500	78,395.20	9/13/04	DEPUTY FIRE MARSHAL
08	F	M		BINFET, TIMOTHY L	166	103,875.20	5/03/99	ASSISTANT FIRE CHIEF (FIRE)
8 0	F	F	С	STOLLENWERK, DAWN M	2263	76,356.80	8/24/09	NEW HIRE = * ASSISTANT FIRE CHIEF (FIRE) DEPUTY FIRE MARSHAL DEPUTY FIRE MARSHAL FIRE CHIEF (FIRE) AIRPORT FIRE CHIEF DEPUTY FIRE MARSHAL ASSIST FIRE CHIEF-FIRE MARSHAL DEPUTY FIRE MARSHAL DEPUTY FIRE MARSHAL ASSISTANT FIRE CHIEF (FIRE) DEPUTY FIRE MARSHAL
02		PROFE	SSIONAL					
08	F	M	С	KRUEGER, MASON L	1292	74,459.84	2/26/07	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
08	F	M	С	ANDERSON, BRUCE J	35	103,376.00	3/12/90	FIRE BATTALION CHIEF
	F	M		CONRAD, DAVID C	381	85,787.52	5/01/00	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
08	F	M		HOOVER, JARED B	1027	76,323.52	9/22/03	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
08	F	M		HENDRICKSON, MICHAEL A	958	85,787.52	3/13/96	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
08	F	M		EISENLOHR, ERIC D	551	80,138.24	9/04/01	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
08	F	M		VIERGUTZ, RYAN M	2419	78,303.68	9/22/03	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
08	F	M		SOETH, LEE M	2202	98,612.80	5/08/95	FIRE BATTALION CHIEF
08	F	M	C	SENN, DANIEL L	2124	70,761.60	9/12/05	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
08	F	M	C	UNDERDAHL, JASON M	2389	74.459.84	2/26/07	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
08	F	M	C	DUFTY, NICHOLAS M	511	74.459.84	2/26/07	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
08	F	M	C	GUGGISBERG, RONALD I	822	85.787.52	5/01/00	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
08	F	M	C	JOHNSON, DANTEL M	1122	74.459.84	5/27/08	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
08	F	M	C	BESETTE BRADLEY R	157	76.323.52	11/13/00	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
	F	M		WEHNER BRANDON M	2488	70,323.32	11/03/08	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
	F	M		MEIDINGER PAHL W	1543	78 303 68	5/05/03	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
08	F	M		SCHMIDT JESSE W	2061	82 001 92	9/24/99	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
08	F	M	C	SWANSON RENTAMEN T	2294	78 303 68	7/02/01	FIRE CADTAIN (FIRE)
08	F	M	C	NESTER, DARIN S	1671	74,459.84	4/01/02	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)
08	F	M	C	PATTERSON, EVERETT L	1794	82,001.92	5/01/00	
08	F	M	C	BRAND, MATTHEW M	215	82,001.92	5/05/03	
08	F	M	C	MUFFENBIER, KEVIN	1629	85,787.52	3/03/03	
08	r F	M	C	NESS, JASON D	1668	84,360.64	7/02/01	
08	r F				1133	82,001.92	9/21/92	
08	r F	M	C	JOHNSON, KEITH R		98,629.44		
		M	C	RASMUSSEN, CHRISTOPHER	1892		11/01/93	FIRE BATTALION CHIEF
08	F F	M	C	WILLEY, BENJAMIN D	2528	70,720.00	5/05/03	
08	H.	M	С	WALLER, MARK	2458	85,787.52	3/30/87	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)

FUNCTIO			PROTECTI	CON					
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
02		DR∩FF	SSIONALS	1					NEW HIRE = *
08	┎		С		2047	98 612 80	7/31/80	ETDE DATTALION CUTEE_EME	
08			C	SCHLAFMANN, LEON L MEHLISCH, JASON	15/1	96,012.00 95 797 59	2/01/05	TIRE DATIABLON CHIEF-EME.	K COOK
08	L.	M	C	MEHLISCH, JASON	1341 115	85,787.52	3/01/93	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)	
08	r F	M	C	CUCHNA III, ROBERT J MANGIN, JOHN J	1473	89,107.20		FIRE BATTALION CHIEF	
08		M		DIETT CLARK	479	82 001 92		FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)	
08		M		DIETZ, CLARK DIETZ, CHRISTOPHER P PIEPER, CHRISTOPHER J	478	85 787 52		FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)	
08	F		C	DIFIER CHRISTOFHER F	1848	82 056 00		FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)	
08	F	M		GISSELBECK JASON D	738	78 303 68	11/18/02	FIRE CAPTAIN (FIRE)	
08	F	M	C	GISSELBECK, JASON D CARLEY, DANE	302	98 629 44	3/13/96	FIRE BATTALION CHIEF	
00	L	1.1	C	CARDEI, DANE	302	70,027.44	3/13/30	FIRE BATTABION CHIEF	
04			CTION SE						NEW HIRE = *
06	F	M	С	VAN DE VELDE, TYLER K	4219	53,114.88	2/27/17	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
06	F	M	С	EVENSON, DYLAN J	4518	53,114.88	5/14/18	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
06	F	M	С	EVENSON, DYLAN J PHILLIPPI, JUSTIN C	4369	51,600.64	9/11/17	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
06	F	M	С	CUCHNA, JACOB R	4517	53,114.88	5/14/18	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
06	F	M	С	MARTIN, JUSTIN G	3254	54,629.12	9/14/15	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
* 06		M		HILLUKKA, TODD A	4679	49,154.56	12/31/18	AIRPORT FIREFIGHTER	
06	F	M	С	CUCHNA, JACOB R MARTIN, JUSTIN G HILLUKKA, TODD A GOEBEL, ROBERT J	4519	53,114.88	5/14/18	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
06	F	M	С	KRAMER, MATTHEW A	4520	53,114.88	5/14/18	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
06	F	M	С	HAGEN, MICHAEL A	4367	51,600.64	9/11/17	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
06	F	M	С	SWANSON, KEVIN W	4370	51,600.64	9/11/17	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
06	F	M	С	ADAMS, NATHAN E GARDING, TYLOR J	3253	54,629.12	9/14/15	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
* 06	F	M	С	GARDING, TYLOR J	3789	50,144.64	5/06/19	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
06	F			LORDEMAN, AARON R	4368	51,600.64	9/11/17	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
06		M		BOROWICZ, TROY D	4363	51,600.64	9/11/17	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
* 06		M		TOLLEFSON, TAYLOR W	4758	50,144.64	5/06/19	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
* 06		M		BACHMEIER, BRENT A	4628	50,144.64		FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
06		M		RANSOM, KYLE R	4325	50,610.56		AIRPORT FIREFIGHTER	
06	F	M		NELSON, ROSS W	4220	53,114.88		FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
* 06	F			NELSON, ROSS W BAUNE, BRETT J HAWLEY, MICHAEL K	4759	50,144.64		FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
06	F	M	С	HAWLEY, MICHAEL K			2/27/17	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
06	F	M	D	WILLIAMS JR, PATRICK D	3255	54,629.12		FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	GROMMESH, PAUL M	3880	56,114.24		FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	KNUTSON, DERIC E	1246	68,169.92		FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07	F	M	С	CZAPLEWSKI, BRETT D	422	68,169.92		FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07	F	M	С	LANGAGER, DARIN R	3105	60,336.64		AIRPORT FIREFIGHTER	
07	F	M	С	WORM, JOSHUA J	3878	56,114.24		FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	PREMO, JACOB A	3004	59,142.72		FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	NELSON, WILLIAM D	1665			FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	SIMMONS, SHANE L	2160	65,141.44	6/01/09	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	

		5 FIRE PROTECTION ETHNIC		ION	EMDI OVER				NEW HIDE
SALARY				NAME	EMPLOYEE	SALARY	HIKE	DOCUMENT MADE IN	NEW HIRE = *
TF A FT	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DAIL	POSITION TITLE	
04		PROTE	CTION S	DURENSKY, BRENT D KNUTSON, CHRIS T FULLER, JOSEPH M PETERSON, KIRK C DARRAH, ROBERT L ENGELSTAD, JEREMY L SVIR, JOSEPH E ORVIK, KENT J GIBSON, CASEY S DINGMANN, ANDREW F LOHER III, GERALD A PEARSON, TYLER J JOHNSON, LOGAN S NEELS, MICHAEL J MITCHELL, NICHOLAS J KINDELSPIRE, NICHOLAS P SMITH, JUSTIN C SEABERG, MICHAEL T AMBROSE, MICHAEL J FORNESS, JASON A PETSINGER, BRETT J RYSGAARD, TREVOR E NOYES, CHAD R POLLERT, GAVIN R BELCHER, DAVID E JOHNSON, KEVIN A LADDUSAW, MATTHEW FORNESS, MARSHALL M SABOURIN, JOSEPH R SELLDEN, TREVOR-LEE L PAYNE, ANDREW J WERLINGER, JUSTIN R					NEW HIRE = *
07		M		DURENSKY, BRENT D	518	65,141.44	6/01/09	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07		M		KNUTSON, CHRIS T	1245	68,169.92	4/27/90	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07	F	M	C	FULLER, JOSEPH M	3158	56,114.24	3/02/15	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07		M		PETERSON, KIRK C	1833	65,141.44	6/01/09	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07		M		DARRAH, ROBERT L	442	65,141.44	8/19/96	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	ENGELSTAD, JEREMY L	3005	59,142.72	4/14/14	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	SVIR, JOSEPH E	2291	65,141.44	9/13/04	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	ORVIK, KENT J	2593	62,112.96	10/04/10	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	GIBSON, CASEY S	3157	56,114.24	3/02/15	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07		M		DINGMANN, ANDREW F	3886	56,114.24	3/28/16	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	LOHER III, GERALD A	3086	56,114.24	8/18/14	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	PEARSON, TYLER J	1801	65,141.44	6/01/09	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07	F	M	С	JOHNSON, LOGAN S	1138	65,141.44	6/01/09	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07	F	M	С	NEELS, MICHAEL J	1643	65,141.44	11/03/08	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	MITCHELL, NICHOLAS J	2680	62,112.96	8/01/11	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	KINDELSPIRE, NICHOLAS P	3007	59,142.72	4/14/14	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	SMITH, JUSTIN C	2193	65,141.44	9/22/03	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	SEABERG, MICHAEL T	2113	65,141.44	6/01/09	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07	F	M	С	AMBROSE, MICHAEL J	23	65,141.44	9/13/04	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	FORNESS, JASON A	661	65,141.44	10/23/00	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	PETSINGER, BRETT J	1842	65,141.44	6/01/09	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07	F	M	С	RYSGAARD, TREVOR E	1993	65,141.44	11/03/08	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	NOYES, CHAD R	1701	56,114.24	5/09/16	AIRPORT LEAD FIREFIGHTER	/ CAPT
07	F	M	С	POLLERT, GAVIN R	3159	56,114.24	3/02/15	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	BELCHER, DAVID E	3104	65,141.44	10/01/14	AIRPORT LEAD FIREFIGHTER	/ CAPT
07	F	M	С	JOHNSON, KEVIN A	1135	68,169.92	4/27/90	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07		M	С	LADDUSAW, MATTHEW	1303	65,141.44	11/16/92	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07		M		FORNESS, MARSHALL M	662	68,169.92	4/23/90	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07			С	SABOURIN, JOSEPH R	1997	65,141.44		FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07		M		SELLDEN, TREVOR-LEE L	2950	57,657.60		FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	PAYNE, ANDREW J	1799	65,141.44	6/01/09	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07	F	M	С	WERLINGER, JUSTIN R	2677	62,112.96		FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07	F	M	С	VODDETEIG, EKIK G	2430	02,112.70		FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	KELLER, GENE W	3084	56,114.24		FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	KANKELFRITZ, ADAM D	1171	65,141.44		FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	BURCHILL, JOSEPH L	273	68,169.92	5/27/08	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07	F	M	С	HAGEN, ZACHARY D	2959	57,657.60	11/11/13		
07	F	M	C	BUCHHOLZ, MATTHEW E	264	62,112.96	8/24/09		
07	F	M	C	CHRISTLIEB, ERICH S	2754	62,112.96		FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	BERNIER, JORDAN P	2751	62,112.96	4/16/12	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	

FUNCTION 05 FIR			PROTECTETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	E/D		CODE	NAME	NUMBER	CATADV		POSITION TITLE	NEW HIKE -
TE A ET	F/P	SEA	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
04		PROTE	ECTION S	SERVICES					NEW HIRE = *
07	F	M	C	WEIGEL, RODNEY E	3884	56,114.24	3/28/16	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	DAWSON, MATTHEW W	2752	62,112.96	4/16/12	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	BROWN, MICHAEL V	246	65,141.44	10/23/00	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	AMSTRUP, PETER J	25	57,657.60	11/11/13	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	BINDER, MICHAEL J	165	68,169.92	5/03/99	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07	F	M	С	ROSCOE, CLAYTON N	2679	62,112.96	8/01/11	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07	F	M	С	WELDER JR, THOMAS A	2501	65,141.44	9/21/92	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	ALMER, TRAVIS S	3103	63,860.16	10/01/14	AIRPORT ASSISTANT FIRE	CHIEF
07	F	M	С	KELLY, COLLIN M	3003	59,142.72	4/14/14	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
07	F	M	С	SYLVESTER, STEVEN D	2308	68,169.92	6/10/96	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07	F	M	С	SCHMIDT, GREG M	2060	68,169.92	6/01/93	FIREFIGHTER - DRIVER	
07	F	M	G	CHRISTOFFERSON, JOSHUA R	343	65,141.44	11/03/08	FIREFIGHTER (FIRE)	
08	F	M	С	GIBSON, JONATHAN P	3108	70,353.92	10/01/14	AIRPORT ASSISTANT FIRE	CHIEF
08	F	M	С	BERTSCH, STEVEN J	3109	70,353.92	10/01/14	AIRPORT ASSISTANT FIRE	CHIEF

SALARY			AL RESO ETHNIC CODE		EMPLOYEE NUMBER			POSITION TITLE	NEW HIRE = *
01	_		•	INISTRATION	1410	05 500 00	F /1 F /0 C	GITTY TODESCHED (NIT DES)	NEW HIRE = *
80	F	M	С	LIUDAHL, SCOTT	1410	95,700.80	5/15/96	CITY FORESTER (NAT RES)	
02		PROFF.	SSIONAL	S					NEW HIRE = *
08	F		C	LEE, ALLEN J	1367	76,003.20	1/15/01	URBAN FORESTRY MANAGER	11211 112112
07		SKILL	ED CRAF'	TS					NEW HIRE = *
05	F	M	C	NELSON, TANNER C	3027	40,976.00	4/14/15	ARBORIST I (NAT RES)	
05	F	M	C	KALENZE, JOHN S	4183	39,790.40	1/18/17	ARBORIST I (NAT RES)	
* 05	F	M	C	HELMING, ROBERT J	4795	39,790.40	5/20/19	ARBORIST I (NAT RES)	
07	F	M	С	ENGLISH, SCOTT A	566	60,611.20	4/27/09	ARBORIST III	
07	F	M	C	HEIZELMAN, DALLAS A	944	65,145.60	4/24/06	ARBORIST II (NAT RES)	
07	F	M	C	OLSON, MICHAEL R	1757	57,636.80	5/18/05	ARBORIST II (NAT RES)	
07	F	M	C	JANSSEN, DAVID C	1091	65,145.60	8/08/01	ARBORIST II (NAT RES)	
8 0	F	M	C	ZABLOTNEY, TRACY A		74,256.00	5/08/00	ARBORIST SUPERVISOR (NAT	RES)
01	P	M	C	HEBERT, ALEX S		12,768.00	5/06/19		RES)
01	P	M	C	OLSON, ALEX D	3831	12,768.00	5/23/19	PT LABORER FORESTRY (NAT	RES)
01	P	M	C	THOMPSON, TY J	4524	14,688.00	5/13/19	PT LABORER FORESTRY (NAT	RES)
01	P	M	C	MEIER, MATHEW R	4738	12,768.00	4/22/19	PT LABORER FORESTRY (NAT	RES)
01	P	M	C	SMITH, ERIC N	4755	12,768.00	4/29/19	PT LABORER FORESTRY (NAT	RES)
01	P	M	C	DULLUM, JARED J	4306	13,248.00	5/14/19	PT LABORER FORESTRY (NAT	RES)
01	P	M	C	RAPP, BRIAN C	4523	13,248.00	4/30/19	PT LABORER FORESTRY (NAT	RES)
01	P	F	C	RIEHL, CORA J	4525	13,248.00	5/21/19	•	
01	P	F	C	HENRICKS, SHYLA E	4496	13,248.00	5/15/19		
04	P	M	C	KLOSE, MICHAEL E	4756	27,664.00		PT LABORER FORESTRY (NAT	•
04	P	M	C	OHMAN, SAMUEL T	4492	26,436.80	1/04/19	PT LABORER FORESTRY (NAT	RES)

Page 17 7/01/2018 TO 6/30/2019

FUNCTI	80 MC	HEALT	'H					
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
			,					
01	_			INISTRATION	5.10	106 000 00	2 / 2 2 / 2 2	NEW HIRE = *
80	F	F.	C	FLEMING, DESIREE	649	136,323.20	3/02/92	PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECT (HEALTH)
02		PROFE	SSIONAL	S				NEW HIRE = *
* 06	F	F		SMITH GRETERMAN, MARY F	4691	54.142.40	1/22/19	ENVIRONMENT HEALTH PRAC (HLTH)
07			C	TINQUIST, KENNETH R	2359	68,952.00		
07			C	BINSFELD, CONRAD C				
07		M		JOHNSON, AARON K				ENVIRONMENT HEALTH PRAC II
07		M		NESEMEIER, PRESTON A				COMMUNITY HEALTH EDUCATOR
07		M		SCHNEIDER, BRADLY A	3162	65,436.80		
07	F	M	C	BOHRER, JUSTIN D	2932	67,246.40		
07	F	F	C	ORR, TERA LISE M	3142	63,752.00		NUTRITIONIST II (HEALTH)
07	F	F	C	DRAXTEN, MICHELLE L				NUTRITIONIST II (HEALTH)
* 07	F	F	С	WEYRAUCH SCHMIDT, AMANDA R				PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
07	F	F F F	C	MARKEGARD, MELISSA B	3075	62,233.60		COMMUNITY HEALTH EDUCATOR
07	177	177		STEPHENS, HEATHER A E	4322	60,257.60		PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
07	F	F	С	LEITCH, JESSICA A	4330	61,921.60		PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
07	F	F	С	LEITCH, JESSICA A BAUM, LAURA V	3861	59,009.60		
07	F	F	С	BOMMERSBACH, MELISSA J	4481	61,921.60		PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
* 07	F	+ + + + + +	С	BOMMERSBACH, MELISSA J FLASKERUD, LEAH E	4666	58,427.20		
* 07	F	F	С	SPONSLER, ERICA L	4731	58,427.20		PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
07	F	F	С	BECHER, HEATHER L	2823	68,952.00		PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
07	F	F	E	BECHER, HEATHER L SUND, KIMBERLY D	3281	63,752.00		
08		M		ANENSON LARRY W	70	109 096 00	8/11/08	HEALTH PROMO & PROTECT DIR
08	F	M		OHMAN, CHRISTOPHER A	2919	70,740.80	5/28/13	ENVIRONMENT HEALTH PRAC II
08	F	M		OHMAN, CHRISTOPHER A NELSON, MATT D	1657	74,256.00	3/14/05	EMERGENCY PLANNER
08	F	M		HUBRIG, HUNTER J	2982	72,571.20	1/21/14	EMERG PREP ENV HEALTH PRAC II
08	F	M		MURPHY, DOUGLAS A	1632	93,475.20	3/17/08	REG EMERGENCY RESPONSE COOR
08	F	ਜ	Δ	MURPHY, DOUGLAS A DOSCH, LOLA J WAVRIN, THERESA C	500	76,003.20	8/08/06	PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
08	F	F	С	WAVRIN, THERESA C	2477	72,467.20	8/03/09	PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
08	F	F	С	MAROHL, JEAN A	1488	76,003.20	11/01/04	PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
08	F	F	С	SCHROEDER, ANDREA S	2082	76,003.20		PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
08	F	F	С	SCHAEFER, SUZANNE L	3140	88,483.20	1/05/15	DIRECTOR OF NURSING
08	F	F	С	LITKE SALL, ROBYN C	1404	70,366.40	9/10/01	COMMUNITY HEALTH EDUCATOR
08	F	F	С	JOHNSON, RACHAEL L	3081	72,571.20	8/04/14	PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE COOR
08	F	F	С	RETZLAFF, LYNN R	1918	76,003.20	6/16/08	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIST (HEALTH)
08	F	F	С	SCOTT, HOLLY J	2110	76,003.20	6/18/01	COMMUNICATION SPECIALIST
08	F	F	С	THOMAS, LORI J	2324	76,003.20	4/07/97	
08	F	F	С	GROTHMAN, PAMELA J	814	76,003.20	2/22/99	PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
08	F	F	С	WOHLER, LEDORA L	2550	88,649.60	3/16/00	PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE SUPR (HLT)
08	F	F	С	ELLINGSON, LORI L	556	103,376.00	5/17/00	NURSE PRACTITIONER (HEALTH)

FUNCTIO SALARY	и 08		H ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P			NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
02		PROFE	SSIONALS					NEW HIRE = *
08	F	F		JOHNSON, HEIDI M	1129	76.003.20	6/21/99	PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
08		F		GUNNING, VANESSA L	830	88,649.60		PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE SUPR (HLT)
08		F		STETZ, CHERYL B	2252	70,366.40	11/24/03	
08		F		MCLEAN, HEIDI S	1529	88,649.60		PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE SUPR (HLT)
08		F	C	LEITH, NANCY E	1375	88,649.60		PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE SUPR (HLT)
08		F	C	LEE-WEYRAUCH, KRISTI M	1372	76,003.20		PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
08	F	F	C	ECKES, AUDREY A	535	103,376.00		NURSE PRACTITIONER (HEALTH)
08		F	C	VANCE, KIM N	2400		7/01/01	
08		F	C	LIPETZKY, KIM R	1403	76 003 20		NUTRITIONIST II (HEALTH)
08		F	C	LIPETZKY, KIM R HOYEM, WENDY FRENCH, JAMIE M	1037 681	76,003.20		PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
08		F	С	FRENCH, JAMIE M	681	76,003.20		PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
01		F	C		2.50			PT PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE
02		F	C	PETERSON, CONNIE M	1827	17,560.00		PTS PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
03		F	D	DAVIS, CREEONNA S	4808	21,496.80		PTB LPN (HEALTH)
04		F	С	BAKER, KAYLA M	968 1827 4808 4431	26,020.80		COMMUNITY HEALTH EDUCATOR
04		F	С	QUERNEMOEN, CHANTELL L	4431 3499 4080 3139 1046 1020 1250 2818 2495 1308 245	28,022.40		PT PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE
04		F	С	FISH, AMBER E	4080	31,258.50		PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
04		F	С	HUBER, CARRIE A	3139	25,443.00		PTB LPN (HEALTH)
05		F	С	HUEBNER, TRACY	1046	38,001.60		PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
05		F	С	HUEBNER, TRACY HOLTE, JAN M	1020	40,194.00		PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
05		F	С	KOBBERVIG, BETHANY L	1250	38,275.65		PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
05		F	С	KOCH, CHERYL J	2818	35,625.48		PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
05		F	С	WEISENBERGER, BRENDA J	2495	33,946.50		PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
05		F	С	LAHREN, MICHELLE S	1308	41,308.47		PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
05		F	С	BROWN, DORIS G	245	33,533.76		PTB LPN (HEALTH)
05		F	С	HOWES, ALICIA L		35,633.10		PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
05		F	С	SONDREAL, ELLEN J	2208	35,625.48		PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
05	P	F	С	SIMMONS, CHELSEA S	2208 2934	33,865.68	7/29/13	PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
06	P	F	С	WHALEN, DEEDRA A	2513	45,601.92		PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
06		F	С	MUELLER, GWEN	1627 2259	49,402.08	1/17/94	PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
06	P	F	С	STIRLING, PAMELA	2259	54,535.95	9/15/98	PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
06	P	F	С	DEMERS, HEATHER R	3237	52,349.44	7/27/15	PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
06	P	F	С	DARVAL, SHAUNALEE R	443	51,979.20	3/08/10	PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
06	P	F	С	MOSER, AMY K	3131	52,349.44	12/08/14	PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
07	P	F	С	ROESLER, NIKKOL S	1960	60,802.56	8/16/05	PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
07	P	F	С	SCHEER, KARA L	2036	57,002.40		PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
07	P	F	С	LEE, KIM M	1371	60,802.56		PTB PUB HEALTH NURSE (HEALTH)
03		TECHN	ICIANS					NEW HIRE = *
05	F	F	C	OLSON, LYNNE M	3941	38,209.60	5/02/16	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATE

		HEALTH ETHNIC						
					EMPLOYEE			NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
03		TECHN	ICIANS					NEW HIRE = *
08	F			LARSON GRANT J	1337	98 779 20	1/27/97	
02	Þ	M	C	TRUMBALIER STEPHEN D	2916	16 092 00	5/11/17	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH DIRECTOR PT ENVIRONMENTAL TECH (HEALTH)
02	-		C	TROTIBITORIN, STRITTEN B	2710	10,002.00	3/11/1/	
05		PARA	PROFESS	IONALS				NEW HIRE = *
05	F	F	С	SPERAL, ALISSA D	2664	41,288.00	6/08/11	HOME HEALTH AIDE (HEALTH)
05	F	F	C C C	BLESSUM, KAILEE R	3238	37,169.60	7/30/15	HOME HEALTH AIDE (HEALTH)
* 06	F	F	С	BACK, RACHEL E	4710	42,993.60	3/04/19	LPN (HEALTH)
06	F	F	С	LYNNES, ANGELA M	4182	49,171.20	1/17/17	NUTRITIONIST I (HEALTH
06	F	F	С	TRUHLICKA, ERICA L				LPN (HEALTH)
06	F	F	000000000000000	KOMANETZ, ANGELA M	2383	44,324.80	1/26/98	HOME HEALTH AIDE (HEALTH)
06	F	F	С	KOMANETZ, ANGELA M KOCH, TERRI	1253	43,305.60		
06	F	F	С	RODACKER-BARTCH, KATIE M	4253	48,110.40		LPN (HEALTH)
* 06	F	F	С	JASON, KAITLIN M	4584			NUTRITIONIST I (HEALTH
06	F	F	C	KLOSTER, MARGARET	1236	43,305.60		
07	F	F	C	BRUNS, ANGELA C	255	55,889.60		LPN (HEALTH)
07	F	F	C	LANGTON, DONNA L	2985	56,139.20		NUTRITIONIST I (HEALTH
01	P	F	C	WARRINER, LINDSAY E	2474	11.024.00		PT NUTRITIONIST I
02	P	- F	C	KELLER. JESSICA M				PTB HOME HEALTH AIDE (HEALTH)
05	P	- ਸ	C	FINNEY, MIRANDA L				PTB HOME HEALTH AIDE (HEALTH)
06	Þ	F	C	SCHULTZ, MARLENE L				PTB NUTRITIONIST I (HEALTH)
06	P	F	C	ROESLER, TRICIA A	1962			PTB NUTRITIONIST I (HEALTH)
00	-	-	C	Robbille, IRICIII II	1702	13,103.20	11/23/05	TIB NOTHITIONIOT I (IIIIIIII)
06		ADMIN	ISTRATI	VE SUPPORT				NEW HIRE = *
05	F	F	С	KNOLL, LINETTE J	3113	38,209.60	10/06/14	OFFICE ASSOCIATE II (HEALTH)
05	F	F	С	HUDSON, JUDITH L	2983	39,228.80	2/03/14	OFFICE ASSOCIATE II (HEALTH)
05	ਸ	됴	С	GABEL, MEGAN L TRETTER, SHEILA M	3636			MEDICAL ASSISTANT (HEALTH)
05	됴	교	C	ΤΡΕΤΤΈΡ CHETIA M	2853			OFFICE ASSOCIATE II (HEALTH)
05	F	F	С	HEUPEL, TERI C	3167			MEDICAL ASSISTANT (HEALTH)
06	F	F	С	BJOREM, JOANNE M	173	44,324.80		OFFICE ASSOCIATE II (HEALTH)
06	F	F	C C C	KUZNIA, BECKY K	2836	43,472.00		MEDICAL ASSISTANT (HEALTH)
06	F	F	C	ZIEGLER, LORI	2582			OFFICE ASSOCIATE II (HEALTH)
06	F	F	C	LURA, KARI J	1446	53,248.00		MED CODING AND BILLING SPEC
06	F	F	C	MEARS, JULIE R	1537	47,860.80	7/01/01	
06	F	F	C	SAEWERT, JEANNIE R	1999	44,324.80	7/19/99	
06	F	F	C	PHILLIPS, EILEEN M	1845	44,324.80	7/01/01	
07	F	F	C	SHAW, BETTY	2131	55,889.60	1/09/95	
07	F	F	C	MILLER, SANDRA S	1584	55,889.60	6/22/98	
07	F	F	C	STEINER, TERESA R	2244	55,889.60	10/01/89	OFFICE ASSOCIATE III (HEALTH)
01	P	F	C	LONG, KATHY J	4332	12,047.36	7/25/17	INFORMATION DESK RECEPTIONIST
01	P	F	C	AMUNDSON, LOANN J	3260	13,955.76	9/21/15	INFORMATION DESK RECEPTIONIST
0.1	Ē	T.	C	PITOINDOON, HOMININ O	3200	13,733.10	7/21/13	TIME OFFICE TOTAL DEDIK TYPOPE I TOTALDI

Prepared	8/19/19,	15:21:28
Program PI	R592L	

EEO-4 Detail Listing 2019 EEO-4 REPORT

		Page	21
7/01/2018	TO	6/30/20	19

FUNCTIO:	N 08	HEALT	Ή					
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
06		ADMIN	ISTRATI	VE SUPPORT				NEW HIRE = *
01	P	F	С	JOHNSON, SHANA G	3845	13,955.76	3/07/16	INFORMATION DESK RECEPTIONIST
04	P	F	С	HERMANN, LISA S	4694	28,088.32	1/28/19	PTB OFFICE ASSOC II (HEALTH)
05	P	F	С	SUMMERVILLE, STACY R	2287	39,936.00	7/10/02	PT RG MED CODING & BILL SPEC
05	P	F	С	SKUNBERG, JANICE M	2177	35,459.84	5/23/06	PTB OFFICE ASSOC II (HEALTH)

FUNCTIO	N 12	UTIL	& TRANS	PORTATION				
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	NEW HIRE = * POSITION TITLE
01		OFFIC	IAL/ADM	INISTRATION				NEW HIRE = *
08	F	M	С	HALL, TROY B	2799	129,750.40	7/09/12	WATER UTILITY DIRECTOR
	F	M	С	DOBBERSTEIN, SHAWN A	483	156,603.20	5/25/87	AIRPORT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
80	F	M	С	INISTRATION HALL, TROY B DOBBERSTEIN, SHAWN A WARD, BRIAN A	2469	86,715.20	9/02/97	W/WW SUPERINTENDENT (UTIL&TR)
02		PROFE	SSIONAL	S				NEW HIRE = *
0.8	F		С	S AMUNDSON, MATTHEW J CROWELL, SHAUN W ANDERSON, DARREN L BOMMELMAN, JULIA A	26	86,569.60	2/07/07	CHIEF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST
08			C	CROWELL, SHAUN W	410	76,377.60	11/17/08	MOBILITY MANAGER
08			C	ANDERSON, DARREN L	38	111.612.80	6/02/97	AIRPORT ASST DIRECTOR (UTIL&T)
08			C	ROMMET MAN TIIT TA A	199	124 716 80	8/24/98	TRANSIT DIRECTOR
00	-	-	Ü	Borning and Collection		121,710.00	0,21,30	THE DESCRIPTION
03			ICIANS					NEW HIRE = *
07		M		EVJE, QUINTIN D HANSON, CORY J OLSON, LARRY M MEARS, CHAD E SCHOTT, THOMAS D SCHMIDT, TERRY L BUCHHOLZ, DAVID EVENSON, CRAIG D HOFFMAN, ROBERT D WESTERENG, DRAKE E KRUEGER, ANDREW J BLOCK, CODY G	2723	60,632.00		INSTRUMENTATION & CONTROL TECH
07		M		HANSON, CORY J	879	63,689.60		WATER METER SERV TECH II
07		M		OLSON, LARRY M	1756	60,340.80		RESIDUALS PLANT OPERATOR
07		M	С	MEARS, CHAD E	1536	65,145.60		PW CREWLEADER (MAINS&HYDRANTS)
08	F	M	С	SCHOTT, THOMAS D	2081	76,003.20	5/17/71	WATER METER SUPRV (UTIL&TRAN)
08	F	M	С	SCHMIDT, TERRY L	2069	76,003.20	10/31/78	PW SUPERVISOR (MAINS&HYDRANTS)
08	F	M	С	BUCHHOLZ, DAVID	262	88,649.60	12/09/92	UTILITY SUPERVISOR (WATER)
08	F	M	С	EVENSON, CRAIG D	600	74,318.40	8/18/97	UTILITY SUPERVISOR (WATER)
08	F	M		HOFFMAN, ROBERT D	1002	76,003.20	9/01/77	PW TECH II (MAINS&HYDRANTS)
01	P	M		WESTERENG, DRAKE E	4802	6,720.00		PT LABORER FILTR (UTIL& TRAN)
01	P		С	KRUEGER, ANDREW J	4804	6,720.00		PT LABORER FILTR (UTIL& TRAN)
01	P		С	BLOCK, CODY G	4803	6,720.00		PT LABORER FILTR (UTIL& TRAN)
						,		
05			PROFESS:	IONALS				NEW HIRE = *
80	F	M	С	SAUER, NICHOLAS P	4483	74,318.40	3/19/18	W/WW CONTROL SYST MGR (UTIL&T)
06		7 DMTN	יד פייים א יידי	VE SUPPORT				NEW HIRE = *
05	┎	M	C	DILIMAN MATHEM D	1238	36 129 60	4/03/17	TRANSIT RESERV (UTIL & TR)
* 05	r.	L.	C	DIDDIAN, MAINEW F	1626	24 112 00		TRANSIT RESERV (UTIL & TR)
05	r r	r	C	BILLMAN, MATHEW P HOWLAND, DAWN M BENKE, HEIDI L	21//	12 161 60		LEAD TRANSIT RESERVATIONIST
07	F	M	C	CHINCEN COLE T	4343	63,086.40	8/14/17	
07	r F		C	SWINGEN, COLE T EVERETT, JUDY A	602	55,889.60		
07		F	C					OFFICE ASSOCIATE III (UTIL&TR)
	F	F	C	STADING, JOAN R	2230	65,145.60		PRINCIPAL OFFICE ASSOC AIRPORT
07	F	F	С	KOSIENSKI, JODI E	1265	60,340.80	1/25/99	PRINCIPAL OFFICE ASSOC (UT&TR)
07		SKILL	ED CRAF'	TS				NEW HIRE = *
* 05	F	M	A	WILLIAMS JR, FRANKLIN D	4695	42,161.60	2/04/19	W/WW OPERATOR-APPRENTICE(UTIL)
* 05	F	M	A	URBANO VILLAGOME, MARCO A	4737	34,112.00		WATER METER READER I
* 05	F	M	С	IHRY, MATTHEW J	4752	34,112.00		WATER METER READER I
	•		-	,	= : - -	- ,		-

FUNCTIO	N 12	UTIL 8	TRANS	PORTATION				
SALARY		F	ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
07		CVTTTT	יים ערט עדיי	T.C.				NIEW ILTER - *
05	F	M	ED CRAF' C	STEINHOUSE JR, TERRY L	3750	40,976.00	2/09/16	NEW HIRE = * WATER METER READER II
* 05	F	F		DAMMANN, ERIN K	4806	42,161.60		W/WW OPERATOR-APPRENTICE(UTIL)
06	F	M		WIENHOLD, RICHARD J	3250	54,142.40		
06	F	M		WIENHOLD, KICHARD O	2016	45,531.20		
06	F	M	C	MOLK DAN T	2077	49,171.20	7/28/14	
* 06	F	M	C	KOVASH, LANCE K VOLK, RYAN J HOLMEN, ANDREW V	1611	47,777.60		
06	F	M		IADON CDECODY D	2007	53,331.20	3/10/14	
06	F	M	C C	LARSON, GREGORY R COOK, NOAH A KAEHLER, CRAIG H	2997	45,739.20	6/03/14	
* 06	r F	M		COOK, NOAR A	4705	46,384.00		
06	r F	F	C	RAEHLER, CRAIG H	4/05	40,304.00 E1 646 40		
	r F		C	BITZAN, JOSEPHINE A	3232	51,646.40		
07		M	C	HAMAN, DANIEL W SWEEP, DARRELL D	3232	65,041.60		
07	F	M	C	SWEEP, DARKELL D	2303	60,340.80		
07	F	M	C	MANNIE, MASON J KROLL, JASON	1476	67,121.60		·
07	F	M	C	KROLL, JASON	128 /	67,121.60		•
07	F	M	C	PEDERSON, JESSEY R		65,041.60		
07	F	M	C	SOLIS, WILLIAM J	2206	65,145.60	8/27/79	
07	F	M	C	LEE, BRIAN J TRETTER, DALE R	1369	67,121.60		
07	F	M	C	TRETTER, DALE R	2369	60,340.80		
07	F	M	С	LIEN, ENOS J	1390	55,889.60	2/07/00	
07	F	M	С	SMEDSHAMMER, TROY H		60,340.80	4/26/99	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
07	F	M	С	GANJE, THOMAS J	706	60,340.80	6/04/02	
07	F	M	С		427	59,009.60		AIRPORT OPER SPECIALIST
07	F	M	C		1262	67,121.60	2/17/77	
07	F	M	C		1150	55,889.60	5/07/01	
07	F	M	С		2800	57,553.60	7/09/12	
07	F	M	С	ROEMMICH, CORDELL G	1959	60,340.80	2/25/08	
07	F	M	C	BYRUM, DAREN K	290 270	60,340.80		
08	F	M	C	BULLIS, DANNY J	270	70,366.40		AIRPORT OPER SUPERV (UTIL&TR)
08	F	M	C		1929			EQUIPMENT TECHNICIAN III (WTR)
80	F	M	С	SWEDBERG, JOHN H	2301	70,366.40	12/05/86	AIRPORT OPER SUPERV (UTIL&TR)
08		SERVIC	CE MAIN	TENANCE				NEW HIRE = *
05	F	M	C	ENGELMEYER, ANDREW J	4167	42,161.60	12/19/16	MAINTENANCE ATTEND II (UTIL&T)
06	F	M	C	STETZ, JOHN A	2696	44,553.60		AIRPORT OP ATTEND I (UTIL&TRA)
06	F	M	C	SCHWANKE, GREGORY H	2103	46,779.20	5/15/06	
06	F	M	C	FOGEL, JONATHAN W	2690	49,441.60	8/26/11	
06	F	M	C	RATCLIFF, BENJAMIN T	4273	42,993.60	12/11/17	1 -
06	F	M	C	HOLMQUIST, TREVOR L	2821	43,472.00		AIRPORT OP ATTEND I (UTIL&TRA)
06	F	M	C	OLSON, DARWIN R	1747	47,860.80		AIRPORT OF ATTEND I (UTIL&TRA)
06	F	M	C	POWELL, KIT J	2721	46,945.60		MAINTENANCE ATTEND II (UTIL&T)
0.0	T.	11	C	TOWELL, KII O	2/21	40,74J.00	14/14/11	LETTATEINUMCE VITEIAN II (AITHXI)

FUNCTION 12 SALARY		-	& TRANSI	PORTATION	EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
08		SERVI	CE MAIN	ГЕNANCE					NEW HIRE = *
06	F	M	С	LESTER, ROBERT J	1381	45,676.80	12/03/07	AIRPORT OP ATTEND I (UT)	[L&TRA)
06	F	M	С	BUNGUM, JARED M	272	51,750.40	7/11/05	MAINTENANCE ATTEND II (U	JTIL&T)
06	F	M	С	GUDDING, ANDREW S	3227	45,531.20	6/22/15	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (JTIL&T)
07	F	M	С	SKIPLE, RICHARD J	2175	55,889.60	3/20/07	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (JTIL&T)
07	F	M	С	MARTIN, ROBERT A	1496	55,889.60	5/27/97	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (JTIL&T)
07	F	M	С	HOUIM, DEREK J	1034	55,889.60	4/18/05	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (JTIL&T)
01	P	M	С	NOLAN, JOHN A	2787	9,800.00	6/05/19	PT SEAS AIRPORT GROUNDS	KEEPER
01	P	M	С	ELLISON, TODD R	557	5,100.00	11/02/04	PT EQUIPMENT OPERATOR(A)	[RPORT)
01	P	M	С	WADESON, TY L	2442	1,400.00	10/11/00	PT MAINT (CIVIC)	
01	P	M	С	BUNN, GRIFFIN T	4553	6,624.00	5/20/19	PT LABORER WATER MAINS	(UTIL)
01	P	M	С	BERTRAND, COLE A	4799	6,384.00	6/03/19	PT LABORER WATER MAINS	(UTIL)
01	P	F	С	SWEEP, MERCEDES S	4790	6,384.00	5/20/19	PT LABORER WATER MAINS	(UTIL)
02	P	M	D	DOUSUAH, PAWEH B	3938	18,200.00	4/28/16	PT OPERA ATTENDANT (UTII	L&TRAN)
02	P	M	D	CROFT, KING A	4108	17,472.00	9/08/16	PT OPERA ATTENDANT (UTII	L&TRAN)
03	P	M	C	GUNDERSON, JAMES A	2942	20,280.00	8/09/13	PT OPERA ATTENDANT (UTII	L&TRAN)

FUNCTION 13 SALARY			CATION & ETHNIC	SEWAGE	EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P			NAME		SALARY		
01		OFFIC	CIAL/ADM	IINISTRATION				NEW HIRE = *
0.8	F	М	C	MILLER, MARK M	1578	98,612.80	2/12/01	W/WW SUPERINTENDENT (SS)
08	F	M			1434	143,291.20	6/25/90	
08			С	HAUSAUER, JAMES A				
03		TECHN	ICIANS					NEW HIRE = *
06	F	M	C	SPERAL, JOHN R	2216	54,516.80	1/26/87	WW COMPLIANCE INSPECTOR
07	F	M	С	DRECHSEL, JAY A	506	55,889.60	8/14/95	WW COMPLIANCE INSPECTOR
07	F	M	C	ANDERSON, SCOTT D	62	65,145.60	9/26/79	LANDFILL MAINTENANCE SPRVSR
08	F	M	С	RHEAULT, DAVID J	1925	78,291.20	6/01/82	PW SUPERVISOR (SOLID WASTE)
08	F	M	С	HANSON, PAUL J	888	80,184.00	2/19/74	PW SUPERVISOR (SOLID WASTE)
08	F	M	C	OFFERDAHL, WAYNE J	1717	86,569.60	6/02/86	UTILITY SUPERVISOR (WW)
08	F	M	С	PETERSON, RAYMOND C	1836	88,649.60	1/19/70	UTILITY SUPERVISOR (WW)
8 0	F	F	С	PICKETT, JENNIFER A	1847	76,377.60	6/14/10	RECYCLING SUPERVISOR
05		PARA	PROFESS	IONALS				NEW HIRE = *
07	F	M	С	SELSTEDT, BENJAMIN J	3071	59,155.20	7/14/14	ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST
07	F	F	С		1107	65,145.60	11/03/03	ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST
07	F	F		SAHR, MAGGIE M	2870	62,108.80	3/11/13	ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST
08	F	M	С	HALSNE, JASON D	855	89,107.20	8/09/99	ENTERPRISE CONTROL SYSTS COOR
08	F	M	С	BLONIGEN, MARK G	183	70,366.40	11/26/90	INDUST PRETRE SPECIAL/CHEMIST
08	F	M	С	HOFF, JEFFREY J	998	86,569.60	12/02/91	W/WW CONTROL SYS MGR (SS)
06		ADMIN	IISTRATI	VE SUPPORT				NEW HIRE = *
06	F	F	С	BALLWEG, NADINE M	4064	51,979.20	7/11/16	PRINCIPAL OFF ASSOC (SAN&SEW)
08	F	F	С	JOHNSON, SHARON L	1146	76,003.20	10/04/04	OFFICE MANAGER (SS)
07		SKILI	ED CRAF	TS				NEW HIRE = *
06	F	M	С	NUSTAD, TIMOTHY R	4177	50,627.20	1/17/17	W/WW OPERATOR II (SS)
06		M	С	SLAVICK, LANE C	2181	51,750.40	8/14/00	W/WW OPERATOR-APPRENTICE (SS)
06	F		C	ROSSOW, RORY A	2856	54,766.40	2/11/13	EQUIPMENT OPER III (SS)
06	F	M	C		2980	51,979.20		W/WW OPERATOR II (SS)
06	F	M	С	LAMONT, TYLER J	1314	53,331.20	11/05/12	W/WW OPERATOR II (SS)
07	F	M	C	LANDMAN, PHILIP A	1316	67,121.60	5/21/01	W/WW OPERATOR IV (SS)
07	F	M	С	STULZ, MICHAEL D	2280	63,876.80	2/23/98	W/WW OPERATOR IV (SS)
07	F	M	C	STURRE, ANTHONY	2281	60,340.80	12/25/95	EQUIPMENT OPER III (SS)
07	F	M	С	MOLTZAN, MATTHEW M	1599	62,233.60	3/24/11	W/WW OPERATOR IV (SS)
07	F	M	C	BESTE, JOSHUA J	158	67,121.60	10/11/99	W/WW OPERATOR IV (SS)
07	F	M	C	CURRY, LEROY D	419	57,553.60	3/03/08	EQUIPMENT OPER III (SS)
07	F	M	C	KADRMAS, ELVIS A	2672	56,139.20	6/27/11	LEACHATE & LANDFILL GAS TECH
07	F	M	С	KOPP, RICHARD L	1261	60,340.80	10/25/93	EQUIPMENT OPER III (SS)

FUNCTIO				SEWAGE					
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE	⊡ = *
LEVEL				NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
07		SKII.I.	ED CRAF	TS				NEW HIRE	c = *
07	F	М	C C	MOTSCHENBACHER, TED A	1621	60 340 80	5/18/98	EQUIPMENT OPER III (SS)	
07		M		VAVROSKY, FRANK W	2412	63 876 80		W/WW OPERATOR IV (SS)	
07		M		ZAVORAL, JIM E	2571	60,340.80		EQUIPMENT OPER III (SS)	
07		M		GUSTAFSON JR. NORMAN G	835	58,926.40		EQUIPMENT OPER III (SS)	
08	F	M		CROMPTON. SHANE M	409	70.366.40		W/WW OPERATOR IV (SS)	
08	F	M	C	GUSTAFSON JR, NORMAN G CROMPTON, SHANE M BOREEN, DEAN R	202	70,366.40		W/WW OPERATOR IV (SS)	
00	-		C	BOREBIN, BERIN II	202	70,300.10	3/13/3/	W/WW CILICITOR IV (BB)	
08		SERVI	CE MAIN	TENANCE				NEW HIRE	E = *
05	F	M	С	EMERY, GERALD A	2757	34,424.00	4/24/12	MAINT ATTENDANT I-SW TEMP	
06			С		4155	45,531.20	11/14/16	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
* 06		M		HALSNE, DUSTIN L	4724	42,993.60	4/11/19	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
* 06	F	M	С	WANG, JASON K	4612		9/19/18	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
06	F	M	С	KARGER, TANNER C	1177	54,516.80	5/05/09	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
* 06	F	M	С	BLANCHARD, KYLE S	4621	42,993.60	10/02/18	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
06	F	M	С	SCHULTZ, BRADLEY W	2091	54,516.80	3/12/09	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
06	F	M	С	MALAKOWSKI, AARON P	3257	46,841.60	9/14/15	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
06	F	M	С	RUDOLPH, RONALD D	3095	48,110.40	9/08/14	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
06	F	M	С	WILHELMI, MAX R	3269	46,841.60	10/19/15	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
06	F	M	С	SPARKS, BRADY T	3011	49,441.60	4/21/14	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
06	F	M	С	ARGALL, ZACHARY B	2979	49,441.60	1/13/14	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
06	F	M	С	BERLIN, CHRISTOPHER K		50,731.20	2/11/13	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
06	F	M	С	BELINSKEY, MICHAEL D	136	53,248.00	3/10/09	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
06	F	M	С	SOLEM, JASON L	2669	52,000.00	6/20/11	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
06	F	M	С	BASOL, MATTHEW J	2990	49,441.60	2/17/14	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
06	F	M	С	GRAMM, DOUGLAS W		48,110.40	7/12/12	SCALE OPERATOR	
06	F	M	С	VOLK, LANCE A		50,731.20	2/11/13	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
06	F	M	С	SCHUMAN, JOEL N	3091	48,110.40	9/02/14	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
06	F	M	С	IRIZARRY, ABRAHAM	1065	54,516.80	6/14/10	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
07	F	M	A	PEREZ, ARTURO S	1814	55,889.60	3/25/88	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
07	F	M	С	MACZIEWSKI, LYLE R GREGOR, ROBERT L	1458	55,889.60	6/02/05	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
07	F	M	С	GREGOR, ROBERT L	797	55,889.60	4/02/01	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
07	F	M	С	MUNSON, JODY G	1630	55,889.60	12/25/95	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
07	F	M	С	STEFFENS, MARK M	2239	55,889.60	12/25/95	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
07	F	M	С	ENGLISH, PAT T	565	55,889.60	4/08/91	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
07	F	M	С	DEICHERT, BERNARD C	459	55,889.60	12/25/95	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
07	F	M	С	JOCHIM, JASON	1110	55,889.60	10/25/97	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
07	F	M	С	KRAITER, ROBERT L	1279	55,889.60	8/10/92	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	
07	F	M	С	ECKRE, SHAWN K	536	55,889.60	5/28/81		
07	F	M	С	FICK, ROY D	633	55,889.60	7/05/02		
07	F	M	С	GRAMM, RYAN S	778	55,889.60	10/18/04	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II (SS)	

EEO-4 Detail Listing 2019 EEO-4 REPORT

Page 27 7/01/2018 TO 6/30/2019

FUNCTIC SALARY	N 13		CATION & ETHNIC	SEWAGE	EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
0.0		GERIA	- CE - MA TAT						NT
08		SERVI	CE MAIN'	TENANCE					NEW HIRE = *
07	F	M	С	HAUGE, STEVE A	914	55,889.60	7/01/85	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II ((SS)
07	F	M	С	FUERST, DANIEL L	691	55,889.60	12/15/00	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II ((SS)
07	F	F	С	HOGAN, TERESA L	1005	55,889.60	8/03/00	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR II ((SS)
01	P	M	С	SELLIE-HANSON, JOHN K	2920	10,850.00	4/24/19	PT LABORER SOLID WASTE	(SS)
01	P	M	С	OWINGS, JACKSON D	4776	7,800.00	5/13/19	PT LABORER SOLID WASTE	(SS)
01	P	M	С	HOFF, JAMES J	996	2,464.00	6/10/19	PT LABORER SEWAGE (SS)	
02	P	M	D	ADAN, SAID A	4486	17,700.00	4/12/18	PT LABORER SOLID WASTE	(SS)

FUNCTIO	N 15	OTHER						
SALARY		E	ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
0.1		00000						NEW MEDI
01	-			INISTRATION	4.6.7.4	EO 044 00	10/10/10	NEW HIRE = *
* 06	F	F	C		4674			REFERENCE LIBRARIAN-LIB I
	F	F		RICHARDSON, MEGAN J				REFERENCE LIBRARIAN-LIB I
07	F	M		GILSTRAP, WILLIAM G	735	65,145.60		REFERENCE LIBRARIAN-LIB I
07	F	M			1039			REFERENCE LIBRARIAN-LIB I
07	F	F		STRAIT, PAMELA K	2269	67,121.60		OUTREACH LIBR & VOLUNTEER COOR
07	F	F			1502			REFERENCE LIBRARIAN-LIB I
07	F	F	С	KANENWISHER, JENILEE A	1170	60,632.00		REFERENCE LIBRARIAN-LIB I
07	F	F	С	SCHMIDT, BRIANNE L	2055	63,689.60	6/11/07	REFERENCE LIBRARIAN-LIB I
07	F	F	С	NELSON, SARAH K	1661	60,632.00	8/18/09	REFERENCE LIBRARIAN-LIB I
07	F	F	С	LANG, JACQUELINE K	1321	65,145.60		REFERENCE LIBRARIAN-LIB I
8 0	F	M	С	DIRKS, TIMOTHY S	481	143,291.20		LIBRARY DIRECTOR (OTHER)
08	F	M	С	SOBOLIK, ROBERT J	2201	134,555.20	3/17/95	FDM GENERAL MANAGER
8 0	F	M	С	DAEUBER, BENJAMIN W	425	78,291.20	1/04/06	TECH & ELECTRONIC RESOURC LIB
* 08	F	M	С	MORRISON, BROCK T	4775	104,977.60	5/13/19	DIRECTOR OF FACILITIES MGMT
80	F	M	C	DESHAW, JASON J	2726	80,454.40	1/09/12	COLLECTION MANAGER (OTHER)
08	F	M	C	KNAKMUHS, THOMAS A	3166	134,929.60	3/30/15	COLLECTION MANAGER (OTHER) ASSISTANT CITY ENGINEER TRANSIT FLEET/FACILITIES MGR DIRECT OF STRAT PLAN/RESEARCH
08	F	M	С	SMITH, JORDAN M	4240	72,259.20	3/27/17	TRANSIT FLEET/FACILITIES MGR
08	F	M	С	GILMOUR, JAMES	733	156,603.20	12/12/94	DIRECT OF STRAT PLAN/RESEARCH
08	F	M	С	ERICKSON, ALLAN C	577	84,656.00	2/10/09	FLEET SERVICES MANAGER
08	F	M	С	ERICKSON, ALLAN C GRUBB, BRUCE P	816	190,486.40	12/05/89	CITY ADMINISTRATOR (OTHER)
08	F	M	С	REDLINGER, MICHAEL J	4063	166,067.20	7/05/16	ASSISTANT CITY ADMINISTRATOR
08	F	F	С	EMERY, AMBER N	561	78,291.20	10/12/09	CHILDREN'S SERVICES MANAG/COOR
08	F	F	С	POSTEMA, BETH E	1864	111,612.80	9/10/90	LIBRARY DEPUTY DIRECTOR
08	F	F	С		1302			CIRCULATION SERVICES MANAGER
08	F	F		DERRIG, BRENDA E	467	163,300.80		CITY ENGINEER (OTHER)
03	P	M	C	BARNES, SHANE J	4815	21,496.80		PTB TECHNOLOGY ASSISTANT LAIII
05	P	F	C	CAMERON, DENISE B	297	33.243.60	9/18/00	PTB LIBRARY ASSOC II (OTHER)
05	P	F	C	STRACHE, SUZANNE J	2268	40,887.60		PTB TECH SERVICES ASSOC LA III
	_	_		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,	_, _,, ,,	
02		PROFES	SSIONALS	S				NEW HIRE = *
* 06	F	M	С	PRANTE, ZACHARY A	4642	46,384.00	11/02/18	FMD ACCOUNTING ASSOCIATE
07	F	M	С	SELLIN, BRIAN W	2714	58,427.20	11/14/11	CREATIVE SERVICES MANAGER
* 07	F	M	С	FILLEY, TYREL A	4704	55,785.60	2/11/19	
07	F	F	С	DYKEMA, SARAH A	3649	60,257.60	7/27/09	
07	F	F	С	JOHNSON, JACQUELINE K	3630	67,121.60		
0.8	F	M	C	BERTRAND, JODY R	2842	122,990.40	11/26/12	
* 08	F	M	C	GORDER, KEVIN O	4640	119,620.80	11/05/18	
08	F	M	C	LEONARD, JASON T	2953	91,457.60	10/01/13	
08	F	M	C	BAKER, JASON M	2804	80,454.40	7/30/12	
08	F	M	C	BOERBOOM, NATHAN A	193	143,291.20	4/13/09	
				•		•		

FUNCTIO	N 15	OTHER						
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE			NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
0.2			CCTONINIC					NIEW LITTE - *
02 08	F	PROFE M	SSIONALS		760	143,291.20	4/24/00	NEW HIRE = * DIVISION ENGINEER
08	F	M		SCHILDBERGER, GREGG M				COMMUN & PUBLIC AFFAIRS MGR
08	F	M		HASEY, ROBERT J	908		5/15/00	
08	F	M		LARSON, BERNARD A	1331	89 086 40	8/28/00	
08	F	M		OLSON SCOTT F	4470	81 910 40	3/05/18	CIVIL ENGINEER II (OTHER)
08	F	M	C	OLSON, SCOTT E KLUCK, ROGER E BAYUK, WILLIAM R	4144	101 004 80	9/26/16	CIVIL ENGINEER II (OTHER)
08	F	M	C	RAYIK WILLIAM R	3717	70 220 80	4/09/18	
08	F	F		HANSON SHILOH A	4437	78 062 40	12/11/17	CLERK OF MUNICIPAL COURT
08	F	F	C	HANSON, SHILOH A WEST, LORI K	2508	101 004 80	4/25/90	BRANCH SERVICES MANAGER
08	F	F	C	THOMPSON, SUSAN J	3626	93.828.80	6/13/98	FDM DIRECTOR OF FINANCE
08	F	F	C	SCHMIDT, KRISTY L	2064	103.376.00	5/17/93	CIVIL ENGINEER II (OTHER)
01	P	M		ELETCHER DEREK C	4678	5 317 50	1/03/19	DT CREATIVE SERVICES DRODUCER
06	P	F	C	TOWNIEY-CAIN. JANE M	3628	50.341.20	11/09/92	FDM FINANCIAL ACCOUNTANT
08	P	M	C	TOWNLEY-CAIN, JANE M SOLBERG, RONALD	3153	75,063.04	2/23/15	CIVIL ENGINEER II (OTHER)
	_		_			,	_,,	
03		TECHN	ICIANS					NEW HIRE = *
06	F	M		COSTELLO, MATTHEW D	3648	50,627.20	11/02/13	FDM TECHNICAL SVCS MGR
06	F	M			3193		5/11/15	
06	F	M		ASKVIG, CASEY J		43,368.00	9/08/15	
06	F	M		JOHNSON, DANA D		53,331.20	6/14/16	
06	F	M	С	VAN DYKE, RYAN D	4536	46,384.00	5/29/18	
06	F	F	С	AMSBAUGH, PEGGY L	4171	49,171.20	1/03/17	
07	F	M	С	JACOBS, TYLER M	3050	57,636.80	5/27/14	ENGINEERING TECH II (OTHER)
07	F	M	С	BEAUDRY, BRANDON G	2883	61,921.60	4/15/13	ENGINEERING TECH III (OTHER)
07	F	M	C		3013	57,636.80	4/15/14	ENGINEERING TECH II (OTHER)
07	F	M	С	ZASTROW, JUSTIN W		66,913.60	1/17/17	SURVEY CREW CHIEF
07	F	M	С		1603	59,155.20	9/08/08	ENGINEERING TECH II (OTHER)
07	F	M	С	BERGLUND, RYAN W	3035	57,636.80	5/19/14	ENGINEERING TECH II (OTHER)
07	F	M	C		1618	68,952.00		
07	F	M	D	GRANT, LEROY	3090			
07	F	M	G	WENDLICK, LARRY L	4081	•		SURVEY CREW CHIEF
8 0	F	M	С	MOHR, JIM D	1595	76,003.20	4/09/01	ENGINEERING TECH III (OTHER)
8 0	F	M	С	EDGAR, AARON D	537	88,649.60	6/01/10	
08	F	M	С	LINDHAG, NICHOLAS C	1397	91,020.80	7/27/10	
80	F	M	С	LORSHBOUGH, WAYNE S	1427	84,552.00	5/19/03	
80	F	M	С	HOOGLAND, JASON M	1024	82,492.80	4/03/00	
08	F	M	С	GRANDSTRAND, JEREMY L	786	78,291.20	1/28/02	
8 0	F	M	С	SKANSON, BRIAN J	2172	82,492.80	5/15/00	
8 0	F	M	С	LARSON, RICK J	1344	86,569.60	5/13/96	
80	F	M	С	PASICZNYK, DAVID J	1792	70,740.80	5/20/96	ENGINEERING TECH III (OTHER)

FUNCTIO	N 15	OTHER	2					
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE			NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
03		TECHN	ICIANS					NEW HIRE = *
08	F	M	C	SHUMAKE, CHAD A	2151	86,902.40	6/15/09	MAPPING & SOFTWARE SPECIALIST
08	F	M	С	DOLL, MARK L	490	111,612.80	1/18/99	IS MANAGER
08	F	M	С	LUDWIG, LEE W	1435	70,740.80	8/18/97	ENGINEERING TECH III (OTHER)
08	F	M	С	WACHA, BRENT W	2438	109,096.00	4/12/95	SURVEY COORDINATOR
08	F	M	С	BULLINGER, SHAWN G	269	86,569.60	9/12/05	LAND ACQUISITION SPECIALIST
08	F	M	С	RICK, JACOB J	2851	84,552.00	1/02/13	PROJECT MANAGER
08	F	M	С	SATTERLUND, JASON	2019	88,649.60	5/26/92	PROJECT MANAGER
08	F	M	С	WEIXEL, DONOVAN D	2499	86,569.60	2/18/92	SURVEY MANAGER
08	F	M	С	SLY, STEVEN J	2185	88,649.60	5/03/03	STORM SEWER ENG SPECIALIST
08	F	M	С	HELLAND, DAVID J				PROJECT MANAGER
08	F	M	С	ENGQUIST, JEREMY J	567	88,649.60	4/05/99	PROJECT MANAGER
08	F	M	С	HOKSTAD, DAVID M	1008	111,612.80	1/18/99	IS MANAGER
08	F	M	G	MASTEN, DARYL R	1504	109,096.00	4/03/01	IS MANAGER
08	F	F	С	SCHMIDT, DEBORAH R	2057	88,649.60	1/01/86	ENGINEERING SPECIALIST
07	P	F	С	KRABBENHOFT, SHARI D	1274	60,802.56	9/09/96	PTB ENGINEERING TECH III
05		PARA	PROFESS	IONALS				NEW HIRE = *
06	F	M	С	TIMM, ERIC P	3500	50,627.20	8/22/08	FDM EVENT SERVICES MANAGER
06	F	M	С	KOPP, RICHARD W	3629	51,750.40	8/08/02	FDM PROD & MARKET SPECIALIST
06	F	M	С	DUCKWORTH, JACKSON T	3078	54,662.40	7/29/14	TRAFFIC/LIGHTING MNT TECH II
06	F	F	С	NEYER, ALIX J	4019	43,368.00	6/03/16	FDM TICKET OFFICE ASST MANAGER
06	F	F	С	COSTELLO, JAMIE M	3633	52,000.00	11/02/13	FDM EVENT COORDINATOR
06	F	F	С	NIEMI, KAREN M	1685	50,627.20	4/07/03	FDM EVENT SERVICES MANAGER
07	F	M	С	GRONWOLD, RYAN R	3272	59,155.20	10/26/15	TRAFFIC/LIGHTING MNT TECH II
07	F	M	С	FELLBAUM, DEAN A	621	63,689.60	7/07/03	TRAFFIC/LIGHTING MNT TECH II
07	F	F	С	WADESON, COLLEEN J	2440	68,952.00	9/25/89	CIVIC TICKET OFFICE MANAGER
07	F	F	С	MORIARTY, COLLEEN C	3632		8/28/00	FDM TICKET OFFICE MANAGER
06		ADMIN	IISTRATI	VE SUPPORT				NEW HIRE = *
05	F	M	С	BRANICK, JOSEPH C	2989	38,209.60	2/19/14	LIBRARY ASSOCIATE II (OTHER)
05	F	F	С		382			CIRCULATION-ILL SPEC LA II
05	F	F	C	BIRKHOLZ, KAYLA R	2620	38,209.60	3/01/11	LIBRARY ASSOCIATE II (OTHER)
05	F	F	C	BERG, ARIANNA J	3295	36,836.80	9/12/14	FDM TEMP ADMIN & AUX SVCS ASSO
05	F	F	С	HOFER, NICOLE C	993	39,228.80	4/09/08	LIBRARY ASSOCIATE II (OTHER)
05	F	F	C	FREITAG, CHRISTINA F	1210	35,360.00	10/27/06	LIBRARY ASSOCIATE I (OTHER)
05	F	F	С	QUALLEY, DACOTAH M	1121	38,209.60	12/02/09	LIBRARY ASSOCIATE II (OTHER)
05	F	F	C	CARLSON, KIRSTIE R	2849	37,169.60	12/10/12	LIBRARY OUTREACH ASSOCIATE II
06	F	F	A	AYALA, MAYRA T	4361	44,241.60	9/05/17	ASSOC DEPUTY CLERK MUN COURT
* 06	F	F	A	CHRISTL, KAIA M	4559	42,993.60	7/09/18	ASSOC DEPUTY CLERK MUN COURT
06	F	F	С	BURNSIDE, ANITA L	278	46,841.60	4/25/05	OFFICE ASSOCIATE III (OTHER)

FUNCTION 15		OTHER	2					
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
0.6		7 DMTN	. T. C	THE GUDDODE				MON HIDE
06 06	177			IVE SUPPORT	1400	E2 000 00	11/01/05	NEW HIRE = *
06	F F	F F	C C	LIUDAHL, CINDY J	1409 3285			CHILDREN'S PROG ASSIST LA III
06		r E	C	MORLAN, GRETCHEN K BAUMANN, DIANNA L	4054			
06	r F	F	C			44,241.60		
06	F	F	C	BOSMAN, KIRSTIE L SALL, LORI L	4170	47,777.60		
06	r F	F	C	RIECKMAN, KATHLEEN E				
06	r F	F	C		841			
06	r F	F	C					
06	r F	F	C	VIEWEG, EMILY J AKERS, JESSICA R				
* 06	r F	F	C					
06	r F	F	C	MATHISON, MORGAN R HARRIS, JULIE A				
06		F	C		3029 758	44,324.80		LIBRARY ASSOCIATE II (OTHER)
06	r F	F	C	GOPLIN, JADA E				
06	r F	r F	C	HANSEN, AMANDA L				LIBRARY ASSOCIATE II (OTHER)
06	r F	r F		WELK, AMY M	3017			
06		r F	C	DAUER, ELIZABETH A		51,979.20		
06		r F	C		3155			
	r F	r F	C	JOHNSON, LAUREN E				
06	r F	Р М	C	GUNDERSON, KATRINA L			9/02/08	
07	r F		C		4157			
07	F	F	C	GRAPHENTEEN, JENNIFER M	/88	62,108.80	1/05/98	
07	r F	F F	C		527			
07	F	F F	C		2130	65,145.60		
07		F.	C	MUELLENBACH, AMANDA L		56,118.40	2/05/07	
07	F	F	C	BULLINGER, ANDREA C		61,921.60		
07	F	F	C	DUNCAN, MELISA A		60,340.80	6/15/04	
07	F	F	C	SMITH, THERESA	2197			
01	P	M	C	FLORIO, MICHAEL L			7/23/15	
01	P	M			3333			
01	P	M		DANIELSON, CHASE M				
01	P	M			3582			
01	P	M	C	SPRIGGS, TYLER C		362.50		PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P		C	CAIN, ANTHONY J	3559			PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	M	C	GROTE, NELS L	4733	362.50	3/28/18	PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	M	С	ENGEN, KERBY G	3320	400.00	8/15/14	
01	P	M	С	DYKEMA, BRADY J	4573	362.50	7/24/18	PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	M	С	MUELLENBACH, ADAM P	3776	362.50	1/29/16	PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	M	С	OSMUNDSON, TODD G	1773	362.50	10/09/15	PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	Р	M	С	DEW-TOMHAVE, HUNTER L	4579	14,632.80	7/30/18	PTB LIBRARY ASSOC I (OTHER)
01	Р	M	С	DUMMER, CRAIG D	3720	362.50	1/26/16	PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	M	С	NOEL, ROBERT J	3621	362.50	1/01/16	PT FDM NOVELTIES

FUNCTIC SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL				NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
06	_			VE SUPPORT				NEW HIRE = *
01	P -	M	C	BRAUN, MATTHEW J				
01	P	M		POCHANT, PATRICK C				
01	P	M			3687			
01	P	M	C	MAJERUS, RICHARD A				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	M	C	MAPES, CHRISTOPHER L				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	M	C		4792			PT ASSESSMENT INTERN
01	P	M	C		3663			PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	M	C	BUBACH, RUSSELL L				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	M	C	PIATZ, PERRY D				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	M	C	JOHNSON, MARK S				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	M	C	DREESSEN, DARREN M				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	M	C	CRAIG, NATHANIEL T				PTB LIBRARY ASSOC I (OTHER)
01	P	F	C					PTB LIBRARY ASSOC I (OTHER)
01	P	F	C					PTB LIBRARY ASSOC I (OTHER)
01	P	F	C		3703			PT FDM BOX OFFICE
01	P	F	C					PTB LIBRARY ASSOC I (OTHER)
01	P	F	C	THOMPSON, TARYN J				PT SUMMER CAMP MENTOR POLICE
01	P	F	C	JAMESON, MARY E				PT FDM BOX OFFICE
01	P	F	C	MATTSON, AMY L				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C		2924			PT LIBRARY PAGE
01	P	F	C	MOQUIST, BARBARA A				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C	HOYLO, KATHERINE J				PTB LIBRARY ASSOC I (OTHER)
01	P	F	C	OSMUNDSON, DEBORAH R				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C		4813			PT LIBRARY PAGE
01	P	F	C	SCORE, HALLEY R	4232			PT FDM BOX OFFICE
01	P	F	C	PEDERSON, NICOLE J				PT SUMMER CAMP LEAD MENTOR PD
01	P	F	C		3732			PT FDM BOX OFFICE
01	P	F	C	QUINLAN, SYDNEY L				INFO DESK RECEPTION-CITY HALL
01	P	F	C		3771			PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C	MESSALL, LORI A				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C	LAFLAMME, ALYSSA M				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C	COLE, DEBORAH K	3714			PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C	WAMRE, SHEILA V	3580			PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C	KALLIS, PATRICIA K	2815	5,200.00		PT LIBRARY PAGE
01	P	F	C	LEIER, RAELYN M	3713	400.00		PT FDM BOX OFFICE
01	P	F	C	LAMB, CHERIE L	3473	400.00		PT FDM BOX OFFICE
01	P	F	C	MEHUS, MELANIE R	3817	400.00		PT FDM BOX OFFICE
01	P	F	C	ROHDE, ROBYN M	4580	14,632.80		PTB LIBRARY ASSOC I (OTHER)
01	P	F	C	ALDRICH, KRISTA A	4578			PTB LIBRARY ASSOC I (OTHER)
01	Р	F	С	KNUDSEN, KRISTEN M	3571	425.00	1/20/12	PT FDM BOX OFFICE

02 P F C MARSH, COLLEEN E

	FUNCTION 15 SALARY		ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		итрг	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL				NAME	NUMBER	SALARY		POSITION TITLE
06		л рм т м	יד מייים א ייי די	VE SUPPORT				NEW HIRE = *
01	P	ADMIN F			4482	9 600 00	4/08/19	PT SUMMER CAMP DIRECTOR POLICE
01			C		3487			
01		F			4020			PT FDM BOX OFFICE
01	P	F	C	CAIN, JORDAN M				
01	P	F	C	DREGSETH, KAREN J				
01	P	F	C					FDM PT TEMP (20-29) FDM ADMIN
01	P	F	C	EHLEN, LYNN E			1/26/07	
01	P	F	C	DEMKE, LYNDA H				PT FDM BOX OFFICE
01	P	F	C		3335			PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C	BINDAS, MARI F				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C		3583			PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C		3627			PT FDM BOX OFFICE
01	P	F	C	MAPES, KELLY K				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C	HOLT, JILL C				PT FDM BOX OFFICE
01	P	F	C	LENO, RHIANNON J				PT FDM BOX OFFICE
01	P	F	C		3596			PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C	DAHLQUIST, ALEXA J				
01	P	F	C	CAIN, LAUREN T				
01	P	F	C	MARTIN, ANJA G				
01	P	F	C	WANNER, FAITH O				
01	P	F	C	NITSCHKE, BRENDA L				
01	P	F	C	KELLER, JONI M				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C	MAJERUS, FAYE M				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C	RHEAULT, KATHLEEN R			1/13/03	
01	P	F	C	MEHUS, CHRISTY A				PT FDM BOX OFFICE
01	P	F	C	ATKINSON, RENEE A			4/10/00	
01	P	F	C	LARSON, AMBER A				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C	SCHMIDT, LISA J				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C	LAFLAMME, JULI M				PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C					PTB LIBRARY ASSOC I (OTHER)
01	P	F	C					PT FDM NOVELTIES
01	P	F	C	THOMAS, PAULA G	2325	14,731.08		INFO DESK RECEPTION-CITY HALL
01	P	F	C	HOWATT, ALEXANDRA J	4364	5,200.00		
01	P	F	C	KUHLMAN, CLAIRE M	4464	15,059.20	2/13/18	
01	P	F	D	BASHIR, HABSA	4686	5,200.00	1/14/19	
01	P	F	G	KLEMISCH, CHANDA M	4587	500.00	8/14/18	
02	P	M	C	BERGMAN, SAMSON J	147	17,680.00	7/19/10	
02	P	F	A	CODY, ISABELLA A	370	17,680.00	7/26/10	
02	P	F	C	MOYNIHAN, ANNE M	1623	17,680.00		PTB LIBRARY ASSOC I (OTHER)

2937 16,806.40 8/05/13 PTB LIBRARY ASSOC I (OTHER)

FUNCTIO	N 15	OTHER						
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL				NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
06				VE SUPPORT				NEW HIRE = *
02		F		WIESENBORN, DIANE J	2651	17,680.00		PTB LIBRARY ASSOC I (OTHER)
02	P	F	С	BENDICKSON, LYNN M	138	19,572.80		PTB INTER-LIBR LOAN ASSOCIATE
02	P	F	С	WIESENBORN, DIANE J BENDICKSON, LYNN M BAUERS, ALLISON J MCDOWELL, JUSTIN T	4484	18,071.04		PTB LIBRARY ASSOC I (OTHER)
03	P	M	C	MCDOWELL, JUSTIN T	3250	23,420.80	9/04/15	PTB REFERENCE ASSOC LA III
04	P	F		KLINGENSTEIN, LESLIE J	2786	26,000.00	6/12/12	PTB REFERENCE ASSOC LA III
04	P	F	C	MCDOWELL, JUSTIN T KLINGENSTEIN, LESLIE J ENSRUD, DEBRA K	2866	30,186.00	2/25/13	PTB OFFICE ASSOCIATE II (PLAN)
07		SKTI.I.	ED CRAF	TS				NEW HIRE = *
* 05	F	М		MORIN ZACHARY W	4682	39 790 40	1/02/19	EQUIPMENT TECHNICIAN I
05			C	MORIN, ZACHARY W GRITTNER, LUKE A ALLEN, JAMISON S BEYL, ALEXANDER L	4252			EQUIPMENT TECHNICIAN I
05		M		ALLEN JAMISON S	4342	40,976.00		
05		M		REVI. ALEXANDER I.	3849	42,161.60		EQUIPMENT TECHNICIAN I
06	F	M		DEREZ II CARLOS R	4395	46,384.00		
06	F		A	BEYL, ALEXANDER L PEREZ II, CARLOS R BEHRNS, DANIEL D CURFMAN, TYLER J PAULSON, JOHN A LANGSETH, MICHAEL J	4239		4/03/17	
06		M		CIDEMAN TVIED D	2607	49,171.20		EQUIPMENT TECHNICIAN II
06		M		DAILI CON TOWN A	4392	49,171.20		
06	F	M		INNECETH MICHARI I	3061	54,766.40		
06	F	M	C	LANGSETH, MICHAEL J ULVEN, TYLER J MANN, CURTIS L WEBB, BRIAN K TRACY, NATHAN C MARTEL, MICHAEL J DUFFY, CODY W JACOBSEN, RICHARD HEGSETH, WAYNE M JOHNSON, MITCHELL G	3001	•		EQUIPMENT TECHNICIAN II
06	F	M	C	MANN CIDTIC I	712 712	50,627.20	1/17/17	
07	F	M	C	MEDD DDIAN K	2400	60,340.80	1/29/07	
07	F	M	C	WEDD, DRIAN A	2460		8/25/08	·-
07	r F	M		MADTEL MIGHAEL I	2307		9/28/09	·-
07	r F		C	MARIEL, MICHAEL U	1490			·-
07		M	C	DUFFY, CODY W	2606	61,921.60		·-
07	F	M	C	UACOBSEN, RICHARD	1002	60,632.00	10/10/90	
	F	M	C	HEGSEIH, WAYNE M	935	65,145.60	3/05/84	
07	F	M	C	JOHNSON, MITCHELL G	1604	67,246.40	3/25/85	·-
07	F	M	C	MONIPLAISIK, PEIEK M	1300	60,340.80	6/23/86	·-
07	F	M		KUPPICH, NICHOLAS R SMITH, JESSE D	1300	60,340.80	8/30/04	•=
07		M		SMITH, JESSE D FALTERSACK, THOMAS R BAUKOL, MICHAEL D	2/30	56,139.20	1/30/12	
07	F	M	C	FALTERSACK, THOMAS R	611 116	60,340.80		EQUIPMENT TECHNICIAN II
07	F	M	C	BAUKOL, MICHAEL D				EQUIPMENT TECHNICIAN III
07	F	M	C	PETERSON, DOUG C	2956			EQUIPMENT TECHNICIAN II
08	F	M	C	BERLAND, DONALD L	3639			FDM LEAD BUILDING ENGINEER
08	F	M	C	THUE, MICHAEL D	2350	76,003.20		EQUIPMENT TECHNICIAN III
08	F	M	C	LANGE, DONAVAN H	3650			FDM BUILDING ENGINEER
08	F	M	C	SCHUMACHER, ALLEN L	2096	•		TRAFFIC & LIGHTING OPS MGR
02	Р	F	С	HELM, ALEXANDRA C	4440	16,848.00	12/11/17	PT EQUIP TECH
08		SERVI	CE MAIN	TENANCE				NEW HIRE = *
* 04	F	M	С	SEVERSON, ROGER B	4602	32,531.20	8/27/18	MAINTENANCE ATTEND I (FIN ADM)

FUNCTIO:	N 15	OTHER						
SALARY]	ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
80			CE MAIN					NEW HIRE = *
05		M		AYALA JR, J JESUS	2722			MAINTENANCE ATTEND I (FIN ADM)
05			С	ANDERSON, CODY S	3652			FDM OPERATIONS SUP-CONVERSION
05		M		BUSHY, BRENT M	285	41,059.20		
05		M		BUSHY, BRENT M GRUEBELE, JASON D	3638		1/02/04	
05	F		С		4056	37,169.60		INVENTORY ASSISTANT
05	F	M		ETEN, ELVIN J EVANSON, RORY J OLSON, DAVID E NITSCHKE, MICHAEL W	598	41,059.20		MAINTENANCE ATTEND I (FIN ADM)
05	F	M		OLSON, DAVID E	1748	41,059.20		MAINTENANCE ATTEND I (FIN ADM)
05	F	M		NITSCHKE, MICHAEL W	4189	41,246.40		FDM OPERATIONS SUP-CONVERSION
05	F	M		BUDUTIRA, MARTIN M	4068	33,488.00		MAINTENANCE ATTEND I (FIN ADM)
05	F	M		IFO, DAWIT J	3995	34,424.00		
05		M		GHIMIREY, MADHUSUDHAN		42,161.60		MAINTENANCE ATTEND II (OTHER)
05		F		WANG, JOAN L	2465	41,059.20		MAINTENANCE ATTEND I (FIN ADM)
06	F	M		BRUGGEMAN, MATTHEW J	2814		9/10/12	PT LABORER ENGINEERING (OTHER)
* 06	F	M		EGGEN, LEE M	4637	42,993.60	10/29/18	INVENTORY PURCH AGENT - CG
06	F	M	С	MACK, SIDNEY J	1456	51,750.40	1/09/95	MAINTENANCE ATTEND II (OTHER)
06	F	M	С	RYAN, ROBERT F	1992	51,750.40	11/14/06	MAINTENANCE ATTEND II (FIN AD)
06	F	M	С	RECKNER, JAMES L	1898	44,574.40	4/22/13	PT LABORER ENGINEERING (OTHER)
06	F	M	С	NUGENT, TIMOTHY L	2761	51,979.20	5/07/12	PT LABORER ENGINEERING (OTHER)
06	F	M	С	SUNDERLAND, RUSSELL M	3655	52,000.00	6/15/06	FDM OPERATIONS SUPER-HOUSKEEP
06	F	M	C	COPPLE, FLOYD L	3249	44,553.60	8/31/15	MAINTENANCE ATTEND II (OTHER)
06	F	M	D	NGOTO, AZIZ C	3994	43,368.00	6/01/16	MAINTENANCE ATTEND II (OTHER)
07	F	M	С	WIRTZ, MICHAEL R	4301	57,324.80	5/30/17	INVENTORY PURCH SPEC - TRANSIT
07	F	M	C	ERICKSON, RANDY L FOURNIER, MARK L	590	65,145.60	2/02/81	MAINTENANCE ATT III (FIN ADM)
07	F	M	C		2705	60,257.60	10/17/11	MAINTENANCE ATT III (FIN ADM)
07	F	F	С	JACOBSEN, PATRICIA A	1081	57,553.60	9/26/97	CIVIC FOOD/BEV EVENT MGR
07	F	F	С	ERICKSON, KACIE J	3815	57,553.60	2/18/16	FDM FOOD/BEV EVEN MANAGER
08	F	M	C	SCHAEFFER, DANIAL R	2029	74,256.00	7/24/06	INVENTORY PURCH SUPERVISOR
01	P	M	A	SERRANO JR, NELSON	4214	500.00	2/27/17	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	A	CLEMONS, JOHN I	3752	1 000 00	2/10/16	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER)
01	P	M	A	TOVAR, RIGO G	3937	500.00	4/19/16	PT FDM PARKING
01	P	M	A	SANDOVAL, HECTOR M	3989	500.00	5/23/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	A	SALAZAR, GONZALO	3955	700.00	5/16/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	A	AMAYA, ARMANDO L	3224	600.00	1/20/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	A	RODRIGUEZ, HECTOR J	3930	550.00	4/06/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	A	SCHWARZROCK, JEREMY A	2900	550.00	8/16/13	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	A	ESPINOZA, JESSE R	3787	500.00	2/03/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	A	SALAZAR, DANIEL L	4103	550.00	8/22/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	M	A	HERNANDEZ, JESS V	3584	500.00	3/29/13	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	A	RODRIGUEZ, LINO J	4128	500.00	9/08/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	SUNDQUIST, PATRICK D	3494	500.00	8/28/15	PT FDM CONCESSIONS

FUNCTIC SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL			CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY		POSITION TITLE
08		SERVI	CE MAIN'	TENANCE				NEW HIRE = *
01	P	M	C	FEENER, MICHAEL F	4389	500.00	8/17/17	PT FDM PARKING
01	P	M	C	REGAN, PATRICK J	3403	500.00	9/13/13	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	C		3581		2/23/07	PT FDM PARKING
01	P	M	C	OWEN, DANIEL C	3390	625.00	6/20/14	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С	REESE, MICHAEL L				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	C	COOK, JASON S				PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	C	JENSTEAD, JARED W				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	C	JENSTEAD, JARED W			4/02/16	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER)
01	P	M	С	ANDERSON, JUSTIN D				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С	FULLER, THOMAS B				PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	MULL, PAUL D				PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	SEGNERI, JOSHUA B				PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	BALKO, RONALD W				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С	SCHREINER, EVAN J				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С	LOETHER, JASON J				PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	BAKKEN, ADAM W				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С	ANDERSON, FOREST R				
01	P	M	С	ZBICHORSKI, TRENT A				PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	CLAYPOOL II, JAMES R				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С	PEDERSON, BENJAMIN J				PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	M	С	HALVORSON, ERIC M				
01	P	M	С	MARTIN, JAMES P				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С	DEMUTH JR, DAVID M				PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	SCHULZETENBERG, MITCHEL A				PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	MEDDERS, JASON B				PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	HESS, BENJAMIN D				PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	M	С	TOMAINO, THOMAS M				PT FDM SECURITY
01	P	M	С	DAVIS, JOSHUA D				PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	TATUM, CURTIS A				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С		4505			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	Р	M	С		2714			PT FDM MARKETING
01	P	M	С	HEIN, WILLIAM C	3010	500.00		PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER)
01	P	M	C	HEIN, WILLIAM C	3010	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	C	ZACHMAN, KEVIN F	3555	512.50		PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	М	C	PIETSCH, AARON L	4780	11,200.00		PT LABORER ENGINEERING (OTHER)
01	P	M	C	VECHINSKI, TYLER D	4149	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	C	THELEN, TRAVIS J	3805	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	C	HENDRICKX, DANIEL D	4779	10,800.00		PT LABORER ENGINEERING (OTHER)
01	P	M	C	NIEMI, RICHARD L	1686	600.00		PT FDM SECURITY
01	P	M	С	BALLARD, KEVIN R	102	650.00	8/30/99	PT FDM SECURITY

FUNCTIO									
SALARY LEVEL				NAME	EMPLOYEE NUMBER	SALARY	HIRE DATE	POSITION TITLE	NEW HIRE = *
08		CED1/T	CE MAIN'	TENANCE					NEW HIRE = *
01	D	M	CE MAIN	CORNELIUSSEN, DANIEL E	1356	500 00	9/20/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	NEW LIKE -
01			C	GOULD, JASON T	4334	500.00		PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER)	
01			C	HOPPER, MATTHEW S	4582	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M		PAAVOLA, REED M	4400	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	KOPPELMAN, DEAN A	4284	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	ODEGAARD, WENDELL R	3591	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	WALZ, BRIAN G				PT FDM MARKETING	
01	P	M	C	SEMANKO, MITCHELL E	3539	500.00		PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	M	C	ALDEEN, JASON D	3286	475.00		PT FDM MARKETING	
01	P	M	C	RIX, MICHAEL J				PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	MAESSE, JASON M				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	BJORK, RYAN P				PT LABORER ENGINEERING (O	THER)
01	P	M	C	MCGUIRE, TREVOR M				PT FDM OPERATIONS	TILLIC /
01	P	M	C	FURSA, ZYGMUND C	4789	11 200 00	5/20/19	PT LABORER ENGINEERING (O	THER)
01	P	M	C	SPANO, JASON D	4405	500.00	11/13/17	PT FDM OPERATIONS	1111111
01	P	M	C	BROWN, JACK M	4638	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	PAULSON, DAVID C	4675	500.00		PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	M	C	LESLIE, ROBERT G	3574	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	COLLINS, PAUL D	4696	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	LOVOLD, JERRY R	3434	550.00		PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	M	C	HOOGLAND, STEVEN W	3728	512 50		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	HOOGLAND, STEVEN W	3728	500.00		PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER)	
01	P	M	C	CARDWELL, DALE A	3169	450.00		PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER)	
01	P	M	C	HASBARGEN, JEROME A	3675	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	FRAUENSHUH, LOGAN R	4654	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	FRAZZINI, JACK H	4117	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	REED, DENNIS A		500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	FUGLESTAD, PAUL A	4346	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M		GOOSELAW, JOHN C	4709	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	REICHEL, JAMES J	3590	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	WATSON, RYAN C	3590 3757	3,750.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	LANGER, COREY J	3794	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	BRUNS, DANIEL J	4119	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	VARRIANO II, MARK S	4736	1,750.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P				440	500.00	1/15/01		
	P	M	C	DANIELSON, WILLIAM W					
01	P P	M	C	HUHTALA, DAVID A	4359	500.00	8/28/17		
01		M	C	OLSON, JUSTIN S	4381	500.00	9/18/17		
01	P	M	C	LISSICK, DANIEL T	3742	3,750.00	1/29/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	SEVLIE, ISAAC C	4034	500.00	6/02/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	PETERSON, JOSHUA R	4000	500.00	3/24/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	

FUNCTIO	N 15	OTHER							
SALARY]	ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE				NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
08		CED1/IT	CE MAIN	TENANCE					NEW HIRE = *
01	P	M		SCHATZ, RYAN A	3453	500 00	11/21/14	PT FDM OPERATIONS	NEW HILKE -
01			C					PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01			C	O'REILLY, JACOB E	3800	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01			C	O'REILLY, JACOB E SVALEN, CHASE F	4152	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		BRUUN, NATHAN G	4358	500.00		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M		JANKE, LYLE D	3357	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		JANKE, LYLE D GJESTVANG, DARCY D	3419	525.00		PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	M		HASBARGEN, BRIAN E	3341	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		PRESTHUS, RICHARD A	3729	1,100.00			
01	P	M		SCHMIDT, TROY R	3899	500.00	3/30/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		LARSON, BRYCE D					
01	P	M		STEINBORN, JASON L	3604	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	THELEN, ZACHARY S	3595	512.50	1/06/12	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	BROWN, MATTHEW K	4194	500.00	1/20/17	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	C	ASPELUND, GAVIN J STEFFES, COLE M	3313	500.00	10/23/15	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	C	STEFFES, COLE M	4362	500.00	9/01/17	PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	M	C	BERNING, RONALD W	4038	500.00	6/02/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	CHAMBERLAIN, BERTON C	3409	500.00	8/17/12	PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	M	С	MARKEY, MICHAEL F	3818	500.00		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	С	CASAVAN, JACOB C	4729	500.00	4/16/19	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	LISSICK, MARK A MASOG, BRETT D	3810	3,750.00	1/26/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	MASOG, BRETT D	3601	500.00	11/06/15	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	MILLAN, MICHAEL J SONNEK, CHRISTOPHER M THIBERT, DAVID J	4207	500.00		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	С	SONNEK, CHRISTOPHER M	3543	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	THIBERT, DAVID J	3855	500.00		PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	2)
01	P	M	С	REBERG, NATHAN A	4158	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M		NELSON, WALLACE D	3491			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		WRIGHT, LARRY J				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01		M		SCOTT, GREGORY A	3462	500.00	2/28/14	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M		LINSTAD, JEREMY J	1401	3,750.00			
01	P	M	C		4659			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	CHENOWETH, STEVEN E	4623	500.00	10/02/18		
01	P	M	C	BEISWENGER, EDWIN A	3338	500.00	9/11/15		
01	P	M	C	BENSON, NICHOLAS J	3297	500.00	8/21/95		
01	P	M	C	ZIMARA, ROBERT J	3557	500.00	2/19/10		
01	P	M	C	HINTZE, CHRISTOPHER H	3915	500.00	4/03/16		
01	P	M	С	STEFFES, DAVID M	3486	500.00	9/12/14		
01	P	M	C	BERLAND, RONALD B	3314	750.00	3/16/96	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	ROCKSTAD, BRAD R	3701	3,750.00	1/07/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	CZARNOWSKI, CASEY S	3316	500.00	8/24/18	PT FDM OPERATIONS	

FUNCTIC SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HTRE	NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL				NAME	NUMBER	SALARY		
08		SERVI	CE MAIN	TENANCE				NEW HIRE = *
01	P	M	C	PALMER, STEVEN A	3881	500.00	4/05/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P		C	HILL, PATRICK L	3947			PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M			4469			PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M		JENSON, DANE C				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M		ARNTSON, SHAWN D				PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER)
01	P	M	С		4101			PT FDM MARKETING
01	P	M	С	FERRIS, MICHAEL A				PT FDM MARKETING
01	P	M	С	ZEMPEL, GABRIEL R				PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	M	С	ZICKERMANN, JOSHUA S				PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С		4811			PT LABORER ENGINEERING (OTHER)
01	P	M	С		4384			PT FDM PARKING
01	P	M	С	DOPP, WYATT P				PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	M	C	ANACKER, RUSSEL L			1/17/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	ROWLEY, ROBERT E				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С	BUCKLE, KEVYN D	4235	500.00	4/03/17	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	OLSON, ANDREW N	3510	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	DOBSON, DAREN T	4092	500.00	8/08/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	C	SMITH, JUSTIN C	2193	500.00	1/01/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	KUKOWSKI, JOSHUA A	3376	500.00	2/27/15	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	LUNDEEN, KYLE R	3688	500.00	1/15/16	PT FDM PARKING
01	P	M	С	FABER, BRADY B	4490	500.00	3/07/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С	ORMSBY, ALEXANDER T	3801	500.00	2/01/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	BROOKS, GARRETT E	3854	500.00	3/16/16	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER)
01	P	M	С	NOBLE, RIAN J	4598	500.00	8/24/18	PT FDM PARKING
01	P	M	С	ANDERSON, RICHARD W	4501	500.00	5/09/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С	RIX, JAMES R	3280	550.00	2/03/12	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С	MARMOLEJO, KEVIN J	4785	500.00	5/16/19	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	NOVOTNY, DAVID J	3520	500.00	8/16/13	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С	TOUGAS, GAROLD R	3547	500.00	9/23/02	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С	BOLDUC, JONATHON J	4576	500.00	7/11/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С	JACOBS, ROBERT J	4401	1,500.00	10/23/17	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	HAGEN, DEREK J	3914	3,750.00	4/14/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	С	RENNER, EDWARD D	3407	500.00	11/25/11	PT FDM PARKING
01	P	M	C	VASKE, JOSEPH A	3622	500.00	1/01/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	M	C	MARA, GRANT M	4347	500.00	8/21/17	PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	M	C	SCHUTTLOFFEL, RYAN A	2100	550.00	4/25/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	C	SNYDER, JOHN M	3477	512.50	10/28/11	PT FDM PARKING
01	P	M	C	MCKIGNEY, BERNARD J	3443	500.00	9/09/02	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	M	С	LEER, ZACHARIAH P	3795	600.00	1/28/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	M	С	KAISER, JOSHUA D	4809	500.00	6/12/19	PT FDM PARKING

FUNCTIO	N 15	OTHER	2						
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P 	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY 	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
08		SERVI	CE MAIN	ITENANCE					NEW HIRE = *
01	P	М	C	INGEBRIGTSON, SHAWN M	3868	500.00	2/26/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01		M		COULTHART, BRIAN W				PT FDM PARKING	
01			С	LEEAN, NOLAN W				PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	HANSEN, BROCK L	870	600.00	1/13/06	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		KRAFT, JOHNATHAN D	4442	500.00	12/19/17	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	BREILAND, MATTHEW J	4475	500.00	3/07/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	PERLENFEIN, GEORGE L	2708	700.00	11/05/11	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHE)	₹)
01	P	M	C	PERLENFEIN, GEORGE L HILLEBRAND, CASEY R	3349	500.00	3/13/15	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	JAYCOX, DAVID I	3359	500.00	11/13/09	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	NIELSEN, JOHANNES P	3945	500.00	4/27/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	HOLDEN, LANCE M	3839	3,750.00	2/06/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	MARCUSON, CORY R	1481	500.00	1/06/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	SAX, JOSHUA E	4560	500.00	7/06/18	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	С	HAMILTON, CODY J	3337	500.00	6/20/14	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M			4455		1/17/18	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M		LILLEHAUGEN, ERIC J			9/22/17	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M		WADE, JUSTIN A			1/26/07	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M		HAHN, TREVOR J	3336	3,750.00	1/17/14	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	ROGNLIN, LAIF A				PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHE)	₹)
01	P	M		CASSEZZA, ALAN S	4258	500.00	5/05/17	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M		SIMONSON, MARK A	3471	500.00	1/22/10	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M		ROSENKRANZ, LANCE R	4693	500.00	1/18/19		
01	P	M		ANDERSON, JONATHON C VOGEL, CHAD J	3869	475.00	3/29/16		
01	P	M	С				10/14/11		
01	P	M	С	BIRNBAUM, NOLAN J			2/24/17		
01	P	M	С		3671			PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M		SCHNEIDER, MATTHEW J				PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M			4357			PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01		M			3023			PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHE)	₹)
01	P	M			3546			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	RAGE, WILLIAM D	3399	425.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	SCHAFER, ALLEX M	4561			PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	LAKOSKY, BRENT M	4100	500.00	8/12/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	SCHILL, RAPHAEL J	4508	11,600.00	5/13/19	PT LABORER ENGINEERING	(OTHER)
01	P	M	C	STEVENS, BRADY C	4206	500.00	2/16/17	PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	M	C	PETERSON, DONALD E	4434	500.00	11/28/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	FLECK, JOSEPH J	647	500.00	2/10/06	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	₹)
01	P	M	C	FLECK, JOSEPH J	647	500.00	4/07/03	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	REIHE, JORDAN D	4805	10,800.00	6/03/19	PT LABORER ENGINEERING	
01	P	M	С	KRAMER, ROBERT G	1282	500.00	10/30/00	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHE)	₹)

FUNCTIO	N 15	OTHER							
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE				NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
08		CEDI/T	ריבי Matni	TENANCE					NEW HIRE = *
01	P	M		KRAMER, ROBERT G	1282	562.50	8/17/94	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	NEW HIRE -
01		M		STRAND, JOHN D				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01		M		LONG, RAYMOND A				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01		M		SLAUGHTER, HAROLD W	3812	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		THOMPSON, DENNIS R	3508	600.00		PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	M		HEISE, HARVEY V	3345	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		WIXO, HAROLD E	3554	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		SMITH, EUGENE W	3476	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		SCHATZ, STANISLAUS				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		IWEN, DANIEL M	3828	500.00		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M		LUND, DOUGLAS L	4699	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	MYHRA, DOUGLAS B	3490	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	HAINE, EARL G	4692	500.00	1/22/19	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	JOHNSON, MYRON J	1142	600.00	8/03/98	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	MANDT, ROBERT D	4589	500.00	8/14/18	PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	M	С	THOMAS, KENNETH W	4139	500.00	9/16/16	PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	M	C	JOHNSON, MARK E	3362	525.00	9/11/15	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	С	ALBRIGHT, JOHN D	18	500.00	5/24/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	HASELEU, DARRELL L STRAND, J S	3365	500.00	1/04/13	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	STRAND, J S	3569	500.00	2/03/12	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	ROHDE, RAYMOND A	3897	1,000.00			?)
01	P	M	С	CUNNINGHAM, JEFFREY N SWARTZ, DANIEL H	417	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	SWARTZ, DANIEL H	4036	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	GILMOUR, GERALD W	3175	450.00		PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	₹)
01	P	M	С	GILMOUR, GERALD W	3175	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	WALLIS, DAVID L				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	GARTNER, RODNEY L	4503	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		BOSCH, NEIL P	4159	500.00		PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	M		HOGFOSS, DARRYLE K				PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M		CAVALIER, CURTIS L	3312	500.00	9/11/15	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C					PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	ANDERSON, ROGER D	3664	3,750.00	1/13/16		
01	P	M	C	FREY, GREGORY R	3331	500.00	4/06/07		
01	P	M	C	SEM, DONALD L	3763	500.00	1/31/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	HEGER, DALE A	3348	500.00	1/22/10		
01	P	M	C	TIDD, RONALD J	2353	625.00	7/31/15	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES) \
01	P	M	C	LUREEN, JEROME N	4627	400.00	10/05/18	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	C)
01	P	M	C	MYHRE, MILTON M	3516 2507	500.00	1/04/13	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	HUBER, DOUGLAS J	3587 2570	500.00	9/21/07		
01	Р	M	С	EGEBERG, DUANE E	3579	500.00	3/28/14	AI LDM OAFKWIIONS	

FUNCTIO	N 15	OTHER							
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
08		CED1/T	CE MAIN'	TENANCE					NEW HIRE = *
01	P	M		RIEDINGER, BRUCE F	4504	500.00	5/09/19	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	NEW HIRE -
01			C	REIGSTAD, MARK T				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01			C	JOYCE, MICHAEL A	3670	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01		M		BRAINERD, DOUGLAS J				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		EYESTONE, DENNIS R	4499	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		ERBES, MARTIN F	3987	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M		JANDRO, MICHAEL J	1087	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		BJERKE, LARRY D	4660	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		NELSON, GARY D	3573	612.50	10/18/93		
01	P	M		SOGGIE, RICKY W	3482	500.00	10/14/96		
01	P	M		GARRY, PATRICK S	709	3,750.00			
01	P	M		MATHERN, TERRY L	3577	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M		NELSON, RODNEY R	3518	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	BOELKE, ROBERT C	4093	500.00	8/10/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C		3325		6/12/09	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	SCHULTZ, WAYNE A	3609	500.00	1/06/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	TAYLOR, TODD D	4096	500.00	8/17/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	LUREEN, JACOB J			5/24/12	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	2)
01	P	M	С	LUREEN, JACOB J			1/06/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	ROATH, CRAIG E				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	GORDEN, RICKY L				PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	C		3358			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C		1035			PT FDM SECURITY	
01	P	M	C	ANDERSON, TROY M				PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	
01	P	M	C	HERBOLD, JASON R				PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	2)
01	P	M	С	HERBOLD, JASON R			2/19/10		
01	P	M	С	ANDERSON, STACEY L			10/30/09		
01	P	M			4079			PT FDM MARKETING	
01	P	M			4672		11/28/18		
01	P	M			3370	425.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	DUNCAN, DEAN J	4479			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	ELLISON, MICHAEL J	3588	500.00	9/16/96		
01	P	M	C	SATERMO, NEIL R	3816	550.00	2/15/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	C	ARNE, MICHAEL G	3289	500.00	2/19/10	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	EVANSON, SHAWN H	3658	500.00	1/11/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	C	NESS, JEREMY R	3492	475.00	10/23/15	PT FDM MARKETING	
01	P	M	C	FOLMER, TODD H	3912	500.00	4/07/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01 01	P	M	C	STAVE, KOEL N	3990 2801	500.00 400.00	5/19/16 1/20/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P P	M M	C C	DAVIS, JAMES A ILJANA, SHANE J	3777	500.00	2/04/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
ΟŢ	P	IvI	C	THUANA, STANE U	3///	500.00	Z/U4/10	LI LDM CONCESSIONS	

FUNCTIO	N 15	OTHER	1						
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P 	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY 	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
08		CED1/T	CE MATN	ITENANCE					NEW HIRE = *
01	P	M	CE MAIN		3643	1 250 00	1/07/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	NEW HIRE -
01		M			3767			PT FDM OPERATIONS PT FDM PARKING	
01		M		OPGRAND, ELI L				PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	C	LUNSKI, JAMESON T	3766	3 750 00			
01	P	M						PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M		KELLER, MICHAEL J				PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	.)
01	P	M		ROLAND, TRAVIS J	4634	600.00		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	- /
01	P	M			4413				
01	P	M		CUSEY, CHRISTOPHER T					
01	P	M		LETZRING, MICHAEL A	4764	3.750.00	5/04/19		
01	P	M		DUGGAN, ELLIOT M	3911	500.00	4/08/16		
01	P	M		NEWGREN, TIMOTHY J			11/03/17		
01	P	M		SWIFT, PATRICK S	4323	550.00	7/06/17		
01	P	M		GJELSNESS, GARRETT P	4635	500.00	10/18/18		
01	P	M		SIMPFENDERFER, CARSON M	3472	500.00	9/13/13		
01	P	M		HAARSAGER, LANE J	4632	400.00	10/13/18		2)
01	P	M		BJORLAND, TANNER T	4797	500.00	5/24/19		
01	P	M			4191		1/24/17		
01	P	M		WERNER, TANNER P			8/14/18		
01	P	M		BARCLAY, MATTHEW J			1/20/16		
01	P	M	С	ESLINGER, JONAH B	4176		1/11/17	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	STODDARD, BENJAMIN R	4094	475.00	8/03/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C		3527		9/11/15	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	LUREEN, SKYLAR M			2/10/16	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	2)
01	P	M	C	AMERMAN, STEVEN T		500.00	6/26/18	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	KENNA, SETH T	4698	500.00	2/06/19	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	KNUTSON, KORBIN D	4453	500.00	1/25/18	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	STODDARD, CAMERON M			4/20/17	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01		M		BUMGARNER, CARL W	4615	500.00			
01	P	M			4313		6/08/17	PT CIVIC CONCESSIONS (OT	'HER)
01	P	M	C	FITZGERALD, EDWARD L	641	537.50		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	PRIS, RONALD C	3395	512.50	1/09/09	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	SAXLUND, BLAINE W	4701	500.00	2/06/19	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	DEUTSCHER, LEROY V	3634	512.50	1/08/16		
01	P	M	C	FOWLER, THOMAS A	4421	500.00	11/20/17		
01	P	M	C	THOMPSON, PAUL E	2335	1,000.00	4/15/16	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	2)
01	P	M	C	DIEMERT, JOEL A	4416	500.00	11/20/17		
01	P	M	C	PAYNE, THOMAS A	4030	525.00	6/03/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	C	ZIMPRICH, RONALD C	4293	500.00	5/24/17		
01	P	M	C	KUKLA, DONALD J	3375	500.00	5/11/12	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	

Prepared 8/19/19, 15:21:28 Program PR592L Page 44 7/01/2018 TO 6/30/2019 EEO-4 Detail Listing 2019 EEO-4 REPORT

FUNCTIO									
SALARY LEVEL			ETHNIC	NAME	EMPLOYEE NUMBER	SALARY	DALE HTKE	POSITION TITLE	NEW HIRE = *
0.0		CEDIAL	OT MATN	MENA NOE					NEW IITOE - *
08 01	D	SERVI M	CE MAIN'		4016	7 900 00	12/10/16	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHE	NEW HIRE = *
01	P P		C	FREITAG, RICKY D LARSON, JAMES J	3383			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	Κ)
01	P	M			3383			PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHE	D)
01	P	M		OTTESEN, LARRY E	4700	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	Ιζ)
01	P	M		LARSON, CLINTON B				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	GRESS, ROGER G				PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	M	C	SAILER, RODNEY B	3535	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	ZEHREN, GERALD R	2575	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	SHOLY, DAVID K				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	KOPP, RICHARD L	1261	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	GUNDERSON, STEVEN S	3421	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Р	M	C	HOLWEGER, PERRY E				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Р	M	С	HOLWEGER, PERRY E				PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHE	R)
01	Р	M	С	BAKKEN, MYRON R				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	•
01	P	M	С	RONNINGEN, PAUL R	3420	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	JOHNSON, JEFFREY L			2/06/19	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С		2035		1/28/06	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHE	R)
01	P	M	С	MARTINSON, PAUL W			1/16/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	HOFER, CORNELL R			3/13/00	PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	M	С	BOECKEL, JODY L	3298	437.50	4/04/08	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	TERNES, HENRY E	4124	512.50	9/08/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	TERNES, HENRY E	4124	500.00	9/28/17	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHE:	R)
01	P	M	С		3340		12/14/92	PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	M	С	ERICKSON, MYLO N	3737	500.00	1/26/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	HANSON, ARLAN M	876	650.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	HAWLEY, KIRK T	3423	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	BUNN, MERVIN J	3524	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	DUGGAN, DANIEL J				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		WADESON, LARRY W	2441	1,600.00		PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHE)	R)
01	P	M	С	STUTZMAN, TODD A	4035	562.50		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	WEIGEL, JEFF D	3888	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	ANDERSON, RICKY J	61	550.00		PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHE	R)
01	P	M	C	ANDERSON, RICKY J	61	625.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	MARKEY, JEREMY P	3829	550.00		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	C	HANSEN, BRADLEY T	869	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	MEARS, CLAUDE J	3447	412.50		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	BREWER, SCOTT W	3302	562.50		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	BALFOUR, BRADLEY A	101	500.00	1/06/16		
01	P	M	C	RICHTER, JASON C	4754	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Р	M	С	LOVELAND, JEFFERY G	3943	500.00	4/25/16	PT FDM PARKING	

FUNCTIO	N 15	OTHER	2						
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
08		SERVI	CE MAIN	TENANCE					NEW HIRE = *
01	P	M	C	CHRISTOPHER, JAMES A	4051	500.00	6/14/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01		M						PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01		M			905			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		HARTMANN, RUSTAN A ERBES, MARC J	3323	1,250.00	6/21/13	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	LARSON, RYAN J	1345	600.00	1/30/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Р	M	С	LARSON, RYAN J MOE, TRAVIS L	3474	500.00	8/14/15	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	Р	M	С	SWANSON, JOHN R	4728	500.00	4/16/19	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	STAMM, ROGER K	3971	500.00	5/13/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	EVANSON, KENNETH E	3932	500.00	4/18/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	C	BRUCKER, COLETON J	4593	500.00	8/14/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C		4127		9/01/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	BINGEMAN, KEVIN L	3695	600.00	1/21/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	OLDROYD JR, FREDERICK R	3646	500.00	1/08/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	LARSEN, THOMAS D	3863	500.00	2/04/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	WURNIG, MATTHEW J	3807	500.00	1/28/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	BETTMANN, GARY W	3296	500.00	2/19/10	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	SCHATZ, RANDALL A	3536	500.00	8/15/14	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	GRIFFITHS, ARLEN J DOTY, DAVID L	3426	700.00	8/01/14	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	DOTY, DAVID L	4012	500.00	6/03/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	HAYES, JEFFREY T	3343	500.00	10/03/08	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	LOOS, JON P	3827	500.00	2/01/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	DOTY, DOMINIC R				PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	KROLIKOWSKI, COREY J	4044	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С		3811			PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	HOEFT, MICHAEL T				PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С		3808			PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	HEDEEN, MATTHEW J	4337	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	LAPKA, PAUL G	3380	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M		HUBER, DAVID A				PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С		3317			PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	С	DAHL, CHRISTOPHER S	3570	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	Р	M	С	RUSSIFF, DONALD J	3686			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	С	MORGAN, MARTY J	3644	15,600.00	3/02/12		RATIONS
01	Р	M	С	LAMBLIN, ANTHONY R	4212	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	Р	M	С	ALUISE, JARED T	3593	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	Р	M	С	OVERBY, SETH G	4778	11,200.00	5/13/19	PT LABORER ENGINEERING	(OTHER)
01	P	M	C	BAILEY, GARY O	4739	3,750.00	4/24/19		
01	P	M	C	BUCHER, MYLES J	3306	500.00	11/13/09	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	COUNTS, ISAIAH C	3785	500.00	2/01/16		
01	Р	M	С	WILKIN, BRYCE S	3942	500.00	4/20/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	

FUNCTION SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = 3
LEVEL				NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	NEW HIRE -
08		QFD1/T	CE MAIN'						NEW HIRE =
01	D	M BERVI	CE MAIN	JOHNSON, ERIK W	3792	500 00	2/01/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	NEW LIKE -
01		M		STEVENS, ANTHONY M	3826	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M		NICHOLSON, DONALD A	4047	562.50		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	C	BAYUK, WILLIAM R	3717	512.50		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	C	QUICK, IYAN A				PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	MYERS, GAVIN J				PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	C	ELLIOTT, CODY J				PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	D	GILL, ELIJAH J	3418	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	D	GREEN, OBRYAN O	4399	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	D	WILLIAMS, STANLEY B	4747	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	D	HENDERSON, ADRIEN L	3637	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	D	TORAN, ROY K	4061	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	D	LARRY, TYRRIE L	4798	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	D	GRAY, EUGENE CAMPBELL JR, HENRY C	4718	550.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	D	CAMPBELL JR, HENRY C	4478	500.00	2/15/18	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	D	JOHNSON, RONNIE E	3793	500.00	1/28/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	D	TADEO, GODFREY	3495	1,100.00	9/25/15	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	D	HUNDER, DOMAH Z	4125	500.00	9/02/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	E	BHASIN, ADITYA S	3893	500.00	4/03/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	E	MUNAR, MITCHELL A	3515	500.00	9/11/15	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	E	CRUSZ, CHRISTOPHER C	4506	600.00	5/11/18	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	M	G	GRAMS, BILL L	3334	550.00	9/11/15	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	G	BERTRAND, LOUIS W	3315	525.00	11/25/11	PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	M	G	ROSS, BRANDON M	3422	500.00	1/04/13	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	G	ROY, ROLAND J	4095	500.00	11/15/18	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	G	JEANOTTE, ROLAND P	3673	500.00	1/11/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	M	G	FASSETT, MARK L	4008	500.00	5/24/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P		G	THIBERT, RICHARD L			12/07/18	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P		G	CLAUTHIER, SHANE	4097	500.00	8/17/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	G	HOPKINS, ISIAH R	3885			PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	2)
01	P	M	G	REDDAY, NICHOLAS F	4687	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	G	DECOTEAU, BRYANT E	4812	600.00	6/19/19	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	M	H	LUPI, SAMUEL L	4774	500.00	5/10/19	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	A	BRIGHAM, LISA R	4229	500.00	3/23/17	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	А	ALAMEDA, MARY A	3283	550.00	8/14/15	PT FDM SECURITY	
01	P	F	A	KLIMP, JUANITA L	3754	1,000.00	2/10/16	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	2)
01	P	F	A	VARGAS, ROSALINDA P	3667	500.00	1/12/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	A	JIRON, NORMA J	4138	500.00	9/12/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	С	KLINKMUELLER, CAROLINE E	4425	600.00	11/17/17	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	С	HOUKOM, TERESA L	3355	425.00	2/05/10	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	

FUNCTION 15		OTHER	2						
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE				NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
08		SERVI	CE MAIN	ITENANCE					NEW HIRE = *
01	P	F	С	LARSON, JEANINE M	3859	500.00	3/21/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01		F					7/15/06	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01		F		SPEIDEL, SUSAN M	3689	500.00	9/22/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01		F			4062		6/03/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01		F	C	CASEY, MARGARET A	3923	550.00	4/14/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	C	SEPP, VALERIE J	3892	500.00	4/05/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C		3900		3/11/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	BALKO, LOIS K	3291	500.00	5/11/12	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	JENSEN, CARRIE L	3726	500.00	3/23/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	SODERBERG, MONNIE M	3481	500.00	6/06/14	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	SZKLARSKI, KAREN M	4037	500.00	6/02/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	DAVIES, JAMIE L	4414	500.00	11/20/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	HOCHSTEIN, JENNA C	4796	500.00	5/29/19	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	C	LOPEZ RAMOS, KATRINA R	3558	500.00	3/04/17	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	₹)
01	P	F	C	SCOWCROFT, SHELBY C	3616	500.00	1/01/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	C	SIMON, MAKALA L	3803	500.00	2/01/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	C	RAUSCH, NINA A			6/20/14	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C		3294		11/04/05	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	ANDERSON, ANITA M	3311	500.00	9/07/07	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	HENRY, CAROL S	3712	500.00	1/20/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	ANACKER, CYNTHIA S	3287	512.50	5/13/11	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	C		1339		1/20/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	GREICAR, CATHERINE N			8/29/14	PT FDM MARKETING	
01	P	F	C		4767		5/04/19	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	MEHL, CASSANDRA L			8/25/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	C	STRASSER, ALISON A			9/09/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	C	STIENING, SHARON R	3545	500.00	9/11/15	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01		F	С		4029		6/03/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	C	SIMON, CHRISTINE M	3468	600.00	9/11/15	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	SVENBY, BETH M	4810		6/12/19	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	C	HAUGEN, BREANNA L	4555			PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	F	C	STEFFES, AMANDA J	3625	500.00	1/01/16	PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	F	C	CAMPAGNA, MCKAYLA M	4135	500.00	9/12/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	C	JOHNSON, VICKY L	3553	500.00	1/11/08	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	KRAUSHAAR, VIRGINIA A	4591	500.00	8/14/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	RIECKMAN, CHRISTINE R	3413	600.00	2/01/13	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	С	ERBES, SHERRY A	3988	500.00	5/17/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	С	LEINEN, HEATHER M	4286	500.00	5/24/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	GRANDALEN, LORI R	3699	500.00	1/21/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	WAYE, MELANIE R	3578	500.00	4/04/08	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	

AME	EMPLOYEE		HIRE	NET	
AME				1/17.1	NEW HIRE = *
	NUMBER	SALARY			
	4010	550.00	6 (00 (16		W HIRE = *
ADDEN, KASIE R	3530	500.00			
AVERKAMP J, MCKENZIE	4489	600.00			
CHULTE, RACHEL M					
HANNON, TAMMY L		500.00	8/16/13	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
ANIELSON, LORI J	3683	475.00	1/15/16	PT FDM MARKETING	
DRRISON, TERA R	4461	500.00	2/07/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
EDDER, LISA A	3661	12,480.00	1/31/14	FDM PT TEMP (20-29) OPERATION	ONS
			11/06/17	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
NDERSON, CHLOE M	4777	11,200.00	5/13/19	PT LABORER ENGINEERING (OTHE	ER)
ETERSON, PHYLLIS L	4433	500.00	11/28/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
IND, RITA J	3372	425.00	8/16/13	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
TORDAHL, KIMBERLY R	3488	500.00	6/12/09	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
TORDAHL, KIMBERLY R	3488	500.00	10/05/16	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER)	
ARSON, JENNIFER M	4132	500.00	9/13/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
ARR, KIMBERLY K	3408	800.00	8/17/12	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
ADE, MORGAN B	3465	512.50	10/29/10	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
LINKNER, EMILY A	4753	500.00	4/26/19	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
REENE, MARCELLA J	3872	500.00	3/29/16	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER)	
REENE, MARCELLA J	3872	500.00	4/06/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
しつんんく つこくこく こくこく こしんしき コレコココ イんんしょく	RE, EMILY A DDEN, KAITLYN R DDEN, KASIE R VERKAMP J, MCKENZIE RBEN, KAY L GEN, SUSAN A DDER, CHRISTINE A LHEIM, SUZANNE E TENSON, BRENDA D TEJKA, JANET K SEN, NAOMI M IESNER, KRISTA D ADY, MADISON A DERSON, JUDY A LSON, MAUREEN A INDER, RUBY J UNEAU, CHRISTINA M OWN, MELINDA K CHEMAN, KATELYN R NSING, SARAH M SMEY, LYNNEA M HULTE, RACHEL M ANNON, TAMMY L NIELSON, LORI J RRISON, TERA R DDER, LISA A BY, KIMBERLY G DERSON, CHLOE M TERSON, PHYLLIS L ND, RITA J ORDAHL, KIMBERLY R RSON, JENNIFER M RR, KIMBERLY K DE, MORGAN B INKNER, EMILY A EENE, MARCELLA J	US, HEIDI J 4018 RE, EMILY A 3706 DDEN, KAITLYN R 3529 DDEN, KASIE R 3530 VERKAMP J, MCKENZIE 4489 RBEN, KAY L 3464 GEN, SUSAN A 1380 DDER, CHRISTINE A 3879 LHEIM, SUZANNE E 1043 TENSON, BRENDA D 3327 TEJKA, JANET K 1506 SEN, NAOMI M 3719 IESNER, KRISTA D 3788 ADY, MADISON A 4662 DERSON, JUDY A 4500 LSON, MAUREEN A 1658 INDER, RUBY J 4715 UNEAU, CHRISTINA M 3710 OWN, MELINDA K 3676 NSING, SARAH M 3759 HULTE, RACHEL M 4629 ANNON, TAMMY L 3470 NIELSON, LORI J 3683 RRISON, TERA R 4661 DDER, LISA A 3661 BY, KIMBERLY G 4410 DERSON, CHLOE M 4777 TERSON, PHYLLIS L 4433 ND, RITA J 3372 ORDAHL, KIMBERLY R 3488 ORDAHL, KIMBERLY R 3488 RSON, JENNIFER M 4132 RR, KIMBERLY R 3488 RSON, JENNIFER M 4132 RR, KIMBERLY R 3488 DE, MORGAN B 3465 INKNER, EMILY A 4753 EENE, MARCELLA J 3872 EENE, MARCELLA J 3872	US, HEIDI J RE, EMILY A 3706 SOO.00 DER, KAITLYN R 3529 DDEN, KASIE R 3530 VERKAMP J, MCKENZIE 4489 GOO.00 RBEN, KAY L 3644 525.00 GEN, SUSAN A 1380 DDER, CHRISTINE A 3879 400.00 LHEIM, SUZANNE E 1043 TENSON, BRENDA D 3327 487.50 TEJKA, JANET K 3580 SEN, NAOMI M 3719 500.00 ADY, MADISON A 4662 DERSON, JUDY A 4500 LSON, MAUREEN A 1658 500.00 UNEAU, CHRISTINA M 3710 SOO.00 UNEAU, CHRISTINA M 3710 SOO.00 OWN, MELINDA K 3765 500.00 OWN, MELINDA K 3776 SOO.00 OWN, MELINDA K 3776 SOO.00 OWN, MELINDA K 3776 SOO.00 OWN, SARAH M 3776 SOO.00 CHEMAN, KATELYN R 3676 SOO.00 NSING, SARAH M 3759 3,750.00 CHEMAN, KATELYN R 3676 SOO.00 NILIELSON, LORI J 3683 475.00 RRISON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, LORI J 3683 4775 SOO.00 RRISON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, LORI J 3683 A775 SOO.00 RRISON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, LORI J 3683 A755 SOO.00 RRISON, TERA R 4461 SOO.00 DERSON, LORI J 3683 A755 SOO.00 RRISO	US, HEIDI J 4018 550.00 6/03/16 RE, EMILY A 3706 500.00 1/20/16 DDEN, KAITLYN R 3529 500.00 8/14/15 DDEN, KASIE R 3530 500.00 9/12/14 VERKAMP J, MCKENZIE 4489 600.00 4/26/18 RBEN, KAY L 3464 525.00 9/04/09 GEN, SUSAN A 1380 550.00 2/01/99 DDER, CHRISTINE A 3879 400.00 3/03/16 LHEIM, SUZANNE E 1043 500.00 6/06/16 TENSON, BRENDA D 3327 487.50 11/14/08 TEJKA, JANET K 1506 500.00 4/11/16 SEN, NAOMI M 3719 500.00 1/20/16 JESNER, KRISTA D 3788 500.00 2/05/16 ADY, MADISON A 4662 500.00 11/27/18 DERSON, JUDY A 4500 500.00 5/09/18 LSON, MAUREEN A 1658 500.00 8/17/12 INDER, RUBY J 4715 500.00 8/17/12 INDER, RUBY J 4715 500.00 1/20/16 ONN, MELINDA K 3307 500.00 1/20/16 ONN, MELINDA K 3307 500.00 1/20/16 SMEY, LYNNEA M 3765 550.00 1/16/16 NSING, SARAH M 3765 550.00 1/16/16 RRISON, LORI J 3683 475.00 1/16/16 RRISON, LORI J 3683 475.00 1/15/16 SMEY, LYNNEA M 4629 600.00 1/18 NINGER, SARAH M 3765 550.00 1/15/16 SMEY, LYNNEA M 4779 11,200.00 5/13/19 DERSON, LORI J 3683 475.00 1/15/16 RRISON, TERA R 4461 500.00 2/07/18 DDER, LISA A 3661 12,480.00 1/18/16/16 RRISON, CHOE M 4777 11,200.00 5/13/19 DERSON, CHLOE M 4777 11,200.00 5/13/19 DERSON, CHLOE M 4777 11,200.00 5/13/19 DERSON, CHLOE M 4777 11,200.00 5/13/19 DERSON, CHLOE M 4777 11,200.00 5/13/19 DERSON, CHLOE M 4777 11,200.00 5/13/19 DERSON, CHLOE M 4777 11,200.00 5/13/19 DERSON, CHLOE M 4777 11,200.00 5/13/19 DERSON, CHLOE M 4777 11,200.00 5/13/19 DERSON, CHLOE M 4777 11,200.00 5/13/19 DERSON, CHLOE M 4777 11,200.00 5/13/19 DERSON, CHLOE M 4777 11,200.00 6/12/09 ORDAHL, KIMBERLY R 3488 500.00 10/05/16 RRISON, JENNIFER M 4132 500.00 11/06/17 DERSON, JENNIFER M 4132 500.00 8/16/13 ORDAHL, KIMBERLY R 3488 500.00 6/12/09 ORDAHL, KIMBERLY R 3488 500.00 8/16/13 ORDAHL, KIMBERLY R 3488 500.00 8/16/13 ORDAHL, KIMBERLY R 3488 500.00 8/16/13 ORDAHL, KIMBERLY R 3488 500.00 8/16/13 ORDAHL, KIMBERLY R 3488 500.00 8/16/13 ORDAHL, KIMBERLY R 3488 500.00 8/16/13 ORDAHL, KIMBERLY R 3488 500.00 8/16/13 ORDAHL, KIMBERLY R 3488 500.00 8/16/13 ORDAHL, KIMBERLY R 3488 500.00 8/16/13 ORDAHL, KIMBE	US, HEIDI J R, EMILY A 3706 500.00 1/20/16 FT FDM CONCESSIONS REE, EMILY A 3706 500.00 1/20/16 FT FDM CONCESSIONS DDEN, KASTER 3530 500.00 8/14/15 FT FDM OPERATIONS DDEN, KASTER 3530 500.00 8/14/15 FT FDM OPERATIONS DDEN, KASTER 3600 REEN, KAY L 3646 525.00 9/04/09 FT FDM CONCESSIONS REEN, KAY L 3646 525.00 9/04/09 FT FDM CONCESSIONS REEN, KAY L 3646 525.00 9/04/09 FT FDM CONCESSIONS REEN, KAY L 3647 550.00 6/06/16 FT FDM PERTIT SERVICES DDER, CHRISTINE A 3879 400.00 3/03/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES LHEIM, SUZANNE E 1043 500.00 6/06/16 FT FDM PERTITONS TENSON, BRENDA D 3327 487.50 11/14/08 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES LHEIM, SUZANNE E 1043 500.00 6/06/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES FT FDM CONCESSIONS REEN, KAY L 6/06/06/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES LESINS TENSON, BRENDA D 3327 487.50 11/14/08 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES LESINS TENSON, BRENDA D 3327 487.50 11/14/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES FT FDM CONCESSIONS ANDING TEJKA, JANET K 1506 500.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES LESINS LESINS, KARISTA D 3788 500.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES DERSON, JUDY A 4662 500.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES DERSON, JUDY A 4662 500.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES DERSON, MAUREEN A 1658 500.00 5/09/18 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES UNEAU, CHRISTINA M 3710 500.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES UNEAU, CHRISTINA M 3710 500.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES UNEAU, CHRISTINA M 3710 500.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES DERSON, CHRISTINA M 3765 550.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES DERSON, CHRISTINA M 3765 550.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES DERSON, CHRISTINA M 3765 550.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES DERSON, CHRISTINA M 3765 550.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES DERSON, CHRISTINA M 3765 550.00 1/15/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES DERSON, CHRISTINA M 3770 500.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES DERSON, CHRISTINA M 3770 500.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES DERSON, CHRISTINA M 3770 500.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES DERSON, CHRISTINA M 3770 500.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES DERSON, CHRISTINA M 3770 500.00 1/20/16 FT FDM EVENT SERVICES DERSON, CHRISTINA M 4461 550.00 1/20/16 FT FDM

FUNCTIO	N 15	OTHER							
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE				NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
08		SERVI	CE MAIN'	TENANCE					NEW HIRE = *
01	P	F		SWENSON, JOANNE M	4665	500.00	11/27/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	IVEW HILLE
01	P	ਸ	C	CRATGMILE, RACHELLE L					
01	P	ਸ	C	THUESEN, NICOLE G	27	612.50	8/29/03	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	C	GALLAGHER-SUNSTR, ELIZABETH A	4720	15,787.20	3/21/19	PTB MAIN ATTEND I(OTHER)	
01	Р	F	С	HANN HALEY M	4156	500 00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	C	KNUTSON, ASHLEY A ENGEN, JANICE M LOBIN, MARY M	3455	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	ਸ	C	ENGEN, JANICE M	4014	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	ਸ	C	LOBIN, MARY M	4195	500.00	1/31/17	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	C	PETERSON, ERICA A	4123	500.00	9/08/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	ਸ	C	NELSON, DIANE K	3517	500.00	2/19/10	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Р	F	С	MEDDED DEGRA I	2007	F 0 0 0 0	5/24/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	C	BENSON, MAGGIE E	3768	500.00	2/05/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	GROTH, KIARA R	4645	500.00	11/02/18	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	С	GUNDERSON, PENNY A	828	650.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	JANKE, BARBARA J	4386	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	BENSON, MAGGIE E GROTH, KIARA R GUNDERSON, PENNY A JANKE, BARBARA J BEISWENGER, PATRICIA A KORSMO, JENNIE M ABNER, KARI A	3339	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	KORSMO, JENNIE M	4049	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	ABNER, KARI A	4353	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	JOHNSON, RENEE C	3.300	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	ROWLEY, HELEN E ONSTAD, JILL M KOLNESS, SAMANTHA J	3898	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	ONSTAD, JILL M	3778	500.00		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	С	KOLNESS, SAMANTHA J	3373	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	BEAUDOIN, RANDI L	3469	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	HALVORSON, ELIZABETH R	4622	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	С	WHALEY, DANIELLE D	4102	500.00		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	С	SNYDER, CECILE E	4599	500.00		PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	F	C	EWEN, ANNEKRISTIN E	4418	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Ъ	F	C	ROUTLEDGE, NATALY K	4583	550.00		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	Ъ	F	C	RADCLIFFE, MINDY J	4 / 4 6	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P P		C	MUTEGI, KRISTINE A	4380	550.00		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01			C	NESS, JENNICA D				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	STEARNS, KELLI J	4213	500.00	2/27/17		
01	P	r	C	CHAMPAGNE, MEGAN M	3174	500.00	4/10/15		-)
01 01	P	r D	C	HAUGEN, ELIZABETH A	3665 3606	500.00 500.00	1/12/16 1/04/16		
	P	r	C	ROLPH, AMBER M					
01 01	P P	r Er	C	BROST, STEPHANIE D	4168 4702	550.00 600.00	12/23/16 1/31/19	PT FDM CONCESSIONS PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P P	r Er	C C	GOPLIN, AMANDA I MCKENDRICK, THERESA K	4702 4766	3,750.00	5/04/19	PT FDM CONCESSIONS PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P P	r	C	BACHMEIER, JESSICA L	3292	512.50	5/04/19 5/22/15	PT FDM OPERATIONS PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P P	r F	C	HARLAND, ASHLEY G	3292	512.50	4/20/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
ΟŢ	P	r	C	HAKLAND, ADDLEI G	3735	500.00	4/20/10	LI LDM CONCESSIONS	

FUNCTIO	N 15	OTHER	}						
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
08		SERVI	CE MAIN	ITENANCE					NEW HIRE = *
01	Р	F	С		4741	500.00	4/25/19	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С		4215	500.00	2/27/17	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	С	HAARSAGER, MICHELLE R	4626	400.00	10/05/18	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHE:	R)
01	P	F	С	SELLDEN, BRANDY L ALUISE, RACHEL L	4663	500.00	11/27/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	ALUISE, RACHEL L	3624	500.00	1/01/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	C	DAVIS, GRACE E YOKOM, ALICIA L	2973	500.00	1/20/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	YOKOM, ALICIA L	4404	500.00	11/09/17	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	С	ABNER, ALEIGHA C	4476	500.00	3/07/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	ONSTAD, CASEY B	3799	500.00	1/28/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	С	SELBERG, IRIS L	3461	500.00	10/10/14	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	GREGORY, LINDA L	4477	500.00	3/07/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	EMERSON, GLORIA J	3585	500.00	8/16/13	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	BOURCY, SHARON K	3758	500.00	2/07/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	HEISLER, BONITA C	941	512.50		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	BIWER, JOANNE L	3608	437.50		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	NITSCHKE, JOLENE D	4480	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	GRADY-THURSTON, KATHRYN	3704	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	RADCLIFFE, JAN M	3531	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	SCHAFER, CASSANDRA N	3430	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	SCHAFER, CASSANDRA N LINDBO, ROBERTA A TWETEN, MARY A	1394	650.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	TWETEN, MARY A	3548	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	MISCHKE, SHARON K	3562	587.50		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	С		3614			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	MENKE, GWENDOLYN M				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С		3504			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	LIEBENOW, PAMELA M				PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	С	OTTESEN, MERRY L				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01		F		ENGEN, SUSAN K	3901	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01		F		TEEGARDEN, DAWN M	4201	850.00		PT CIVIC CONCESSIONS (O	THER)
01		F			4015	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	SEAVER, PEGGY C	4474			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P		С	HEINTZ, CYNTHIA M	3424			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Р	F	С	GALBREATH, MARY L	4196	500.00	1/30/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	JENSEN, JEANETTE R	4352	500.00	8/22/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	MARSAA, DEBRA K	4664	500.00	11/27/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	HOFFMAN, MELINDA K	4382	500.00	9/20/17	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	C	BRUHN, DIANE J	4197	500.00	1/30/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	DAVIS, CHRISTINE P	3326	500.00	9/11/15	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	BACH, TONI J	3406	437.50	9/17/10	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Р	F	С	FINNEY, SHELLEY D	3698	500.00	1/20/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	

FUNCTIC SALARY					EMPLOYEE		нтрг:		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL				NAME	NUMBER				NDW IIIICD -
08		SERVI	CE MAIN	TENANCE					NEW HIRE = *
01		F		FORD, SHELLEY M			11/23/98	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С				6/12/09	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	KOPPELMAN, DOREEN M			5/24/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01		F		SALQUIST, BETH E				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	LUICK, DEBRA A				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	PRETZER, DANIELLE E				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	HOGFOSS, JAN M				PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	С	KAISER, JULIE D	3369	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	HELLER, BEVERLY K	952	550.00		PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	₹)
01	P	F	С	HELLER, BEVERLY K	952	650.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	LIEN, DEANN R				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	LANDSTROM, STEPHANIE M	3379	475.00		PT FDM MARKETING	
01	P	F	С	SANDFORD, KATHY A				PT FDM MARKETING	
01	P	F	С	ANDERSON, KAREN A				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	RUSSIFF, TANYA K NOEL, TAMI L	3723	412.50		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	NOEL, TAMI L	4122	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	HANSON, CARLA R	4732	550.00		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	С	HENNEBRY, DEANNA L	3526	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	JENSON, MERRY K				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С		3446			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	HANSON, HEATHER K				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	BRENDLE, LISA V				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	FISCHER, KATHLEEN G KRIER, ANN E	3329	575.00		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	С	KRIER, ANN E	4595	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	PETERSON, WANDA L	4031	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Р	F	С	WERRE, PAMELA A				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	COSSETTE, PEGGY A				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01		F		COSSETTE, PEGGY A	3309	440.00		PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	₹)
01		F		WETCH, KARIN A				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	JOHNSON, DEBBIE L				PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F		ANDERSON, SUSAN K				PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER	₹)
01	Р	F	С	IHRY-TOMFORD, ROBIN L	3641	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Р	F	С	DYE, SHANNON R	4762	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Р	F	С	JOHNSON, CONNIE L	3700	400.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	TONER PLEUNE, TONYA J	3762	637.50		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	C	HAGEN, MARCIA R	3528	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	HUSLER, KRISTIN K	3623	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	SHOMAN, JILL M	4345	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	C	MONSON, JULIE M	3727	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	WULF, REY D	4277	500.00	5/19/17	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	

FUNCTIO								
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE	
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
08		SERVI	CE MAIN'	TENANCE				NEW HIRE = *
01	P	F		KADRMAS, RACHELLE M	2887	500.00	4/06/13	PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER)
01			С					PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	F	С	SKIPLE, LINDA R	3922	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	JOHNSON, JAINE E	4683	500.00		PT FDM PARKING
01	P	F	С	GEISING, CLARICE R KING, STACIE L	3525	537.50	2/10/03	PT FDM PARKING
01	P	F	С	KING, STACIE L	4639	500.00	10/31/18	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	F	С	SCHMIDT, SARAH J	268	450.00	1/04/13	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	MURRAY, STEPHANIE M	4765	3,750.00	5/04/19	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	F	C	NICKEL, TAMMY M	3660	500.00	1/11/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	MAGEE, ABBY J	2992	1,100.00	2/20/14	PT CIVIC CONCESSIONS (OTHER)
01	P	F	С	PORTER, WENDY L	4473	500.00	3/07/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	CLEMENS, AMANDA M	3910	500.00	4/06/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	SWANSON, ASHLEY D	4726	500.00	4/10/19	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	F	С	BRUNS, JAMIE M	4592	500.00	8/14/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	Ρ	F	С	RAGAN, BRITTANY J	3398	500.00	9/11/15	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	F	С	HUGHES, JENNIFER N	4106	500.00	8/26/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	F	С	DAHLEN, BETSY L	3412	475.00	1/31/14	PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	Р	F	C	HUGHES, JENNIFER N DAHLEN, BETSY L HERMES, ANASTACIA C	3731	500.00	1/28/16	PT FDM PARKING
01	P	F	С	GAUKLER, HEATHER J	3576	525.00	2/04/11	PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	F	С	PYTLIK, MICHAELA M	4226	550.00	3/15/17	PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	F	С	PLEUNE, KARCYN V	3394	600.00	12/09/11	PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	F	C	PICARD, JASMINE E	4630	500.00	10/08/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	C	OGBURN, KATELYN S	4725	550.00	4/12/19	PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	F	C	HOILAND, SARAH M	4471	500.00	3/06/18	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	F	C	MCLAREN, DONNA M			9/09/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	C	MURPHY, BAILEE M	4677	500.00	1/02/19	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	F	C	GROSZ, ALISON M	4676	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	C	HENRICKS, MAKAYLA R BAUER, ERIKA S	4439	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	F	C	BAUER, ERIKA S	4098	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS
01			C	JOHNSON, ASHLEY R	4259	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	F	C		4563			PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	F	C	ELLIOTT, EVELYN J	4013	500.00	6/01/16	
01	P	F	C	KASOWSKI, JANICE M	3425	612.50		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	C	KALBRENER, THERESA A	3368	500.00	11/17/17	
01	P	F	C	MORRIS, MARJORIE E	3458	500.00	10/09/15	PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	F	C	REESE, JUDITH L	4291	500.00	5/24/17	
01	P	F	C	BOPP, JULIANNE	3620	412.50	1/01/16	
01	P	F	C	GRAF, KRISTI D	4661	500.00	11/27/18	
01	P	F	С	FINKE, SHERRI L	3328	500.00	9/17/10	
01	P	F	С	BOSCH, KATHLEEN L	3300	550.00	8/03/98	PT FDM SECURITY

Page 52 7/01/2018 TO 6/30/2019

FUNCTIO	N 15	OTHER	2						
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P 	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
08	_	SERVI	CE MAIN	TENANCE	2552	500.00	4 / 0 0 / 0 0		NEW HIRE = *
01	P	F		WILLIAMS, GWENN D	3550	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P -	F'	C	GEISING, SUE E	3417	525.00		PT FDM PARKING	
01	P -	F'	C	ENGLISH, CLAUDIA J	4360	500.00	8/29/17		
01	P	F'	C	CHRISTIANSON, BONITA A	4740	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Ь	F.	C	NATHAN, KATHERYN M	3563	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Ь	F.	C	JOHNSON, JUDY A				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Ь	F.	C	FOWLER, SHARON L	4420	500.00	11/20/17		
01	Ь	F.	C	SCHATZ, HELEN			8/01/13		
01	Ь	F.	C	VANEK, ROSELLA M	3549	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Ь	F.	C	HARVALA, MARCIA C				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Ь	F.	C	KROEPLIN, KATHY A				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Ь	F.	C	SAILER, LINDA M	3534			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Ь	F.	C	HAGER, CHERYL A			5/24/17		
01	Ь	F.	C	JONES, CINDY K				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Ь	F.	C	SOLBERG, JACQUELINE A	3483	500.00	8/02/13		
01	Ь	F.	C	FRITZ, BONNIE J	3332			PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	Ь	F.	C	JOHNK, ROSEMARIE	3363	500.00	9/23/05		
01	Ь	F.	C	REED, PEGGY J			11/04/05		
01	Ь	F.	C	KRUPICH, DEBRA J	1294	500.00	3/23/99		.)
01	P -	F'	C	KRUPICH, DEBRA J	1294	625.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P -	F'	C	FEENER, ELIZABETH A	4624	500.00		PT FDM PARKING	
01	P -	F'	C	HYLLA, ANGELA J	3612	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Ь	F.	C	WELDER, BEVERLY J			5/24/17		
01	Ь	F.	C	WELLER, COLLEEN F			4/06/16		
01	P -	F'	C	OLMSTEAD, DEVRA L	4339		8/04/17		
01	Ь	F.	C	WRIGHT, REBECCA A	3615	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Р	F.	C	WALZ, LORI R	3722	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Р	F.	C	DAHLSENG, KAREN R			8/16/13		
01	Р	F.	C	RENNER, DEBRA J			11/23/98		
01	Р	F F	C	HUGHES, CLEO M	3356		1/22/10		
01	P	F.	C	GJELSNESS, BRENDA S	4140	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	Р	r	C	SPITZER SQUIRES, LINDA J				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	PETRY, SUSAN M	3485	500.00	1/04/13	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	MILLER, RONETTE E	3679	500.00	1/15/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	MOHS, MONICA R	1596	500.00	10/08/01	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	ODEGAARD, JOYCE M	3523	537.50	6/12/09	PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	F	C	PIERSON, PAULA J	3666	500.00	1/07/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	ORDAHL, JOANN L	4316	500.00	6/14/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F'	C	HUSO, DIANE K	2703	1,100.00	10/14/11	PT CIVIC CONCESSIONS (OT	HER)
01	P	F	С	BONTJES, CAROL M	3299	725.00	10/24/97	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	

FUNCTIC SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE		HIRE		NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE	
08		SERVI	CE MAIN	TENANCE					NEW HIRE = *
01	P	F		VAAGEN, PATRICIA E	3502	500.00	2/19/10	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01			C		4348			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	ROSDAHL, KRIS E	3428	500.00		PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	F	С	DIEMERT, JANE L	4415	500.00	11/20/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	HALVERSON, SHAWNA M	4017	500.00	6/02/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	WEHLANDER, DIANE K	3509	562.50	4/03/95	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	WARDINSKI, ANGELA F			4/24/19	PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	С	GRAVES, KATHY J			8/23/18	PT FDM PARKING	
01	P	F	C	WEISZHAAR, JOYCE T	3551	500.00	6/20/14	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	SCHEEL, ODETTE M			1/11/08	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	SCHULER, LORI A	3568		9/17/10	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	OLSON, MICHELE C	3386	500.00	6/20/14	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	CHRISTOPHER, ARLENE M	4134	500.00	9/08/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	HEIDINGER, MELISSA S	3282	850.00	12/02/15	PT CIVIC CONCESSIONS (O	THER)
01	P	F	C	WEDELL, VANESSA J	3952	600.00		PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	C	BROWN STOLL, SHEILA L	3054	1,200.00	2/23/15	PT CIVIC CONCESSIONS (O	THER)
01	P	F	C	HARTMANN, CORINNE M	4633	500.00	10/09/18	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	ELLIOTT, REBECCA J	3416	500.00	5/24/13	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	С	GLASSER, LAURIE L	3950	550.00	5/12/16	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	С	NYGAARD, MELISA A	4289	500.00	5/24/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	THORSON, JOANN M	3498	500.00	10/05/07	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С		4287		5/26/17	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	С		4288		5/24/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	MUEHLER, DEBRA A	3902		4/05/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	HAGLUND, STACY L				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	REICHEL, LAURA J	3599	500.00	9/11/15	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	HINTZE, BRENDA D OYE, CARI M	3351	600.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	OYE, CARI M	4208	500.00		PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHE	
01	P	F	C	TEEGARDEN, DONNA M					THER)
01	P		C	STANLEY-GOODMAN, KEELIE M	4200	500.00	1/30/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	C	VILLANUEVA, KELLY M		500.00	4/24/19	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	BAKKE, BRANDY M	4557	500.00	6/15/18	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	С	KENSINGER, TAMMY L	3371	487.50		PT FDM MARKETING	
01	P	F	С	HAGEN, SHAHNA L	3619	400.00	1/06/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	BREIDENBACH, GWEN A	4502	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	
01	P	F	С	HALLINGSTAD, SARAH J	4590	550.00	8/10/18	PT FDM CONCESSIONS	
01	P	F	C	VOS, STEPHANIE A	4574	500.00		PT FDM OPERATIONS	
01	P	F	C	SKAVLEM-SHORT, CATHERINE A	3541	562.50		PT FDM SECURITY	
01	P	F	C	SKAVLEM-SHORT, CATHERINE A	3541	500.00		PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHE	R)
01	P	F	С	RILEY, ALISSA D	4052	500.00	6/17/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES	

FUNCTIO	N 15	OTHER	2					
SALARY			ETHNIC		EMPLOYEE			NEW HIRE = *
LEVEL	F/P	SEX	CODE	NAME	NUMBER	SALARY	DATE	POSITION TITLE
08		SERVI	CE MAIN	TENANCE				NEW HIRE = *
01	P	F	C	BRAASCH, DEBORA A	3586	500.00	7/10/09	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01		F			3381			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01		F		NIELSEN, SUSANNA M				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F		GOMEZ, DAWN A	4118	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	C	ENGLER, AMANDA N				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	C	MCCLARY, CHELSEA D				PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	F	C	HEISE, ROSEMARY A				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	HANSON, ROBIN J				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	SCHEEL, ANDREA K	3456	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	FRANKLIN, STEPHANIE R				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	NEKOLA, HEATHER L				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	TENDERHOLT, CATHERINE J	3903	850.00		PT CIVIC CONCESSIONS (OTHER)
01	P	F	С	DISRUD, RACHAEL J				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	OSTERMAN, ELAINE J				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	BROOKS, MACKENZIE R				PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	F	С	TAYLOR, COURTNEY E			1/20/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	SCHENCK, TAMARA A	4032	500.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	MITTS, TINA L	3936	400.00		PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	BRANDT, SANDRA D				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	OLSON, ABBRA				PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER)
01	P	F	С	OLSON, ABBRA				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	VAALA, KAREN L				PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С		3895			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С		3895			PT CIVIC SECURITY (OTHER)
01	P	F	С	DUBS, ROBERTA A	3678			PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	С	KARSTEN, STEPHANIE R				PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	F	С		4487			PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	F	D	GRIFFITH, GLORIA D			8/07/17	PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	F	D	DRAYTON, KELSEY M	4350	550.00	8/21/17	PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	F	D		4045		6/14/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	E	CRUSZ, SHERINE S	4565	600.00	7/10/18	PT FDM CONCESSIONS
01	P	F	G	PELTIER, NATASHA R	4230	600.00	3/10/17	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	F	G	BURNS, ESPERANZA A	3934	500.00	4/20/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	F	G	ELLIOTT, CHRISTIE M	4417	500.00	11/20/17	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
01	P	F	G	CHARGING, JESSICA R	3631	500.00	1/08/16	PT FDM OPERATIONS
01	P	F	G	SUBATCH, COURTNEY L	3682	500.00	1/16/16	PT FDM EVENT SERVICES
02	P	F	С	MATNEY, JODI L	3642	17,160.00	7/18/14	FDM FT TEMP (30-39) OPERATIONS
03	P	M	C	FRAASE, WILLIAM J	3820	23,400.00	2/12/16	FDM FT TEMP (30-39) OPERATIONS

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PLAN FOR MINORITIES & WOMEN

First Transit, Inc

MATBUS - Fargo, ND & Moorhead, MN - 55828

December 1, 2020 through November 30, 2021

Table of Contents

Preface	3
Introduction	5
Equal Employment Opportunity and Affirmative Action Statement of Policy	6
Responsibility for Implementation	8
Organizational Profile	10
Job Group Analysis	12
Availability Analysis	17
Comparison of Incumbency vs. Estimated Availability	23
Placement Goals	25
Identification of Problem Areas by Organizational Unit and Job Group	27
Development and Implementation of Action Oriented Programs	37
Internal Audit and Reporting System	39
Conclusion	41

Preface

First Transit, Inc (also referred to as the Company) is fully committed to the concept and practice of equal opportunity and affirmative action. Nothing contained in this AAP or its supporting data should be construed as an admission by the Company, in whole or in part, that it has contravened any federal, state or local employment practice laws.

In developing and implementing the AAP, the Company has been guided by its established policy of providing equal employment opportunity. Nothing herein is intended to sanction the discriminatory treatment of any person. Indeed, all employment decisions at the Company are made based on job related criteria.

CONFIDENTIAL TRADE SECRET MATERIALS

While First Transit, Inc firmly believes in wide dissemination of its affirmative action programs and equal employment opportunity practices, this AAP contains certain proprietary information relating to the Company's business that must be kept confidential. The detailed information provided in good faith as a part of the AAP contains specific information that, if disseminated, could be detrimental to the competitive and business interests of First Transit, Inc.

Therefore, even though the Company is justifiably proud of its efforts described in the following pages, this AAP and its support data are to be disclosed to individuals, companies and government agencies only where such individuals or entities have a legitimate business interest or legal entitlement to the information. First Transit, Inc specifically requests the following:

- The material set forth in this program is deemed to be confidential commercial and financial data, the public disclosure of which could cause substantial competitive harm to First Transit (hereinafter "Company"). In addition, all statistical components of this program, including any and all data pertaining to workforce structure (including the ratios between and among job groups and EEO-1 categories), the organizational profile, final availability and placement rate goals, job group analysis report, identification of problem areas, or the analyses of any of the foregoing, are deemed to constitute trade secrets, operations information, confidential statistical data and other confidential commercial and financial data within the meaning of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. §552 et. seq., Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e et. seq., the Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. §1905, and 44 U.S.C. §3508, the disclosure of which is prohibited by law and would subject the individual making the disclosure to criminal and/or civil sanctions. This material has not been disclosed to the public, and should not be, since such disclosure could cause substantial competitive harm to the Company. Therefore, in accordance with 29 C.F.R. §70.26(c) – (e), we expect that the Company will be notified in writing by the agency prior to disclosure of any request for information pertaining to all or any part of this program, and that the Company shall be given an opportunity to present its objection to disclosure. Advance notice of disclosure should be sent to the Company's Affirmative Action Officer Matthew H. Dunning, at 600 Vine Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202.
- No information contained in the AAP or any supporting data or documentation is to be copied, removed from the premises, or released to other individuals without a prior notification to and permission from First Transit, Inc
- Reports that require specific data, such as names of employees and salary information, are not an official part of this AAP. This information is on file at the Company as

Documentation and Supporting Data for AAP Reports and is available for review only as required by law.

This AAP does not constitute an express or implied contract between the Company and its employees, job applicants, or other persons, nor does it change in any way the basic at will employment relationship all Company employees have with the Company. Nothing in this AAP creates a private right of action on behalf of any individual group against the Company.

Introduction

Ever committed to affirmative action, First Transit, Inc has prepared this AAP to cover employees reporting to and/or working at the location in MATBUS - Fargo, ND & Moorhead, MN - 55828.

As detailed in the Job Group Analysis, this AAP covers 135 employees including 60 (44.44%) minorities and 22 (16.3%) women. It is expected these employees will help us to reach mutual goals of profitability and efficiency, resulting in both business and personal growth. As described in detail in the Plan that follows, the management of First Transit, Inc has a continuing commitment to the practice and implemented action of this AAP.

Equal Employment Opportunity and Affirmative Action Statement of Policy

It is the policy of First Transit to provide equal employment opportunities without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, sexual orientation, pregnancy, gender identity, genetic information, marital status, age, disability, military or veteran status, or any other characteristic protected by federal, state, or local law. This policy relates to all phases of employment, including, but not limited to, recruiting, employment, placement, promotion, upgrading, demotion or transfer, reduction of workforce and termination, rates of pay or other form of compensation, selection for training, the use of all facilities, and participation in all Company-sponsored employee activities. Provisions in applicable laws providing for bona fide occupational qualifications, business necessity, or age limitations will be adhered to by the Company where appropriate. First Transit will make reasonable accommodations for qualified individuals with disabilities and to individuals to practice or observe their religion, if it can do so without undue hardship.

As part of First Transit's equal employment opportunity policy, the Company will also take affirmative action as called for by applicable laws – including establishing a written nondiscrimination program of policies, practices, procedures, and appropriate goals and timetables – to ensure that minority group individuals, females, disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, Armed Forces service medal veterans, and qualified disabled persons are introduced into our workforce and considered as promotional opportunities arise. First Transit will make its Affirmative Action Program available for inspection by any employee or applicant for employment upon request.

It is against First Transit policy for any employee to discriminate against an applicant for employment or another employee on the basis of any protected category. Such conduct may result in disciplinary action up to and including termination. Further, employees and applicants shall not be subjected to harassment, intimidation, or other retaliation because they have: (1) filed a complaint; (2) assisted or participated in an investigation, compliance review, hearing or any other activity related to the administration of any federal, state, or local law requiring equal employment opportunity; (3) opposed any act or practice made unlawful by any federal, state, or local law requiring equal opportunity; or (4) exercised any other legal right protected by federal, state, or local law requiring equal opportunity. Retaliation against any such individual is strictly prohibited and will not be tolerated.

Any employee or applicant who feels he or she has been discriminated or retaliated against may file a complaint and is encouraged to follow First Transit's discrimination complaint procedures for reporting alleged incidents to First Transit's Affirmative Action Officer, Matthew H. Dunning, Labor and Employment Counsel/EEO Manager, at 600 Vine Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202. Employees may also file a complaint with the appropriate state or federal agency.

The above-mentioned policies shall be periodically brought to the attention of the supervisors and specific tasks assigned to the supervisors to ensure and achieve compliance, which shall be done with a positive attitude. It is the responsibility of each supervisor of the Company to ensure affirmative implementation of these policies to avoid any discrimination, harassment, or retaliation in employment. The performance of supervisors will be evaluated on the success of the Affirmative Action Program in the same way as their performance on other organizational goals. All employees are expected to recognize these policies and cooperate with their implementation. Successful achievement of First Transit's affirmative action goals will provide benefits to recipients, subrecipients, and contractors through fuller utilization and development of previously underutilized human resources. Violation of these policies is a disciplinary offense.

Matthew H. Dunning has been assigned as the Affirmative Action Officer to direct the

establishment of and to monitor the implementation of personnel procedures to guide our affirmative action program throughout the Company. A notice explaining First Transit's policy will remain posted and this policy statement will be updated and reaffirmed as needed.

Responsibility for Implementation 41 C.F.R. 60-2.17

The Company has assigned primary management responsibility and overall accountability for ensuring full compliance for its equal employment opportunity and affirmative action program to Matthew H. Dunning, the Affirmative Action Officer of the Company. As Affirmative Action Officer, Matthew H. Dunning has the authority, resources, support of and access to top management necessary to ensure the effective implementation of the AAP. The Company actively supports the program and provides assistance whenever it is needed, making managers and supervisors aware of the program and requesting their cooperation and assistance. It is the Company's objective to ensure full adherence to its equal employment opportunity policy and to the affirmative action program. Actions by supervisory personnel inconsistent with the policy and program will not be tolerated.

The duties of the Affirmative Action Officer and designees include:

- Developing policy statements, AAPs, and internal and external modes of communication
- Overseeing discussions with local managers, supervisors, and employees to ensure the Company's policies are being followed
- Advising supervisors that the Company is obligated to prevent discrimination and harassment of employees
- Identifying, in conjunction with line management any problem areas in implementing the AAP, and developing solutions
- Assist recruiting team with identifying any barriers to employment for protected individuals and assisting managers in developing solutions to ensure that all individuals benefit from equal employment opportunities
- Designing and implementing an internal audit and reporting system that will measure
 the effectiveness of the Company's Program, indicate the need for remedial action,
 determine the degree to which the Company's objectives have been attained, and
 ensure each Company location is in compliance with applicable laws and regulations
- Encouraging active involvement by Company representatives in the community service programs of local organizations of and for minorities and women
- Ensuring posters and notices are properly displayed or disseminated in ways that are accessible and understandable to applicants and employees
- Keeping management informed of the latest developments in affirmative action.

The Company recognizes the cooperation of department supervisors and managers is required to reach the full potential of this AAP. Therefore, supervisors and managers are expected to:

- Assist the Affirmative Action Officer in the identification of any problem areas and help eliminate any barriers to equal employment opportunity
- Whenever possible, become involved in local minority organizations, women's organizations, community action groups, and community service programs

- Work with the Affirmative Action Officer to periodically review hiring and promotion
 patterns and training programs to isolate impediments to the attainment of affirmative
 action placement goals and objectives. Results from these reviews are communicated
 through appropriate management meetings
- Review the qualifications of applicants and employees in a nondiscriminatory manner with regard to hire, promotion, transfer and termination
- Provide career counseling for employees as needed
- Adhere to the Company's policy of equal employment opportunity for all employees and ensure the policy is understood, supported, and adhered to by the employees they supervise
- Take action to prevent the discrimination and harassment of employees based on protected characteristics or due to a perception that an individual might have been the beneficiary of the Company's affirmative action efforts.

Organizational Profile

41 C.F.R. 60-2.11

As one of the diagnostic components of First Transit, Inc's AAP, and to conform to applicable regulations, the Company has completed a profile of the workforce at the MATBUS - Fargo, ND & Moorhead, MN - 55828 establishment. The organizational profile is an overview of the staffing patterns at this establishment, and is used to determine whether there are areas in the workforce where individuals are underrepresented or concentrated by gender or race. To complete our organizational profile we have elected to follow the Workforce Analysis methodology.

The following charts set forth our Workforce Analysis. The analysis identifies the departments at the MATBUS - Fargo, ND & Moorhead, MN - 55828 establishment and for each department lists all job titles. For each job title, we provide the following data: the total number of incumbents, the total number of male and female incumbents, and the total number of male and female incumbents by racial/ethnic group.

Workforce Analysis

City of Fargo,ND

Job Code & Title	Grade & EEO Code				Total	W	В	А	Н	I	Р	2
7407		Total	1	Mal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mgr Operations II (Transit)	3	Tot Min	0	Fem	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
7150		Total	1	Mal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clerk Office	6	Tot Min	0	Fem	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
7103		Total	1	Mal	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dispatcher II (Transit)	3	Tot Min	0	Fem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7102		Total	3	Mal	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dispatcher I (Transit)	3	Tot Min	1	Fem	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
7050		Total	1	Mal	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
General Manager II	2	Tot Min	0	Fem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3016		Total	2	Mal	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Supv Road (CDL)	3	Tot Min	1	Fem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0601		Total	126	Mal	107	54	41	7	2	2	1	0
Driver (First Transit)	8	Tot Min	58	Fem	19	14	2	1	0	2	0	0
Total for City of Fargo,ND		Total	135	Mal	113	59	42	7	2	2	1	0
		Tot Min	60	Fem	22	16	2	2	0	2	0	0

Job Group Analysis 41 C.F.R. 60-2.12

As the second diagnostic component of our AAP we have conducted a job group analysis. The job group analysis is the first step in comparing the representation of minorities and women in the workforce covered by this AAP with the estimate of the available qualified minorities and women who could be employed by First Transit, Inc in positions covered by this AAP.

In designing our job groups we considered similarities of duties and opportunities.

Although not a determinative factor in designing job groups, we also attempted to create job groups large enough to conduct appropriate analysis.

The following charts identify the job groups created for this AAP, the job titles that comprise each job group, and the percentage of minority incumbents and the percentage of female incumbents in each job group.

First Transit, Inc

December 1, 2020 Annual Affirmative Action Plan

MATBUS - Fargo, ND & Moorhead, MN - 55828

Job Group Analysis									
2	First/Mid-IvI Officia	als 8	& Mgrs					EEC	Code: 2
Job Code & Title			Min	Fem					
7050 - General Manager II		#	0	0					
1 Employee		%	0.00	0.00					
1 Employee	Totals	#	0	0					
		%	0.00	0.00					

Job Group Analysis

3 Professionals							EEO	Code: 3
Job Code & Title		Min	Fem					
3016 - Supv Road (CDL)	#	1	0					
2 Employees	%	50.00	0.00					
7102 - Dispatcher I (Transit)	#	1	1					
3 Employees	%	33.33	33.33					
7103 - Dispatcher II (Transit)	#	0	0					
1 Employee	%	0.00	0.00					
7407 - Mgr Operations II (Transit)	#	0	1					
1 Employee	%	0.00	100.00					
7 Employees To	tals #	2	2					
	%	28.57	28.57					

Job Group Analysis									
6	Administrative Sup	ро	rt Work	ers				EEO	Code: 6
Job Code & Title			Min	Fem					
7150 - Clerk Office		#	0	1					
1 Employee		%	0.00	100.00					
1 Employee	Totals	#	0	1					
		%	0.00	100.00					

Job Group Analysis									
8	Operatives							EEO	Code: 8
Job Code & Title			Min	Fem					
0601 - Driver (First Transit)		#	58	19					
126 Employees		%	46.03	15.08					
126 Employees	Totals	#	58	19					
		%	46.03	15.08					

Availability Analysis 41 C.F.R. 60-2.14

The availability analysis is a part of the Incumbency vs. Estimated Availability Analysis - the final diagnostic component of this AAP. The purpose of the availability analysis is to establish a benchmark against which the demographic composition of the Company's workforce may be compared to determine whether barriers to equal employment opportunity may exist within particular job groups.

Pursuant to applicable regulations, the availability analysis for each job group examines two potential areas of availability: individuals with the requisite skills outside the establishment (external availability) and those within the establishment who are promotable, transferable, and/or trainable (internal availability). In determining availability, we have selected our reasonable recruitment area and our pool of promotable, transferable, and trainable employees in such a way as not to exclude qualified minorities and women. Moreover, when determining external availability we have used as our source of data the most current and discrete statistical information available. For this availability analysis, we have used the EEO Tabulation 2006-2010 American Community Survey data. Finally, where a job group is composed of different job titles that carry different availability rates, we calculated a composite availability figure. We arrived at the composite availability figure by determining the proportion of the job group incumbents employed in each job title, weighting the availability for each job title by the proportion of incumbents employed in that title, and adding together the weighted availability estimates.

2010 Census Data was used for the analysis.

A brief written rationale for the selection of the recruitment areas and internal pools by job group follows:

2 - First/Mid-Ivl Officials & Mgrs

Factor 1: Fargo, ND-MN Metropolitan Statistical Area- This is the geographical area from which the Company usually seeks or reasonably would seek workers to fill positions in job group 2 - First/Mid-IvI Officials & Mgrs. This area was chosen based on current practices and was drawn in such a way as not to have the effect of excluding minorities or women.

Factor 2: Feeder Job Computations 3- This pool of feeder positions for job group 2 - First/Mid-Ivl Officials & Mgrs was chosen based on reasonable paths of progression within the Company and includes all promotable, transferable, and trainable employees. This pool reflects current practices and was defined in such a way as not to have the effect of excluding minorities or women.

3 - Professionals

Factor 1: Fargo, ND-MN Metropolitan Statistical Area- This is the geographical area from which the Company usually seeks or reasonably would seek workers to fill positions in job group 3 - Professionals. This area was chosen based on current practices and was drawn in such a way as not to have the effect of excluding minorities or women.

Factor 2: Feeder Job Computations 6- This pool of feeder positions for job group 3 - Professionals was chosen based on reasonable paths of progression within the Company and includes all promotable, transferable, and trainable employees. This pool reflects current practices and was defined in such a way as not to have the effect of excluding minorities or women.

6 - Administrative Support Workers

Factor 1: Fargo, ND-MN Metropolitan Statistical Area- This is the geographical area from which

the Company usually seeks or reasonably would seek workers to fill positions in job group 6 - Administrative Support Workers. This area was chosen based on current practices and was drawn in such a way as not to have the effect of excluding minorities or women.

Factor 2: Feeder Job Computations- There are no feeder positions for this job group.

8 - Operatives

Factor 1: Fargo, ND-MN Metropolitan Statistical Area- This is the geographical area from which the Company usually seeks or reasonably would seek workers to fill positions in job group 8 - Operatives. This area was chosen based on current practices and was drawn in such a way as not to have the effect of excluding minorities or women.

Factor 2: Feeder Job Computations- There are no feeder positions for this job group.

December 1, 2020 Annual Affirmative Action Plan

Availability Factor Computation Form

2 - First/Mid-Ivl Officials & Mgrs

Factor	Weight %		Min	Fem					Source of Statistics
 Percentage of minorities or women with requisite skills in the reasonable recruitment area. 	80.00	Raw Statistics Weighted Factor		10.92 8.74					Fargo, ND-MN Metropolitan Statistical Area
2: Percentage of minorities or women among those promotable, transferable, and trainable within the contractor's organization.	20.00	Raw Statistics Weighted Factor		100.00					Feeder Job Computations
		Availability	1.40	28.74					

Availability Factor Computation Form

3 - Professionals

Factor	Weight %		Min	Fem					Source of Statistics
1: Percentage of minorities or women with	80.00	Raw Statistics	2.61	29.89					Fargo, ND-MN Metropolitan
requisite skills in the reasonable recruitment area.		Weighted Factor	2.09	23.91					Statistical Area
2: Percentage of minorities or women among	20.00	Raw Statistics	0.00	100.00					Feeder Job Computations
those promotable, transferable, and trainable within the contractor's organization.		Weighted Factor	0.00	20.00					
		Availability	2.09	43.91					

Availability Factor Computation Form

6 - Administrative Support Workers

Factor	Weight %		Min	Fem					Source of Statistics
Percentage of minorities or women with requisite skills in the reasonable recruitment area.	80.00	Raw Statistics Weighted Factor		100.00					Fargo, ND-MN Metropolitan Statistical Area
2: Percentage of minorities or women among those promotable, transferable, and trainable within the contractor's organization.	20.00	Raw Statistics Weighted Factor		0.00					Feeder Job Computations
		Availability	0.00	80.00					

Availability Factor Computation Form

8 - Operatives

Factor	Weight %		Min	Fem					Source of Statistics
1: Percentage of minorities or women with requisite skills in the reasonable recruitment area.	100.00	Raw Statistics Weighted Factor		0.00					Fargo, ND-MN Metropolitan Statistical Area
Percentage of minorities or women among those promotable, transferable, and trainable within the contractor's organization.	0.00	Raw Statistics Weighted Factor		0.00					Feeder Job Computations
		Availability	0.00	0.00					

Comparison of Incumbency vs. Estimated Availability 41 C.F.R. 60-2.15

First Transit, Inc has compared the representation of minorities and women in each job group with their representation among those identified in the availability analysis as available for employment in the job group. Where actual representation was less than the calculated availability, the Company determined whether the difference was greater than could reasonably be expected. The comparison of availability with actual representation follows:

December 1, 2020 Annual Affirmative Action Plan

Incumbency vs. Estimated Availability

	2	First/Mi	id-Ivl Off
Total Emp		Min	Fem
1	Employment %		0.00
l	Availability %		28.74
	Statistical Value	-	1.000E
	3	Profess	sionals
Total Emp		Min	Fem
7	Employment %		28.57
/	Availability %		43.91
	Statistical Value		0.477E
			-
	6	Admini	strative
Total Emp		Min	Fem
1	Employment %	0.00	100.00
·	Availability %	0.00	
	7 tvaliability 70	0.00	80.00
	Statistical Value		80.00
Total Emp	Statistical Value	Operati	ves
Total Emp	Statistical Value	Operati Min	ves Fem
Total Emp 126	Statistical Value	Operati Min 46.03	ves

Total Employment: 135

S - Significant Difference Rule

A placement goal is set when employment is less than availability by a statistically significant amount.

Yellow shading indicates placement goals, red shading indicates areas that require more focus.

Placement Goals 41 C.F.R. 60-2.16

As required by applicable regulations, First Transit, Inc has established placement goals where the actual representation of women or minorities in a job group is less than would be reasonably expected based on calculated availability.

In establishing placement goals, we applied the following principles:

- The Company has established these goals as objectives or targets reasonably attainable by means of applying every good faith effort to make all aspects of its Affirmative Action Program work. The Company will prescribe and revise short-term goals in a manner that will lead to meeting its long-term goals.
- Placement goals are not rigid and inflexible quotas that must be met, nor are they to be considered as either a ceiling or a floor for the employment of particular groups.
- In all employment decisions, the Company makes selections in a nondiscriminatory manner. Placement goals do not provide a justification to extend a preference to any individual, select an individual, or adversely affect an individual's employment status, on the basis of that individual's sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, race, color, religious creed, national origin, physical or mental disability, protected veteran status, or other characteristic protected by law.
- Placement goals do not create set-asides for specific groups, nor are they intended to achieve proportional representation or equal results.
- Placement goals are not used to supersede merit selection principles, nor do these
 placement goals require the Company to hire a person who lacks qualifications to
 perform the job successfully or hire a less qualified person in preference to a more
 qualified one.

As is described in more detail in the Action Oriented Program section of this AAP, where a placement goal is set, the Company will develop action oriented steps to increase the recruitment and training of minorities or women, or both.

First Transit, Inc

December 1, 2020 Annual Affirmative Action Plan

MATBUS - Fargo, ND & Moorhead, MN - 55828

Placement Goals

There are currently no placement goals for this plan.

Identification of Problem Areas by Organizational Unit and Job Group 41 C.F.R. 60-2.17(b)

We have conducted analyses of our total employment process, including evaluating the workforce by organizational unit and job group, personnel activity, compensation systems, and other personnel procedures to determine whether and where impediments to equal employment opportunity exist.

An analysis of each of these processes follows.

Composition of the Workforce by Organizational Unit

Our analysis by organizational unit reveals that minorities and women are not significantly underrepresented or concentrated in any particular organizational unit. This analysis suggests that there is no policy or practice excluding minorities or women from any departments, nor is there any racial or sexual discrimination in the selection process.

Composition of the Workforce by Job Group

We have conducted an availability analysis by job group, taking into account both external and internal availability, and have compared incumbency to estimated availability to determine placement goals. The descriptions of Factor 1 and Factor 2 by job group are summarized in the Availability Analysis. Our findings are as follows:

- Our analysis of incumbency vs. estimated availability indicates that in some cases, incumbency is less than estimated availability, however, our more detailed analysis concludes that there is no significant problem concerning minority utilization.
- Our analysis of incumbency vs. estimated availability indicates that in some cases, incumbency is less than estimated availability, however, our more detailed analysis concludes that there is no significant problem concerning female utilization.
- The Company has established affirmative action placement goals and programs to address any areas of underutilization, and will continue to make a good faith effort to reach the placement goals established by implementing action oriented programs, which are detailed elsewhere in this AAP.

Analysis of Progress Towards Prior Year Goals

In establishing placement goals, the following principles apply:

- When the percentage of minorities or women employed in a particular job group is less than would reasonably be expected given their availability percentage in that job group, the Company has established an annual percentage placement goal at least equal to the availability figure derived for women or minorities, as appropriate, for that job group.
- Placement goals are not quotas that must be met, nor are they to be considered as either a ceiling or a floor for the employment of particular groups.
- In all employment decisions, the Company makes selections in a nondiscriminatory manner. Placement goals do not provide a justification to extend a preference to any individual, select an individual, or adversely affect an individual's employment status, on the basis of that individual's sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, race, color, religious creed, national origin, physical or mental disability, protected veteran status, or any other characteristic protected by law.

- Placement goals do not create set-asides for specific groups, nor are they intended to achieve proportional representation or equal results.
- Placement goals are not used to supersede merit selection principles, nor do these
 placement goals require the Company to hire a person who lacks qualifications to
 perform the job successfully or hire a less qualified person in preference to a more
 qualified one.

A review of progress and goal attainment for the period from December 01, 2019 to November 30, 2020 reveals that there are no prior year goals for minorities and women.

Personnel Activity

The Company periodically performs in-depth analyses of its total employment process to determine whether and where impediments to equal employment opportunity exist. These analyses include a evaluation of the establishment's: (1) Workforce by organizational unit and job group to determine whether there are any problems of minority or female utilization or of minority or female distribution; (2) Selection, recruitment, referral, and other personnel procedures to determine whether they result in disparities in the employment or advancement of minorities or women; and (3) Other areas that might impact the success of the affirmative action program.

1. Applicant Flow

The Company accepted applications for open positions, and all persons interested in obtaining employment with the Company were advised to apply according to the Company's current policy. Applications and complete records have been kept to ensure goals of equal employment opportunity are being applied to this reflecting process.

2. Hires

The Human Resources Department develops all procedures and all hiring at the Company is conducted on the basis of nondiscriminatory criteria. Specifically, the following criteria and procedures have resulted in hiring decisions that are free of discrimination:

- Job descriptions have been reviewed and revised to make sure duties are accurately
 described, that the experience and education requirements are job related, and that all
 incumbents meet minimum job requirements. Job titles have and will continue to be written
 without regard to sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, race, color, religious creed, national
 origin, physical or mental disability, protected veteran status, or any other characteristic
 protected by law.
- Application forms have been reviewed to ensure all requested information is job related, and the forms comply with all applicable laws. In addition, all forms state the Company is an Equal Opportunity Employer.
- First Transit, Inc representatives who are involved in the selection process have been briefed on the Company's obligations.
- Where applicable, tests have been reviewed and are administered and conducted in a nondiscriminatory manner.
- All employees are encouraged to refer qualified applicants to the Company for employment. In

- addition, the Company has formal recruitment procedures to apprise minority and women's groups, educational institutions, and other referral sources of openings.
- Placing an applicant in a specific job in a department is the responsibility of management. Hiring decisions are based on the applicants knowledge, skills, abilities, and any other job related criteria.

A review of external hires for the prior plan year indicates that selection decisions were made in a non-discriminatory manner. There were 54 new employees hired during the period from December 01, 2019 to November 30, 2020 including 29 minorities at 54% and 9 women at 17%.

The following report summarizes hiring activity by job group:

First Transit, Inc

December 1, 2020 Annual Affirmative Action Plan

MATBUS - Fargo, ND & Moorhead, MN - 55828

New Hire Summary For Period: 12/1/2019 to 11/30/2020

		Total	Min	Fem				
3 - Professionals		1	0	0				
8 - Operatives		53	29	9				
Totals	#	54	29	9				
	%		53.70	16.67				

3. Promotion and Transfer Practices

A review of promotion data indicates these selection decisions are being made in a non-discriminatory manner. To ensure that such decisions are being made in a non-discriminatory manner, the following equal employment opportunity practices are in place:

- The Company provides every reasonable opportunity for employees to advance. In this regard, training and other developmental opportunities are offered.
- Employees are encouraged to contact their supervisor and/or the Human Resources
 Department, at any time, should they desire information relative to another position within the
 Company.
- Management initiated promotions are based on performance and other job related criteria
 without discrimination on account of sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, race, color,
 religious creed, national origin, physical or mental disability, protected veteran status, or any
 other characteristic protected by law. Length of service may also be considered.
- Employees may request transfers from one company location to another. Agreement to transfer is dependent on business necessity at both the current and new work location and the employee's work performance.

First Transit provides training to various job groups who are interested through our First Transit University website. This training is offered to those individuals who are interested in improving their skills, and assists in helping both the employee and their manager to provide a source that may assist the employee when a promotional opportunity is available. We will continue to participate in targeted external training programs.

We will continue to make opportunities for advancement into more stimulating positions widely known through our career development process and by encouraging minorities and women to take advantage of these opportunities.

4. Seniority Practices

First Transit has no formal seniority system for most employees. Instead, promotions are based on merit selection principles. Certain employees are governed by a collective bargaining agreement. Employee seniority for those employees will be governed by the terms and conditions of the applicable agreement. When negotiating or amending collective bargaining agreements, First Transit reviews and revises them wherever current provisions are identified as barriers to equal employment.

5. Training

First Transit has implemented the following programs and procedures to ensure that minority and female employees are given equal opportunities for promotion. On-the-job training is provided to all qualified employees to assist them in developing the necessary knowledge and skills for promotion to higher-level jobs. In addition, a tuition reimbursement benefit may also be available to all qualified employees. Special internal training programs are provided as necessary to ensure the achievement of our goals. We will continue to participate in targeted external training programs. We will continue to make opportunities for advancement into more stimulating positions widely known through our career development process and by encouraging minorities and women to take advantage of these opportunities.

6. Compensation and Benefits

First Transit provides a 90-day introductory period for all new hires. During this time, the Company judges the ability, competency, fitness, and other qualifications of new employees to do the work for which they were employed. The new hires, at the same time, have the opportunity to evaluate the Company as a place to work.

First Transit periodically conducts performance evaluations of its employees. The frequency and type of evaluation depends on the position. Evaluations are conducted to provide understanding between the manager and employee regarding performance requirements and how well the employee meets those standards. They also provide a basis for objective wage and salary administration decisions reflecting individual merit.

First Transit offers a competitive wage and benefit package. Employees are provided a benefit package that includes medical, dental, vision, short term and long term disability insurance, and life insurance which allows employees the ability to also elect coverage for their dependents. Some employees are also eligible for paid vacation time. First Transit also offers an employee discount program.

In addition, the wages and benefits for certain employees will be governed by the terms and conditions of the applicable collective bargaining agreement.

7. Disciplinary Procedures and Termination Practices

First Transit expects that employees maintain professionalism at all times. This requirement is expected of all employees and includes how the employees interact with coworkers as well as with passengers. Employees who are covered by the collective bargaining agreement are subject to the disciplinary actions, procedures, and practices noted in that agreement. First Transit has referenced in its Employee Handbook the expectations of employees and the disciplinary action to be taken should the employee be unable or unwilling to maintain these expectations. First Transit's procedures for its disciplinary process are outlined in its Employee

Handbook.

Disciplinary actions include oral reprimands, written reprimands, suspensions, and termination. No disciplinary actions are based on, or will be based on, any characteristic protected by federal, state, or local law. The standard for determining when a person will be terminated, demoted, disciplined, or laid off is the same for all employees. When negotiating or amending collective bargaining agreements, First Transit reviews and revises them wherever current provisions are identified as barriers to equal employment. A report summarizing terminations by job group follows:

First Transit, Inc

December 1, 2020 Annual Affirmative Action Plan

MATBUS - Fargo, ND & Moorhead, MN - 55828

Termination Summary For Period: 12/1/2019 to 11/30/2020

		Total	Min	Fem				
2 - First/Mid-Ivl Officials & Mgrs		1	0	0				
3 - Professionals		1	0	1				
8 - Operatives		35	20	2				
Totals	#	37	20	3				
	%		54.05	8.11				

Outreach Recruitment and Other Good Faith Efforts

First Transit, Inc has reviewed its employment practices to determine whether its personnel programs are designed to effectively recruit and advance in employment protected individuals. While the Company believes there are no deficiencies in its current employment practices with respect to applicants and employees who are protected individuals, it engages in outreach, positive recruitment, and internal and external dissemination programs to augment its existing affirmative efforts. The Company engages in or has made plans to implement the following activities:

- First Transit, Inc advertisements or solicitations for prospective employees indicate that First Transit, Inc is an equal opportunity employer.
- The Company will inform recruiting sources of First Transit, Inc's policy of affirmative action for protected individuals. Recruiting sources will be requested to actively recruit and refer qualified protected individuals for all positions.
- First Transit, Inc will identify local organizations and/or community agencies known
 to specialize placing and/or developing training programs for protected individuals
 and send them notices of vacant positions. When appropriate, the Company will
 invite community service and other outreach partners to discuss the Company, job
 recruitment needs, selection process, and other details related to recruitment and
 placement.
- When the Company recruits at colleges and universities, it will incorporate efforts to reach students who are covered by this AAP.
- The Company includes a copy of its Equal Employment Opportunity in its Policy Manual. When applicable, First Transit, Inc publicizes the policy in Company publications. The policy is discussed in both new employee orientation and management training programs.
- First Transit, Inc's policy on equal employment opportunity is posted on Company bulletin boards. The posting includes a statement that employees and applicants are protected from coercion, intimidation, and interference or discrimination for filing a complaint or assisting in an investigation.
- The Company's employment application and electronic application processes include information about the First Transit, Inc's commitment to equal employment opportunity and affirmative action.
- All other required affirmative action notices and policy statements are posted on Company bulletin boards and are updated annually.
- Meetings with executive management and supervisory personnel will be conducted at least annually to explain the Company's policy, to make clear First Transit supports for the policy, and to impart to these personnel their responsibility in making the AAP a success.
- First Transit, Inc will seek to include individuals covered by this AAP when employees are pictured in consumer and personnel recruitment advertising.

- The Company will communicate to employees its obligation to take affirmative action to employ protected individuals and will encourage employee referral of covered applicants.
- The Company files annual EEO-1, VETS-100, and VETS-100A reports with the appropriate agencies.

Development and Implementation of Action Oriented Programs 41 C.F.R. 60-2.17

1. Recruitment and Selection Procedures

The Company has developed the following action-oriented programs, designed in such a way that their proper execution will result either in an increase in the minority group/female utilization in the job group identified or document our good faith efforts to do so. These programs, which are listed below, demonstrate our good faith efforts to remove identified barriers, expand employment opportunities, and produce measurable results. The Company's procedures outlining its process for employees' career paths are contained in its Employee Handbook, relevant provisions of which are attached.

- A. The Company has and will continue to analyze all positions and prepare written descriptions to accurately reflect position functions.
- B. Job descriptions have been and will continue to be reviewed to determine what knowledge, skills, abilities, and other requirements are necessary for the adequate performance of every job. Specifications will continue to be consistent for the same job title in all organizational units and will not contain any requirements that would result in discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, national origin, or any other characteristic protected by federal, state, or local law.
- C. Job descriptions are available to incumbents and all members of management involved in the recruiting, screening, selection, and promotion process. Job descriptions are also made available to employees and recruiting sources as appropriate.
- D. The total selection process has been carefully evaluated and found to be free from discrimination.
 - All supervisory personnel have been instructed to ensure elimination of discrimination in all personnel actions in which they are involved.
 - The tests administered by the Company are valid and job-related.
 - Application forms do not contain questions with potential discriminatory effects.
 - The Company does not and will not use any selection techniques that can be improperly used to discriminate against minority groups or women.
- E. The Company has evaluated its techniques for improving recruitment and increasing the flow of qualified minority or female applicants through the following:
 - Minority and female, as well as non-minority and male, employees are actively encouraged to refer applicants to our organization.
 - The Company relies on the State Department of Employment as well as job fairs and recruiting programs sponsored by local community colleges and other community organizations.

- The Company provides an orientation program to inform new employees
 of their equal employment responsibilities, promotional opportunities,
 Company rules, ways to alleviate any problems that might arise, and any
 other issues related to affirmative action compliance.
- Local organizations will continue to be contacted for referrals of potential minority and female employees.
- The Company utilizes the Internet to identify targeted recruitment sites for qualified minority and female applicants.
- Furthermore, we plan to take the following additional steps to ensure adequate representation of all minorities and women. Where underutilization exists, we will continue to contact universities and two-and four-year local colleges, vocational technical schools, high schools, local business schools, and state and community organizations which attract qualified minority and female students. We will advise these institutions of our desire to fill job openings in these classifications with minority and female employees. When possible, we will continue to participate in job fair and career day activities and we will consider relevant work experience programs.
- We will continue to contact our normal sources of recruitment (e.g., State Employment) and advise them that under the AAP we are specifically seeking to employ minorities and/or women for job openings. During the period from December 01, 2019 to November 30, 2020, targeted recruitment activities were conducted.

The Company has implemented the following programs and procedures to guarantee minority and female employees are given equal opportunities for promotion:

- On-the-job training is provided to all qualified employees to assist them in developing the necessary knowledge and skills for promotion to higher level jobs.
- The Company utilizes a formal performance evaluation program for all employees. In addition, management and supervisors are trained on the basic methodology of performance evaluation.
- Neither minority nor female employees are required to possess higher qualifications than those of the lowest qualified incumbent in the job for which they apply. Seniority practices are not a problem since the Company has no formal seniority system.
 Promotions are based on merit selection principles.
- We will continue to make opportunities for advancement into more stimulating positions
 widely known through our career development process and by encouraging minorities
 and women to take advantage of these opportunities.
- Special internal training programs are provided as necessary to ensure the achievement of our placement goals. Programs are offered to eligible employees without regard to sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, race, color, religious creed, national origin, physical or mental disability, protected veteran status, or any other characteristic protected by applicable law.

•

Internal Audit and Reporting System 41 C.F.R. 60-2.17

The purpose of uniform monitoring and reporting procedures is to enable First Transit to evaluate the AAP during the fiscal year and provide a proactive approach to facilitate the implementation of identifiable remedial actions. An important part of any successful AAP is the establishment of an effective and practical internal monitoring and reporting system. This system should facilitate an overall evaluation of key performance indicators of the Company's AAP, with supporting data base, as well as provide a foundation for identifiable corrective action. As part of this AAP, First Transit monitors records of personnel transactions at all levels to ensure that its AAP is carried out. First Transit's Affirmative Action Officer is responsible for executing these internal audits and reporting responsibilities.

1. Monitoring

First Transit has developed and implemented an auditing system that periodically measures the effectiveness of its total Affirmative Action Program. This system includes the following:

- A. The Company will periodically monitor the progress toward affirmative action goals.
- B. The Affirmative Action Officer will continue to monitor records of applicant flow, referrals, placements, rejected offers, training, transfers, promotions, terminations, and any layoffs or recalls to ensure that the Company's non-discrimination policy is carried out. Procedures are reviewed and revised as problems are identified.
- C. Supervisors are responsible for monitoring their work areas to ensure the work environment is free of unlawful discrimination, harassment, and retaliation and to immediately report any alleged violations of First Transit's equal employment opportunity policies or Affirmative Action Plan to the Affirmative Action Officer for investigation. The Affirmative Action Officer tracks equal employment opportunity complaints.

2. Reporting

- A. Top management is and will continue to be informed of any problems that arise in their respective areas so that immediate and appropriate steps can be taken to resolve any issues.
- B. The Company recognizes its responsibility to equal employment and affirmative action and is committed to fulfilling this responsibility by complying with all government regulations and laws pertaining to equal employment opportunity. As part of this commitment, management will be kept abreast of developments in the affirmative action area. The primary vehicle for communication with management will be periodic affirmative action briefings.
- C. The Company will review report results with all levels of management as to the degree to which their affirmative action goals and compliance are being attained, and design and implement corrective actions, including adjustments in

- programs, as needed.
- D. Progress on the Company's Affirmative Action Plan will be discussed at supervisors' meetings, and relevant information will be communicated to employees during regular departmental meetings.
- E. First Transit has established an internal complaint procedure for the purpose of handling the complaints of employees or applicants who feel they have discriminated or retaliated against. They are encouraged to follow First Transit's discrimination complaint procedures for reporting alleged incidents to First Transit's Affirmative Action Officer, Matthew H. Dunning at 600 Vine Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202. Employees may also file a complaint with the appropriate state or federal agency. When a complaint is filed by an employee of the Company or by an applicant for employment with the Company, the complaint is investigated in a timely manner by the Corporate Equal Employment Opportunity Officer. When the investigation is completed, the merits of the complaint are reviewed with the affected employee(s). Where appropriate, remedial action is taken. First Transit has an internal complaint tracking system as well as a filing system where it maintains complaints by their source of notification. The Affirmative Action Officer monitors the tracking systems the filing system for status of completion and if recommendations have made, compliance with recommendation, etc.
- F. The Affirmative Action Officer will meet with human resources and hiring officials to review current EEO goals and statistics on employment practices and policies.
- G. The Affirmative Action Officer will provide progress reports of the AAP and the results of the monitoring (including but not limited to, hiring, promotions, and status of EEO complaints).

Conclusion

The AAP year, December 1, 2020 through November 30, 2021, shows a continued commitment to equal employment opportunity and affirmative action, and has strong plans to ensure both corporate and employee success.

Through its Affirmative Action Officer, Matthew H. Dunning, the Company will continue to communicate its policies, both within the organization and to the community in which it works. First Transit affords the Affirmative Action Officer full authority to take action to implement the plan and to pursue solutions to problems that might impede the progress of this plan.

At the close of First Transit, Inc's most recent plan year, an analysis of the composition of the workforce was undertaken. The workforce was analyzed by job group and by department to determine the employment of minorities and women, and to identify if placement goals are indicated when compared to the appropriate available workforce. This analysis revealed no areas in which the difference between incumbency vs. estimated availability was statistically significant, showing that for the overwhelming majority of the workforce, employment levels of women and minorities are representative of our recruiting population. Nonetheless, the Company expects to continue its successful outreach efforts and to ensure all applicants and employees are treated fairly, based on job related criteria and without regard to sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, race, color, religious creed, national origin, physical or mental disability, protected veteran status, or any other characteristic protected by applicable law.

The Company is mindful of the fact that continued achievements in the area of equal employment opportunity and affirmative action are important. As a result, we have included additional action oriented plans and programs for recruiting, communication, and reporting, to ensure that our compliance with affirmative action continues in good stead.

Finally, it should be noted the Company's thorough analysis of its workforce reveals that First Transit, Inc is in full compliance with sex discrimination guidelines and that there is no evidence of discrimination in any form against female employees. As outlined in this AAP, First Transit, Inc is ready and willing to make affirmative action both a commitment and a continued reality.

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PROGRAM FOR DISABLED VETERANS, RECENTLY SEPARATED VETERANS, OTHER PROTECTED VETERANS, OR ARMED SERVICE MEDAL VETERANS, AND INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES

PURPOSE OF THE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PROGRAM FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES AND VETERANS

First Transit is committed to taking affirmative action to employ and advance in employment, qualified individuals with a disability, qualified disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, and Armed Forces service medal veterans.

First Transit's Affirmative Action Program for workers with disabilities and covered veterans is intended to be a vehicle by which the Company ensures that its Equal Employment Opportunity Policy is translated into Equal Opportunity action to employ and advance in employment qualified individuals with a disability, qualified disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, and Armed Forces service medal veterans.

In this connection, the Program contains specific and results-oriented procedures to which the Company has committed itself to apply every good faith effort.

The following material outlines these procedures and establishes responsibilities for their success.

DEFINITIONS

An "INDIVIDUAL WITH A DISABILITY" for the purposes of this Program as any person who (1) has a physical or mental impairment which substantially limits one or more of such person's major life activities (2) has a record of such impairment, or (3) is regarded as having such an impairment.

A "QUALIFIED INDIVIDUAL WITH A DISABILITY" is an individual with a disability (see definition above) who satisfies the requisite skill, experience, education, and other job-related requirements of the employment position such individual holds or desires, and who, with or without reasonable accommodation, can perform the essential functions of such position.

"DISABLED VETERAN" means (1) a veteran of the U.S. military, ground, naval or air service who is entitled to compensation (or who but for the receipt of military retired pay would be entitled to compensation) under laws administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, or (2) a person who was discharged or released from active duty because of a service-connected disability.

"QUALIFIED DISABLED VETERAN" means a disabled veteran who has the ability to perform the essential functions of the employment position with or without reasonable accommodation.

"OTHER PROTECTED VETERAN" means a veteran who served on active duty in the U.S. military, ground, naval or air service during a war or in a campaign or expedition for which a campaign badge has been authorized, under the laws administered by the Department of Defense.

"RECENTLY SEPARATED VETERAN" means any veteran during the three-year period beginning on the date of such veteran's discharge or release from active duty in the U.S. military, ground, naval or air service.

"ARMED FORCES SERVICE MEDAL VETERAN" means any veteran who, while serving on active duty in the U.S. military, ground, naval or air service, participated in a United States military operation for which an Armed Forces service medal was awarded pursuant to Executive Order 12985 (61 FR 1209).

APPLICABILITY OF THE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PROGRAM

- (1) The Affirmative Action Program will be reviewed and updated on an annual basis. Employees and applicants will be informed of any significant changes.
- (2) The Affirmative Action Program is available for inspection to any employee or applicant for employment Monday through Friday during the normal business hours.

REAFFIRMATION OF THE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY POLICY

First Transit is dedicated to the achievement of equality of opportunity for all of its employees and applicants for employment. In this connection, the policy requires at least the following:

- (1) Recruit, hire, train, and promote qualified persons in all job titles, and ensure that all other personnel actions are administered, without regard to race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, national origin, disability, status as a disabled veteran, recently separated veteran, other protected veteran, or Armed Forces service medal veteran.
- (2) Base decisions on employment so as to further the principle of equal employment opportunity.
- (3) Ensure that promotion and other employment decisions are in accord with principles of equal employment opportunity by imposing only valid job requirements for employment opportunities and basing all employment decisions only on those valid job requirements.
- (4) Ensure that all personnel actions such as compensation, benefits, transfers, layoffs, return from layoff, company-sponsored training, education, tuition assistance, social and recreational programs will be administered without regard to race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or national origin, disability, status as a disabled veteran, recently separated veteran, other protected veteran, or Armed Forces service medal veteran.

Employees and applicants are protected from harassment, threats, coercion, intimidation, interference and/or discrimination for filing a complaint, assisting in an investigation or opposing any practice made unlawful under applicable laws.

Overall responsibility for ensuring compliance and continued implementation of the policy is

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION POLICY, PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES

General Policy

First Transit has committed not to discriminate on the basis of physical or mental disability, status as a disabled veteran, recently separated veteran, other protected veteran, or Armed Forces service medal veteran, and the Company has committed to take affirmative action to employ and advance in employment qualified individuals with disabilities, disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, or Armed Forces service medal veterans at all levels of employment. Such action shall apply to all employment practices.

Consideration of Qualifications

First Transit has reviewed all personnel processes to ensure the careful, thorough, and systematic consideration of the job qualifications of applicants and employees who are known to be individuals with disabilities, disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, or Armed Forces service medal veterans for job vacancies filled either by hiring or promotion, and for all training opportunities offered or available. Military records are used on a non-discriminating basis: i.e., in determining the qualifications of a covered veteran, the Company considers only that portion of the military record, including discharge papers, relevant to the specific job qualifications for which the veteran is being considered. The Company has reviewed its personnel processes to ensure these processes do not stereotype individuals with disabilities or covered veterans in a manner that limits their access to all jobs for which they are qualified. The Company will annually review its personnel processes and make any necessary modifications to ensure that the obligations set forth in this paragraph will be carried out on an ongoing basis. A description of these annual reviews and any necessary modifications to personnel processes or development of new processes will be included in this affirmative action program as they occur.

Physical and Mental Qualifications

All jobs requirements are periodically reviewed to ensure they are job-related and consistent with business necessity and the safe performance of the job. Whenever the Company inquires into an applicant's or employee's physical or mental condition or conducts a medical examination of an employee or applicant prior to employment, information obtained as a result will be collected and maintained on separate forms and in separate medical files apart from general personnel files and will be kept confidential except as otherwise provided by applicable regulations and the Americans with Disabilities Act. Medical information obtained through medical inquiries or examinations of employees or applicants shall not be used to discriminate against qualified individuals with a disability or disabled veterans on the basis of disability.

Accommodation to Physical and Mental Limitations of Employees

First Transit will make a reasonable accommodation to the known physical and mental limitations of a qualified employee or applicant with disabilities, and a disabled veteran, provided such accommodation does not result in an undue hardship to the operation of the Company's business. If an employee who is known to be an individual with a disability or a disabled veteran is having significant difficulty performing his or her job and it is reasonable to conclude that the performance problem may be related to the known disability, the Company will confidentially notify the employee of the performance problem and inquire whether the problem is related to the employee's disability. If the employee responds that it is so related,

the Company will confidentially inquire whether the employee is in need of a reasonable accommodation.

Harassment

First Transit has developed and implemented procedures to ensure that its employees are not harassed because of their disabilities or their status as a disabled veteran, recently separated veteran, other protected veteran, or Armed Forces service medal veteran.

Compensation

In offering employment or promotions to individuals with disabilities, disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, or Armed Forces service medal veterans, the Company will not reduce the amount of compensation offered because of any disability income, pension, or other benefit the applicant or employee receives from another source.

Responsibility for Implementation

Matthew H. Dunning, Labor and Employment Counsel/EEO Manager is designated as the Affirmative Action Officer/Corporate Equal Opportunity Officer.

The Corporate Equal Employment Opportunity Officer shall, among other things:

- (1) Identify problem areas in conjunction with the line management and known employees with disabilities, disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, or Armed Forces service medal veterans
- (2) Monitor the effectiveness of the Affirmative Action Program.
 - (3) Act as a liaison between the Company and organizations of and for persons with disabilities, disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, or Armed Forces service medal veterans.
 - (4) Keep management informed of the latest developments in the affirmative action area.
 - (5) Act as a counsel and advisor for any employee with disabilities, disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, or Armed Forces service medal veterans who feels that he/she has been denied equal opportunity.
 - (6) Ensure that known individuals with disabilities, disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, or Armed Forces service medal veterans are offered participation in all Company-sponsored educational, training, and social activities.

The Company will provide the Corporate Equal Employment Opportunity Officer with necessary top management support and staff to manage the implementation of the Affirmative Action Program.

Development and Execution of Affirmative Action Programs

(1) Job qualification requirements are periodically reviewed with all members of management.

- (2) First Transit has evaluated the total selection process, including training and promotion, to ensure freedom from stereotyping disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, or Armed Forces service medal veterans, and persons with disabilities in a manner that limits their access to all jobs for which they are qualified.
- (3) All Company Human Resources employees are carefully selected and given appropriate instructions to ensure that the commitments in the Affirmative Action Program are implemented.
- (4) The Company will make special efforts to include qualified persons with disabilities, disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, or Armed Forces service medal veterans on the Human Resources staff.
- (5) Whenever possible, employees with disabilities and employees who are disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, or Armed Forces service medal veterans will be made available for participation in career days, youth motivation programs and related activities in the community.
- (6) Recruiting efforts at all schools will incorporate special efforts to reach disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, or Armed Forces service medal veterans, and students with disabilities.
- (7) The Company has contacted the Employment Service Representative at the appropriate local Job Service Agency and at appropriate veterans' service organizations and informed each of its desire to consider for employment qualified individuals with disabilities and veteran applicants.

Training

First Transit has trained all personnel involved in the recruitment, screening, selection, promotion, disciplinary, and related processes on the Company's policies, practices, and procedures under this Affirmative Action Program to ensure that this program is fully implemented.

Audit and Reporting System

The Company has designed and implemented an audit and reporting system, monitored by the Corporate Equal Employment Opportunity Officer that will accomplish the following:

- (1) Measure the effectiveness of the Company's Affirmative Action Program;
- (2) Indicate any need for remedial action;
- (3) Determine the degree to which the Company's objectives have been obtained;
- (4) Determine whether known individuals with disabilities, disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, or Armed Forces service medal veterans have had the opportunity to participate in all Company-sponsored educational, training, recreational, and social activities;
- (5) Measure the Company's compliance with the Affirmative Action Program's specific obligations; and
- (6) Document the actions taken to comply with these obligations, and appropriately retain

these documents as employment records.

EQUAL OPPORTUNITY CLAUSE

First Transit has included the equal opportunity clauses for disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, or Armed Forces service medal veterans, and workers with disabilities in each of its covered contracts, subcontracts, purchase orders and leases. The affirmative action clauses will also be included in modifications, renewals or extensions if not included in the original.

LISTING OF EMPLOYMENT OPENINGS

First Transit requests appropriate local offices of the State Employment Service system to refer qualified individuals with disabilities for consideration for job opportunities that might occur.

The Company will list all appropriate job opportunities with the appropriate local office of the State Employment Service system in order to aid veterans in their employment needs.

The Company requests the Veterans Employment Representative in the appropriate local offices of the State Employment Service, the appropriate regional offices of the Department of Veterans Affairs, veterans' counselors and coordinators on appropriate college campuses, the service officers of national veterans' groups active in the vicinity of the Company's establishment, and local veterans' groups and veterans' service centers near the Company's establishment to refer disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, or Armed Forces service medal veterans for consideration for job opportunities that might occur.

COMPLAINT PROCEDURES

First Transit has established an internal complaint procedure for the purpose of handling the complaints of individuals with disabilities and covered veteran employees.

When a complaint is filed by an employee of the Company or by an applicant for employment with the Company, the complaint is investigated in a timely manner by the Corporate Equal Employment Opportunity Officer. When the investigation is completed, the merits of the complaint are reviewed with the affected employee(s). Where appropriate, remedial action is taken.

RECORD KEEPING

First Transit shall maintain all personnel or employment records made or kept by the Company for a period of two years from the date of the making of the record or the date of the personnel action involved, whichever occurs later.

These records will include:

(1) Records relating to requests for reasonable accommodation;

- (2) The results of any physical examination;
- (3) Job advertisements and postings;
- (4) Applications and resumes;
- (5) Tests and test results;
- (6) Interview notes; and
- (7) Other records having to do with hiring, assignment, promotion, demotion, transfer, layoff, termination, rates of pay or other terms of compensation, and selection for training or apprenticeship.

If an employee is involuntarily terminated, the Company shall maintain the personnel records of that individual for a period of two years from the date of termination.

If First Transit receives notice that a complaint of discrimination based on disability or status as a disabled veteran, recently separated veteran, other protected veteran, or Armed Forces service medal veteran has been filed, that a compliance review under the Rehabilitation Act or Jobs for Veterans Act has been initiated, or that an enforcement action under those laws has been commenced, the Company shall preserve all personnel records relevant to the complaint, compliance review, or enforcement action until final disposition of the complaint, compliance review, or enforcement action.

In addition, the Company shall maintain the records it creates to document activities regarding its assessment of external outreach and recruitment efforts for a period of three years from the date of the making of the record.



HUMAN RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

Fargo City Hall 225 4th Street North Fargo, ND 58102

Phone: 701.241.1321 | Fax: 701.476.6707

www.FargoND.gov

To:

Julie Bommelman, Transit Administrator

From:

Jill Minette, SHRM-SCP, SPHR, IPMA-CP

Director of Human Resources

Date:

January 12, 2021

Re:

First Transit EEO and Affirmative Action Program

This memorandum is to confirm that I have reviewed and approved the 2021 EEO Policy Statement and Affirmative Action Plan submitted by First Transit.